



# Search for the doubly heavy baryons $\Omega_{bc}^0$ and $\Xi_{bc}^0$ decaying to $\Lambda_c^+\pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^+\pi^-$

## Shiyang Li

## **CLHCP 2021**

Central China Normal University 25<sup>th</sup> Nov.2021

# Motivation

 $\square$   $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  (ccu): Observed by the LHCb experiment in 2017 using  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ 



To date, no baryons containing one b and one c quark, or two b quarks, have been observed experimentally. An observation would enrich our knowledge of baryon spectroscopy and improve our understanding of the quark structure inside baryons.

# LHCb detector

#### □ Advantages

Good particle identification (Muon station & RICHes)

Excellent vertex resolution

Dedicated to precision study of b/c-hadrons

[JINST 3 (2008) S08005] [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A30(2015) 1530022]



# Previous result from LHCb

#### **D** First search for $\Xi_{bc}^0 \rightarrow D^0 p K^-$ in run2 (2016+2017+2018) data





- **D** Control channel:  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^0 p K^-$  (top-middle)
- □ No  $\Xi_{bc}^{0} \rightarrow D^{0}pK^{-}$  signal (top-right)
- □ Using CLs method to set upper limits on:

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\sigma(\Xi_{bc}^{0})\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{bc}^{0} \to D^{0}pK^{-})}{\sigma(\Lambda_{b}^{0})\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_{b}^{0} \to D^{0}pK^{-})}$$

Upper limit range:  $1.7 \times 10^{-2} - 3.0 \times 10^{-1}$  @95% C.L.

fiducial region: 2.0<y<4.5 &  $5 < p_T < 25 \text{GeV/c}$ 



#### JHEP 11 (2020) 095

## **\square** Theory predicts for $\Xi_{bc}^0$ and $\Omega_{bc}^0$

	Mass	Lifetime	Production cross- section	Branching fractions
$\Xi_{ m bc}^0$	[6700,7200]MeV/c <sup>2</sup>	0.09 – 0.28ps	19 – 39nb	~10 <sup>-7</sup>
$\Omega_{ m bc}^0$	about 100 MeV heavier than $\Xi_{bc}^0$	0.22ps	~40nb	~10 <sup>-7</sup>

#### Examples Feynman diagram



#### □ Analysis strategy (Blinded analysis)

- Event selection of  $\Xi_{bc}^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-, \Xi_{bc}^0 \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$  candidates in run2 (2016+2017+2018) data
- Multivariate selector to suppress combinatorial background
   Simulation as signal, data upper sideband as background
- Open signal window
  - $\checkmark$  > 3 $\sigma$  signal: mass measurement
  - ✓ Otherwise: set upper limit on the BR

## **D**Event selection

- Pre-selection
  - Tracks:
    - good quality, inconsistent with originating from any PV in the event
  - Vertex:
    - The tracks must also form a common vertex of good fit quality
  - $\Lambda_c^+(\Xi_c^+)$  mass windows:
    - The Λ<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub>(Ξ<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub>) candidate is required to have an invariant mass in the range 2271– 2301 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> (2450 –2488 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>),
    - corresponding to approximately six times the  $\Lambda_c^+(\Xi_c^+)$  mass resolution, and to be inconsistent with originating from any PV
- Particle misidentification background

Physics background		Veto mass window
φ	$K^-K^+$	[1014,1024]MeV/c <sup>2</sup>
	$K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$	[1869,1875]MeV/c <sup>2</sup>
$D^+(D_s^+)$	$K^-K^+\pi^+$	[1860,1874]MeV/c <sup>2</sup> and [1962,1976]MeV/c <sup>2</sup>

