

Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay at ATLAS

Kunlin Ran¹ ¹**HEP**

CLHCP 2021 Nanjing, Nov. 25-28



中国科学院高能物理研究所 Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences



Introduction

- The SM is one of the most successful theories in particle physics
- It introduces the electroweak spontaneous symmetry breaking trough the Higgs mechanism, predicts the Higgs boson, gives masses of element particles



Higgs production/decay

Higgs production modes in pp collisions







Previous coupling combination

- precisely probed, providing stringent tests of the SM validity
- Run2 coupling combination at ATLAS with dataset up to 139 fb⁻¹, <u>ATLAS-CONF-2020-027</u>
 - Global $\mu = 1.06 \pm 0.07$



- Consistent with the SM prediction
- precisely [ATLAS-CONF-2021-053]

Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay at ATLAS, Kunlin Ran, Nov. 25-28, 2021

Following the discovery of the Higgs by the ATLAS and CMS, its coupling properties to other SM particles can be



The measurements are extended with more channels updated to the full Run2 data, to probe Higgs properties more





Combined production modes/decays





Global signal strength

• Global signal strength μ : common scaling of the expected Higgs boson yield, showing the overall sensitivity



Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay at ATLAS, Kunlin Ran, Nov. 25-28, 2021

 $\mu = \frac{(\sigma \times B)_H}{(\sigma \times B)_H^{SM}}$

10% improvement in accuracy comparing to <u>ATLAS-</u> CONF-2020-027, 44% improvement comparing to Run1

• Consistent with the SM: $p_{SM} = 35\%$

The precision is dominantly constrained by the systematical uncertainties



Production cross sections





Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay at ATLAS, Kunlin Ran, Nov. 25-28, 2021

Due to various powerful analyses contributing to different production modes and optimized analysis strategies:

- Precision improve by
 2% 27%
- Correlations decrease
 by ~3%



Production cross sections X BR

Prob Higgs property in each production and d



ecay: $(\sigma \times B)_{if}$			
Image: First Part of the second stat. Total Image: Syst. Syst. Image: Syst. SM Image: Total Stat. SM 1.02 +0.11 (+0.08 / -0.08 / -0.11 (+0.08 / -0.08 / -0.08 / -0.01 / -0.08 / -	Syst. + 0.07 - 0.07)	 First full Run2 results containing all major Higgs decays 	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ 0.04 - 0.03) + 0.12 - 0.10) + 0.23 - 0.20) + 0.49 - 0.38) + 0.17 - 0.14) + 0.11 - 0.06) + 0.11)	Cross contamination in the ttH (ML) ATLAS Preliminary $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 36.1 - 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $m_H = 125.09 \text{ GeV}, y_{,1} < 2.5$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ 0.11 - 0.10) + 0.15 - 0.12) + 0.21 - 0.15) + 0.20 - 0.23) + 0.10 - 0.08) + 0.24 - 0.16) + 0.23 - 0.29) + 0.20 - 0.18) + 0.20 - 0.18) + 0.20 - 0.18) + 0.17 - 0.14) + 0.08 - 0.06) + 0.48 - 0.43) + 0.37 - 0.16) + 0.37 - 0.16) + 0.37 - 0.16) + 0.28 - 0.27) Rev	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0 -0.2 -0.4 -0.6 -0.8 -0.8
$\sigma \times B$ normalised to	SM	νε ττ bb μμ	



u	μ	1
		_

Simplified template cross section

- Higgs decay process, aim to
 - Have good sensitivity
 - Avoid large theory uncertainties
 - Approximately match experimental selections, to minimize model-dependent extrapolations
- Merged Stage 1.2
 - Show the finest granularity that provides adequate sensitivity with the current combination



Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay at ATLAS, Kunlin Ran, Nov. 25-28, 2021

• STXS is defined trough a partition of the phase space of the Higgs production process, independently of the







STXS measurements



Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay at ATLAS, Kunlin Ran, Nov. 25-28, 2021

Increased datasets and optimized analysis strategies result in estimating the STXS in the finest kinematic regions so far

Newly updated analyses contribute sensitivities in finer splittings comparing to <u>ATLAS-CONF-2020-027</u>





10

к framework

To measure Higgs coupling strengths directly, and to test deviations from SM



• κ framework Coupling modifiers to productions and decays $\sigma_i \times B_f = \frac{\sigma_i(\kappa) \times \Gamma_f(\kappa)}{\Gamma_H}, \ \kappa_i^2 = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^{SM}}, \ \kappa_f^2 = \frac{\Gamma_f}{\Gamma_f^{SM}}$ $\frac{\Gamma_{H}}{\Gamma_{H}^{SM}} = \kappa_{H}^{2}(\kappa, \boldsymbol{B}_{i}, \boldsymbol{B}_{u}) = \frac{\sum_{j} B_{f}^{SM} \kappa_{j}^{2}}{1 - \boldsymbol{B}_{i} - \boldsymbol{B}_{u}}$ \bullet B_i : Invisible decays • B_{μ} : Non-sensitive decay signatures for the ATLAS

