

Chiral Dynamics: Theory and Experiment – a Tribute to Aron Bernstein – Ulf-G. Meißner, Univ. Bonn & FZ Jülich

- **•** Introductory remarks
- **•** Threshold pion photoproduction off nucleons
- **•** The chiral anomaly and the neutral pion lifetime
- **•** Summary & outlook

Introductory remarks

Aron Bernstein - a very short CV

- **•** Born April 6, 1931, grew up in Brooklyn & Queens
- **•** BSc in physics, Union College (Schenectady, N.Y.), 1953
- **•** PhD in physics, Univ. of Pennsylvania, 1958
- **•** Postdoc, Princeton Univ., nuclear physics research
- **•** Assistant professor of physics, MIT, 1961
	- ←→ low-energy NP at the Markle Cyclotron
- **•** Associate professor of physics, MIT, 1966 (**→** Weisskopf)
- **•** Full professor of physics, MIT, 1975
	- *,***→** became interested in low-energy QCD **∼ 1990**
	- → initiated the Chiral Dynamics series w/ Barry Holstein
	- ←→ Chiral Dynamics: Theory and Experiment, MIT, 1994
	- ← rather unusual format (real working groups!)
	- ←→ Humboldt fellow at Mainz Univ., many visits & works
- **•** A life-long arms control activist **+ · · ·**
- **†** Jan. 14, 2020

©Susan Godhor

Aron Bernstein - a few reminicences ⁵

Aron Bernstein - a few more reminicences ⁶

• When I was an undergraduate at Bochum (**∼ 1979**) studying QFT using Schweber's book on p. 595

Present experimental indications [Bernstein and Mann (Bernstein 1958) and Moffat and Stringfellow (Moffat 1960)] are that Delbrück scattering is more likely to exist than not. Experimental verification whether such a

• Bernstein and Mann (Bernstein 1958)

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 110, NUMBER 4

MAY 15, 1958

Scattering of Gamma Rays by a Static Electric Field*†

A. M. BERNSTEINT AND A. K. MANN University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Received January 20, 1958)

In an effort to observe Delbrück scattering (the scattering of photons by a static electric field), the absolute differential cross sections for the elastic scattering of 1.33-Mev gamma rays by lead, tin, and uranium and of 2.62-Mev gamma rays by lead and tin have been measured for angles between 15 and 105 degrees. The observed scattering is the coherent sum of Delbrück, Rayleigh (bound electron), and nuclear Thomson scattering. The amplitude for the latter process is well known; recent calculations of Rayleigh scattering, which are in good agreement with data obtained previously in this laboratory for gamma-ray energies below 1 Mev, provide exact values of the amplitudes at 1.33 Mev and approxi-

mate values at 2.62 Mev. At 1.33 Mev, the difference between the observed scattering and that due to the Rayleigh and Thomson processes is not sufficiently large compared with experimental error to permit a definite identification of Delbrück scattering to be made. At 2.62 Mev, for lead, the experimental cross sections at intermediate angles (30 to 75 degrees) are substantially larger than those calculated by extrapolation of the exact calculations, even when reasonable allowance for error in the extrapolation is made. The most probable explanation for this difference is an appreciable contribution from Delbrück scattering.

Fro. 8. Differential cross section for the elastic scattering of Fig. 6. Encounter trues section for the entirely and 75°, only limiting values are available.

The Chiral Dynamics series 1998 1999 Lecture Notes in Physics 1999 1998 Lecture Notes in Physics

• Started 1994 at MIT with a unique format

→ talks and working groups

Threshold Photo/Electro Pion Production -**Working Group Summary**

Ulf-G. $Mei\beta ner^1$, B. Schoch²

¹ Centre de Recherches Nucléaire, Physique Théorique, BP 28 Cr, F-67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2. France ² Universität Bonn, Institut für Physik, Nußallee 12, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

1 Introduction

Over the last few years, pion production off nucleons by real or virtual photons has become a central issue in the study of the non-perturbative structure of the nucleon, i.e. at low energies. Here, developments in detector and accelerator technology on the experimental side as well as better calculational tools on the theoretical one have allowed to gain more insight into detailed aspects of these processes and the physics behind them. One main trigger were the two papers by the Saclay and the Mainz groups [1,2], which seemed to indicate the violation of a so-called low energy theorem for the reaction $\gamma p \to \pi^0 p$. This lead to a flurry of further experimental and theoretical investigations. Another cornerstone was the rather precise electroproduction measurement $\gamma^* p \to \pi^0 p$ at NIKHEF [3]. Here, we wish to summarize the state of the art in calculating and measuring these processes in the threshold region. Furthermore, we outline what we believe have crystalized as the pertinent activities to be done in the near future.

