# Measurements of Higgs boson decaying into tau leptons using 139 $\rm fb^{-1}$ at the ATLAS experiment

Antonio De Maria Nanjing University

Higgs potential and BSM opportunities



 $\bullet\,$  The SM Higgs boson couplings can be summarised in the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{m_f}{v} f \bar{f} H + \frac{m_H^2}{2v} H^3 + \frac{m_H^2}{8v^2} H^4 + \delta_V V_\mu V^\mu \left(\frac{2m_V^2}{v} H + \frac{m_V^2}{v^2} H^2\right)$$

- Coupling with SM particles proportional to:
  - *m<sub>F</sub>* for fermions → main couplings with third generation of quark and leptons (*b* and *τ*)
  - $m_V^2$  for bosons  $\rightarrow$  main couplings with W and Z
- Coupling as function of particle mass in good agreement with SM prediction over 3 order of magnitude





# Higgs boson production modes





 $^*$  predicted cross section for m\_{H}{=}125 GeV at  $\sqrt{s}{=}13$  TeV

### Higgs boson decay branching ratios





- Larger branching ratio (BR) for  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}, H \rightarrow WW^*$  and  $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ , however poor mass resolution and large background contamination
- *H* → γγ and *H* → *ZZ*\*(→ 4*I*) have lower BR, but high mass resolution; can be used for precision measurements
- $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$  and  $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$  becoming now accessible thanks to large Run 2 dataset and the good detector performance

### H ightarrow au au measurements - (Atlas-conf-2021-044)



- In the SM,  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$  is currently the only accessible decay at LHC to establish Higgs-Yukawa coupling to leptons
- Consider all main Higgs boson production modes
- Aim to measure full cross-section, as well as cross section in the *STXS* framework



23%,  $\tau_h \tau_\mu$ 

	$ au_{lep} au_{lep}$	aulep $ au$ had	auhad $ au$ had
VBF inclusive		sub-leading jet $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{jj} > 350 \text{ GeV},  \Delta \eta_{jj}  > 3$ $\eta(j_0) \times \eta(j_1) < 0$	
	lepton centrality: visi	ble decay products of the $\tau$ leptons	between VBF jets
VH inclusive		$60 \text{ GeV} < m_{jj} < 120 \text{ GeV}$ sub-leading jet $p_{\text{T}} > 30 \text{ GeV}$	
$tt(0L)H \to \tau_{\rm had}\tau_{\rm had}$			# of jets $\ge 6$ and # of <i>b</i> -jets $\ge 1$ or # of jets $\ge 5$ and # of <i>b</i> -jets $\ge 2$
Boost inclusive		Not VBF inclusive Not VH inclusive $p_{\rm T}({\rm H}) > 100 {\rm GeV}$	
	Α.	De Maria	

# Tau Reconstruction/Identification

- Attempt to reconstruct only hadronically decay taus
- Tau candidates are seeded by anti- $k_t$  LC jets with a distance parameter R = 0.4
- Track selected in the core (0 <  $\Delta R$  < 0.2) and isolation (0.2 <  $\Delta R$ < 0.4) regions around the tau candidate axis.
- Identification algorithm based on RNN to reject background from  $q/g\ jets$ 
  - RNN trained using track and cluster information
  - highly supersede performance from BDT based identification



had $ au$ Decay Mode	BR (%)
$h^{\pm}$	11.5
$h^{\pm}\pi^{0}$	30.0
$h^{\pm} \geqslant 2\pi^0$	10.6
$3h^{\pm}$	9.5
$3h^{\pm}\geqslant 2\pi^{0}$	5.1





- MC based except for misidentified  $\tau$ , which is data-driven
- Z $\rightarrow \tau \tau$  (70-90%) : validated + normalised using embedded Z $\rightarrow$  // CRs
- Misidentified  $\tau$  (5-20 %) : estimated using Matrix Method ( $\tau_{lep}\tau_{lep}$ ) and Fake Factor Method ( $\tau_{lep}\tau_{had}$  and  $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$ )
- Top ( <5% but 35-50% in ttH SRs) : validated in Top CRs
- Other backgrounds : small, evaluated through MC





# Kinematic Embedding procedure



- Select  $Z {\rightarrow} \ {\it II} + jets$  events in CRs defined orthogonal to the signal region
- Unfold  $Z \rightarrow II$  events taking into account lepton reconstruction efficiencies
- Mimic Z  $\rightarrow \tau \tau$  events through kinematic parameterisation of  $\tau$  decay products



• Procedure validated in different kinematic phase spaces



# Misidentified $\tau$ background estimation



- Aim to estimate jet mis-identified as  $\tau$ (light leptons) in  $\tau_{lep}\tau_{had}$  and  $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$  $(\tau_{lep}\tau_{lep})$  final states
- Validated in dedicated CRs and residual mis-modelling assigned as systematic uncertainty





# Fit Model





- VBF, VH(had) and ttH signal regions split using taggers
- Boost region split according to the STXS scheme
- Use di-au mass (MMC) as fit variable in the SRs
- Use embedded Zightarrow // (Top) CRs to normalize Zightarrow au au (Top) background

### Inclusive cross section measurement





- Largest sensitivity from  $\tau_{lep}\tau_{had}$  and  $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$  final states
  - expected from  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$  branching ratios
- Sensitivity driven by VBF and Boost categories
  - expected from Higgs boson production mode cross-section
- Lower sensitivity from V(had)H and ttH categories
  - First attempt to define these categories for this analysis