#### **D**Multivariate selection(MVA)

> 23 input variables: similar to  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  →  $\Xi_{c}^{+}\pi^{+}$  analysis, but we add four PID variables(after PID resamping)

```
23 training variables:
           \sqrt{\chi_{IP}^2} of \Lambda_c^+/\Xi_c^+ daughters (P,K,\pi) to its PV
            log(PT) of \Lambda_c^+/\Xi_c^+ dauters (P, K, \pi)
            \sqrt{\chi_{IP}^2} of \Lambda_c^+/\Xi_c^+ to its PV
            log(PT) of \Lambda_c^+/\Xi_c^+
            \sqrt{\chi^2_{FD}} of \Lambda^+_c/\Xi^+_c from its PV
           \chi^2_{vtx} / ndf of the \Lambda^+_c/\Xi^+_c vertex fit
            \sqrt{\chi^2_{\mu}} of \Xi^0_{\rm bc} daughters \pi to its PV
            \sqrt{\chi_{IP}^2} of \Xi_{bc}^0 to its PV \sqrt{\sum \chi_{IP}^2} among the daughters (P, K, \pi, \Lambda_c^+ / \Xi_c^+ - \pi) of \Xi_{bc}^0
             log(PT) of \Xi_{bc}^{0} daughters
           \cos^{-1}(DIRA) of the \Xi_{bc}^{0} to its PV\pi
            \chi^2_{vtx} / ndf of the \Xi^0_{bc} vertex fit (non-DTF)
            \chi^2 of the \Xi_{bc}^0 vertex fit (DTF, with PV constraint)
           PID of each final track
```

#### **D**MVA performance







## **D** Punzi figure of merit (FoM)

- The FOM is used to quantify the performance of the selectors and to choose a working point
- > The FOM is defined as :

$$F(t) \equiv \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{\frac{a}{2} + \sqrt{B(t)}}$$

$$\checkmark a = 5$$
  
 $\checkmark \varepsilon(t)$  from signal MC

- B(t) extrapolated from sideband
- Optimization results: BDT is the best

	Optimal cut	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(t)$	F(t)
$\Lambda_c^+\pi^-$	0.2370	0.46±0.01	0.97±0.01
$\Xi_c^+\pi^-$	0.2760	0.52 <u>+</u> 0.02	0.51±0.01

#### Signal channels

Invariant mass distributions of selected (left)  $\Omega_{bc}^{0}(\Xi_{bc}^{0}) \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}$  and (right)

 $\Omega_{bc}^{0}(\Xi_{bc}^{0}) \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}$  candidates with results of the background only fit (blue solid line)



#### **Control channels**

Invariant mass distributions of (left)  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ (\to pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$  and (right)  $\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ (\to pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$  candidates with the fit results overlaid (blue solid line)



## **D**Systematic uncertainty

Fit model: signal: double-sided CB -> Ipatia function background: double exponential -> first-order polynomial function

Size of simulated samples: track detection efficiency largely cancel, the uncertainty due to limited size of simulation samples

Particle identification efficiency: bins of particle momentum, pseudorapidity, and track multiplicity using control channels in the data

Mass resolution:  $\Xi_c^+$  mass resolution difference between data and simulation.

Simulation model: BDT response correction and the kinematic modeling of the simulated control samples

 $\chi^2_{IP}$  simulation: mismatch between the data and simulation, studied in the <u>previous  $\Xi_{bc}^{\Phi} \rightarrow D^{\Phi} p K^{\pm}$  analysis</u> to be 5%.

	$H^0_{bc} { ightarrow} \Lambda^+_c \pi^-$	$H^0_{bc} { ightarrow} \Xi^+_c \pi^-$
Fit model	0.1%	0.9%
Size of simulated samples	1.6%	0.7%
Particle identification efficiency	1.7%	2.1%
Mass resolution	<0.1%	0.2%
Simulation model	1.6%	3.0%
$\chi^2_{\rm IP}$ simulation	5.0%	5.0%
Total	5.7%	6.3%

## □Result

- > The first search for the doubly heavy  $\Omega_{bc}^0$  baryon
- > No  $\Omega_{bc}^{0}$  and  $\Xi_{bc}^{0}$  signal in run2(2016+2017+2018) data
- Using CLs method to set upper limits on:

$$\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^+\pi^-) = \frac{\sigma(pp \to H_{bc}^0 X) \mathcal{B}(H_{bc}^0 \to \Lambda_c^+\pi^-)}{\sigma(pp \to \Lambda_b^0 X) \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+\pi^-)} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{R}(\Xi_c^+\pi^-) = \frac{\sigma(pp \to H_{bc}^0 X) \mathcal{B}(H_{bc}^0 \to \Xi_c^+\pi^-)}{\sigma(pp \to \Xi_b^0 X) \mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+\pi^-)}$$