- **•** Aron left this editorial work to the young people starting 2003
- **•** but he kept on pushing physics related to chiral dynamics!

Threshold pion photoproduction off the nucleon

The LET crisis ⁹

• The Low-Energy Theorem (LET) for $\gamma p \to \pi^0 p$:

de Baenst (1969), Vainsthein, Zakharov (1970)

$$
E_{0+, \text{thr}} = -\frac{e g_{\pi N}}{8 \pi m_N} \left[\mu - \frac{1}{2} (3 + \kappa_p) \mu^2 \right] = -2.3 \cdot \frac{10^{-3}}{M_{\pi}} \left[\mu = \frac{M_{\pi}}{m_N} \right]
$$

• Saclay measurement 1986 ←→ much smaller XS than expected

Mazzucato et al., PRL **57** (1986) 3144

$$
E_{0+, {\rm thr}} = (-0.5 \pm 0.3)\cdot 10^{-3}/M_\pi
$$

• Mainz measurement 1990 ←→ much more precise, 2 solutions Beck et al., PRL **65** (1990) 1841

$$
\begin{aligned} E^{\rm I}_{0+, \rm{thr}} &= (-0.25 \pm 0.19) \cdot 10^{-3}/M_\pi \\ E^{\rm II}_{0+, \rm{thr}} &= (-1.61 \pm 0.16) \cdot 10^{-3}/M_\pi \end{aligned}
$$

⇒ the LET is violated! \leftrightarrow a flurry of th'y activities to resurrect it

All ways lead to Rome? 10

• A. M. Bernstein and B. R. Holstein, "Threshold pion photoproduction and chiral invariance," Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. **20** (1991) no.4, 197-220

We conclude then that all roads do indeed lead to "Rome." Chiral symmetry, when applied consistently, leads to unambiguous predictions for the threshold values of pion photoproduction amplitudes while the energy dependence of the E_{0+} multipole can be approximately estimated with a simple K -matrix approach. The predictions obtained thereby are concisely summarized in Table I, and experimental and theoretical expectations are seen to be quite consistent in the three channels for which data is available provided

Bernard, Kaiser, Gasser, UGM, Phys. Lett. **B 268** (1991) 219

- **•** Renalysis of the LET to one loop in baryon chiral perturbation theory:
	- → Taylor expansion in the energy is not well behaved

or: the "harmless assumption" is wrong

$$
Amp(a) + Amp(b) = \frac{\pi^2}{4}\mu^2 \neq 0
$$

⇒ so the LET really reads:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n m_{11} & m_{12} & \cdots & m_{1n} & \cdots & m_{1n} \\
\hline\n m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & \cdots & m_{1n} \\
\hline\n m_{21} & m_{21} & m_{21} & \cdots & m_{2n} \\
\hline\n m_{31} & m_{31} & m_{31} & \cdots & m_{3n} \\
\hline\n m_{42} & m_{43} & m_{44} & \cdots & m_{4n} \\
\hline\n m_{51} & m_{52} & m_{53} & \cdots & m_{5n} \\
\hline\n m_{61} & m_{62} & m_{63} & \cdots & m_{6n} \\
\hline\n m_{71} & m_{72} & m_{73} & \cdots & m_{7n} \\
\hline\n m_{82} & m_{83} & m_{84} & \cdots & m_{8n} \\
\hline\n m_{91} & m_{91} & m_{92} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{13} & m_{14} & m_{15} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{14} & m_{15} & m_{16} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{15} & m_{16} & m_{17} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{16} & m_{17} & m_{18} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{17} & m_{18} & m_{19} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{18} & m_{19} & m_{19} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{19} & m_{10} & m_{11} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{15} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{13} & m_{15} & m_{16} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{14} & m_{15} & m_{16} & \cdots & m_{9n} \\
\hline\n m_{15} & m_{16} & m
$$

$$
E_{0+, {\rm thr}} = - \frac{e g_{\pi N}}{8 \pi m_N} \left[\mu - \left(\frac{3 + \kappa_p}{2} + \frac{m_N^2}{16 F_\pi^2} \right) \mu^2 + {\cal O}(\mu^3) \right]
$$

