

中国科学院理论物理研究所 Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences



# Possible Bound States of $J/\psi J/\psi$ from dispersion relation

Xiang-Kun Dong

Nov. 16, 2021



- X.K. Dong, V. Baru, F.K. Guo, C. Hanhart, and A. Nefediev, <u>Phys.Rev.Lett. 126 (2021) 13, 132001</u>
- X.K. Dong, V. Baru, F.K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev and B.S. Zou, Sci. Bull. 66 (2021) 24, 2462-2470



- Coupled-channel interpretation of LHCb data
- > Interactions between  $J/\psi J/\psi$  from dispersive relation:

soft gluon exchange  $\rightarrow$  two pion exchange + ...

> Possible bound states of  $J/\psi J/\psi$ 

### X(6900) in LHCb measurement





Figure 3: Invariant mass spectra of weighted di- $J/\psi$  candidates with  $p_{\rm T}^{{\rm di}-J/\psi} > 5.2 \,{\rm GeV}/c$  and overlaid projections of the  $p_{\rm T}^{{\rm di}-J/\psi}$ -threshold fit using (a) the NRSPS plus DPS model, (b) model I, and (c) model II.

#### LHCb, Sci.Bull.65,1983(2020)



X(6900) compact tetraquark?
 Predictions dated back to 1970s
 Y. Iwasaki, Prog.Theor.Phys. 1975;54:492
 K.-T. Chao, Z.Phys.C7, 317(1981),
 A.M. Badalian et al., PRD25,2370(1982), ...
 Many theoretical investigations

...

# X(6900) in LHCb measurement



Discussions of general threshold behaviors, see X.-K. Dong, F.-K. Guo and B.-S. Zou PRL126, 152001(2021) HILL HARD AND LAND

- Fully charmed tetraquark state?
  - 6.9 GeV too high for ground state
  - The gap 700 MeV too large for ground and 1<sup>st</sup> excited states
  - No lighter states (easier) observed
  - Threshold effects. Near threshold,
    - **Breit-Wigner** fits mislead rather than educate
    - Breit-Wigner parameters (*M* and Γ) hide nature of states
    - Threshold effects sometimes play critical role
- Coupled-channel approach, Minimal models with
   X.-K. Dong et al, PRL126,132001(2021)
  - most relevant channels (2 models)
  - minimal necessary orders in interactions



Two channel model

 $J/\psi J/\psi \ \& \ \psi(2S) J/\psi$ 

$$V_{2ch}(E) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + b_1 k_1^2 & c \\ c & a_2 + b_2 k_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $J/\psi J/\psi, \ \psi(2S)J/\psi \ \& \ \psi(3770)J/\psi$ 

$$V_{3ch}(E) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \Box & \eta_c \text{ and } h_c \text{ spin-0, HQSS} \\ \Box & \chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \psi \text{ by } \omega \text{ exchange} \end{array} \end{array}$ 

Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$T(E) = V(E) \cdot [1 - G(E)V(E)]^{-1}$$

Production amplitude in  $J/\psi J/\psi$  channel (channel 1)

$$\mathcal{M}_{1} = \alpha e^{-\beta E^{2}} \left[ b + G_{1}(E) T_{11}(E) + \sum_{i=2,3} r_{i} G_{i}(E) T_{i1}(E) \right]$$



# Hints of a $J/\psi J/\psi$ molecule



#### X.-K. Dong et al, PRL126,132001(2021)

9.0

70

60

40

30

20

7.25

 $\sqrt{|\text{Res}_i|}$ 

 $\geq$ 

	2-ch. fit	3-ch. fit 1	3-ch. fit 2
$a_0(\mathrm{fm})$	$\leq -0.49\mathrm{or} \geq 0.48$	$-0.61\substack{+0.29\\-0.32}$	$\leq -0.60 \mathrm{or} \geq 0.99$
$r_0({ m fm})$	$-2.18^{+0.66}_{-0.81}$	$-0.06\substack{+0.03\\-0.04}$	$-0.09\substack{+0.08\\-0.05}$
$\bar{X}_A$	$0.39^{+0.58}_{-0.12}$	$0.91\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.07}$	$0.95\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.06}$

#### X(6900) is uncertain X(6200) is robust

- Compositeness of X(6200) $\bar{X}_A = (1+2|r_0/a_0|)^{-1/2}$  $\bar{X}_A = 1$  for molecule and 0 for compact state I. Matusche et al, EPJA57(2021)3,101
- $\geq$ Large molecular component in X(6200) in 3-channel fit.



