NUCLEAR ASPECTS OF STRONG CP VIOLATION Sachin Shain

With Jordy de Vries, Alex Gnech, , Wouter Dekens

University of Massachusetts Amherst BE REVOLUTIONARY

The 10th International Workshop on Chiral Dynamics November 15 – 19, 2021, Beijing, China (online)

Electric Dipole Moments (EDMs)

• EDMs are great probes for CP violation

$$+d_e(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{E}) = d_e(+\vec{\sigma}\cdot+\vec{E}) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{-T} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{d}_e(-\vec{\sigma}\cdot+\vec{E}) = -d_e(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{E})$$

CPT Theorem: T Violation \leftrightarrow CP Violation

• SM sources are phase of the CKM matrix and QCD $\bar{\theta}$ term

• BSM CP-odd sources

Electric Dipole Moments (EDMs)



Shahida Dar '00

Effective CP-odd Lagrangians



Hierarchy of CPV nuclear forces

CP-even potential



CP-odd potential

 $\bar{g}_0 \bar{N}(\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi}) N$





 $\bar{N}N\bar{N}N$







C. Maekawa et al '11

QCD theta term

• General quark mass Lagrangian

 $\bar{m} = \frac{m_u + m_d}{2}$ $\varepsilon = \frac{m_u - m_d}{m_u + m_d}$

$$m_* = \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d}$$

• Can be included in χ PT as: $\chi = 2B(\bar{m} + \varepsilon \bar{m}\tau^3) \rightarrow 2B(\bar{m} + \varepsilon \bar{m}\tau^3 + im_*\bar{\theta})$

 $\mathcal{L} = -\bar{m}\bar{q} - \varepsilon\bar{m}\bar{q}\tau^3 q + im_*\bar{\theta}\bar{q}\gamma^5 q$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi} = -\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{2}\pi^2 - \delta m_N^{\text{str}} \bar{N} \tau^3 N + \bar{g}_0 \bar{N} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} N \qquad \text{R. Crewther et al '79}$$

G. 't Hooft '76

V. Baluni '79

QCD theta term

• EDMs are great probes for CP violation

 $\bar{m} = \frac{m_u + m_d}{2}$

Strong proton-neutron mass splitting

QCD theta term

• EDMs are great probes for CP violation

 $\varepsilon = \frac{m_u - m_d}{m_u + m_d}$ $\mathcal{L} = -\bar{m}\bar{q} - \varepsilon\bar{m}\bar{q}\tau^3q + m_*\bar{\theta}\bar{q}i\gamma^5q$ G. 't Hooft '76 V. Baluni '79 $m_* = \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\chi} = -\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{2}\pi^2 - \delta m_N^{\text{str}} \bar{N} \tau^3 N + \bar{g}_0 \bar{N} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} N$ R. Crewther et al '79 $\bar{g}_0 = \frac{\delta m_N^{\text{str}} (1 - \varepsilon^2)}{4F_{-\varepsilon}} \bar{\theta} = -(14.7 \pm 2.3) \cdot 10^{-3} \bar{\theta}$

J. De Vries et al '15 D. A. Brantley et al '16

 $\bar{m} = \frac{m_u + m_d}{2}$

EDMs of Light nuclei

• Chiral Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi} = \bar{g}_0 \bar{N} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} N + \bar{g}_1 \bar{N} \pi_3 N + \frac{1}{4} \bar{N} (\bar{d}_0 + \bar{d}_1 \tau_3) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N F_{\alpha\beta} + \dots$$

• He EDM in terms of LECs

 $d_{^{3}\text{He}} = 0.90(1)d_n - 0.03(1)d_p + [0.1191)\bar{g}_0 + 0.14(2)\bar{g}_1]e \text{ fm}$ J. Basisou et al '15

• LEC values

$$\bar{g}_0 = -14.7(2.3) \times 10^{-3}\bar{\theta}$$

 $\bar{g}_1 = -3.4(2.4) \times 10^{-3}\bar{\theta}$

• He EDM J. De Vries et al '15

$$d_{^{3}He}(\bar{\theta}) = -2.5(0.8) \times 10^{-3}\bar{\theta}e \text{ fm}$$

Jack Dragos et al '19

At LO, OPE is enough? Does short-range interaction play a role in LO?



