第七届中国LHC物理研讨会The 7th China LHC Physics Workshop (CLHCP2021)



### Lattice Parton Collaboration

CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China School of Fundamental Physics and Mathematical Sciences, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou 310024, China International Centre for Theoretical Physics Asia-Pacific, Beijing/Hangzhou, China School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China



Lattice QCD and PDF

### Yi-Bo Yang 2021/11/27

Asi	a-l	'ac	ific
$\overline{\Psi}$	太	地	X

## Strong interaction frontier: from 1D to 3D nucleon structure



- SM has been very strong interaction inputs are still imprecise.
- The experimental distribution function keep improving;
- While the transverse momentum dependent (TMD) PDF is still less known.



Figure from A. Bacchetta, F. Conti, M. Radici, PRD78 (2008) 074010, arXiv:0807.0323





## Reconstruct PDF from the lattice QCD observables

### • Large momentum effective theory and quasi-PDF;

X. Ji, PRL 110 (2013) 262002, arXiv: 1305.1539 X. Ji, et.al., RMP 93 (2021) 035005, arXiv: 2004.03543

Lattice cross section;

Y.Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu, PRD 98 (2018) 074021, arXiv:1404.6860

• Hadronic tensor;

J. Liang, et.al., ¿QCD, PRD 101 (2020) 114503, arXiv:1906.05312

Operator product expansion...

> QCDSF, PRL118 (2017) 242001, arXiv:1703.01153 W. Detmold, et.al, arXiv:1909.15241





## Outline





• Transverse Momentum dependent PDF



# Valence quark PDF

$$f(x,\mu) \equiv \int dy K(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu_R}{yP_z},\frac{\mu}{yP_z}) \int dz e^{-iyzP_z} \langle P \,|\, \bar{s}(z) \Gamma U(z,0) s(0) \,|\, P \rangle^R + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{P_z^2}) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

- Summary plot for the iso-vector part of the valence quark unpolarized/polarized PDF;
- The values with  $|P_{max}| = x \cdot x \text{ GeV}$ are the Lattice QCD predictions using the LaMET approach.
- It is still very challenging to access the anti-quark distribution precisely and accurately.





121 (2021) 103908, arXiv:2006.08636





# Gluon PDF



Z. Fan, **YBY**, et.al, PRL121, 242001 (2018), arXiv:1808.02077

- The Fourier transform of the gluon PDF can be extracted from LQCD with proper matching in the large momentum (small z) limit;
- First LQCD attempt was made at 2018;
- With kinds of the improvements, it would be possible to access the entire gluon PDF in the near future.



Z. Fan, R. Zhang, H.W. Lin, IJMPA 36(2021)2150080, arXiv:2007.16113 Tanjib Khan, et.al, HadStruc, arXiv: 2107.08960

$$M_{\mu\alpha,\lambda\beta}(z,P_z) \equiv \langle P \mid G_{\mu\alpha}(z)U^{adj}(z,0)G_{\lambda\beta}($$







# Strange quark PDF

$$\langle x \rangle_s = \int_0^1 x s(x) dx, \ \langle x \rangle_g = \int_0^1 x g(x) dx.$$



- The first attempt on the distribution is made last year.
- Strange and anti-strange (vanishing real part);
- The second-moment from the imaginary part is consistent with the direct moment calculation;
- Information beyond second moment is still absent.

Editor's suggestion and Viewpoint

$$h^{R}(z, P_{z}) \equiv \langle P | \bar{s}(z)\gamma_{t}U(z, 0)s(0) | P \rangle \propto \int_{-1}^{1} e^{-ix\nu}s(x)dx + e^{-i$$

quark seem to be symmetric



H. Lin, arXiv:2110.06779



J. Chen, et,al, NPB 952 (2020) 114940, 1904.12376

- Generalized parton distribution (GPD) describes the parton transfer;
- First LQCD investigation is Skewness pion valence quark GPD at  $-t=\{0, 0.3,$ 0.8 GeV<sup>2</sup>;
- ETMC managed to with non-zero Skewness with  $-t\sim 0.7 \text{ GeV}^2$ .



# Twist-3 PDF

- First twist-3 nucleon PDF result from ETMC, as a parallel talk at Lattice2021;
- The results are consistent with the Wandzura-Wilczek approximation using the twist-2 PDF in most of the x-region.