- **•** large correction to the second term **→** convergence?
- must calculate the $\mathcal{O}(\mu^3)$ corrections \rightarrow done by BKM

The proper LET verified 12 and 1

- **•** Two parallel but interwined strands: Th'y & Exp.
- **•** Theory: V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, UGM
-

Multipole amplitudes

This work

LET

 E_{\sim} / MeV

Amplitude

→ and even more precise P-wave LETs tested

 $ChPT$ [21]

E_{0+} - state of the art

• CHPT review in 2007 in *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*

Chiral Perturbation Theory

Véronique Bernard¹ and Ulf-G. Meißner²

Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 2007. 57:33-60 First published online as a Review in Advance on March 6, 2007 The Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science is

online at http://nucl.annualreviews.org This article's doi: 10.1146/annurev.nucl.56.080805.140449

Copyright © 2007 by Annual Reviews.

Key Words effective field theory, quantum chromodynamics

Abstract

This review gives a brief introduction to chiral perturbation theory in its various settings. We discuss some applications of recent interest, including chiral extrapolations for lattice gauge theory.

Figure 5

The real part of the electric dipole amplitude E_{0+} in the threshold region. The red line is the one-loop CHPT prediction (106, 112), and the blue data points are the most recent measurements from **MAMI** (113).

• Many years of dedicated measurements

of $\gamma p \to \pi^0 p$ in the threshold region

[106] BKM, ZPhysC70 (1996) [112] BKM, EPJA11 (2001) [113] Schmidt et al, PRL87 (2001)

• A flagship for the fruitful interplay of experiment and theory in chiral dynamics – exactly what was in Aron's mind!

More threshold photoproduction - the cusp

• Early prediction of a unitary cusp Wigner (1948)

$$
\begin{aligned} E_{0+}^{\gamma p &\rightarrow \pi^0 p}(k_\gamma) = A_0(k_\gamma) + i\beta\,q_+ \\ \beta &= E_{0+}^{\gamma p &\rightarrow \pi^+ n} \cdot a_{\rm CEX}^{\pi^+ n \leftrightarrow \pi^0 p} \end{aligned}
$$

Höhler, Mühlensiefen (1968), Fäldt (1980), Laget (1981), ...

- **•** Aron pointed towards the importance of measuring *β* to get a handle on $a_{\text{CEX}}^{\bm{\pi^+ n \leftrightarrow \pi^0 p}}$ to test chiral dynamics Bernstein, piN Newsletter **11** (1995) 66
- **•** First extraction of the unitary cusp from MAMI data in 1997
	- **→ consistent with one-loop CHPT**
	- **→ consistent with unitary model**
	- *,***→** points towards the need of polarization measurements!

Even more threshold photoproduction - isospin breaking

• Aron was inspired by Weinberg's 1977 paper **→** huge isospin violation

THE PROBLEM OF MASS*

$$
a(\pi^{\circ}n+\pi^{\circ}n) = 1.9 \times 10^{-15} \text{cm}
$$
 (39)

$$
a(16p+16p) = 1.4 \times 10-15 cm
$$
 (40)

Steven Weinberg** Lyman Laboratory of Physics Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Isospin conservation would say that these should be equal - instead, we see that they differ by over 30%.

Trans.New York Acad.Sci. 38 (1977) 185

• Aron's photoproduction masterpiece

- → 3-channel generalization of the Fermi-Watson theorem
- → proposal to measure the target asymmetry *T* to determine isospin \mathcal{D} violation in β resp. $a_{\text{CEX}}^{\bm{\pi^+ n \leftrightarrow \pi^0 p}}$ **CEX**
- \hookrightarrow proposal to measure $a(\pi^0 p)$ via $\text{Im } E_{0+}$ below the $\pi^+ n$ threshold, extremely challenging...

Even more threshold photoproduction - D waves

- **•** A further refinement of the theory:
	- → inclusion of D-wave effects
- T_1 -coefficient (analogue of $B \sim P_1(\theta)$)
	- $T_1 = 2\mathrm{Re}\,\left[E_{0+}P_{1}^{*}\right]$ **1** $\overline{}$ $+$ δT_1 $P_1 = 3E_{1+} + M_{1+} - M_{1-}$ δT_1 = P/D-wave interference
- **•** Use the MAMI data of Schmidt et al.

