# Estimate of the hc decay width in NRQCD

Ying Fan Peking University

#### In collaboration with Kuang-Ta Chao

August 27th, 2010 Topical Seminar on Fronter of Particle Phyusics 2010: Charm and Charmonium Physics



#### \* Introduction

- \* Determination of h<sub>c</sub> light hadronic and total decay width in NRQCD
- \* P-wave spin-triplet χ<sub>cJ</sub> (J=0,1,2) light hadronic decay widths revisited
- \* Summary

### Introduction



See talk by BESIII Collaboration at QWG7, Fermilab, 2010 for this figure

### Introduction

	BESIII	NRQCD	Comparison
rchc	0.73±0.45±0.28 MeV	1.1 MeV (0.53MeV LH)	consistent @ LO
	<1.44 MeV (90% confidence level)	Bodwin et.al.,PRD46,R1914 Kuang,PRD65, 094024	
	BESIII Collaboration,PRL104, 132002	NLO LH exists	
	Talk by BESIII Collaboration at QWG7, Fermilab, 2010	Huang et.al.,PRD54, 3065 Petrelli et.al., NPB 514, 245 Maltoni, arXiv: hep-ph/ 0007003	NLO more persuasive

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

#### short-distance coefficients

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

short-distance coefficients

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

#### long-distance matrix elements

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

#### long-distance matrix elements

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

physical state

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

physical state

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

physical state

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

 $|{}^{1}P_{1}\rangle = \mathcal{O}(1)|Q\bar{Q}({}^{1}P_{1}^{[1]})\rangle + \mathcal{O}(v)|Q\bar{Q}({}^{1}S_{0}^{[8]})g\rangle + \cdots$ 

physical state

 $\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = \sum \frac{2Im f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n - 4}} \langle h_c | \mathcal{O}_n(\mu) | h_c \rangle$ 

 $|{}^{1}P_{1}\rangle = \mathcal{O}(1)|Q\bar{Q}({}^{1}P_{1}^{[1]})\rangle + \mathcal{O}(v)|Q\bar{Q}({}^{1}S_{0}^{[8]})g\rangle + \cdots$ 

physical state



physical state



physical state



 $2\operatorname{Im}_{k}^{R_{2}} = \sum_{f} \int d\Pi_{f} \left( \sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} f \right) \left( f = \sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} k_{2} \right)$ 

 $2\operatorname{Im}_{k}^{R_{2}} = \sum_{f} \int d\Pi_{f} \left( \sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} f \right) \left( f = \sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} k_{2} \right)$ 

adopt optical theorem

 $2\operatorname{Im}_{k}^{R_{2}} = \sum_{f} \int d\Pi_{f} \left( \sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} f \right) \left( f = \sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} k_{2} \right)$ 

adopt optical theorem

### f: all possible intermediate particles, gluons, light quarks.

$$2 \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k_1}^{k_2} = \sum_f \int d\Pi_f \left( \sum_{k_1}^{k_2} f \right) \left( f \xrightarrow{k_2} k_1 \right)$$

adopt optical theorem

#### f: all possible intermediate particles, gluons, light quarks.

$$\mathcal{A}(Q\bar{Q} \to Q\bar{Q})|_{pertQCD} = \sum_{n} \frac{f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n-4}} \langle Q\bar{Q}|\mathcal{O}_n(\mu)|Q\bar{Q}\rangle|_{pertNRQCD}$$

$$2 \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k_1}^{k_2} = \sum_f \int d\Pi_f \left( \sum_{k_1}^{k_2} f \right) \left( f \xrightarrow{k_2} k_1 \right)$$

adopt optical theorem

#### f: all possible intermediate particles, gluons, light quarks.

$$\mathcal{A}(Q\bar{Q} \to Q\bar{Q})|_{pertQCD} = \sum_{n} \frac{f_n(\mu)}{m^{d_n-4}} \langle Q\bar{Q}|\mathcal{O}_n(\mu)|Q\bar{Q}\rangle|_{pertNRQCD}$$

matching condition: connecting full theory and effective theory

#### The hc light hadronic decay

#### The hc light hadronic decay

#### Representative example: divergence cancelled by introducing color-octet mechanism

# Imfilligic full account of the second second



$$[\mathrm{Im}f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0})]_{0} = \frac{\pi(N_{c}^{2}-4)}{4N_{c}}\alpha_{s}^{2}$$



