





JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ

The 10th International Workshop on Chiral Dynamics

Nuclear forces in a manifestly Lorentzinvariant formulation of chiral EFT

Xiu-Lei Ren (任修磊)

Institut für Kernphysik, Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz

In collaboration with:

Evgeny Epelbaum (RUB), Jambul Gegelia(RUB), and Vadim Baru (RUB)



OUTLINE

Introduction

Theoretical framework

Results and discussion

Summary and perspective

Nuclear force

Nuclear force

- Acts between two or more nucleons
- Binds protons and neutrons into atomic nuclei
- Plays an important role in whole nuclear physics
 - \checkmark Ab-initio calculation

ONLY input:

Realistic Nuclear force

e.g. NN: AV18, CD-Bonn, Reid93, N³LO... 3N: Tucson-Melbourne, NNLO...

Exactly Solve:

Many-Body Hamiltonian

e.g. no-core shell model, Green's function MC method, Nuclear Lattice EFT...



Nuclear structure



Nuclear reaction

Detailed understanding of the strong nuclear force is essential!

Nuclear force (NF) from QCD

Residual quark-gluon strong interaction

Understood from Quantum Chromo-Dynamics

- Fundamental theory for strong interactions
- In the low-energy region
 - Running coupling constant $\alpha_s > 1$
 - Non-perturbative QCD

Low-energy phenomena

- Phenomenological models
- Lattice QCD simulations
- Chiral effective field theory





Nuclear force studies (I)

□ NF from phenomenological models (since 1935, Yukawa OPE)

Meson-exchange theory: CD-Bonn R. Machleidt, PRC2001



Operator parameterization: Reid93, V. Stoks, PRC(1994) AV18, R. Wiringa, PRC(1994)

$$V_{NN} = V_c(r) \,\hat{1} + V_{\sigma}(r) \,\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + V_{LS}(r) \,L \cdot S + V_T(r) \,\sigma_1 \cdot q \sigma_2 \cdot q + \cdots$$



300

200

- NF from lattice QCD simulations (since 2006)
 - HAL QCD
 - **NPLQCD**
 - CalLat / sLapHnn
 - T. Yamazaki et al.
 - Mainz





T. Doi, Wed 18:50 A. Walker-Loud, Wed 23:10

¹S_o channel

π

2.5

Nuclear force studies (II)

NF from chiral effective field theory (since 1990, Weinberg)

• Hierarchy of chiral forces in non-relativistic scheme (Weinberg p.c.)



P. F. Bedaque, U. van Kolck, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 52 (2002) 339 E. Epelbaum, H.-W. Hammer, Ulf-G. Meißner, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81 (2009) 1773 R. Machleidt, D. R. Entem, Phys. Rept. 503 (2011) 1

High precision chiral force

Description of neutron-proton scattering observables

	Phenomenological forces			Non-Rel. Chiral nuclear force				
	Reid93	AV18	CD- Bonn	LO	NLO	NNLO	N ³ LO	N ⁴ LO ⁺ include 4 ct.
No. of para.	50	40	38	2+2	9+2	9+2	24+2 (3 redundant)	24+3+4 (3 redundant)
χ^2 /datum	1.03 1	1.04	1.02	94	36.7	5.28 D. Ente	1.27 m, et al., PRC96	1.10 (2017)024004
np 0-300 MeV		1.04		75	14	4.2 <i>P. R</i>	2.01 Reinert, et al., EP	1.06 JA54(2018)86

Non-Rel. Chiral NF has achieved a great success!

 NNLO/N³LO chiral forces have been extensively applied in the study of nuclear structure and reactions within the few/many-body theories.

E. Epelbaum, et al., PRL 106(2011) 192501, PRL109(2012)252501, PRL112(2014)102501;
S. Elhatisari, et al., Nature 528 (2015) 111; G. Hagen, et al., PRL109(2012)032502;
H. Hergert, et al., PRL110(2013)24501; G.R. Jansen, et al., PRL113(2014)102501;
S.K.Bogner, et al., PRL113(2014)142501; J.E. Lynn, et al., PRL113(2014)192501;
V. Lapoux, et al., PRL117(2016)052501

S. Pastore, Thu. 23:20 **A. Filin,** Fri. 19:00 **C. Drischler,** Fri. 21:20

Recent reviews/collections

THE LONG-LASTING QUEST FOR NUCLEAR INTERACTIONS: THE PAST, THE PRESENT AND THE FUTURE

EDITED BY: Laura Elisa Marcucci PUBLISHED IN: Frontiers in Physics

2021.1

Few-Body Systems All Volumes & Issues

Celebrating 30 years of the Steven Weinberg's paper Nuclear Forces from Chiral Lagrangians

ISSN: 0177-7963 (Print) 1432-5411 (Online)



frontiers

Research Topics

Why reformulate chiral NF in Lorentz invariant scheme?