Nov. 16, 2021

# Hints of a $J/\psi J/\psi$ molecule







Support of existence of X(6200) from independent analysis

> Z.-R. Liang et al, Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 3, 034034

### Further Tests



X.-K. Dong et al, PRL126,132001(2021)

✓ Data in the  $\psi(2S)J/\psi$  channel ⇒ distinguish between the models

- ✓ Data in the  $\eta_c \eta_c$  channel ⇒ verify predictive power of the models
- ✓ Data on  $\Upsilon$  production  $\Rightarrow$  check in complementary sector
- ✓ Lattice simulation of double- $J/\psi$  ( $\eta_c$ ) scattering ⇒ independent test

**Binding mechanism???**  $\Rightarrow$  (dis)prove X(6200) nature!!!

- Van der Waals interaction between color dipoles
   H. Fujii and D. Kharzeev, Phys.Rev.D 60, 114039 (1999).
- Long-range potential from two pion exchange between 2 S-wave bottomonia

N. Brambilla et al, Phys.Rev.D 93, 054002 (2016)



Evidence of an  $\Omega_{ccc}\Omega_{ccc}$ bound state from HAL QCD method

Yan Lyu et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 127 (2021) 7, 072003

At long-range, soft gluon exchange  $\rightarrow$  two pion exchange + heavier...

OPE highly suppressed by isospin

# Two pion/kaon exchange potential



# Two pion/kaon exchange potential





> Difference between  $c_i^{11}$  and  $c_i^{12}$ 

$$\xi \equiv \frac{\alpha_{J/\psi J/\psi}}{\alpha_{\psi(2S)J/\psi}} \approx 1 \sim 3$$

estimated by

• overlap of quark model wavefunctions

 $\alpha_{AB} \propto \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \; \psi_A^*(\vec{r}) e^{-i\vec{q_c}\cdot\vec{r}/2} \psi_B(\vec{r}) \equiv I_{AB}(q_c)$ 

• S-Wave  $J/\psi\pi$  scattering length

$$a_0 = \frac{(c_1^{(11)} + c_2^{(11)})m_\pi^2}{2\pi F_\pi^2 (M_{J/\psi} + m_\pi)} \approx 0.0036 \ \xi \ \text{fm}$$

 $|a_0^{\rm lat}|\sim 0.01~{\rm fm}$ 





Lattice QCD

# Potentials from dispersive relation

 $\blacktriangleright$  Dispersive relation  $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}(t)$ 

$$= \frac{J/\psi}{J/\psi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t' \frac{\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{M}_{\pi}(t')}{t'-t-i\epsilon}$$

Two pion (kaon) exchange potential  $\mathcal{M}_{J/\psi J/\psi}(t) = \mathcal{M}_{\pi}(t) + \mathcal{M}_{K}(t)$   $V_{\mathrm{exch}}(q, \Lambda) = \frac{-1}{4\pi M_{J/\psi}^{2}}$   $\times \int_{4m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\mu^{2} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{M}_{J/\psi J/\psi}(\mu^{2})}{\mu^{2} + q^{2}} e^{-\frac{q^{2} + \mu^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}}$ Form factor to regularize the UV divergence in both q and  $\mu$  and keep the long-range potential unchanged.