C. Alexandrou, et,al, ETMC, 2111.03226

## Renormalization

 $h^r_{\pi,\gamma^t}(z)$  , HYP1 0V/HISQ, 0.121fm 0V/HISQ, 0.088fm 0V/HISQ, 0.057fm 0V/HISQ, 0.043fm 1.1 0V/HISQ, 0.032fm 0.9 0.8 0.4 1.0 0.2 0.6 0.8 0.0 1.2 CL/HISQ, 0.121fm CL/HISQ, 0.088fm 1.4 CL/HISQ, 0.057fm CL/HISQ, 0.043fm CL/HISQ, 0.032fm 1.2 0.8 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.6 1.0 1.2 0.0

z(fm)

• Despite the success, the current renormalization scheme fails at small lattice spacing, especially the Wilson-like fermion used in the above calculations.

> K. Zhang, et,al, ¿QCD, PRD 104 (2021) 074501, arXiv: 2012.05448

result properly.

A new renormalization strategy has been proposed to understand the linear divergence and renormalize the lattice

Y. Huo, et,al, LPC, NPE (2021) 115443, arXiv: 2103.02965



## Outline





### Transverse Momentum dependent PDF



### Lattice Parton Collaboration • Understanding partonic structure of hadrons from lattice QCD

- Like first principle predictions on PDF, Form  $\bullet$ factor, **TMD-PDF**.....
  - Permanent Members:
  - Xiangdong Ji (SJTU)
- Peng Sun (NJNU)
- Andreas Schaefer (U. Reg.)
- Wei Wang (SJTU)
- Yi-Bo Yang (ITP/CAS, spokesperson)
- Jian-hui Zhang (BNU)
  - Post-doc and students
  - Min-Huan Chu (SJTU)
  - Yuan-Yuan Li (NJNU)
  - Yu-Jie Pan (NJNU)
  - Maximilian Schlemmer (U. Reg.)
  - Hai-Tao Shu (U. Reg.)

- New Members last year:
- Long-Cheng Gui (HNNU)
- Jian liang (SCNU)
- Liuming Liu (IMP/CAS)
- Xiao-Nu Xiong (CSU)
- Jun Hua (SCNU)
- Qi-An Zhang (BHU)
- Yu-Shan Su (UMA)
- Lisa Walter (U. Reg.)
- Fei Yao (BNU)

. . . . . .

Kuan Zhang (ITP/CAS)





J. Hua. et.al., LPC, PRL127(2021)062002



### Linear divergence straight link case



quasi-PDF operator

$$\langle \pi \,|\, O_{\gamma_t}(z) \,|\, \pi \rangle^{\text{RI/MOM}} \equiv \frac{\langle \pi \,|\, O_{\gamma_t}(z) \,|\, \pi \rangle}{\langle q \,|\, O_{\gamma_t}(z) \,|\, q \rangle} = \gamma_t (1 + q)^{1/2}$$

 $O_{\gamma_{*}}^{\text{TMD}}(z,b) = \bar{q}(0)\gamma_{t}U_{z}(0,\infty_{z})U_{b}(\infty_{z},\infty_{z}+b)U_{-z}(\infty_{z}+b,z)q(z)$ 





### How to renormalize the staple link operator properly?

$$\langle \pi | O_{\gamma_t}^{\text{TMD}}(z, b) | \pi \rangle^{\text{RI/MOM}} = ?$$

## Wilson loop renormalization



b = 0.36 fm, z = 0.36 fm

 $\langle \pi | O_{\gamma_t}(z,b) | \pi \rangle^{\mathrm{I}}$ 

- should be.



$$^{\text{Loop}} \equiv \frac{\langle \pi | O_{\gamma_t}(z, b) | \pi \rangle}{\sqrt{U_{\text{loop}}(2\infty_z + z, b)}}$$

much better continuum convergence, comparing to the RI/MOM case;

• The residual lattice spacing dependence would be the log(z/a)and log(b/a) terms, as it



K. Zhang. et.al., LPC, In preparation



# From quasi-TMD to TMD





### • Such a problem can be fixed by introducing an additional "soft function" in the flat Wilson loop case.



### Intrinsic soft function first lattice QCD attempt



- The form factor looks like a back elastic scattering of pion, with two currents separated by a spacial distance  $b_{\perp}$ .
- Our lattice calculation shows that it is doable and converges with reasonable momentum.