The principle of relativity: law of nature

- Elastic NN scattering (0--300 MeV)
 ✓Non-relativistic is a good approximation
 - Small relativistic effects could be detectable via the ongoing/future high precision experiments
- Investigate the relativistic effects

- Unsolved problems: Ay puzzle in N-d scattering?
- Could improve the description of polarization observables
- Relativistic chiral force could provide a new view
 - Renormalization group invariance: still controversial ...
 - Convergence of chiral expansion: relatively faster convergence?
- Key inputs for the relativistic many-body calculations
 - Relativistic Breuckner-Hartree-Fock theory

 $\sqrt{m_N^2 + p^2} \le m_N \sqrt{1 + 0.16}$





Chiral forces in Lorentz invariant framework₁₀

Relativistic chiral potential (covariant form)

- Based on the Lorentz invariant chiral Lagrangians
- Proposed covariant power counting

L.-S. Geng, Tue 18:30

Formulate chiral force up to next-to-next-to-leading order

Y. Xiao, C-X Wang, J.-X. Lu, L.-S. Geng, PRC102,054001(2020) C.-X. Wang, J.-X. Lu, Y. Xiao, L.-S. Geng, 2110.05278 J.-X. Lu, C.-X. Wang, Y. Xiao, L.-S. Geng, J. Meng, R. Peter, 2111.07766

Modified Weinberg approach E. Epelbaum and J. Gegelia, PLB716(2012)338-344

- Based on the Lorentz invariant chiral Lagrangians
- Adopt Weinberg power counting to expand the NN potential and the relativistic corrections are perturbatively included

 $V(p',p) = \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2 \mathscr{A} u_1 u_2$ with $u = u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + \cdots$

Use the Kadyshevsky equation to calculate the scattering T-matrix

$$T(p',p) = V(p',p) + \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^3} V(p',k) \frac{m^2}{2(k^2+m^2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^2+m^2} - \sqrt{k^2+m^2} + i\epsilon} T(k,p) \,.$$

✓ Milder ultraviolet behavior than in Lippmann-Schwinger equation

In our work

- We proposed a systematic framework within the time-ordered perturbation theory using the Lorentz invariant chiral Lagrangians
 - Derive the rules of time-ordered diagrams, especially for the rules with spin-1/2 fermion (as far as we know, there was no such rules in the literature)
 - Formulate the nucleon-nucleon interaction up to next-to-next-toleading order
 - ✓ Calculate the two-pion-exchange contributions at one-loop level
 - ✓ Describe the partial wave phase shifts

V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, J. Gegelia, XLR*, Phys. Lett. B 798 (2019) 134987 XLR*, E.Epelbaum, J.Gegelia, Phys. Rev. C 101 (2020) 034001 XLR*, E. Epelbaum, J. Gegelia, in preparation

OUTLINE

Introduction

Theoretical framework

Results and discussion

Summary and perspective

Theoretical framework

Follow the standard procedure of formulating chiral forces in

time-ordered perturbation theory

S. Weinberg, PLB1990, NPB1991;

C. Ordóñez, U. van Kolck PLB(1992) ...

13

	Non-relativistic (Heavy-baryon)	Manifestly Lorentz invariant					
Chiral Lagrangians	$N^{\dagger} \left[i(v \cdot D) + g_A(S \cdot u) \right] N$ $-\frac{1}{2} C_S \left(N^{\dagger} N \right) \left(N^{\dagger} N \right) - \frac{1}{2} C_T \left(N^{\dagger} \overrightarrow{\sigma} N \right) \left(N^{\dagger} \overrightarrow{\sigma} N \right) + \cdots$	$\begin{split} \bar{\Psi}_{N} &\left\{ i\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu} - m_{N} + \frac{1}{2}g_{A}\psi\gamma^{5} \right\} \Psi_{N} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left[C_{S}(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\Psi_{N})(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\Psi_{N}) + C_{A}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\gamma_{5}\Psi_{N}\right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\gamma_{5}\Psi_{N}\right) \\ &+ C_{V}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\gamma_{\mu}\Psi_{N}\right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi_{N}\right) + C_{AV}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\Psi_{N}\right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\Psi_{N}\right) \\ &+ C_{T}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\Psi_{N}\right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_{N}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Psi_{N}\right) \right] + \dots \end{split}$					
Potentail TOPT diagrams							
Scattering equations (T = V + VGT)	Lippmann-Schwinger equation	Kadyshevsky equation					
Power counting	Weinberg p.c.	Weinberg p.c.					