# Summary

The first search for the doubly heavy baryon  $\Omega_{bc}^{0}$  and a search for the baryon  $\Xi_{bc}^{0}$  decaying to  $\Lambda_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}$  and  $\Xi_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}$  in run2 (2016+2017+2018) data

> No signal > Using CLs method to set upper limits:  $0.5 \times 10^{-4} - 2.4 \times 10^{-4} (\Xi_{bc}^0(\Omega_{bc}^0) \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)$  $1.4 \times 10^{-3} - 6.9 \times 10^{-3} (\Xi_{bc}^0(\Omega_{bc}^0) \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-)$ 

**D**With LHCb upgrade (50  $fb^{-1}$ ) & upgrade-II (300  $fb^{-1}$ ), more exciting results are coming.

$$\succ \Xi_{bc}^+ \to J/\psi \Xi^+?$$
  
$$\succ \Xi_{bc}^+ \to \Xi_{cc}^{++}X?$$

#### **Thanks for your attention!**

## Back up

# BDT check using $\Xi_b^0$ or $\Lambda_b^0$ channel

#### s-weighted data



	$\Xi^0_{bc}  o \Lambda^+_c \pi^-$	$\Lambda_b^0  o \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$	Ratio	$\Xi_{bc}^{0}  ightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{-}$	$\Xi_b^0  o \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$	Ratio
Before	0.572±0.005	0.683±0.003	1.194 <u>±</u> 0.012	0.618±0.002	0.779±0.001	$1.261 \pm 0.004$
After	0.431±0.005	$0.561 \pm 0.003$	$1.261 \pm 0.004$	0.442±0.002	$0.595 \pm 0.001$	1.346 <u>+</u> 0.006

The BDT efficiencies in different channels before and after reweighting

	$\Xi_{bc}^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$	$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$	R
Acc	$0.7981 {\pm} 0.0004$	$0.8372 \pm 0.0003$	$1.0490 \pm 0.0006$
Reco	$0.0181 \pm 0.0001$	$0.0543 \pm 0.0002$	$3.0040 \pm 0.0273$
PID	$0.9358 {\pm} 0.0022$	$0.9298 {\pm} 0.0010$	$0.9936 {\pm} 0.0025$
Track	$0.9621 \pm 0.0017$	$0.9609 \pm 0.0008$	$0.9987 {\pm} 0.0020$
LO	$0.4312 \pm 0.0042$	$0.4107 \pm 0.0019$	$0.9524 {\pm} 0.0104$
HLT1 and HLT2 $$	$0.9582 {\pm} 0.0026$	$0.9751 {\pm} 0.0010$	$1.0176 \pm 0.0029$
MC-Match $(1./)$	$0.9800 \pm 0.0008$	$0.9631 {\pm} 0.0010$	$1.0176 \pm 0.0010$
Total	$(5.48 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.69 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-2}$	$3.08 \pm 0.05$

	$\Xi_{bc}^0 \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$	$\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$	R
Acc	$0.7916 \pm 0.0002$	$0.8288 \pm 0.0002$	$1.0470 \pm 0.0004$
Reco	$0.0290 \pm 0.0001$	$0.0810 \pm 0.0002$	$2.7930 {\pm} 0.0105$
PID	$0.9382 {\pm} 0.0009$	$0.9313 \pm 0.0005$	$0.9926 \pm 0.0010$
Track	$0.9637 \pm 0.0007$	$0.9620 \pm 0.0004$	$0.9983 {\pm} 0.0008$
LO	$0.4027 \pm 0.0018$	$0.3865 {\pm} 0.0010$	$0.9597 {\pm} 0.0048$
HLT1 and HLT2	$0.8920 \pm 0.0018$	$0.9498 {\pm} 0.0007$	$1.0649 \pm 0.0022$
MC-Match $(1./)$	$0.9659 {\pm} 0.0007$	$0.9475 \pm 0.0009$	$1.0194 \pm 0.0009$
Total	$(7.72 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.33 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$	$3.02 \pm 0.02$

# Efficiency dependence on the $\Xi_{bc}^{0}$ mass

• Efficiencies with different  $\Xi_{bc}^{0}$  mass hypotheses for  $\Xi_{bc}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}$ 