→ polarization observables significantly affected

Threshold pion photoproduction - the final word?

✬

 \searrow

Abstract of Physics:

PRL 111, 062004 (2013)

 $\overline{}$

• An experimental proposal → further insight (with a mild but...) First measurement of the energy dependence of the photon \sim $\sqrt{22}$ $\overline{\mathsf{S}}$ Exp.-Nr. A2-10/09 (a) Eingang: 15.05.2009 an PAC: 18.05.2009 -0.6 **Ω**Mainz Microtron MAMI Most accurate measurement of *dσ/d* $E_{\rm opt}$ ependence Collaboration A2: "Tagged Photons' • Most accurate measurement of $d\sigma$ Spokesperson: A. Thomas ድ
ድ -1.4 Proposal for an Experiment 11 Measurement of Polarized Target and Beam Asymmetries in $P_1/q (10^{-3}/m_{\pi}^2)$ Pion Photo-Production on the Proton: Test of Chiral Dynamics (b) 10.5 Spokespersons for the Experiment: M. Ostrick - Mainz, D. Hornidge - Mt. Allison, 10 W. Deconinck, A.M. Bernstein - M.I.T. Ť energy 9.5 <u>ឹ</u> We propose to perform precise measurements of the $\vec{\gamma} \vec{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 p$ reaction from threshold 9 to partway up the Δ resonance using polarized beams and targets. These measure- -10 ments will provide an additional, stringent test of our current understanding that the P_2 /q (10⁻³/m $_{\pi^+}^2$) $\left(\mathrm{c} \right)$ pion is a Nambu-Goldstone boson due to the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking in of the QCD. Specifically we will test detailed predictions of chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) -11 and its energy region of convergence. This experiment will test strong isospin breaking due to the mass difference of the up and down quarks. The data on the (time reversal odd)transversely polarized target asymmetry $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y})$ will be sensitive to the πN phase -12 shifts and will provide information for neutral charge states $(\pi^0 p, \pi^+ n)$ in a region of energies that are not accessible to conventional πN scattering experiments. The data on the First measurement Ρe double polarization observable $F = A(\gamma_c, x)$ (circular polarized photons-transverse polar- -13 ized target) will be sensitive to the d-wave multipoles, which have recently been shown to be important in the near threshold region. 2 /m² $_{\pm}$ ¹ (d) week ending
9 AUGUST 2013 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS Accurate Test of Chiral Dynamics in the $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$ Reaction 11 D. Hornidge, 1.* P. Aguar Bartolomé, ² J. R. M. Annand, ³ H. J. Arends, ² R. Beck, ⁴ V. Bekrenev, ⁵ H. Berghäuser, ⁶ A. M. Bernstein,⁷ A. Braghieri,⁸ W. J. Briscoe,⁹ S. Cherepnya,¹⁰ M. Dieterle,¹¹ E. J. Downie,² P. Drexler,⁶ æ C. Fernández-Ramírez,¹² L. V. Filkov,¹⁰ D. I. Glazier,¹³ P. Hall Barrientos,¹³ E. Heid,² M. Hilt,² I. Jaegle, q O. Jahn, ² T. C. Jude, ¹³ V. L. Kashevarov, ^{10,2} I. Keshelashvili, ¹¹ R. Kondratiev, ¹⁴ M. Korolija, ¹⁵ A. Koulbardis, 150 160 190 140 170 180 D. Krambrich, ² S. Kruglov, ⁵ B. Krusche, ¹¹ A. T. Laffoley, ¹ V. Lisin, ¹⁴ K. Livingston, ³ I. J. D. MacGregor, J. Mancell,³ D. M. Manley,¹⁶ E. F. McNicoll,³ D. Mekterovic,¹⁵ V. Metag,⁶ S. Micanovic,¹⁵ D. G. Middleton,^{1,2} E_{γ} (MeV) K. W. Moores, ¹ A. Mushkarenkov, ⁸ B. M. K. Nefkens, ¹⁷ M. Oberle, ¹¹ M. Ostrick, ² P. B. Otte, ² B. Oussena, ² P. Pedroni, ⁸ F. Pheron, ¹¹ A. Polonski, ¹⁰ S. Prakhov, ¹⁷ J. Robinson, ³ T. Rostomyan, ¹¹ S. Scherer, ² S. Schumann, ² $\overbrace{\hspace{4.5cm}}^{ }$ $\overline{}$ M. H. Sikora,¹³ A. Starostin,¹⁷ I. Supek,¹⁵ M. Thiel,⁶ A. Thomas,² L. Tiator,² M. Unverzagt,² D. P. Watts, **•** D . Werthmüller.¹¹ and L. Witthauer¹