 $\frac{\left[\mathrm{Im}f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0})\right]_{0}}{m^{2}}\frac{4C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{3N_{c}\pi}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}}-\gamma_{E}+\ln\frac{4\pi\mu_{IR}^{2}}{4m^{2}}\right)+\frac{7\pi^{2}-112}{48}\right]$ 

#### Imfillpi: full QCD Huang et.al., PRD54, 3065 (1996)



 $\frac{\left[\mathrm{Im}f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0})\right]_{0}}{m^{2}}\frac{4C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{3N_{c}\pi}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}}-\gamma_{E}+\ln\frac{4\pi\mu_{IR}^{2}}{4m^{2}}\right)+\frac{7\pi^{2}-112}{48}\right]$ 

#### Imfillpi: full QCD Huang et.al., PRD54, 3065 (1996)



#### No born diagrams for color-singlet <sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub> component: Yang theorem



 $\frac{\left[\mathrm{Im}f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0})\right]_{0}}{m^{2}}\frac{4C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{3N_{c}\pi}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}}-\gamma_{E}+\ln\frac{4\pi\mu_{IR}^{2}}{4m^{2}}\right)+\frac{7\pi^{2}-112}{48}\right]$ 

#### Huang et.al., PRD54, 3065 (1996)



#### No born diagrams for color-singlet <sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub> component: Yang theorem



 $\frac{\left[\mathrm{Im}f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0})\right]_{0}}{m^{2}}\frac{4C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{3N_{c}\pi}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}}-\gamma_{E}+\ln\frac{4\pi\mu_{IR}^{2}}{4m^{2}}\right)+\frac{7\pi^{2}-112}{48}\right]$ 

#### Huang et.al., PRD54, 3065 (1996)



#### No born diagrams for color-singlet <sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub> component: Yang theorem



Full QCD result:  $\frac{[\text{Im}f_8({}^1S_0)]_0}{m^2} \frac{4C_F \alpha_s}{3N_c \pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_E + \ln \frac{4\pi \mu_{IR}^2}{4m^2} \right) + \frac{7\pi^2 - 112}{48} \right]$ 

#### Huang et.al., PRD54, 3065 (1996)



#### No born diagrams for color-singlet <sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub> component: Yang theorem



**Full QCP result:**  $\frac{[\text{Im}f_8({}^{1}S_0)]_0}{m^2} \frac{4C_F \alpha_s}{3N_c \pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}} - \gamma_E + \ln \frac{4\pi \mu_{IR}^2}{4m^2} \right) + \frac{7\pi^2 - 112}{48} \right]$ 

#### Huang et.al., PRD54, 3065 (1996)



#### No born diagrams for color-singlet <sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub> component: Yang theorem


# $Imf_{1}(P_{1}):f_{0}(1006)$

Huang et.al., PRD54, 3065 (1996)



#### No born diagrams for color-singlet <sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub> component: Yang theorem





Imf<sub>1</sub>(<sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub>): NRQCD

#### $\mathcal{O}_1({}^1P_1)$ tree level matrix



$$\frac{\mathrm{Im}f_{1}(^{1}P_{1})}{m^{2}} + \frac{[\mathrm{Im}f_{8}(^{1}S_{0})]_{0}}{m^{2}}\frac{4C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{3N_{c}\pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\frac{4\pi\mu_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}}{4m^{2}} \right) + \ln\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}}{2m} \right]$$

Imf<sub>1</sub>(<sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub>): NRQCD