Chiral Lagrangian up to NNLO

Lorentz-invariant effective Lagrangians

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(0)} + \mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(2)}.$$

Purely pionic sector

 $\mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(2)} = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \langle u_{\mu} u^{\mu} + \chi_+ \rangle. \qquad \text{J.Gasser, H. Leutwyler, Ann.Phys.(1984)}$

One-nucleon sector

 $f_{\pi} = 92.4 \text{ MeV}, g_A = 1.267$ $c_{1,2,3,4}$ are determined by πN scattering

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} = \bar{\Psi}_{N} \left\{ i \not{D} - m_{N} + \frac{1}{2} g_{A} \psi \gamma^{5} \right\} \Psi_{N}$$

$$J. Gasser, M. E. Sainio, and A. Svarc, NPB(1988)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(2)} = \bar{\Psi}_{N} \left\{ c_{1} \langle \chi + \rangle - \frac{c_{2}}{4m_{N}^{2}} \langle u^{\mu} u^{\nu} \rangle \left(D_{\mu} D_{\nu} + \text{ h.c.} \right) + \frac{c_{3}}{2} \langle u^{\mu} u_{\mu} \rangle - \frac{c_{4}}{4} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \left[u_{\mu}, u_{\nu} \right] \right\} \Psi_{N}$$

$$N.Fettes, U.-G. Meißner, S. Steininger, NPA(199)$$

• Two-nucleon sector

$$\mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[C_S(\bar{\Psi}_N \Psi_N) (\bar{\Psi}_N \Psi_N) + C_A \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma_5 \Psi_N \right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma_5 \Psi_N \right) + C_V \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma_\mu \Psi_N \right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma^\mu \Psi_N \right) \right. \\ \left. + C_{AV} \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_N \right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_N \right) + C_T \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \sigma_{\mu\nu} \Psi_N \right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Psi_N \right) \right]$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1} \bar{\Psi}_N \bar{\Psi}_N \mathcal{O}_i \Psi_N \Psi_N \qquad \text{L.Girlanda, S. Pastore, R. Schiavilla, M. Viviani, PRC(2010)}$ Yang Xiao, Li-Sheng Geng, XLR, PRC(2019)

Diagrammatic rules in TOPT

External lines

- Incoming (outgoing) nucleon lines: $u(p) [\bar{u}(p')]$ Dirac spinors
- Internal lines
 - Pseudo-scalar meson lines: $\frac{1}{2\omega(q,M)}$ $\omega_q = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\omega(q,M)}}$
 - Baryon lines:
 - Anti-baryon lines:

on lines:

$$\frac{1}{2\omega(q, M_{\pi})} \qquad \omega_{q} = \sqrt{q^{2} + M_{\pi}^{2}}$$

$$\frac{m_{N}}{p(p, m_{N})} \sum u(p)\bar{u}(p) \qquad \omega_{p} = \sqrt{p^{2} + m_{N}^{2}}$$

$$\frac{m_{N}}{p(p, m_{N})} \sum u(p)\bar{u}(p) - \gamma_{0} \qquad \gamma^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Interaction vertices: Follow the standard Feynman rules
 - Take care of zeroth components of integration momenta
 - ✓ Replaced as $\omega(p, m)$ for particle
 - ✓ Replaced as $-\omega(p,m)$ for antiparticle

Intermediate state: a set of lines between any two vertices

 $[E - \sum \omega(p_i, m_i) + i\epsilon]^{-1}$

E is the total energy of the system

15

Scattering equations in TOPT

Following the above diagrammatic rules of TOPT, we obtain the scattering equations



Two-nucleon Green function

$$G(E) = \frac{m_N^2}{\omega^2(k, m_N)} \frac{1}{E - 2\omega(k, m_N) + i\epsilon}$$

- This is the Kadyshevsky propagator of NN scattering V. Kadyshevsky, NPB (1968)
- ✓ SELF-CONSISTENTLY obtained in TOPT

$$T(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = V(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) + \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{k}) \frac{m_N^2}{2(\mathbf{k}^2 + m_N^2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_N^2} - \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m_N^2} + i\epsilon} T(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p})$$

✓ Milder UV behaviour than the Lippmann-Schwinger equation Green function $G \xrightarrow{k \to \infty}$ Kady. $\frac{1}{k^3}$ vs. LS $\frac{1}{k^2}$

Nucleon-nucleon potential in TOPT

17

Two-nucleon irreducible time-ordered diagrams



- Use the obtained TOPT rules to evaluate these diagrams
- Apply Weinberg power counting to organize
 - Expand the nucleon energy appearing in the numerator

OUTLINE

Introduction

Theoretical framework

Results and discussion

- Leading order study Phys. Lett. B 798 (2019) 134987
- Next-to-next-to-leading order calculation