 $d_n = -0.00125(71)\overline{\theta}e \text{ fm}$ $d_p = 0.0011(10)\overline{\theta}e \text{ fm}$ Jack Dragos et al '19

CP-even Chiral Lagrangian



Lippmann-Schwinger (LS) Equation

• LS equation for wave function

$$(H_0 + V)\psi = E\psi \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \langle p|\psi\rangle = \langle p|\phi\rangle + \langle p|\frac{1}{E - H_0}V|\psi\rangle$$
LS equation for T-matrix:

$$T_{\text{str}} = V + VG_0T_{\text{str}}$$

$$\vec{p}' = -\vec{p}'$$

$$T_{\text{str}}^{\alpha'\alpha}(p', p, E_{CM}) = V_{\text{str}}^{\alpha'\alpha}(p', p) + \sum_{\alpha''}\int dp'' \, p''^2 V_{\text{str}}^{\alpha'\alpha} \quad (p', p'')G_0(p''^2)T_{\text{str}}^{\alpha''\alpha}(p'', p, E_{CM})$$

 $\alpha = \alpha((ls)jm_j, tm_t)$

۲

Cut-off Regulator (Λ)

• To solve LS equation numerically we need to introduce a regulator

$$f_{\Lambda}(p',p) = e^{-(p/\Lambda)^4} e^{-(p'/\Lambda)^4} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad V(p',p) \to V(p',p) f_{\Lambda}(p',p)$$

• Physics should not depend on the regulator!

$$T_{\rm str} = V + V G_0 T_{\rm str} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad S(E_{\rm CM}) = 1 - i\pi m_N^{3/2} E_{\rm CM}^{1/2} T(p = p' = \sqrt{E_{\rm CM} m_N})$$

S-matrix Parameterization

- Uncoupled channel { α }: $S = e^{2i\delta}$
- coupled channel { α_1, α_2 }: $S = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2i\delta_0} \cos 2\epsilon & ie^{i\delta_0 + i\delta_2} \sin 2\epsilon \\ ie^{i\delta_0 + i\delta_2} \sin 2\epsilon & e^{2i\delta_2} \cos 2\epsilon \end{pmatrix}$





CP-even Phase Shifts : 3S1-3D1

 $---- E_{CM} = 5 \text{ MeV} ----- E_{CM} = 25 \text{ MeV}$ $----- E_{CM} = 50 \text{ MeV} ----- E_{CM} = 95 \text{ MeV}$

NDA Works





CP-even Phase Shifts : 3S1-3D1



CP-even Phase Shifts : 3S1-3D1

- $\Lambda = 20 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ ---- Nijmegen PWA



CP-even Phase Shifts : 3P0





Why NDA fails?

• Consider OPE potential in position basis

 $V_{\mathrm{str},\pi}(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) \sim [-4T(r) + Y(r)(\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_1 \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_2)],$

 \rightarrow Attractive tensor force leads to cut-off dependence

$$T(r) = \frac{e^{-m_{\pi}r}}{m_{\pi}r} \left[1 + \frac{3}{m_{\pi}r} + \frac{3}{(m_{\pi}r)^2} \right],$$
$$Y(r) = \frac{e^{-m_{\pi}r}}{m_{\pi}r}$$

• Solution : promote N²LO contact potential to LO. A. Nogga et al'05



CP-even Phase Shifts : 3P0



CP-odd chiral Potentials



$$\bar{g}_0 = \frac{\delta m_N^{\rm str} (1 - \varepsilon^2)}{4F_\pi \varepsilon} \bar{\theta}$$

Is \overline{C}_0 indeed next-to-next-to-leading order?

T-matrix and S-matrix

• T-matrix:

$$CP-even calculation$$

$$T_{\bar{g}_0} = V_{\bar{g}_0} + V_{\bar{g}_0}G_0T_{\rm str} + T_{\rm str}G_0V_{\bar{g}_0} + T_{\rm str}G_0V_{\bar{g}_0}G_0T_{\rm str}$$

 $T = T_{\rm str} + T_{\bar{g}_0}$







Why NDA fails?

- There is no sign of convergence.
- In practice one uses higher order chiral wavefunctions with limited range in Λ .



Why NDA fails?

- There is no sign of convergence.
- In practice one uses higher order chiral wavefunctions with limited range in Λ .
- Topology of the diagram responsible for divergence in j=0



• Solution: Promote N²LO contact term to LO



 $C_{s}(^{1}S_{0})$

g_A

 \bar{g}_0

 $C_{\rm p} ({}^{3}P_{\rm 0})$









How to deal with \bar{C}_0 ?

- Best way is to fit with the measurement of
 - \rightarrow This is at present not possible!

$$-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{i\bar{C}_0}{2}\left[\vec{\boldsymbol{q}}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)+\frac{1}{3}\vec{\boldsymbol{q}}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)(\vec{\tau}_1\cdot\vec{\tau}_2)\right]$$

- 1. Lattice QCD calculation for NN \rightarrow NN, in non-zero $\bar{\theta}$ background.
 - \rightarrow Major challenge is to overcome signal-to-noise ratio

= 0 for j =1

J. Dragos et al'19

How to deal with \bar{C}_0 ?