#### $\mathcal{O}_1({}^1P_1)$ tree level matrix



### real corrections: gluon line connecting with incoming and outgoing quark or antiquark lines

$$\frac{\mathrm{Im}f_{1}({}^{1}P_{1})}{m^{2}} + \frac{[\mathrm{Im}f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0})]_{0}}{m^{2}}\frac{4C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{3N_{c}\pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\frac{4\pi\mu_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}}{4m^{2}} \right) + \ln\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}}{2m} \right]$$

Imf<sub>1</sub>(<sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub>): NRQCD

#### $\mathcal{O}_1({}^1P_1)$ tree level matrix



### real corrections: gluon line connecting with incoming and outgoing quark or antiquark lines

$$\frac{\mathrm{Im}f_{1}({}^{1}P_{1})}{m^{2}} + \frac{[\mathrm{Im}f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0})]_{0}}{m^{2}}\frac{4C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{3N_{c}\pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\frac{4\pi\mu_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}}{4m^{2}} \right) + \ln\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}}{2m} \right]$$

### Imf1(<sup>1</sup>P1): NRQCD



 $\mathcal{O}_1({}^1P_1)$  tree level matrix







real corrections: gluon line connecting with incoming and outgoing quark or antiquark lines

$$\frac{\mathrm{Im}f_{1}({}^{1}P_{1})}{m^{2}} + \frac{[\mathrm{Im}f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0})]_{0}}{m^{2}}\frac{4C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{3N_{c}\pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\frac{4\pi\mu_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}}{4m^{2}} \right) + \ln\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}}{2m} \right]$$

### Imf<sub>1</sub>(<sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub>): NRQCD



 $\mathcal{O}_1({}^1P_1)$  tree level matrix







real corrections: gluon line connecting with incoming and outgoing quark or antiquark lines

**NRQCP result:** 
$$\frac{\text{Im}f_{1}({}^{1}P_{1})}{m^{2}} + \frac{[\text{Im}f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0})]_{0}}{m^{2}}\frac{4C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{3N_{c}\pi}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{IR}}} - \gamma_{E} + \ln\frac{4\pi\mu_{\text{IR}}^{2}}{4m^{2}}\right) + \ln\frac{\mu_{\text{UV}}}{2m}\right]$$

### Imf1(<sup>1</sup>P1): NRQCD



 $\mathcal{O}_1({}^1P_1)$  tree level matrix







real corrections: gluon line connecting with incoming and outgoing quark or antiquark lines



#### expression 2

finite Imf1(1P1):

 $\operatorname{Im} f_{1}({}^{1}P_{1}) = \frac{(N_{c}^{2} - 4)C_{F}\alpha_{s}^{3}}{3N_{c}^{2}} \left(\frac{7\pi^{2} - 112}{48} - \ln\frac{\mu}{2m}\right)$ 

finite Imf1(1P1):

### matching expression 1 and expression 2

$$\operatorname{Im} f_{1}({}^{1}P_{1}) = \frac{(N_{c}^{2} - 4)C_{F}\alpha_{s}^{3}}{3N_{c}^{2}} \left(\frac{7\pi^{2} - 112}{48} - \ln\frac{\mu}{2m}\right)$$

finite Imf1(1P1):

### matching expression 1 and expression 2

$$\operatorname{Im} f_{1}({}^{1}P_{1}) = \frac{(N_{c}^{2} - 4)C_{F}\alpha_{s}^{3}}{3N_{c}^{2}} \left(\frac{7\pi^{2} - 112}{48} - \ln\frac{\mu}{2m}\right)$$

#### residual divergence cancelled by introducing coloroctet mechanism,finite NLO short-distance coefficient of color-singlet <sup>1</sup>P<sub>1</sub> component

$$\frac{\pi (N_c^2 - 4)}{4N_c m^2} \alpha_s^2 \bigg\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \bigg[ \bigg( C_F - \frac{C_A}{2} \bigg) \frac{\pi^2}{2v} \bigg]$$

$$+4b_0\ln\frac{\mu}{2m}+A$$

$$A = C_F \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} - 5\right) + C_A \left(\frac{122}{9} - \frac{17\pi^2}{24}\right) - \frac{8}{9}n_f$$

