ATLAS-CONF-2021-014

Measurement of the ggF, H→WW* with full Run2 data at ATLAS

Dongshuo Du

University of Science and Technology of China



CLHCP2021, 2021/11/25



Outline

- Overview and introduction
- Signal region (SR) definition
- Background estimation
- Systematic uncertainties
- Simplified Template Cross Sections and fit results
- Summary

Overview and Introduction

The results with 36.1 fb⁻¹ dataset

Precision:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{ggF} &= 1.10^{+0.10}_{-0.09}(\text{stat.})^{+0.13}_{-0.11}(\text{theo syst.})^{+0.14}_{-0.13}(\text{exp syst.}) \\ &= 1.10^{+0.21}_{-0.20} \\ \mu_{VBF} &= 0.62^{+0.29}_{-0.27}(\text{stat.})^{+0.12}_{-0.13}(\text{theo syst.}) \pm 0.15(\text{exp syst.}) \\ &= 0.62^{+0.36}_{-0.35}. \end{split}$$

 $\sigma_{ggF} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*}$ = 11.4^{+1.2}_{-1.1}(stat.)^{+1.2}_{-1.1}(theo syst.)^{+1.4}_{-1.3}(exp syst.) pb = 11.4^{+2.2}_{-2.1} pb

 $\sigma_{\text{VBF}} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*}$ = 0.50^{+0.24}_{-0.22}(stat.) ± 0.10(theo syst.)^{+0.12}_{-0.13}(exp syst.) pb = 0.50^{+0.29}_{-0.28} pb.

Physics Letters B, Volume 789

| | Syst. uncert. dominant | Stat. uncert. dominant |
|---|--|---|
| Source | $\Delta \sigma_{ggF} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*}$ [%] | $\Delta \sigma_{\text{VBF}} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*}$ [%] |
| Data statistics CR statistics | 10 7 | 46 9 |
| MC statistics Theoretical uncertainties ggF signal VBF signal WW Top-quark Experimental uncertainties <i>b</i> -tagging Modelling of pile-up Jet Lepton | 6 10 5 <1 6 5 8 4 5 2 3 6 | 21 19 13 4 12 5 9 6 2 2 2 <1 |
| Misidentified leptons Luminosity TOTAL | 6 3 18 | 9 3 57 |



Overview and Introduction



.

.

Channel

 $N_{\text{iet}} = 0$

 $N_{\text{iet}} = 1$

Signal selection and background estimation

Signal Selection





Backgrounds Estimation



| Channel | qqWW | Тор | Z/γ^* | | VV other than qqWW | | W + jets |
|-------------------|------|-----|--------------|---|--------------------|---|----------|
| $N_{\rm jet} = 0$ | CR | CR | CR | | MC+VR | | Data |
| $N_{\rm jet} = 1$ | CR | CR | CR | | MC+VR | | Data |
| | | | | F | | _ | |



The m_T Distribution in the CRs



7

W+jets: Fake factor method

 Due to poor MC modeling, W+jets background estimated in a data driven way using fake factor method.



Three ingredients: W+jets control sample, fake factor and flavour correction.

$$N_{\rm id+id}^{W+\,\rm jets} = N_{\rm id+anti-id}^{W+\,\rm jets} \times FF \times CF = (N_{\rm id+anti-id} - N_{\rm id+anti-id}^{EW}) \times FF \times CF$$

where the fake factor and the flavour correction factors defined as

$$FF(\mathbf{p}_T, \eta) = \frac{N_{id}(\mathbf{p}_T, \eta)}{N_{anti-id}(\mathbf{p}_T, \eta)} CF = \left[\frac{FF(W + jets)}{FF(Z + jets)}\right]_{MC}$$

- Fake factor derived in Z+jets control sample with dedicated "3-lepton" selection in which 2 leptons are "Z-tagged" and an additional "fake candidate" lepton.
- CF corrects for the different flavour compositions in W+jet (CR) and Z+jets (FF).