XLR*, E. Epelbaum, J. Gegelia, in preparation

Summary and perspective

Leading order potentials

Contact nucleon-nucleon interaction

• According to our TOPT rules



 $V_{0,C} = C_S(\bar{u}_3 u_1)(\bar{u}_4 u_2) + C_A(\bar{u}_3 \gamma_5 u_1)(\bar{u}_4 \gamma_5 u_2) + C_V(\bar{u}_3 \gamma_\mu u_1)(\bar{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_2)$ $+ C_{AV}(\bar{u}_3 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u_1)(\bar{u}_4 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_2) + C_T(\bar{u}_3 \sigma_{\mu\nu} u_1)(\bar{u}_4 \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_3)$

- Contain higher order contributions according to Weinberg P.C.
- Perform the expansion for the nucleon energies

$$\sqrt{\omega(p, m_N) + m_N} = \sqrt{2m_N} + \mathcal{O}(p^2)$$

$$V_{LO,C} = (C_S + C_V) - (C_{AV} - 2C_T) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2$$

- Two independent parameters to be fixed
- Consistent with the non-relativistic contact terms

Leading order potentials

One-pion-exchange (OPE) potential

• According to our TOPT rules

$$V_{0,\text{OPE}} = -\frac{g_A^2}{4f_\pi^2} \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \frac{1}{2\omega(q, M_\pi)} \left[\frac{(\bar{u}_3 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q_\mu u_1) (\bar{u}_4 \gamma^\nu \gamma_5 q_\nu u_2)}{\omega(p, m_N) + \omega(p', m_N) + \omega(q, M_\pi) - E - i\epsilon} + \frac{(\bar{u}_3 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q_\mu u_1) (\bar{u}_4 \gamma^\nu \gamma_5 q_\nu u_2)}{\omega(p, m_N) + \omega(p', m_N) + \omega(q, M_\pi) - E - i\epsilon} \right]$$

- Contains higher order contributions according to Weinberg P.C.
- Perform the expansion for the nucleon energies in numerator

$$\sqrt{\omega(p, m_N) + m_N} = \sqrt{2m_N} + \mathcal{O}(p^2)$$

✓ Keep the nucleon energies in denominator (consistent with Kadyshevsky eq.)

$$V_{\text{OPE}} = -\frac{g_A^2}{4f_\pi^2} \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \frac{4m_N^2}{\omega(q, M_\pi) (m_N + \omega(p, m_N)) (m_N + \omega(p', m_N))}}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}} \times \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}}{\omega(p, m_N) + \omega(p', m_N) + \omega(q, M_\pi) - E - i\epsilon}$$

It has a milder UV behaviour than the non-relativisitc OPEP

UV Behavior of the long-range potential

\square One-loop integral VGV:

$$I_{VGV} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} V_{\text{OPE}} G(E) V_{\text{OPE}}$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{Our: } I_{VGV}^{\text{Our}} \to \int dk^3 \, \frac{1}{k} \, \frac{1}{k^3} \, \frac{1}{k} = \int dk^3 \, \frac{1}{k^5} \\ \text{NR: } I_{VGV}^{\text{NR}} \to \int dk^3 \, 1 \, \frac{1}{k^2} \, 1 = \int dk^3 \, \frac{1}{k^2} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$



21

Ultraviolet convergent!

Ultraviolet divergent!

Iteration of our OPEP

 $k \to \infty$



Scattering amplitude from OPEP is cutoff independent

 $T_{\text{OPE}} = V_{\text{OPE}} + V_{\text{OPE}} G T_{\text{OPE}}$

Renormalizable!

Phase shifts: cutoff-independent

22



* Our LO potential is perturbatively renormalizable!

- All divergences appearing from its iterations can be absorbed in the coupling constant of the contact interaction
- Scattering equation has unique solutions for all partial waves
- Avoid finite-cutoff artefacts inherent to the conventional non-relativistic framework

Phase shifts of NN scattering

□ Phase shifts at LO with cutoff $\rightarrow \infty$

• Two LECs are fixed by the scattering lengths of 1S0 and 3S1



- Our LO calculation provides a reasonable description of the empirical phase shifts
- ¹S₀ and ³P₀: Large deviation
 - Part of the subleading corrections must be treated non-perturbatively



23

Beyond Leading order studies

24

Two strategies to include higher orders

 Restricting the non-perturbative treatment to the (non-singular) LO potential and higher-order interactions are treated perturbatively

✓ Systematically remove all divergences from the amplitude

- Full effective potential (LO + higher orders) are treated nonperturbatively
 - ✓ Milder UV behavior offers a larger flexibility regarding admissible cutoff
 - ✓ Direct input for few-/many-body problems

□ Here, we focus on the second strategy (as a first step)