asymmetry

asymmetry

But: only statictically significant data from the cusp on

But: only statictically significant data from the cusp on

•

Σ

Threshold pion photoproduction - one last issue ¹⁸

- **•** Aron always asked me, how far above threshold one could go with HBCHPT?
- \bullet My answer always was: $E_{\gamma}^{\rm max} = 170$ MeV at most 180 MeV based on intuition
- **•** This was finally answered by Aron + CFP
	- \hookrightarrow compare HBCHPT at $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$

BKM, ZPhysC 70 (1996) 483; EPJA 11 (2001) 209

with unitarized HBCHPT (Im E_{0+} from unitarity)

and a phenomenological S & P-waves fit to the precise $d\sigma/d\Omega$, Σ data

 \hookrightarrow perfect agreement up to $E_\gamma = 170$ MeV

 \hookrightarrow marked deviations start at $E_\gamma = 180$ MeV

in neutral pion photoproduction

C. Fernández-Ramírez^{a,*}, A.M. Bernstein ^b

Physics Letters B 724 (2013) 253-258 Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

The chiral anomaly and the neutral pion lifetime

²⁰ **The neutral pion lifetime**

• The decay *π* **⁰ → 2***γ* has revealed **anomalous symmetry breaking**

→ quantum corrections break a symmetry of the classical theory

Bell, Jackiw (1969), Adler (1969)

*,***→** precise prediction at leading order:

$$
\boxed{\Gamma_{\pi^0 \gamma \gamma}^{\rm anom} = \frac{\alpha^2 M_\pi^3}{64 \pi^3 F_\pi^2} = 7.76 \text{ eV}}
$$

- **•** Early measurements inconclusive
	- \hookrightarrow experimentally difficult
	- → theoretical corrections?
- **•** Aron was intrigued by Bachir Moussallam's work ${\color{red}\mathsf{on}}\ \pi^0, \eta, {\color{red}\eta'} \to 2\gamma$ decays in CHPT when he had a sabbatical at MIT PRD **51** (1995) 4939

see also Donoghue, Holstein, Lin (1985), Bijnens, Bramon, Cornet (1988)

²¹ **The PrimEx proposal**

• Aron was one of the initiators of PrimEx @ Jefferson Lab

A Precision Measurement of the Neutral Pion Lifetime via the Primakoff Effect

TJNAF PAC 15 Proposal

December 17, 1998

K. A. Assamagan, L. Gan, A. Gasparian (spokesperson and contact person), W. Buck, J. Goity, P. Gueye, L. Tang, C. Keppel, K. Baker Hampton University, Hampton, VA

B. Asavapibhop, R. Hicks, D. Lawrence, R. Miskimen (spokesperson), G. Peterson, J. Shaw University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA

A. Ahmidouch, S. Danagoulian (spokesperson), C. Jackson, S. Mtingwa, R. Sawafta North Carolina A&T State University, Greensboro, NC

> D. Dale (spokesperson), T. Gorringe, W. Korsch, C. Popescu, V. Zeps, P. Zolnierczuk University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY

E. Chudakoff, R. Ent, V. Gyuriyan, M. Ito, B. Woitsekhowski J.P. Chen, D. Mack, E. Smith Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA

A. Bernstein, M. Distler, M. Pavan Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA

> A. Nathan University of Illinois, Urbana, IL

D. Sober, H. Crannell, A. Longhi The Catholic University of America, Washington, DC

W. Briscoe, L. Murphy George Washington University, Washington, DC

I. Aznauryan, H. Egiyan, S. Gevorgyan, A. Margaryan, K. Egiyan, S. Stepanyan, H. Voskanyan, A. Ketikyan, A. Shahinyan, Y. Sharabian, A. Petrosyan Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