| Electron | | Muon | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| identified | anti-identified | identified | anti-identified | |
| $p_{\rm T} > 1$ | 5 GeV | $p_{\rm T} > 15 {\rm GeV}$ | | |
| $ \eta < 2.47$, excludin | $g 1.37 < \eta < 1.52$ | $ \eta < 2.5$ | | |
| $ z_0 \sin \theta < 0.5 \text{ mm}$ | | $ z_0 \sin \theta < 0.5 \text{ mm}$ | | |
| $ d_0 /\sigma($ | $d_0) < 5$ | $ d_0 /\sigma(d_0) < 3$ | $ d_0 /\sigma(d_0) < 15$ | |
| Pass LHTight if | | | | |
| $p_{\rm T} < 25 { m ~GeV}$ | Dass I HI oosa | Pass Quality Tight | Pass Quality Madium | |
| Pass LHMedium if | rass Liiloose | rass Quanty right | r ass Quanty Medium | |
| $p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}$ | | | | |
| Pass FCTight isolation | | Pass FCTight isolation | | |
| Author = 1 | | | | |
| | Veto against identified | | Veto against identified | |
| | electron | | muon | |

Statistical analysis

Fit Setup

• Perform binned profile likelihood fit to extract signal strength μ_{ggF} :

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i \in \text{bins}} \text{Pois}(N|\mu s_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \gamma_i b_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})) \prod_{i \in \text{bins}} \text{Pois}(m_i|\gamma_i \tau_i) \prod_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{Gaus}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \middle| \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)$$

SR bins + CRs likelihood Constraint on MC stat. unc.

- m_T used as discriminant variable in the final fit.
 - ➤ [80-130] GeV considered, outside as over/under-flow.
- 8 signal regions:

| N_j | $m_{\ell\ell}$ | $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{sublead}}$ |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| $N_{\rm jet} = 0$ | [10-30, 30-55] | [15-20, 20-∞] |
| $N_{\rm jet} = 1$ | [10-30, 30-55] | [15-20, 20-∞] |

- Optimize the sensitivity by re-mapping the m_T distribution
 - [<90, 90–100, 100–110, 110–120, 120–130, >130] GeV for both 0 and 1jet

Systematics uncertainties

- Theory uncertainties:
 - > ggF signal: ggF jet bin migration, α s, shower
 - VBF: scale, shower, pdf, matching
 - WW: αs, pdf, scale, QSF,CSSKIN , ckkw (truth level),ggWW scale
 - Top: Interference (Wt only), matching, shower, scale, ISR, FSR, pdf
 - ZTT: generator, pdf, scale, αs
- Experimental uncertainties follow the ATLAS recommendation:
 - > Trigger
 - Pileup reweighting
 - > MET
 - Electron and muon related

- > Jet
- Flavour tagging
- Luminosity

➤The dominant experimental uncertainties originate from b-jet identification, the pile-up modelling, the jet energy resolution, and the Mis-Id background estimate.

Combined fit results (ggF+VBF)

ATLAS-CONF-2021-014

Perform ggF + VBF combined fit.

• Sensitivity:

 $\mu_{ggF} = 1.20 \stackrel{+0.16}{_{-0.15}} \longrightarrow \text{To date, It's the most precise result} \\ = 1.20 \pm 0.05 \text{ (stat.)} \stackrel{+0.09}{_{-0.08}} \text{ (exp syst.)} \stackrel{+0.10}{_{-0.08}} \text{ (sig theo.)} \stackrel{+0.12}{_{-0.11}} \text{ (bkg theo.)} \\ \mu_{VBF} = 0.99 \stackrel{+0.24}{_{-0.20}}$

= $0.99 \stackrel{+0.13}{_{-0.12}}$ (stat.) $\stackrel{+0.07}{_{-0.06}}$ (exp syst.) $\stackrel{+0.17}{_{-0.12}}$ (sig theo.) $\stackrel{+0.10}{_{-0.08}}$ (bkg theo.).