- Since the derivation of higher order contributions is computationally more demanding
- Formulate the chiral nuclear potential up to NLO and NNLO
- Calculate the two-pion exchange contribution at one-loop level

XLR*, E. Epelbaum, J. Gegelia, in preparation

Study of NLO potential in TOPT

25

Time ordered diagrams up to NLO



 $\mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[C_S(\bar{\Psi}_N \Psi_N) (\bar{\Psi}_N \Psi_N) + C_A \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma_5 \Psi_N \right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma_5 \Psi_N \right) + C_V \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma_\mu \Psi_N \right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma^\mu \Psi_N \right) \right. \\ \left. + C_{AV} \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_N \right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_N \right) + C_T \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \sigma_{\mu\nu} \Psi_N \right) \left(\bar{\Psi}_N \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Psi_N \right) \right]$

$$\mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1} \bar{\Psi}_N \bar{\Psi}_N \mathcal{O}_i \Psi_N \Psi_N$$

Contact terms up to NLO

LO contact term (5 LECs)

 $\mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(0)}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{NN}^{(2)}$ -

$$V_{\text{LO}} = C_S(\bar{u}_3 u_1)(\bar{u}_4 u_2) + C_A(\bar{u}_3 \gamma_5 u_1)(\bar{u}_4 \gamma_5 u_2) + C_V(\bar{u}_3 \gamma_\mu u_1)(\bar{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_2) + C_{AV}(\bar{u}_3 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u_1)(\bar{u}_4 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_2) + C_T(\bar{u}_3 \sigma_{\mu\nu} u_1)(\bar{u}_4 \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_3)$$

• Expand the nucleon energy up to $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ / NLO

$$\sqrt{\omega(p, m_N) + m_N} = \sqrt{2m_N} + \frac{p^2}{4\sqrt{2} m_N^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(p^4)$$

✓ For simplicity, we include higher orders $O(p^4)$ for LO contact terms

Keep the full form of Dirac spinors

NLO contact term

- Expand the nucleon energy $\sqrt{\omega(p, m_N) + m_N} = \sqrt{2m_N} + \mathcal{O}(p^2)$
- Same form as the non-relativistic case with 7 LECs

$$\bigvee V_{\text{NLO}} = C_1 \boldsymbol{q}^2 + C_2 \boldsymbol{P}^2 + (C_3 \boldsymbol{q}^2 + C_4 \boldsymbol{P}^2) \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\right) + \frac{i}{2} C_5 \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \\ + C_6 \left(\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1\right) \left(\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\right) + C_7 \left(\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1\right) \left(\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2\right)$$

Partial wave decomposition for contact terms₂₇

□ J=0: 1S0 and 3P0 partial waves

$$V({}^{1}S_{0}) = \xi_{N}C_{{}^{1}S_{0}}^{LO} \left(1 + R_{p}^{2}R_{p'}^{2}\right) + \xi_{N} \left[\hat{C}_{{}^{1}S_{0}}^{LO} + 4m_{N}^{2}C_{{}^{1}S_{0}}^{NLO}\right] \left(R_{p}^{2} + R_{p'}^{2}\right) \qquad V({}^{3}P_{0}) = -2\xi_{N} \left[C_{{}^{3}P_{0}}^{LO} - 2m_{N}^{2}C_{{}^{3}P_{0}}^{NLO}\right] R_{p}R_{p'} \\ = \xi_{N}C_{{}^{1}S_{0}}^{LO} \left(1 + R_{p}^{2}R_{p'}^{2}\right) + \xi_{N}\tilde{C}_{{}^{1}S_{0}} \left(R_{p}^{2} + R_{p'}^{2}\right) \qquad \qquad V({}^{3}P_{0}) = -2\xi_{N} \left[C_{{}^{3}P_{0}}^{LO} - 2m_{N}^{2}C_{{}^{3}P_{0}}^{NLO}\right] R_{p}R_{p'} \\ = -2\xi_{N}\tilde{C}_{{}^{3}P_{0}} R_{p}R_{p'} = -2\tilde{C}_{{}^{3}P_{0}} \frac{p\,p'}{4m_{N}^{2}}$$