Production	Loopa	Main	Effective	Decelued modifier	
cross section	Loops	interference	modifier	Resolved modifier	
$\sigma(m ggF)$	\checkmark	t–b	κ_g^2	$1.040\kappa_t^2 + 0.002\kappa_b^2 - 0.038\kappa_t\kappa_b - 0.005\kappa_t\kappa_c$	
$\sigma({ m VBF})$	-	-		$0.733 \kappa_W^2 + 0.267 \kappa_Z^2$	
$\sigma(qq/qg \to ZH)$	-	-	-	κ_Z^2	
$\sigma(gg\to ZH)$	\checkmark	t–Z	$\kappa_{(ggZH)}$	$2.456 \kappa_Z^2 + 0.456 \kappa_t^2 - 1.903 \kappa_Z \kappa_t - 0.011 \kappa_Z \kappa_b + 0.003 \kappa_t \kappa_b$	
$\sigma(WH)$	-	-	-	κ_W^2	
$\sigma(H)$	-	-	-	κ_t^2	
$\sigma(tHW)$	-	t–W	-	$2.909 \kappa_t^2 + 2.310 \kappa_W^2 - 4.220 \kappa_t \kappa_W$	
$\sigma(tHq)$	-	t–W	-	$2.633 \kappa_t^2 + 3.578 \kappa_W^2 - 5.211 \kappa_t \kappa_W$	
$\sigma(H)$		-	-	κ_b^2	
Partial decay wid	Partial decay width				
Γ^{bb}	-	-	-	κ_b^2	
Γ^{WW}	-	-	-	κ_W^2	
Γ^{gg}	\checkmark	$t\!-\!b$	κ_g^2	$1.111 \kappa_t^2 + 0.012 \kappa_b^2 - 0.123 \kappa_t \kappa_b$	
$\Gamma^{ au au}$	-	-	-	$\kappa_{ au}^2$	
Γ^{ZZ}	-	-	-	κ_Z^2	
Γ^{cc}	-	-	-	$\kappa_c^2 \; (= \kappa_t^2)$	
				$1.589 \kappa_W^2 + 0.072 \kappa_t^2 - 0.674 \kappa_W \kappa_t$	
$\Gamma^{\gamma\gamma}$	\checkmark	t–W	κ_γ^2	$+0.009 \kappa_W \kappa_\tau + 0.008 \kappa_W \kappa_b$	
				$-0.002\kappa_t\kappa_b-0.002\kappa_t\kappa_\tau$	
$\Gamma^{Z\gamma}$	\checkmark	t–W	$\kappa^2_{(Z\gamma)}$	$1.118\kappa_W^2 - 0.125\kappa_W\kappa_t + 0.004\kappa_t^2 + 0.003\kappa_W\kappa_b$	
Γ^{ss}	-	-	-	$\kappa_s^2 \ (=\kappa_b^2)$	
$\Gamma^{\mu\mu}$	-	-	-	κ_{μ}^{2}	
Total width $(B_{i.} =$	$= B_{\rm u.} = 0$))			
				$0.581 \kappa_b^2 + 0.215 \kappa_W^2 + 0.082 \kappa_g^2$	
Γ			c^2	$+0.063\kappa_{\tau}^2+0.026\kappa_{Z}^2+0.029\kappa_{c}^2$	
L H	×	-	κ_H	$+0.0023 \kappa_{\gamma}^2 + 0.0015 \kappa_{(Z\gamma)}^2$	
				$+0.0004 \kappa_s^2 + 0.00022 \kappa_\mu^2$	

k model with direct couplings

- Direct couplings: $\kappa_W, \kappa_Z, \kappa_t (\kappa_c), \kappa_b (\kappa_s), \kappa_\tau, \kappa_\mu$
- Assumption
 - All $\kappa \geq 0$
 - Only SM particle contribute to Higgs vertices

$$B_i = B_u = 0$$

Newly updated $H \rightarrow WW$, (VBF, VH, ttH) bb, $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ largely improve the precisions of κ_W , $\kappa_t, \kappa_b, \kappa_\tau$ by 9%~44% comparing to <u>ATLAS-</u> CONF-2020-027