> A. Glamazdin, A. Omelaenko Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

> > A. Afanasev North Carolina Central University, Durham, NC

B. Milbrath Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond, KY

A.I. Fix, V.A. Tryasuchev Tomsk Polytechnical University, Tomsk, Russia

Chiral corrections to the neutral pion lifetime

• First calcuations of corrections in the 1980ties **→** improve th'y precisions Donoghue, Holstein, Lin (1985), Bijnens, Bramon, Cornet (1988)

• Take up this task **→** two simultaneous papers:

Electromagnetic corrections in the anomaly sector

is non anomalous at order $e^2p^4,$ but not necessarily at higher order in e^2 cations to $\gamma \pi \to \pi \pi$ and to the $\pi^0 \to 2\gamma$ amplitudes are considered. In complete discussion of the corrections beyond current algebra is presente mass as well as electromagnetic effects.

$$
\Gamma_{\pi^0\gamma\gamma}=8.06\pm0.02\pm0.06~\text{eV}\qquad\quad \Gamma_{\pi^0}
$$

• Later refined to two loop accuracy **Example 3 and Exampt**, Moussallam (2009)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 66, 076014 (2002)

Decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ to next to leading order in chiral perturbation theory

J. L. Goity Department of Physics, Hampton University, Hampton, Virginia 23668 and Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, Virginia 23606

A. M. Bernstein Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

B. R. Holstein Department of Physics-LGRT, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003 (Received 1 June 2002; published 30 October 2002)

The $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decay width is analyzed within the combined framework of chiral perturbation theory and the $1/N_c$ expansion up to $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ and $\mathcal{O}(p^4 \times 1/N_c)$ in the decay amplitude. The η' is explicitly included in the analysis. It is found that the decay width is enhanced by about 4.5% due to the isospin-breaking induced mixing of the pure $U(3)$ states. This effect, which is of leading order in low energy expansion, is shown to persist nearly unchanged at next to leading order. The chief prediction with its estimated uncertainty is $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma} = 8.10 \pm 0.08$ eV. This prediction at the 1% level makes the upcoming precision measurement of the decay width even more urgent. Observations on the η and η' can also be made, especially about their mixing, which is shown to be significantly affected by next to leading order corrections.

 $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \gamma \gamma} = 8.10 \pm 0.08$ eV

 $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \gamma \gamma} = 8.09 \pm 0.11 \text{ eV}$ \rightarrow talk by Kampf

The PrimEX result 23

• Aron presented preliminary results at CD 2009 in Bern

Lifetime Measurement of the π^0 Meson and the QCD **Chiral Anomaly**

The $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decay rate is dominated by the chiral anomaly with 4.1 ± 1.0 % isospin breaking chiral corrections (proportional to the mass difference of the up and down quarks). A new measurement at Jefferson Lab using the Primakoff effect(PrimEx) is presented with a total error of 3.0%. Great care was taken to reduce systematic errors; this was checked with pair production and Compton scattering measurements. The result is consistent with previous experiments and the predicted value.

PRL 106, 162303 (2011)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

New Measurement of the π^0 Radiative Decay Width

I. Larin, ^{1,2} D. McNulty,³ E. Clinton,⁴ P. Ambrozewicz,² D. Lawrence,^{4,5} I. Nakagawa,^{6,7} Y. Prok,³ A. Teymurazyan,⁶ A. Ahmidouch, ² A. Asratyan, ¹ K. Baker, ⁸ L. Benton, ² A. M. Bernstein, ³ V. Burkert, ⁵ P. Cole, ⁹ P. Collins, ¹⁰ D. Dale, ⁹ 5. Danagoulian, ² G. Davidenko, ¹ R. Demirchyan, ² A. Deur, ⁵ A. Dolgolenko, ¹ G. Dzyubenko, ¹ R. Ent, ⁵ A. Evdokimov, ¹
J. Feng, ^{11,12} M. Gabrielyan, ⁶ L. Gan, ¹¹ A. Gasparian, ^{2,*} S. Gevorkyan, ¹ K. Hardy,² J. He, ¹⁶ M. Ito,⁵ L. Jiang, ^{11,12} D. Kashy,⁵ M. Khandaker, ¹⁷ P. Kingsberry,^{3,17} A. Kolarkar,⁶ M. Konchatnyi, ¹¹ A. Korchin, ¹⁵ W. Korsch, ⁶ S. Kowalski, ³ M. Kubantsev, ^{1,18} V. Kubarovsky, ⁵ X. Li, ¹¹ P. Martel, ⁴ V. Matveev, ¹ B. Mecking, ⁵ B. Milbrath,¹⁹ R. Minehart,²⁰ R. Miskimen,⁴ V. Mochalov,²¹ S. Mtingwa,² S. Overby,² E. Pasyuk,^{5,10} M. Payen,² R. Pedroni.² B. Ritchie, ¹⁰ T. E. Rodrigues.²² C. Salgado, ¹⁷ A. Shahinyan, ¹³ A. Sitnikov, ¹ D. Sober.²³ S. Stepanyan, W. Stephens,²⁰ J. Underwood,² A. Vasiliev,²¹ V. Vishnyakov,¹ M. Wood,⁴ and S. Zhou¹²