$$\mathcal{L}_{NN} = -\frac{iC_0}{8} \operatorname{Tr}[\chi_{-}] \left[\bar{N} \boldsymbol{\sigma} N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\bar{N}N) + \frac{1}{3} \bar{N} \vec{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\bar{N} \vec{\tau}N) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CSB} = -\frac{\delta m_N^{\text{str}}}{4F_\pi^2} \bar{N} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} \pi^0 N + \frac{C_0 B(m_d - m_u)}{2F_\pi} \pi^0 \left[\bar{N} \boldsymbol{\sigma} N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\bar{N}N) + \frac{1}{3} \bar{N} \vec{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\bar{N} \vec{\tau}N) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{g}_0} = \overline{g_0} \bar{N} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\pi} N + \overline{C_0} \left[\bar{N} \boldsymbol{\sigma} N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\bar{N}N) + \frac{1}{3} \bar{N} \vec{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} N \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} (\bar{N} \vec{\tau}N) \right]$$

$$\bar{C}_0 = (Bm_* \bar{\theta}) C_0$$
Simplest process where CSB data is available : $pn \to d\pi^0$ not sensitive to \mathbf{C}_0

Simplest process where CSB data is available : $pn \rightarrow d\pi^0$

Interested case: $dd \rightarrow \alpha \pi^0$ CSB data can be used to extract C₀

WASA-at COSY collab: P. Adlarson et al'14

More CP-odd operators

Another LO CP-odd chiral Lagrangian (leads to iso-vector potential),

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N} = \bar{g}_1 \bar{N} \pi^0 N$$

Similar to
$$\bar{g}_0$$
 : $j = 1$ there is no regulator dependence
 $j = 0$ same regulator dependence as \bar{g}_0 (upto an isospin factor)



 $\Rightarrow \overline{C}_1$ at LO should be included in the EDM calculations of ³He, ¹⁹⁹Hg, ²²⁵Ra

P-odd operators

P-odd chiral Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N} = \frac{h_{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{N} (\vec{\pi} \times \vec{\tau})^3 N$$

D. B. Kaplan et al'94

j = 0, 1 there are no regulator dependence

Value of h_{π} determined from P-odd np $\rightarrow d\gamma$ can be used in p-odd observables

D. Blyth et al'18



Axions

The QCD Lagrangian



Strong CP problem

$$\bar{\theta} < 1.2 \times 10^{-10}$$

C. Abel et al. '20 Ciaran A J O'Hare et al '20



Axions

The QCD Lagrangian



By choosing 'correct' vacuum expectation value for axion, we can cancel the theta-term

$$\mathcal{L} = -\left(\bar{\theta} + \frac{a}{f_a}\right) \frac{g_s}{8\pi} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow a \to a + \langle a \rangle \text{ with } \langle a \rangle = -f_a \bar{\theta}$$

Axions

We do not want terms in the fom:
$$\mathcal{L} \sim \bar{q} i \gamma_5 t_3 q \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} \sim \pi^0$$

We can remove these by:
$$q \to e^{i\alpha_3 t_3 \gamma_5} q \Rightarrow \bar{q}q \to \alpha_3 \bar{q}it_3 \gamma_5 q$$

But we also have terms like:
$$\mathcal{L} \sim a \bar{q} i t_3 \gamma_5 q$$
 Thus $\alpha_3 \sim a$

Since, $q \to e^{i\alpha_3 t_3 \gamma_5} q \Rightarrow \bar{q} i \gamma_5 q \to \alpha_3 \bar{q} q$ we can expect axion-(SM CP-even terms)

If Peccei-Quinn mechanism exists, we expect CP-odd couplings

which we can check experimentally!

Axion-SM couplings

CP-odd axion-SM terms



Put two test masses in orbit around the Earth Measure the difference in their acceleration.

J E Moody , F Wilczek '84



Axion-SM couplings

CP-odd axion-SM terms



Put two test masses in orbit around the Earth Measure the difference in their acceleration.

J E Moody , F Wilczek '84

EDM limits still gives the most stringent constrains



Axion-SM couplings

CP-odd axion-SM terms

EDM limits still gives the most stringent constrains



Summary

- Nuclear EDMs are excellent probes for CP-violation.
- Nuclear EDMs can be written as linear combination of low energy constants:
- For j=0 channel, nucleon-nucleon effects becomes important and should not be neglected as currently done in the literature.
- We done the calculations with intrinsic nucleon-nucleon effects.
- Proposed some strategies to obtain LEC \overline{C}_0 : CSB deuteron scattering.
- We created list of all CPV axion-(hadrons, mesons, leptons) interactions using dim-6 LEFT operators.
- EDM limits are more stringent than macroscopic experiments



Our work: Phys. Rev. C 103, L012501



 $d_{^{3}\text{He}} = 0.90(1)d_n - 0.03(1)d_p + [0.11(1)\bar{g}_0 + 0.14(2)\bar{g}_1]e \text{ fm}$