#### Real & virtual corrections

$$\frac{\pi (N_c^2 - 4)}{4N_c m^2} \alpha_s^2 \bigg\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \bigg[ \bigg( C_F - \frac{C_A}{2} \bigg) \frac{\pi^2}{2v} \bigg]$$

$$+4b_0\ln\frac{\mu}{2m}+A$$

$$A = C_F \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} - 5\right) + C_A \left(\frac{122}{9} - \frac{17\pi^2}{24}\right) - \frac{8}{9}n_f$$













### Imfs(<sup>1</sup>So): NRQCD



Imfs(1So): NRQCD



Imfs(1So): NRQCD LO matrix (a) NLO matrix (b) (c)





$$\frac{\mathrm{Im}f_8({}^1S_0)}{m^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left( C_F - \frac{C_A}{2} \right) \frac{\pi^2}{2v} \right]$$



$$\frac{\mathrm{Im}f_8({}^1S_0)}{m^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left( C_F - \frac{C_A}{2} \right) \frac{\pi^2}{2v} \right]$$



$$\frac{\mathrm{Im}f_8({}^1S_0)}{m^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left( C_F - \frac{C_A}{2} \right) \frac{\pi^2}{2v} \right]$$



finite Imfs(1S0):

### matching expression 3 and expression 4

finite Imfs(1S0):

### matching expression 3 and expression 4

$$\operatorname{Im} f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0}) = \frac{(N_{c}^{2} - 4)\pi\alpha_{s}^{2}}{4N_{c}} \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \left(4b_{0}ln\frac{\mu}{2m} + A\right)\right]$$

finite Imfs(1So):

### matching expression 3 and expression 4

 $\operatorname{Im} f_{8}({}^{1}S_{0}) = \frac{(N_{c}^{2} - 4)\pi\alpha_{s}^{2}}{4N_{c}} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \left( 4b_{0}ln\frac{\mu}{2m} + A \right) \right]$ 

#### Coulomb singularity cancelled, finite NLO short-distance coefficient of color-octet <sup>1</sup>So component

# finite LH decay width of hc

$$\Gamma(h_c \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^1P_1^{[1]})H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^1S_0^{[8]})H_8$$

-

$$\frac{2(N_c^2-4)C_F\alpha_s^3}{3N_c^2} \left(\frac{7\,\pi^2-112}{48} - \ln\frac{\mu}{2m}\right)H_1$$

$$+\frac{(N_c^2-4)\pi\alpha_s^2(\mu)}{2N_c}\left[1+\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\left(4b_0\ln\frac{\mu}{2m}+A\right)\right]H_8(\mu)$$

experimental extraction

operator evolution equation

### Method I



operator evolution equation

Method I



Method II



Method I

experimental extraction

Method II

operator evolution equation

 $\Gamma(\chi_J \to LH) = 2 \,\mathrm{Im} f_1({}^3P_J) H_1 + 2 \,\mathrm{Im} f_8({}^3S_1) H_8 + O(v^2 \Gamma)$ 

### Method I



Method II

operator evolution equation

 $\Gamma(\chi_J \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^3P_J)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^3S_1)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$  $\Gamma(h \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^1P_1)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^1S_0)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$
#### Method I



Method II

operator evolution equation

 $\Gamma(\chi_J \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^3P_J)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^3S_1)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$  $\Gamma(h \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^1P_1)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^1S_0)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$ 

#### Method I



Method II

operator evolution equation

 $\Gamma(\chi_J \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^3P_J)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^3S_1)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$  $\Gamma(h \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^1P_1)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^1S_0)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$ 

#### Method I



operator evolution equation

Method II

 $\Gamma(\chi_J \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^3P_J)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^3S_1)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$  $\Gamma(h \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^1P_1)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^1S_0)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$ 

> Two input parameters:  $\chi_{c1}, \chi_{c2} \rightarrow LH$ two unknown ones:  $H_{1}, H_{8}$ process dependent