$$\Box J=1: 1P1, 3P1, 3S1-3D1 partial waves V(^{1}P_{1}) = \frac{2}{3}\xi_{N} \left[-C_{1P_{1}}^{LO} - 6m_{N}^{2} C_{1P_{1}}^{NLO} \right] R_{p}R_{p'} = \frac{2}{3}\xi_{N}\tilde{C}_{1P_{1}} R_{p}R_{p'} = \frac{2}{3}\tilde{C}_{1P_{1}} \frac{pp'}{4m_{N}^{2}}. V(^{3}P_{1}) = \frac{4}{3}\xi_{N} \left[C_{3P_{1}}^{LO} - 3m_{N}^{2} C_{3P_{1}}^{NLO} \right] R_{p}R_{p'} = -\frac{4}{3}\xi_{N}\tilde{C}_{3P_{1}} R_{p}R_{p'} = -\frac{4}{3}\tilde{C}_{3P_{1}} \frac{pp'}{4m_{N}^{2}}. V(^{3}P_{1}) = \frac{4}{3}\xi_{N}\tilde{C}_{3P_{1}} R_{p}R_{p'} = -\frac{4}{3}\tilde{C}_{3P_{1}} \frac{pp'}{4m_{N}^{2}}. V(^{3}D_{1}) = \frac{8\xi_{N}}{9}C_{3S_{1}}^{LO} (9 + R_{p}^{2}R_{p'}^{2}) + \xi_{N}\tilde{C}_{3S_{1}} (R_{p}^{2} + R_{p'}^{2}) V(^{3}S_{1} - ^{3}D_{1}) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\xi_{N}}{9} \left[\hat{C}_{3S_{1}}^{LO} + 9\sqrt{2}m_{N}^{2}C_{3D_{1}-3S_{1}}^{3} \right] R_{p}^{2} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}\xi_{N}}{9} C_{3S_{1}}^{LO} R_{p}^{2}R_{p'}^{2} = \xi_{N}\tilde{C}_{3D_{1}-3S_{1}}R_{p}^{2} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}\xi_{N}}{9} C_{3S_{1}}^{LO} R_{p}^{2}R_{p'}^{2} V(^{3}D_{1} - ^{3}S_{1}) = \xi_{N}\tilde{C}_{3D_{1}-3S_{1}}R_{p}^{2} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}\xi_{N}}{9} C_{3S_{1}}^{LO} R_{p}^{2}R_{p'}^{2}$$

J=2: 3P2 partial wave $V({}^{3}P_{2}) = C_{{}^{3}P_{2}}^{NLO} p p' = \tilde{C}_{{}^{3}P_{2}} \frac{p p'}{4m_{N}^{2}}$

Finally, we have 9 LECs to be fixed:

$$C_{1S_0}^{LO}, C_{3S_1}^{LO}, \tilde{C}_{1S_0}, \tilde{C}_{3P_0}, \tilde{C}_{1P_1}, \tilde{C}_{3P_1}, \tilde{C}_{3S_1}, \tilde{C}_{3D_1-3S_1}, \tilde{C}_{3P_2}$$

Same number of contact terms as the non-relativistic NLO case

One-Pion exchange potential up to NLO₂₈

OPE potential

$$V_{\text{OPE}} = -\frac{g_A^2}{4f_\pi^2} \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \frac{1}{\omega_q} \frac{\left(\bar{u}_3 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q_\mu u_1\right) \left(\bar{u}_4 \gamma^\nu \gamma_5 q_\nu u_2\right)}{\omega_p + \omega_{p'} + \omega_q - E - i\epsilon}$$

Expand the nucleon energy expansion for OPEP at NLO

$$\sqrt{\omega(p, m_N) + m_N} = \sqrt{2m_N} + \frac{p^2}{4\sqrt{2} m_N^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(p^4)$$

- ✓ For simplicity, we include higher orders $O(p^4)$ for OPE potential
- Keep the full form of Dirac spinors
- Eliminate the energy dependence of OPEP (avoid the pole contribution)
 - ✓ Expand E at $\omega_p + \omega'_p$, then, we obtain contribution of OPEP at NLO

$$V_{\text{OPE}}^{\not E} = -\frac{g_A^2 \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2}{4f_\pi^2} \frac{1}{\omega_q^2} \left(\bar{u}_3 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q^\mu u_1 \right) \left(\bar{u}_4 \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 q^\nu u_2 \right) \longrightarrow \text{LO correction}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} +\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g_A^2 \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2}{4f_\pi^2} \right)^2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{m_N^2}{k^2 + m_N^2} \frac{\omega_{p'-k} + \omega_{p-k}}{\omega_{p'-k}^3 \omega_{p-k}^3} \\ \times \left[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot (\boldsymbol{p}' - \boldsymbol{k}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot (\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}) \right] \left[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot (\boldsymbol{p}' - \boldsymbol{k}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot (\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}) \right]. \end{cases}$$

Two-pion exchange potential at NLO

Follow our TOPT rules:

• Football diagram $V_F = \frac{1}{16f_{\pi}^4} \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(\omega_k + \omega_{k+q})(\omega_p + \omega_{p'}) + 4\omega_k \omega_{k+q} - E(\omega_k + \omega_{k+q})}{2\omega_k \omega_{k+q} (\omega_k + \omega_{k+q} + \omega_p + \omega_{p'} - E)}.$ • Triangle diagrams

$$V_{T+\tilde{T}}^{NN} = \frac{4m_N g_A^2 \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2}{128 f_{\pi}^4} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\left(\boldsymbol{k}^2 + (\boldsymbol{p}' - \boldsymbol{p}) \cdot \boldsymbol{k} \right) + \frac{i}{2} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}(a+b) \right] \frac{1}{\omega_k \omega_{k+q} \omega_{p-k}} \\ \times \left[\left(\omega_{k+q} - \omega_k \right) \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \right) + \left(\omega_k + \omega_{k+q} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \right) \right]$$

Energy denominator

• Planar and crossed box diagrams

$$\begin{split} V_{B} &= \frac{m_{N}^{2}g_{A}^{4}(3-2\tau_{1}\cdot\tau_{2})}{64f_{\pi}^{4}} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left[X_{1} + X_{2}\sigma_{1}\cdot\sigma_{2} + X_{3}\frac{i(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2})\cdot\mathbf{n}}{2} + X_{4}(\sigma_{1}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\sigma_{2}\cdot\mathbf{n}) + X_{5}(\sigma_{1}\cdot\mathbf{q})(\sigma_{2}\cdot\mathbf{q}) \right] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\omega_{k}\omega_{k+q}\omega_{p-k}^{2}} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}}}_{k} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}}}_{k} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{k} = a\mathbf{p} + b\mathbf{p}' + c(\mathbf{p}'\times\mathbf{p}) \\ X_{1} = [k^{2}+q\cdot\mathbf{k}]^{2}, \quad X_{2} = -c^{2}q^{2}[P^{2}q^{2}-(q\cdotP)^{2}], \quad X_{3} = -2(a+b)(k^{2}+(p'-p)\cdot\mathbf{k}), \\ X_{4} = -(a+b)^{2}+c^{2}q^{2}, \quad X_{5}c^{2}[P^{2}q^{2}-(q\cdotP)^{2}] \end{bmatrix} \\ V_{\tilde{B}} &= \frac{m_{N}^{2}g_{A}^{4}(3+2\tau_{1}\cdot\tau_{2})}{64f_{\pi}^{4}} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left[X_{1} + X_{2}\sigma_{1}\cdot\sigma_{2} + X_{4}(\sigma_{1}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\sigma_{2}\cdot\mathbf{n}) + X_{5}(\sigma_{1}\cdot\mathbf{q})(\sigma_{2}\cdot\mathbf{q}) \right] \\ &\times \frac{1}{\omega_{k}\omega_{k+q}\omega_{p-k}\omega_{p'+k}} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}}}_{k} + \underbrace{$$

UV Divergent terms and power counting breaking terms are removed by using the subtractive renormalization

Consistency check in large m_N limit for TPEP₃₀

Take $m_N = 1000 m_N^{\text{Phys.}}$ for our Two-Pion Exchange potential

- Iterated in the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (with cutoff=600MeV)
- Phase shifts for single channels (e.g. ${}^{1}D_{2}$)



Our TPE potential (NLO) passed the consistency check!

Phase shifts of D, F, G waves at NLO 31

Use OPE + TPE potentials to predict the phase shifts

- No free parameters: $f_{\pi} = 92.4$ MeV, $g_A = 1.267$
- Solve the Kadyshevsky equation with cutoff $\Lambda \sim 400-900~MeV$
 - ✓ Exponential form factor: $F(p) = \exp(-p^{2n}/\Lambda^{2n})$ with n=2



Description of phase shifts with cutoff=600-900 MeV are (globally) similar!

Phase shifts of D, F, G waves at NLO 32

Use OPE + TPE potentials to predict the phase shifts

- No free parameters: $f_{\pi} = 92.4$ MeV, $g_A = 1.267$
- Solve the Kadyshevsky equation with cutoff $\Lambda \sim 400-900~MeV$

✓ Exponential form factor: $F(p) = \exp(-p^{2n}/\Lambda^{2n})$ with n=2

 Our NLO results is improved in comparison with our LO study and consistent with the case of non-rel. NLO.