• Significance is 6.6 for VBF



| Source | $\frac{\Delta \sigma_{\rm ggF} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*}}{\sigma_{\rm ggF} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*}} \left[\%\right]$ | $\frac{\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{VBF}} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{VBF}} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{H \to WW^*}} \ \big[\%\big]$ |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Data statistical uncertainties | 5 | 13 |
| Total systematic uncertainties | 11 | 18 |
| MC statistical uncertainties | 4 | 3.2 |
| Experimental uncertainties | 6 | 7 |
| Flavour Tagging | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| Jet energy scale | 1.4 | 3.3 |
| Jet energy resolution | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| $E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$ | 1.9 | 5 |
| Muons | 2.1 | 0.7 |
| Electrons | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Fake factors | 2.4 | 1.0 |
| Pile-up | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| Luminosity | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| Theoretical uncertainties | 8 | 16 |
| ggF | 5 | 4 |
| VBF | 0.7 | 13 |
| Тор | 4 | 5 |
| Ζττ | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| WW | 4 | 5 |
| Other VV | 3 | 1.2 |
| Background normalisations | 5 | 5 |
| WW | 3.1 | 0.5 |
| Тор | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| Ζττ | 3.1 | 4 |
| TOTAL | 12 | 22 |

Simplified Template Cross Sections



- This analysis based on the reduced stage 1.2 category to ensure sensitivity for all measurements.
- CRs split similar to SRs where statistics allow

STXS Results

ATLAS-CONF-2021-014



- > Extracted by profile likelihood fit: 17 SRs (m_T /DNN) + 27 CRs
- ggH uncertainties limited by both stat. + syst. uncertainty
- qqH uncertainties limited by statistical uncertainty at high m_{ii} / p_T^H
- Compatible with the SM predictions with a p-value of 52%

Summary

- Presented the full Run2 $H \rightarrow WW^*$ analysis.
- ggF+VBF combined fit results:

 $\mu_{ggF} = 1.20 \stackrel{+0.16}{_{-0.15}} \qquad \text{To date, It's the most precise result} \\ = 1.20 \pm 0.05 \text{ (stat.)} \stackrel{+0.09}{_{-0.08}} \text{ (exp syst.)} \stackrel{+0.10}{_{-0.08}} \text{ (sig theo.)} \stackrel{+0.12}{_{-0.11}} \text{ (bkg theo.)} \\ \mu_{VBF} = 0.99 \stackrel{+0.24}{_{-0.20}} \\ = 0.99 \stackrel{+0.13}{_{-0.12}} \text{ (stat.)} \stackrel{+0.07}{_{-0.06}} \text{ (exp syst.)} \stackrel{+0.17}{_{-0.12}} \text{ (sig theo.)} \stackrel{+0.10}{_{-0.08}} \text{ (bkg theo.)}.$



The STXS fit results are compatible with the SM predictions with a p-value of 52%





Back-up

Event preselection

- Preselection:
 - Exactly two opposite-charge, different-flavour (e,µ) leptons.
 - $p_T^{Lead} > 22GeV, p_T^{Sublead} > 15GeV$
 - $m_{ll} > 10 GeV$
 - $p_T^{miss} > 20 GeV$
- The definition of Signal regions and control regions are based on the preselection

Triggers used in this analysis:

An OR combination of unprescaled single lepton and dilepton triggers.