#### Method I

experimental extraction

 $\Gamma(\chi_J \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^3P_J)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^3S_1)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$  $\Gamma(h \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^1P_1)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^1S_0)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$ 

> Two input parameters:  $\chi_{c1}, \chi_{c2} \rightarrow LH$ two unknown ones:  $H_{1}, H_{8}$ process dependent

Method II

operator evolution equation

 $\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_8({}^1S_0)}{\partial \mu} = \alpha_s(\mu) \frac{4C_F}{3\pi N_c m^2} \mathcal{O}_1({}^1P_1)$ 

#### Method I

experimental extraction

 $\Gamma(\chi_J \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^3P_J)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^3S_1)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$  $\Gamma(h \to LH) = 2 \operatorname{Im} f_1({}^1P_1)H_1 + 2 \operatorname{Im} f_8({}^1S_0)H_8 + O(v^2\Gamma)$ 

> Two input parameters:  $\chi_{c1}, \chi_{c2} \rightarrow LH$ two unknown ones:  $H_{1}, H_{8}$ process dependent

Method II

operator evolution equation

 $\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_8({}^1S_0)}{\partial \mu} = \alpha_s(\mu) \frac{4C_F}{3\pi N_c m^2} \mathcal{O}_1({}^1P_1)$ 

based on operator mixing evolution by renormalization scale µ









#### shaded region: method l single curve: method ll

#### spin-symmetry

#### spin-symmetry

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

#### spin-symmetry

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

spin-symmetry

plug into PDG10

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

#### spin-symmetry

plug into PDG10

average among XcJ

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

#### spin-symmetry

plug into PDG10

average among XcJ

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

#### spin-symmetry

plug into PDG10

average among XcJ

0.600 MeV

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

#### spin-symmetry

plug into PDG10

average among XcJ

0.600 MeV

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

(Maltoni, arXiv: hep-ph/0007003)

(Chao et.al., PLB301, 282)

#### spin-symmetry

average among XcJ

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

(Maltoni, arXiv: hep-ph/0007003)

#### Leading order: 0.646 MeV

0.600 MeV

(Chao et.al., PLB301, 282)

#### spin-symmetry

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

(Maltoni, arXiv: hep-ph/0007003)

### plug into PDG10

average among XcJ

#### Leading order: 0.646 MeV

0.600 MeV

#### relativistic correction

(Chao et.al., PLB301, 282)

plug into PDG10

average among XcJ

#### spin-symmetry

$$\Gamma({}^{1}P_{1} \to \gamma {}^{1}S_{0}) = \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}^{h}}{E_{\gamma}^{\chi}}\right)^{3} \Gamma({}^{3}P_{J} \to \gamma {}^{3}S_{1})$$

(Maltoni, arXiv: hep-ph/0007003)

#### Leading order: 0.646 MeV

0.600 MeV

#### relativistic correction

(Chao et.al., PLB301, 282) next-to-leading order: 0.383 MeV

 $\Gamma_{\rm TOT} = \Gamma(h_c \to LH) + \Gamma(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c)$ 

 $\Gamma_{\rm TOT} = \Gamma(h_c \to LH) + \Gamma(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c)$ 

= 0.597 +

 $\Gamma_{\rm TOT} = \Gamma(h_c \to LH) + \Gamma(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c)$ 0.600 = 0.597 + 0.646 0.383

# Total width of h<sub>c</sub> in NRQCD

# $$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\rm TOT} &= \Gamma(h_c \to {\rm L}H) + \Gamma(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) \\ & 0.600 \\ &= 0.597 + 0.646 & {\rm MeV} \\ & 0.383 \end{split}$$

# $$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\rm TOT} &= \Gamma(h_c \to {\rm L}H) + \Gamma(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) \\ & 0.600 \\ &= 0.597 + 0.646 & {\rm MeV} \\ & 0.383 \end{split}$$

3

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\rm TOT} &= \Gamma(h_c \to {\rm L}H) + \Gamma(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) \\ & \textbf{0.600} \\ &= \textbf{0.597 + 0.646 MeV} \\ & \textbf{0.383} \end{split}$$



