Jet Performance at CEPC

The 7th China LHC Physics Workshop 2021/11/25



中國科學院為能物現湖完備 Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences



By: Dan YU

CEPC



CEPC CDR (released 2018): arxiv:18

C



- Higgs factory: 240 GeV, 10⁶ Higgs,
 - Advantage: Clean, Known initial states
 - * Measurements: Higgs boson mass.



4jets/6jets ~ 66%, need color-singlet identification: grouping the

- hadronic final sate particles into color-singlets (Z, H, W, gamma, ...)
- Other:
 - Semi-leptonic from WW or Single W/Z:W mass, aTGC, etc.
 - Full hadronic from WW/ZZ: Boson separation
 - Top pairs, WWZ, VBS, ...
- Jet clustering is essential for any measurements concerning jet direction

CLHCP2021

3

Jets in CEPC

- Detector reconstruct all the physics objects
- Higgs:
 - 97% of the SM Higgsstrahlung Signal involves Jets



Performances for Physics objects

- Acceptance: $|\cos(\theta)| < 099$
- Tracks:
 - Pt threshold, ~ 100 MeV
 - δp/p ~ o(0.1%)
- Photons:
 - Energy threshold, ~ 100 MeV
 - δE/E: 3 I 5%/sqrt(E)
- Pi-Kaon separation: 3-sigma
- Pi-0: rec. eff^{*}purity @ Z→qq > 60%
 @ 5GeV

- Reconstruction of simple combinations: Ks/Lambda/D with all tracks @ Z→qq: 60/75 – 80/85%
- Missing Energy: Consistent with BMR
- BMR: 3.7%
- Jet charge: eff*(1-2ω)2 ~ 15%/30%
 @Z→bb/cc
- B-tagging: eff^{*}purity @ Z→qq: 70%
- C-tagging: eff^{*}purity @ Z→qq: 40%
- Lepton inside jets: eff*purity @ Z→qq ~ 90% (energy > 3 GeV)
- Tau: eff*purity @ WW→tauvqq: 70%, mis id from jet fragments ~ o(1%)

Lepton in jets

- The performance for lepton in jets degrades 1-2% comparing to the single particle results
- This degrading reduced without clustering confusion
- Application: $Bc \rightarrow \tau v$ (arxiv:2007.08234 by Taifan ZHENG)
- Further: more flavor physics such as lepton flavor universality, etc.



Tau

- Double cone based algorithm
- Use the multiplicity, energy ratio between two cones, invariant mass for τ tagging
- $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ has been analyzed, accuracy ~ 0.8%
- For tau in jets, efficiency ~ 60%, purity ~ 80% (adjustable for different physics purpose)





Boson Mass Resolution

- BMR: relative mass resolution of the hadronic system, especially for the hadronically decayed massive Bosons (W, Z, H)
- BMR < 4%: to separate qqH signal from qqX background with recoil mass







Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80:7

Jet Energy Resolution & Scale

• JER: 2-4 times better than CMS @ 0 PU

By Pei-zhu Lai

- JAR: I.0-I.6 times better
- JES: 0.1%/1% level with/without polar angle dependent calibration



9

WW-ZZ separation

- Overlap ratio:
 - Genjet (Jet confusion): 53%
 - Recojet (Detector response): 58%
- |M12 M34| < 10 GeV: half the statistic sacraficed, the overlapping ratio reduced from 58%/53% to 40%/27% fo the Reco/Genjet





Jet confusion

- The CEPC Baseline could separate efficiently the WW-ZZ with full hadronic final state
- Jet confusion can be quantified by angles between truth/reco level bosons
- The overlapping ratios increase monotonically with the jet confusion







Jet Charge Measurement



- Effective tagging power: take misjudgment rate ω and efficiency into account
 - $Z \rightarrow bb/cc: 0.090/0.200(single jet) \rightarrow 0.137/0.301(double jets)$

By Hanhua Cui

Jet Flavor Tagging

- B-tagging: eff*purity @ $Z \rightarrow qq$: 70% C-tagging: eff*purity @ $Z \rightarrow qq$: 40%
- Preliminary result with ParticleFlow Network (arXiv:1810.05165)



Summary

- Objects in jets are reconstructed in CEPC, and can be used for further analysis
- Boson Mass Resolution: better than 4% is critical, Baseline reaches 3.8%
- Jet energy scale & Jet energy resolution
 - JER 2-4 times better than LHC. However, dependence to the jet clustering & matching need caution.
- Color Singlet Identification
 - Bottleneck for measurements with more than 4-jets. Requires innovative developments/theoretical studies
- Jet Flavor Tagging, critical for g(Hcc), g(Hbb), g(Hgg) measurements
 - Reasonable performance at CDR/baseline, preliminary result with PFN shows improvement.
- Jet Charge Reconstruction using straight forward algorithm
 - Effective tagging power of 14%/30% @ Z→bb/cc
- Future: more benchmarks, especially differential analyses...

Thank you!

Effective tagging power

- Lepton and Kaon can deliver better misjudgment rate ω than pion and proton
- Other dependences: B/C hadrons type, source of leading particle