$Mass(MeV/c^2)$	6700	6800	6900
Acc	$0.8016 \pm 0.0004$	$0.8001 \pm 0.0004$	$0.7981 {\pm} 0.0004$
Reco and Sel	$0.0175 {\pm} 0.0001$	$0.0178 {\pm} 0.0001$	$0.0181 {\pm} 0.0001$
PID	$0.9354 {\pm} 0.0022$	$0.9347 {\pm} 0.0022$	$0.9358 {\pm} 0.0022$
Track	$0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017$	$0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017$	$0.9621 \pm 0.0017$
L0	$0.4315 \pm 0.0042$	$0.4317 \pm 0.0042$	$0.4312 \pm 0.0042$
HLT1 and HLT2	$0.9593 {\pm} 0.0026$	$0.9583 {\pm} 0.0026$	$0.9582 {\pm} 0.0026$
MC-Match $(1./)$		$0.9800 \pm 0.0008$	
Total	$(5.33 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	$(5.41 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	$(5.48 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$
$Mass(MeV/c^2)$	7000	7100	7200
$\frac{\text{Mass}(\text{MeV}/c^2)}{\text{Acc}}$	7000 0.7967±0.0004	7100 0.7931±0.0004	7200 0.7920±0.0004
$\frac{\text{Mass}(\text{MeV}/c^2)}{\text{Acc}}$ Reco	$\frac{7000}{0.7967 \pm 0.0004}$ $0.0184 \pm 0.0001$	$7100 \\ 0.7931 \pm 0.0004 \\ 0.0187 \pm 0.0001$	$\frac{7200}{0.7920 \pm 0.0004} \\ 0.0191 \pm 0.0001$
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{r} 7000 \\ 0.7967 {\pm} 0.0004 \\ 0.0184 {\pm} 0.0001 \\ 0.9350 {\pm} 0.0020 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7100 \\ 0.7931 {\pm} 0.0004 \\ 0.0187 {\pm} 0.0001 \\ 0.9358 {\pm} 0.0020 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7200\\ 0.7920{\pm}0.0004\\ 0.0191{\pm}0.0001\\ 0.9356{\pm}0.0020 \end{array}$
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{r} 7000 \\ \hline 0.7967 {\pm} 0.0004 \\ 0.0184 {\pm} 0.0001 \\ 0.9350 {\pm} 0.0020 \\ 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7100\\ \hline 0.7931 {\pm} 0.0004\\ 0.0187 {\pm} 0.0001\\ 0.9358 {\pm} 0.0020\\ 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7200\\ \hline 0.7920 {\pm} 0.0004\\ \hline 0.0191 {\pm} 0.0001\\ \hline 0.9356 {\pm} 0.0020\\ \hline 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017 \end{array}$
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{r} 7000 \\ \hline 0.7967 {\pm} 0.0004 \\ 0.0184 {\pm} 0.0001 \\ 0.9350 {\pm} 0.0020 \\ 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017 \\ 0.4317 {\pm} 0.0042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7100\\ \hline 0.7931 {\pm} 0.0004\\ 0.0187 {\pm} 0.0001\\ \hline 0.9358 {\pm} 0.0020\\ \hline 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017\\ \hline 0.4294 {\pm} 0.0042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7200\\ \hline 0.7920 {\pm} 0.0004\\ 0.0191 {\pm} 0.0001\\ 0.9356 {\pm} 0.0020\\ 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017\\ 0.4273 {\pm} 0.0042 \end{array}$
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{r} 7000\\ \hline 0.7967 {\pm} 0.0004\\ 0.0184 {\pm} 0.0001\\ 0.9350 {\pm} 0.0020\\ 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017\\ 0.4317 {\pm} 0.0042\\ 0.9584 {\pm} 0.0026 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7100\\ 0.7931 {\pm} 0.0004\\ 0.0187 {\pm} 0.0001\\ 0.9358 {\pm} 0.0020\\ 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017\\ 0.4294 {\pm} 0.0042\\ 0.9580 {\pm} 0.0026\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 7200\\ \hline 0.7920 {\pm} 0.0004\\ 0.0191 {\pm} 0.0001\\ 0.9356 {\pm} 0.0020\\ 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017\\ 0.4273 {\pm} 0.0042\\ 0.9583 {\pm} 0.0026\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 7000\\ 0.7967 {\pm} 0.0004\\ 0.0184 {\pm} 0.0001\\ 0.9350 {\pm} 0.0020\\ 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017\\ 0.4317 {\pm} 0.0042\\ 0.9584 {\pm} 0.0026 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7100\\ 0.7931 \pm 0.0004\\ 0.0187 \pm 0.0001\\ 0.9358 \pm 0.0020\\ 0.9621 \pm 0.0017\\ 0.4294 \pm 0.0042\\ 0.9580 \pm 0.0026\\ 0.9800 \pm 0.0008\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7200\\ 0.7920 {\pm} 0.0004\\ 0.0191 {\pm} 0.0001\\ 0.9356 {\pm} 0.0020\\ 0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017\\ 0.4273 {\pm} 0.0042\\ 0.9583 {\pm} 0.0026 \end{array}$