# Total width of h<sub>c</sub> in NRQCD

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\rm TOT} &= \Gamma(h_c \to {\rm L}H) + \Gamma(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) \\ & \textbf{0.600} \\ &= \textbf{0.597 + 0.646 MeV} \\ & \textbf{0.383} \end{split}$$

1.20 = 1.24 MeV 0.980

# Total width of h<sub>c</sub> in NRQCD

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\rm TOT} &= \Gamma(h_c \to {\rm L}H) + \Gamma(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) \\ & 0.600 \\ &= 0.597 + 0.646 & {\rm MeV} \\ & 0.383 \end{split}$$

1.20 = 1.24 MeV 0.980

#### 0.597 MeV



$$\mathcal{B}(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) = (54.3 \pm 6.7 \pm 5.2)\%$$
**597 MeV**



$$\mathcal{B}(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) = (54.3 \pm 6.7 \pm 5.2)\%$$



BESIII Collaboration, PRL104, 132002



$$\mathcal{B}(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) = (54.3 \pm 6.7 \pm 5.2)\%$$



BESIII Collaboration, PRL104, 132002



$$\mathcal{B}(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) = (54.3 \pm 6.7 \pm 5.2)\%$$

1.31 MeV



BESIII Collaboration, PRL104, 132002



$$B(h_c \to \gamma \eta_c) = (54.3 \pm 6.7 \pm 5.2)\%$$

1.31 MeV

0.597 MeV

BESIII Collaboration, PRL104, 132002

#### larger than BESIII central value 0.73 MeV

#### 0.895 MeV by Method II , error~30% compared to 0.597 MeV by Method I

Evolution equation is a good method to evaluate P-wave longdistance matrix element, and can be extended to D-wave LH decay, non- $D\bar{D}$  decay which are lack of data.


- \* For method I, experimental data errors;
- For method II, errors from first-order derivative of wave function at the origin and lower limits in evolution equation

- \* For method I, experimental data errors;
- For method II, errors from first-order derivative of wave function at the origin and lower limits in evolution equation

 $H_1 = \frac{3N_c}{2\pi} \frac{|R_{1p}(0)|^2}{m^4}$ 

### \* For method I, experimental data errors;

### For method II, errors from first-order derivative of wave function at the origin and lower limits in evolution equation

	Level	$ R_{r}^{(\ell)}(0) ^2$			
		QCD (BT) [5]	Power law [6]	Logarithmic [7]	Cornell [8]
2	15	0.810 GeV <sup>3</sup>	$0.999 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.815 \text{ GeV}^3$	$1.454 \text{ GeV}^3$
	2P	$0.075 \mathrm{GeV^5}$	$0.125 \mathrm{GeV^5}$	$0.078 { m ~GeV}^5$	$0.131~{ m GeV^5}$
-	25	$0.529 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.559 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.418 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.927 { m ~GeV^3}$
	3D	$0.015~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.026~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.012~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.031~{ m GeV}^7$
	3P	$0.102~{ m GeV}^5$	$0.131 { m ~GeV}^5$	$0.076 { m ~GeV}^5$	$0.186 { m ~GeV}^5$
	35	$0.455 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.410 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.286 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.791 { m ~GeV}^3$

 $H_1 = \frac{3N_c}{2\pi} \frac{|R_{1p}(0)|}{m^4}$ 

### \* For method I, experimental data errors;

### For method II, errors from first-order derivative of wave function at the origin and lower limits in evolution equation

