



**南京理工大学**  
NANJING UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

# Testing **electroweak phase transition** at **muon colliders**

**Wei Liu (刘威)**

**Nanjing University of Science and Technology**


**Arxiv:2101.10469, JHEP 04(2021) 015**

Work in collaboration with Ke-pan Xie



**Higgs potential and BSM opportunity**

# Phase transition in electroweak theory

EW symmetry restoration in the early Universe




$W$  &  $Z$  bosons are massive;  
Photon is massless,  
Mexican-hat like



$SU(2)_L$  &  $U(1)_Y$  bosons are massless,  
True vacuum

# What is the pattern of EW phase transition (PT)?

It could be –





Lattice calculation shows the phase diagram ==>

Thus in the SM it is a crossover, since  $M_h = 125 \text{ GeV} > 75 \text{ GeV}$ ;

However, a 1<sup>st</sup>-order EWPT is more interesting.  
(Needs **new physics**)

Figure from L.-T. Wang's talk in IHEP workshop




# Why is a 1<sup>st</sup>-order EWPT interesting?

- It's the essential ingredient of the [EW baryogenesis](#).
- Acting as the background of very rich **dark matter** mechanisms
- Sources of the stochastic GWs:

- Collision of the bubbles
- Sound waves in plasma
- Turbulence in plasma

EWPT GWs typically peak in mHz.



# How to achieve a 1<sup>st</sup>-order EWPT?


Adding a barrier for the Higgs potential via new physics!

The decay between two vacua separated by a barrier.

The VEV of the Higgs field *jumps*.

Getting a barrier via the help of additional **scalar field(s)**:

- SM + real singlet (xSM);
- 2HDM;
- Georgi-Machacek model;
- .....



We choose the **xSM** as the benchmark model.

- It's simple, but has captured the most important feature of EWPT;
- It can be treated as the prototype of many new physics EWPT models.

## EWPT in the xSM (SM + real singlet)

We choose the **xSM** as the benchmark model.

It's simple, but has captured the most important feature of EWPT.

The scalar potential of the xSM

$$V = -\mu^2 |H|^2 + \lambda |H|^4 + \frac{a_1}{2} |H|^2 S + \frac{a_2}{2} |H|^2 S^2 \\ + b_1 S + \frac{b_2}{2} S^2 + \frac{b_3}{3} S^3 + \frac{b_4}{4} S^4$$

8 input parameters:

1 unphysical, 2 fixed by Higgs mass & VEV; 5 *free* parameters.

Expansion around the VEV

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + h \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = v_s + s, \quad \begin{pmatrix} h \\ s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Mass eigenstates & the mixing angle.

Higgs-like, 125 GeV

Singlet-like,  $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$

**Can we probe it at colliders?**


# 1<sup>st</sup>-order EWPT in the xSM

At finite temperature:

$$V = -(\mu^2 - c_H T^2)|H|^2 + \lambda|H|^4 + \frac{a_1}{2}|H|^2 S + \frac{a_2}{2}|H|^2 S^2 \\ + (b_1 + m_1 T^2)S + \frac{b_2 + c_S T^2}{2}S^2 + \frac{b_3}{3}S^3 + \frac{b_4}{4}S^4$$


$$c_H = \frac{3g^2 + g'^2}{16} + \frac{y_t^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{a_2}{24}, \quad c_S = \frac{a_2}{6} + \frac{b_4}{4}, \quad m_1 = \frac{a_1 + b_3}{12}$$

An Illustration --



**Question:**

Can collider experiments probe the 1<sup>st</sup>-order EWPT parameter space?




# Probing EWPT of the xSM at colliders

## Feature of the xSM


Two neutral scalars:  $h_1$  (Higgs-like) and  $h_2$  (singlet-like, TeV), with mixing angle  $\theta$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} g_{h_2 V V} &= g_{h V V}^{\text{SM}} \sin \theta \\ g_{h_2 f \bar{f}} &= g_{h f \bar{f}}^{\text{SM}} \sin \theta \\ \lambda_{h_2 h_1 h_1} &\propto \sin \theta \end{aligned}$$



**Direct searches** at the  $pp$  colliders

$$\begin{aligned} g_{h_1 V V} &= g_{h V V}^{\text{SM}} \cos \theta \\ g_{h_1 f \bar{f}} &= g_{h f \bar{f}}^{\text{SM}} \cos \theta \\ \lambda_{h_1 h_1 h_1} &= \lambda_{h h h}^{\text{SM}} f(\theta) \end{aligned}$$



**Indirect searches** at the  $e^+e^-$  colliders



# Muon collider!

9

## Precision and Energy Frontier!

A high-energy muon collider is able to execute both the

- **direct search**
- **indirect search**


strategies for EWPT in xSM!