• Fitted result with a polynomial function



# Efficiency dependence on the $\Xi_{bc}^{0}$ lifetimne

• Efficiencies with different  $\Xi_{bc}^{0}$  lifetime hypotheses for  $\Xi_{bc}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}$ 

• Fitted result with a polynomial function

$Mass(MeV/c^2)$	100fs	200fs	300fs	$2 \times ]$	$10^{-3}$				
Acc	$0.7981 {\pm} 0.0004$	$0.7981 {\pm} 0.0004$	$0.7981 {\pm} 0.0004$	S J					
Reco	$0.0063 \pm 0.0001$	$0.0126 \pm 0.0001$	$0.0159 {\pm} 0.0001$	u 2.5 ⊨	p0=(2	.24±0.9	$96) \times 10^{-4}$		-
PID	$0.9357 {\pm} 0.0095$	$0.9371 {\pm} 0.0038$	$0.9365 {\pm} 0.0026$	ie	1		-		E
Track	$0.9645 \pm 0.0071$	$0.9632 \pm 0.0029$	$0.9625 \pm 0.0020$	<b>9</b> 2	p1=(7	.76±2.0	54)×10 <sup>-/</sup>		
LO	$0.5512 {\pm} 0.0188$	$0.4801 {\pm} 0.0076$	$0.4489 {\pm} 0.0052$	± 15 -	1				=
HLT1 and HLT2	$0.8957 \pm 0.0016$	$0.9352 {\pm} 0.0054$	$0.9503 \pm 0.0034$	ш					=
MC-Match $(1./)$		$0.9800 \pm 0.0008$		1					-
Total	$(2.29 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$	$(4.16 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$	$(4.98 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$	05		I		-	
$Mass(MeV/c^2)$	400fs	$500 \mathrm{fs}$		0.5		1			-
Acc	$0.7981 {\pm} 0.0004$	$0.7981 {\pm} 0.0004$		0 =					
Reco	$0.0181 {\pm} 0.0001$	$0.0196 {\pm} 0.0001$		_0 5 E					
PID	$0.9358 {\pm} 0.0022$	$0.9352 {\pm} 0.0019$		-0.5 E					=
Track	$0.9621 {\pm} 0.0017$	$0.9618 {\pm} 0.0015$		-1=					
LO	$0.4312 \pm 0.0042$	$0.4203 \pm 0.0037$		15					=
HLT1 and HLT2	$0.9582 {\pm} 0.0026$	$0.9630 \pm 0.0022$		-1.5					-
MC-Match $(1./)$		$0.9800 \pm 0.0008$		-2 E					
Total	$(5.48 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	$(5.81 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$			100	200	300	400	500
									$\tau(fs)$

# Upper limit: CLs

- Define signal range [6700,7300] MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- After all cuts, fit lower and upper sideband data to get background shape
- Use true data to calculate CLs

$$R(\Lambda_c^+\pi^-) = \frac{\sigma(pp \to \Xi_{bc}^0 X) B(\Xi_{bc}^0 \to \Lambda_c^+(\to p^+ K^- \pi^+)\pi^-)}{\sigma(pp \to \Lambda_b^0 X) B(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+(\to p^+ K^- \pi^+)\pi^-)} = \frac{N(\Xi_{bc}^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)}{N(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)} \frac{\varepsilon(\Lambda_b^0)}{\varepsilon(\Xi_{bc}^0)}$$