# $J/\psi J/\psi$ molecular state

Lippmann Schwinger equation

▶ Renormalization with a contact term  $V_{CT}(q, \Lambda) = C \ e^{-\frac{q^2}{\Lambda^2}}$ 

 $V_{\rm tot}(q,\Lambda) = V_{\rm CT}(q,\Lambda) + V_{\rm exch}(q,\Lambda)$ 

 $T(E; k', k) = V_{\text{tot}}^{S}(k', k, \Lambda)$ -2 $+\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 l}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{V_{\mathrm{tot}}^S\left(k',l,\Lambda\right) T(E;l,k)}{E - l^2/M_{J/2} + i\epsilon}$ Eb (MeV)  $V_{\rm ct} = -8$  $\succ$  $V_{\rm ct} = -7$ Parameters: -6 $V_{\rm ct} = -6$  $V_{ct} = -5$  $\Lambda(1 \sim 3 \text{ GeV}), \ \xi(1 \sim 3) \text{ and } V_{\text{ct}} \text{ in } \text{GeV}^{-2}$  $V_{ct} = -4$ -8  $V_{\rm ct} = -3$  $V_{\rm ct} = -$ Poles for  $\xi = 1$ .  $\geq$ -101.0 2.53.0 1.5 2.0  $\Lambda$  (GeV)

 $\geq$ 



Solid for bound states and

dashed for virtual states

# $J/\psi J/\psi$ molecular state



#### Strategy:

- ✓ Near threshold poles (bound or virtual),  $E_B = 1$  or 5 MeV fixed (hints from LHCb data)
- ✓ ξ (=1,2,3) fixed
- Contribution of  $V_{\text{exch}}$  to the binding, *R*

$$R \equiv \frac{V_{\text{exch}}^{S} \left(k'=0, k=0, \Lambda\right)}{V_{\text{tot}}^{S} \left(k'=0, k=0, \Lambda\right)}$$





#### Plausible?



- Contact term + two pion/kaon exchange leads to a molecule of  $J/\psi J/\psi$
- >  $J/\psi J/\psi$  interaction suppressed by OZI or  $\Lambda^2_{\rm QCD}/m_c^2$ , no reason for  $V_{\rm ct} \gg V_{\rm exch}$
- Naturalness: contact term is of the same order as two pion/kaon exchange
- $\blacktriangleright$  Reasonable cutoff, 1 ~ 1.5 GeV
- > We take it plausible if two pion/kaon exchange has sizeable contributions to the binding of  $J/\psi J/\psi$ , characterized by the ratio

$$R \equiv \frac{V_{\text{exch}}^{S} \left(k'=0, k=0, \Lambda\right)}{V_{\text{tot}}^{S} \left(k'=0, k=0, \Lambda\right)} \gtrsim 1/2$$



# Summary

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

- > LHCb  $J/\psi J/\psi$  data can be well described by the coupled-channel method.
- > Hints of a state near  $J/\psi J/\psi$  threshold, with large molecular component.
- Soft gluon exchange between  $J/\psi J/\psi$  described by two pion and kaon exchange.
- ► Coupling constants of  $\psi(2S)J/\psi\pi\pi$  coupling extracted from BESII data on  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi$  decay.
- >  $J/\psi J/\psi \pi \pi$  coupling is argued to be larger than  $\psi(2S)J/\psi \pi \pi$  coupling.
- With reasonable cutoff  $\Lambda$ , two pion and kaon exchanges provide sizeable contribution to the  $J/\psi J/\psi$  attraction.
- The binding of  $J/\psi J/\psi$  system is plausible, given our current understanding of hadron-hadron interaction.
  - ✓ Data in the  $\psi(2S)J/\psi$  channel ⇒ distinguish between the models
  - ✓ Data in the  $\eta_c \eta_c$  channel ⇒ verify predictive power of the models
  - ✓ Data on  $\Upsilon$  production  $\Rightarrow$  check in complementary sector
  - ✓ Lattice simulation of double- $J/\psi$  ( $\eta_c$ ) scattering ⇒ independent test
  - ✓ Take a look at  $J/\psi e^+e^-$  or  $J/\psi \mu^+\mu^-$  channels
  - ✓ Lattice simulations of *S* and *P*-wave  $J/\psi\pi$  scattering ⇒  $c_1$  and  $c_2$

![](_page_14_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)