• More precisely, what we need is the rapidity-independent part of pion form factor  $F(b, P_z)$ :  $S_{I}(b) = \frac{F(b, P_{z})}{\int_{0}^{1} dx dx' H(x, x', P - z)\phi^{\dagger}(x', b, -P_{z})\phi(x, b, P_{z})} = \frac{F(b, P_{z})}{|\phi(0, b, -P_{z})|^{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{P_{z}^{2}}),$ 

• where  $\phi(x, b, P_z)$  is the TMD wave function which cancels the rapidity (momentum  $P_{7}$ ) dependence.







### Intrinsic soft function more sys



- The higher-twist effects can be very sensitive to the gamma matrices used in the currents, and proper combinations are helpful to suppress them.
- The combined results is independent to the pion mass within the statistical uncertainty.





### Soft function rapidity-dependent part



Q.-A. Zhang. et.al., LPC, PRL125(2020)192001

• Both the pion form factor  $F(b, P_z)$  and quasi-TMD wave function  $\Phi(z, b, P_z) \equiv \int dx e^{ixzP_z} \phi(x, b, P_z) = \langle 0 | O_{\gamma_t \gamma_5}(z, b) | \pi(P_z) \rangle^R$  are rapidity (momentum  $P_{7}$ ) dependent.

 Such a dependence should be universal and described by the Collins-Soper kernel K(b):

$$\phi(x, b, P_z) = e^{\log \frac{P_z^2}{(P_z)^2} K(b)} \phi(x, b, P_z').$$

• K(b) can also extracted from the (quasi-)TMD-PDF.

P. Shanahan. et.al., PRD102(2020)014511

M. Schlemmer. et.al., JHEP(2021)004

P. Shanahan. et.al., arXiv:2107.11930



### Collins-Soper kernel from (quasi-)TMD wave function (WF)







• With the tree level approximation for the perturbative correction, we can approximate the CS kernel by:  $K(b) = \frac{1}{\log(P_z/P_z)} \log \left| \frac{\Phi(0,b,P_z)}{\Phi(0,b,P_z)} \right|$  $+ \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s).$ 

• The result from TMD WF (green and red points) seems to have significant difference comparing to that from TMD PDF (blue and yellow points) at small b, while become consistent at large b.

• The TMD WF results has huge uncertainty at large b due to the systematic uncertainty from the imaginary part.



### Collins-Soper kernel from (quasi-)TMD PDF



P. Shanahan. et.al., arXiv:2107.11930

Calculate the quasi-TMD PDF in the coordinate space with several momentum  $P_{\tau}$ :

- Renormalize, Fourier transform, apply the 1-loop matching and extract the CS-kernel based on the result at medium *x* (SWZ 20/21);
- Taking ratios on the bare  $\Gamma$ (Regensburg/NMSU, the correlated systematic uncertainty  $\delta K$  from the resummed form).

matrix elements of  $O_{\Gamma}(z, b)$ at different  $P_{z}$ , with different normalization with the N<sup>3</sup>LO



1.1

1.0

0.9

0.7

0.6

 $P^{z} = 1.05 \text{GeV}, \gamma = 2.17$ 

 $P^{z} = 1.58 \text{GeV}, \gamma = 3.06$ 

 $P^{z} = 2.11 \text{GeV}, v = 3.98$ 

8.0 <del>@</del>

Regensburg/NMSU 21: M. Schlemmer. et.al., JHEP(2021)004





# Summary

- Using the large momentum effective theory, lattice QCD made many progresses on unpolarized/polarized light/strange/gluon PDF, GPD, twist-3 PDF and so on.
- New renormalization scheme is proposed to remove the linear divergence properly, and paves the way to reach the continuum limit correctly.
- The intrinsic soft function can be calculated on the lattice and it paves the way to the complete lattice calculation of TMD PDF; and the CS-kernel extracted from both TMD-WF and TMD-PDF are improving rapidly.





- p <sup>3N(1)</sup> hatiks p(4) hotios = p <sup>3N(1)</sup> p NPLU Lipo p <sup>3N(1)</sup> ANMIP	
C.6 D.7 9.3	
$\Delta_{2}^{-d}(x)$ , larnive $\Delta_{2}^{2-dWW}(x)$ . Influe $\Delta_{2}^{2-dWW}(x)$ . JAM 2020	]
$P_{0}=1.57~{\rm GeV}$	
12 03 03	