Generic model with/without BSM contributions

 $\kappa_{W'} \kappa_{Z'} \kappa_t (\kappa_c), \kappa_b (\kappa_s), \kappa_\tau, \kappa_{u'} \kappa_{\gamma'} \kappa_{g'} \kappa_{Z\gamma} (B_i, B_u)$

- Figure $\kappa_{\gamma}: H \to \gamma\gamma; \kappa_g: ggF, H \to gg; \kappa_{Z\gamma}: H \to Z\gamma$ (new)
- All $\kappa \ge 0$ except κ_t



$B_{\rm u.} = 0$	(b) $B_{i.}$ free, $B_{u.} \ge 0$, κ_{V}	$W,Z \leq 1$	$q \longrightarrow q' \qquad g \modH$
6	$0.96 \begin{array}{c} + 0.04 \\ - 0.05 \end{array}$		$W \ge H$ t
6	$1.00 \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{0.00}{_{-} 0.03}$		\overline{b} \overline{t} g \overline{t} g \overline{t} Z
1	0.81 ± 0.08		tH ggZH
0	0.90 ± 0.10		
5	$1.03 \begin{array}{c} + \ 0.23 \\ - \ 0.29 \end{array}$		Significance for
07	0.88 ± 0.06		oveluding the pogetive
6	1.00 ± 0.05	New	excluding the negative
l 7	$1.33 \begin{array}{c} + 0.29 \\ - 0.35 \end{array}$		κ_t : 4.3 σ , mostly due to
7 5	$0.89 \begin{array}{c} + \ 0.07 \\ - \ 0.06 \end{array}$		the tH (κ_t , κ_W) and ggZH
	< 0.09 at 95% CL		
	< 0.16 at 95% CL		(κ_t, κ_Z) contributions



Generic ratio model

- Most model-independent
 - Without assumptions about κ_H ; Common systematics canceled out



 λ_{tg} : sensitive to new colored particles through ggF loop unlike in ttH/tH events

- λ_{WZ} : deviation of $\kappa_W = \kappa_{Z'}$, which is required within tight bounds by SU(2) custodial symmetry
- ► $\lambda_{\gamma Z'}, \lambda_{Z\gamma Z'}$: sensitive to new charged particles contributing to $H \to \gamma \gamma, H \to Z \gamma$ loops unlike in $H \to Z Z$
- $\lambda_{\mu\tau}$: deviation of Higgs Yukawa couplings to the second/third generation fermions



Parameter	Definition in terms of κ modifiers	Result
KgZ	$\kappa_g \kappa_Z / \kappa_H$	0.98 ± 0.05
λ_{tg}	κ_t/κ_g	1.00 ± 0.11
λ_{Zg}	κ_Z/κ_g	1.07 ± 0.09
λ_{WZ}	κ_W/κ_Z	1.07 ± 0.06
$\lambda_{\gamma Z}$	κ_{γ}/κ_Z	1.05 ± 0.06
$\lambda_{Z\gamma Z}$	$\kappa_{Z\gamma}/\kappa_Z$	$1.39 \stackrel{+ 0.31}{- 0.37}$
$\lambda_{ au Z}$	κ_{τ}/κ_{Z}	0.93 ± 0.07
λ_{bZ}	κ_b/κ_Z	$0.89 \ ^{+}_{-} \ ^{0.10}_{0.09}$
$\lambda_{\mu au}$	$\kappa_{\mu}/\kappa_{\tau}$	$1.16 \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{0.28}{_{-} 0.33}$



Summary

- - First full Run2 results containing all major Higgs decays
- Global signal strength $\mu = 1.06 \pm 0.06$
 - ▶ 10% improvement in accuracy comparing to <u>ATLAS-CONF-2020-027</u>, 44% improvement comparing to <u>Run1</u>
- Higgs production cross sections and decay BR are measured
 - Precision largely improved due to various powerful analyses contributing to different modes and optimized analysis strategies
- Finest STXS stage 1.2 measurements so far
- Higgs couplings are directly measured within κ frameworks
- No derivations from the SM predictions are observed
- ► BSM interpretation: <u>Changqiao</u>
- Precise measurements of Higgs boson properties at HL-LHC are helpful to address open questions about the universe
 - Possible to observe Higgs rare decays (expected precision of $BR(H \rightarrow \mu\mu)$: 14%, $BR(H \rightarrow Z\gamma)$: 24% <u>ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-054</u>)

Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay at ATLAS, Kunlin Ran, Nov. 25-28, 2021

▶ Higgs coupling properties are measured by combining Run2 data up to 139 fb⁻¹ to reach the highest precision [ATLAS-CONF-2021-053]