Numerical details of our NLO fit

9 LECs are determined by fitting

- **NPWA**: *p-n* scattering phase shifts of Nijmegen 93
- 7 partial waves: ${}^{1}S_{0}, {}^{3}P_{0}, {}^{1}P_{1}, {}^{3}P_{1}, {}^{3}S_{1}, \epsilon_{1}, {}^{3}P_{2}$

• 35 data points: <u>5 data points for each partial wave (Elab ≤ 50 MeV)</u>

- LECs can be determined separately with different partial waves
- Fit-chi-square: $\tilde{\chi}^2 = \sum_i \left(\delta_i^{\text{Theory}} \delta_i^{\text{Nij93}}\right)^2.$

Solve the Kadyshevsky equation numerically

Exponential regulator (first attempt)

$$F(p) = \exp(-p^{2n}/\Lambda^{2n})$$
 with n=2

E.Epelbaum, W. Glockle, U.-G. Meißner, NPA671,295(2000)

• Take cutoff $\Lambda = 600 \text{ MeV}$

V. Stoks et al., PRC48(1993)792

33

Phase shifts of S and P waves at NLO

Fitting results:

Low-energy constants with $\Lambda = 600 \text{ MeV}$

34



- NLO calculation can achieve rather good description of phase shifts
- Consistent with the non-relativistic case

Study of NNLO potential in TOPT

Time ordered diagrams up to NNLO



$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(2)} = \bar{\Psi}_N \left\{ c_1 \langle \chi + \rangle - \frac{c_2}{4m_N^2} \langle u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \left(D_\mu D_\nu + \text{ h.c. } \right) + \frac{c_3}{2} \langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle - \frac{c_4}{4} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \left[u_\mu, u_\nu \right] \right\} \Psi_N$$

Two-pion exchange potential at NNLO

□ Follow our TOPT rules:

Football diagrams



No contribution!

Triangle diagrams



- UV Divergent terms
- Power-counting breaking terms
- are removed by using the subtractive renormalization

Consistency check in large m_N limit ₃₇

Take $m_N = 1000 m_N^{\text{Phys.}}$ for our Two-Pion Exchange potential at NNLO

- Fix couplings $c_1 = -1.22$, $c_2 = 3.58$, $c_3 = -6.04$, $c_4 = 3.48$ GeV⁻¹ D.-L.Yao et al., JHEP05(2016)038
- Iterated in the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (with cutoff=800MeV)
- Compare with non-relativistic TPEP at NNLO (neglecting 1/m_N correction terms)
- Phase shifts from both approaches are consistent



Our TPE potential at NNLO passed the consistency check!

Phase shifts of F, G waves at NNLO

Use OPE + TPE(NLO) + TPE(NNLO) potentials to predict the phase shifts

• Solve the Kadyshevsky equation with cutoff $\Lambda \sim 400 - 900 \text{ MeV}$



More analyses/results will be reported soon

- Affects of the values of $c_{1,2,3,4}$ to TPEP at NNLO
- Regularization schemes
 - ✓ Exponential regulator: distorts the long-range part of the interaction
 - ✓ Semi-local regulator:

 $V_{\rm NN}^{\pi}(\mathbf{r}) \to \left(1 - \exp\left[-\left(r^2/R^2\right)\right]\right)^n V_{\rm NN}^{\pi}(\mathbf{r})$ $\delta(\mathbf{r}) \to C \to \exp\left[-\left(\left(p^2 + p'^2\right)/\Lambda^2\right)^n\right] C$



E.Epelbaum, et al., PRL(2015)

38

Summary

- We proposed a systematic framework to formulate the NN interactions based on the time-ordered perturbation theory using the manifestly Lorentz invariant effective Lagrangian
 - Obtained the rules of time-ordered diagrams with spin-1/2 fermions
 - Derived the Kadyshevsky equation self-consistently
 - ✓ Effective potential and the scattering equation are obtained within the same framework
 - Obtained non-singular LO potential, which is perturbatively renormalizable
 - $\checkmark\,$ Avoid finite-cutoff artefacts and take cutoff $\Lambda \to \infty$
 - Formulated the chiral potential up to NNLO
 - ✓ Calculated the complicated two-pion-exchange potential at one-loop level
 - ✓ As a first step, NNLO potential is treated **non-perturbatively**
 - ✓ Achieved a rather reasonable description of phase shifts

Future perspectives

Investigate the energy-independent potential at NNLO

Follow the idea of energy-independent OPEP

 $V(E;k,q) = V\left(\omega_k + \omega_q; p', p\right) + \left(E - \omega_k - \omega_q\right) \frac{\partial V(E;k,p)}{\partial E}|_{E=\omega_k + \omega_q} + \frac{\left(E - \omega_k - \omega_q\right)^2}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 V(E,k,p)}{\partial E^2}|_{E=\omega_k + \omega_q} + \cdots$

- Can be applied to the whole two-pion-exchange potentials
- This will be more convenient for many-body calculations
- Perturbatively include NLO/NNLO contributions
 - Based on our non-singular LO potential, all divergences of the amplitude can be systematically removed ($\Lambda \sim \infty$)
- In the long run, apply symmetry preserving regularization to investigate the chiral potential
 - e.g. preserve chiral symmetry

J. Behrendt, E. Epelbaum, J. Gegelia, U.-G. Meißner and A. Nogga, Eur. Phys. J. A 52,296 (2016).

Thank you for your altention!