### **Postfit distributions**





• Clear signal excess over background prediction in the most sensitive region



Source of uncertainty	Impact on $\Delta$ Observed	$\sigma \sigma / \sigma (pp \to H \to \tau \tau) $ [%] Expected
Theoretical uncertainty in signal	8.1	8.6
Jet and $\vec{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	4.2	4.1
Background sample size	3.7	3.4
Hadronic $\tau$ decays	2.0	2.1
Misidentified $\tau$	1.9	1.8
Luminosity	1.7	1.8
Theoretical uncertainty in Top processes	1.4	1.2
Theoretical uncertainty in Z+jets processes	1.1	1.1
Flavor tagging	0.5	0.5
Electrons and muons	0.4	0.3
Total systematic uncertainty	11.1	11.0
Data sample size	6.6	6.3
Total	12.8	12.5

- Total uncertainty  $\simeq$  13%;  $\simeq$  factor 2 improvement respect to previous publication
- Uncertainty dominated by systematic component
- Largest source of uncertainty from Theory,  $Jet/E_T^{miss}$  and MC sample statistics

## Production and STXS measurement





- STXS bins definition: maximize sensitivity, minimize theory dependence
- Bins defined to enrich events of certain production mode

#### A. De Maria

# Production mode measurement results

- Observation of the VBF  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$  with significance of 5.3 (6.2)  $\sigma$  observed (expected)
- Evidence of the ggH H $\rightarrow \tau \tau$  with significance of 3.9 (4.6)  $\sigma$  observed (expected)
- All the measurements in agreement with SM prediction

Production Mode	SM prediction	Result	Stat. unc.	Syst. unc. [pb]		
	[pb]	[pb]	[pb]	Th. sig.	Th. bkg.	Exp.
$t\overline{t}H$	$0.031 \pm 0.003$	$0.048\pm0.045$	$\pm 0.027$	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.027$	$\pm 0.018$
VH	$0.118 \pm \ 0.003$	$0.11  \pm 0.04 $	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.02$
ggF	$2.8 \pm 0.1$	$2.7  \pm 0.9 $	$\pm 0.4$	$\pm 0.6$	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.5$
VBF	$0.22 ~\pm~ 0.01$	$0.196 \pm 0.040$	$\pm 0.026$	$\pm 0.024$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.016$
$pp \rightarrow H$	$3.15 ~\pm~ 0.09$	$2.90 \pm 0.40$	$\pm 0.22$	$\pm 0.26$	$\pm 0.06$	$\pm 0.22$





# **STXS** measurement results





- Measurement performed in 9 STXS bins
- Largest sensitivity from ggH with high  $p_T^H$  and VBF bins



- The most recent measurements in the H  $\!\!\!\rightarrow \tau \tau$  channels have been presented
- Aim was to measure the inclusive, production mode and STXS bins cross-sections
- Inclusive cross-section measured with  $\simeq 13\%$  uncertainty and in agreement with SM prediction
  - uncertainty by systematic uncertainty from Theory,  ${\rm Jet}/{\rm E}_{T}^{\it miss}$  and MC sample statistics
- Observation (evidence) of the VBF (ggH) production mode with observed significance of 5.3 (3.9)  $\sigma$
- Cross-section measured also in 9 STXS bins with best results from ggH with high  $p_{T}^{H}$  and VBF bins

# Thanks For Your Attention

# Backup



STXS bin			SM prediction	Result	Stat. unc.	Syst. unc. [pb]			
Process	$m_{jj}~[{ m GeV}]$	$p_{\rm T}(H)~[{\rm GeV}]$	$N_{\rm jets}$	[pb]	[pb]	[pb]	Th. sig.	Th. bkg.	Exp.
H(t)	[0, 350] <sup>♠</sup>	[60, 120]	$\geq 1$	$0.39 ~\pm ~ 0.06$	$0.17 \pm 0.39$	$\pm 0.22$	$\pm 0.06$	$\pm 0.15$	$\pm 0.29$
ō ↑		[120, 200]	= 1	$0.047 \pm \ 0.011$	$0.018 \pm 0.030$	$\pm 0.018$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.019$
-)Z (	[0, 350]	[120, 200]	$\geq 2$	$0.059 \pm \ 0.020$	$0.036 \pm 0.039$	$\pm 0.027$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.025$
÷ 6.		[200, 300]	$\geq 0$	$0.030 \pm \ 0.009$	$0.031 \pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.009$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.006$
+ 9		$[300, \infty[$	$\geq 0$	$0.008 \pm \ 0.003$	$0.009 \pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.000$	$\pm 0.001$
58 F	$[350, \infty[$	[0, 200]	$\geq 2$	$0.055 \pm \ 0.013$	$0.14 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.11 \hspace{0.2cm}$	$\pm 0.05$	$\pm 0.06$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.07$
FWK	[60, 120]		$\geq 2$	$0.033 \pm 0.001$	$0.031 \pm 0.020$	$\pm 0.017$	$\pm 0.003$	$\pm 0.001$	$\pm 0.010$
LWK	$[350, \infty[$		$\geq 2$	$0.090 \pm \ 0.002$	$0.071 \pm 0.017$	$\pm 0.014$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.006$
$t\overline{t}H$				$0.031 \pm 0.003$	$0.047\pm0.046$	$\pm 0.032$	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.027$	$\pm 0.018$