Compared to the  $e^+e^-$  machine:

- Synchrotron radiation is **suppressed by  $10^9$**  since  $M_\mu \gg M_e$ , hence the collision energy can reach O(10) TeV;
- Also **very clean**, as long as the beam-induced-background is controllable (main challenge).

Compared to the  $pp$  machine:



- The **entire collision energy** can be used to probe hard process;
- Much **cleaner** due to the small QCD background.



# Muon collider: direct search

10


Producing the  $h_2$  at a muon collider



$Zh_2$  associated production & Vector Boson Fusion (VBF).

At a multi-TeV collider, the dominant channel is VBF, in which  $W^+W^-$  fusion dominates (90%);

$\sigma^{\text{SM}}(h_2)$ : rate obtained by assuming a Higgs-like coupling for the  $h_2$ .



# Muon collider: direct search

Decay of  $h_2$  to SM particles ( $X$  = vector boson or fermion)

$$\Gamma(h_2 \rightarrow XX) = \sin^2 \theta \times \Gamma^{\text{SM}}(h_2 \rightarrow XX),$$

$$\Gamma(h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1) \propto \lambda_{h_2 h_1 h_1}^2$$


Dominant channels: di-boson ( $W^+W^-$ ,  $ZZ$ ),  $tt$ , and  $h_1 h_1$ .

The  $h_1 h_1$  channel can reach a branching ratio of 80%;

For heavy  $h_2$ , the  $VV$  channel dominates;

We choose

- $h_2 \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l^+l^-l^+l^-$
  - $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1 \rightarrow bbbb$
- for a detailed simulation.




# Muon collider: direct search

The  $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1 \rightarrow bbbb$  channel:

Main background:


- Vector Boson Scattering  $ZZ \rightarrow bbbb$
- $h_1 h_1 \rightarrow bbbb$ .



The  $h_2 \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l^+ l^- l^+ l^-$  channel:

Main background:

- Vector Boson Scattering  $ZZ \rightarrow l^+ l^- l^+ l^-$ .



# Muon collider: direct search

13

Main background:

✓ Vector Boson Scattering  $ZZ \rightarrow bbbb$  ( $llll$ ) and  $h_1 h_1 \rightarrow bbbb$ .

Kinematic Cuts:

Cut I:  $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|\eta| < 2.43$ ,  $M_{e\bar{e}l} > 200 \text{ GeV}$ , (Cut I)

Cut II: minimizing  $\chi^2 = (M_2 - M_h)^2 + (M_{34} - M_h)^2$

$|M_2 - M_h| < 15(10) \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|M_{34} - M_h| < 15(10) \text{ GeV}$

Cut III:  $|M_{34} - M_{h_2}| < 30(20) \text{ GeV}$ ,




$\Delta E/E = 10\%$ ,  $\epsilon_{b-tg} = 70\%$

# Muon collider: direct search

The collider search and gravitational wave detection are complementary!

For the LISA detector, signal-to-noise ratio (SNR):


$$\text{SNR} = \sqrt{\mathcal{T} \int_{f_{\min}}^{f_{\max}} df \left( \frac{\Omega_{\text{GW}}(f)}{\Omega_{\text{LISA}}(f)} \right)^2}$$



The diHiggs & diboson channels are complementary as well

# Muon collider: indirect search

The gauge boson coupling & triple Higgs coupling. Making use of the results in [\[Han, Liu, Low and Wang, 2008.12204\]](#):




Defining deviations

$$\delta\kappa_V = \left| \frac{g_{h_1 VV}}{g_{h_1 VV}^{\text{SM}}} - 1 \right|,$$

$$\delta\kappa_3 = \frac{\lambda_{h_1 h_1 h_1}}{\lambda_{h_1 h_1 h_1}^{\text{SM}}} - 1$$

We can obtain the projections.



# Conclusion

16

**1<sup>st</sup>-order EW phase transition** is interesting:

- Theoretically, it is the essential ingredient of EW baryogenesis, and can trigger very rich dark matter mechanisms;
- Experimentally, it yields detectable gravitational waves.

We propose strategies to probe **1<sup>st</sup>-order EWPT** at a high-energy **muon collider**:

- Direct detection: the resonant production of the new scalar;
- Indirect detection: the deviation of Higgs couplings.

Collider search is **complementary** to the gravitational waves detection!