(PrimEx Collaboration)

⊣ \bigcirc m

 \bigcirc

ŏ

 \bigcirc

 ω

UП

week ending

22 APRIL 2011

A nice review 24

• Aron teamed up again with Barry to write a nice RMP:

→ another testimony of Aron's legacy

More on Goldstone bosons

- Aron was also interested in $PS \rightarrow \gamma\gamma^{\star}$ decays and the radii of pseudoscalar mesons
- **•** Radii of the neutral pseudoscalars from

 $PS \to \gamma^\star (Q^2) \gamma$ at low Q^2 $F(Q^2) = F_{PS}(0) \left(1 - Q^2 \langle r^2 \rangle / 6 + \ldots \right)$ $F_{PS}(0) = \left(\frac{4\Gamma(PS\rightarrow2\gamma)}{\pi M^3 \cdot \alpha^2}\right)$ $\pi M_{PS}^3 \alpha^2$ $\big\backslash \frac{1/2}{ }$

• Aron's resume:

I hope that this discussion about the slope parameter of the $PS \to \gamma^*(Q^2)\gamma$ form factor stimulates new, accurate experiments and further calculations. In particular it is of interest to re-examine the ChPT calculations [2], to extend the lattice calculations [5], and perhaps most important, to physically interpret that differences between the charge, scalar, and axial transition RMS radii.

←→ These transition ffs gained prominence in the theoretical analysis of the muon $(q - 2)$ → various talks to come

✫

Final remarks

Aron's lecagy and the same of the same of

• Part of Aron's legacy is nicely summarized by his Chiral Dynamics talks

A challenge: The neutron amplitude ²⁸

- Remember the classical dipole picture: $E_{0+}(\gamma n \to \pi^0 n) = 0$
- **•** A counterintuitive CHPT prediction:

$$
\left(E_{0+}^{\pi^0 n} = 2.1 > |E_{0+}^{\pi^0 p}| \simeq 1.2\right)
$$
 BKM (1996)

 \hookrightarrow truely remarkable, $|E_{0+}^{\pi^0 n}| \simeq 2|E_{0+}^{\pi^0 p}|$

→ quantum effects defy intuition!

exp

• First test on the deuteron

$$
E_d = E_d^{\rm ss} + E_d^{\rm tb}
$$

$$
E_d^{\rm ss} \propto E_{0+}^{\pi^0 p} + E_{0+}^{\pi^0 n}
$$

•Three-body dominant, converges quickly Beane, Bernard, Kaiser, Lee, UGM, van Kolck (1996)

• Theory versus experiment:

old Saclay data, reanalyzed in Argan et al. (1987)

 $\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} = -1.7 \pm 0.2$

ss tb

 $\frac{d\textbf{h}}{dt} = -1.8 \pm 0.2 \left| \right. \right| \left. \right. E$

A better target 29 and 29 and 20 and 20

✝

• Neutral pion photoproduction on ³He: Sensitity of threshold XS a_0 to $E_{0+}^{\pi^0 n}$ **0+** Lenkewitz, Hammer, UGM, PLB **700** (2011) 365; EPJA **49** (2013) 20

*,***→** 3 He is a promising candidate to test the CHPT prediction for $E_{0+}^{\pi^0 n}$ **0+**

✆

³⁰ **Final words**

• Let us pause a minute to remember Aron

Courtesy of the Bernstein family