| Lepton | Level-1 Trigger | High Level Trigger | | |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 2015 | | | | |
| e | L1_EM20VH | HLT_e24_lhmedium_L1EM20VH | | |
| | L1_EM22VHI | HLT_e60_lhmedium | | |
| | L1_EM22VHI | HLT_e120_lhloose | | |
| | L1_MU15 | HLT_mu20_iloose_L1MU15 | | |
| μ | L1_MU20 | HLT_mu50 | | |
| eμ | L1_EM15VH_MU10 HLT_e17_lhloose_mu1 | | | |
| 2016–2018 | | | | |
| | L1_EM22VHI | HLT_e26_lhtight_nod0_ivarloose | | |
| е | L1_EM22VHI | HLT_e60_lhmedium_nod0 | | |
| | L1_EM22VHI | HLT_e140_lhloose_nod0 | | |
| μ | L1_MU20, L1_MU21 | HLT_mu26_ivarmedium | | |
| | L1_MU20, L1_MU21 | HLT_mu50 | | |
| eμ | L1_EM15VH_MU10 | HLT_e17_lhloose_nod0_mu14 | | |

Using the direction and magnitude of the measured missing transverse momentum and projecting it along the directions defined by the two reconstructed charged leptons, the mass of the *tau*-lepton pair, m_{tautau} , can be reconstructed using the so-called collinear approximation

MC samples

| | L | | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Process | Matrix element | PDF set | UEPS model | Prediction order |
| | (alternative) | | (alternative model) | for total cross section |
| ggF H | Powheg-Box v2 [33–37] | DE4LHC15 NNLO [02] | DVTULA 9 [29] | $N^{3}LOOCD + NLOEW [11, 43, 52]$ |
| | NNLOPS [36, 40, 53] | PDF4LHC15 NNLO [92] | | N = LO QCD + NLO E W [11, 43-32] |
| | (MG5_AMC@NLO) [59, 89] | | (Herwig 7) [58] | |
| VBF H | Powheg-Box v2 [33–35, 53] | PDF4LHC15 NLO | Ρυτηία 8 | NNLO QCD + NLO EW [55, 93, 94] |
| | (MG5_AMC@NLO) | | (Herwig 7) | |
| VH excl. $gg \rightarrow ZH$ | Powheg-Box v2 | PDF4LHC15 NLO | Ρυτηία 8 | NNLO QCD + NLO EW [62–66] |
| $gg \rightarrow ZH$ | Powheg-Box v2 | PDF4LHC15 NLO | Ρυτηία 8 | NNLL [95, 96] |
| $qq \rightarrow WW$ | Sherpa 2.2.2 [75] | NNPDF3.0NNLO [97] | Sherpa 2.2.2 [76-81] | NLO [82, 83, 98] |
| $qq \rightarrow WWqq$ | Sherpa 2.1.1 [99] | CT10 [100] | Sherpa 2.2.1 | LO |
| $gg \rightarrow WW$ | Sherpa 2.2.2 | NNPDF3.0NNLO | Sherpa 2.2.2 | NLO [101] |
| $WZ/V\gamma^*/ZZ ightarrow \ell\ell\ell\ell/\ell\ell\ell u$ | Sherpa 2.2.2 | NNPDF3.0NNLO | Sherpa 2.2.2 | NLO [99] |
| Other $WZ/V\gamma^*/ZZ$ | Powheg-Box v2 | CT10 | Ρυτηία 8 | NLO [99] |
| $V\gamma$ | Sherpa 2.2.8 [75] | NNPDF3.0NNLO | Sherpa 2.2.8 | NLO [99] |
| tī | Powheg-Box v2 | NNPDF3.0NLO | Ρυτηία 8 | NNLO+NNLL [102-108] |
| | (MG5_AMC@NLO) | | (Herwig 7) | |
| Wt | Powheg-Box v2 | NNPDF3.0NLO | Ρυτηία 8 | NNLO [109, 110] |
| | (MG5_AMC@NLO) | | (Herwig 7) | |
| Z/γ^* | Sherpa 2.2.1 | NNPDF3.0NNLO | Sherpa 2.2.1 | NNLO [84] |
| | (MG5_AMC@NLO) | | | |

The m_T distribution in 0/1 jet SRs after remapping



Correlation plot



The signal composition



Expected Composition

The variables distribution before applying corresponding cuts



The variables distribution before applying corresponding cuts