#### Eichten et.al., PRD52, 1726

Padial wave functions at the origin and related quantities for

	TADILY I. Italiai wave functions at the origin and felated qualitities for comesons.				
	Level	$ R_{n\ell}^{(\ell)}(0) ^2$			
		QCD (BT) [5]	Power law [6]	Logarithmic [7]	Cornell [8]
	1S	0.810 GeV <sup>3</sup>	$0.999 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.815 \mathrm{~GeV}^3$	$1.454 \text{ GeV}^3$
$ R_{1n}(0) ^2$	2P	$0.075~{ m GeV}^5$	$0.125 \mathrm{GeV^5}$	$0.078~{ m GeV}^5$	$0.131~{ m GeV^5}$
	2S	$0.529 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.559 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.418 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.927~{ m GeV^3}$
$-m^{4}$	3D	$0.015~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.026~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.012~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.031~{ m GeV}^7$
	3P	$0.102~{ m GeV}^5$	$0.131 { m ~GeV^5}$	$0.076~{ m GeV}^5$	$0.186 { m ~GeV}^5$
	35	$0.455 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.410 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.286 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.791~{ m GeV^3}$
	$\frac{ R_{1p}(0) ^2}{m^4}$	$ \frac{ R_{1p}(0) ^2}{m^4} \frac{ R_{1p}(0) ^2}{3P} $	$\frac{ R_{1p}(0) ^2}{m^4}$ $\frac{ R_{1p}(0) ^2}{m^4}$ $\frac{ R_{1p}(0) ^2}{3P}$	$\frac{ R_{1p}(0) ^2}{m^4} \xrightarrow{M^4}{ R_{1p}(0) ^2} \frac{ R_{1p}(0) ^2}{m^4$	$\frac{ R_{1p}(0) ^2}{m^4} \xrightarrow{M^4} \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

### \* For method I, experimental data errors;

### For method II, errors from first-order derivative of wave function at the origin and lower limits in evolution equation

#### Eichten et.al., PRD52, 1726

TABLE I. Radial wave functions at the origin and related quantities for  $c\bar{c}$  mesons.

Level	$ R_{n\ell}^{(\ell)}(0) ^2$				
	QCD (BT) [5]	Power law [6]	Logarithmic [7]	Cornell [8]	
1S	0.810 GeV <sup>3</sup>	$0.999 \ \mathrm{GeV}^3$	$0.815 \mathrm{~GeV}^3$	$1.454 \text{ GeV}^3$	
(2P)	$0.075 \mathrm{GeV^5}$	$0.125 { m ~GeV^5}$	$0.078 \mathrm{GeV^5}$	$0.131 { m ~GeV^5}$	
2S	0.529 GeV <sup>3</sup>	$0.559 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.418  { m GeV}^3$	$0.927~{ m GeV}^3$	
3D	$0.015~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.026 { m ~GeV}^7$	$0.012~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.031~{ m GeV}^7$	
3P	$0.102 \mathrm{GeV}^5$	$0.131 { m ~GeV}^5$	$0.076 { m ~GeV}^5$	$0.186 { m ~GeV}^5$	
3S	$0.455 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.410 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.286 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.791~{ m GeV^3}$	

 $H_1 = \frac{3N_c}{2\pi} \frac{|R_{1p}(0)|^2}{m^4}$ 

### \* For method I, experimental data errors;

### For method II, errors from first-order derivative of wave function at the origin and lower limits in evolution equation

#### Eichten et.al., PRD52, 1726

TABLE I. Radial wave functions at the origin and related quantities for  $c\bar{c}$  mesons.

Level	$ R_{n\ell}^{(\ell)}(0) ^2$				
	QCD (BT) [5]	Power law [6]	Logarithmic [7]	Cornell [8]	
1S	0.810 GeV <sup>3</sup>	$0.999 \ \mathrm{GeV}^3$	$0.815 \text{ GeV}^3$	$1.454 \text{ GeV}^3$	
2P	$0.075 \mathrm{GeV^5}$	$0.125~{ m GeV^5}$	$0.078 \mathrm{GeV^5}$	$0.131~{ m GeV^5}$	
2S	0.529 GeV <sup>3</sup>	$0.559~{ m GeV}^3$	$0.418  { m GeV}^3$	$0.927~{ m GeV}^3$	
3D	$0.015~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.026~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.012~{ m GeV}^7$	$0.031~{ m GeV}^7$	
3P	$0.102 \mathrm{GeV^5}$	$0.131 { m ~GeV}^5$	$0.076 { m ~GeV}^5$	$0.186 { m ~GeV}^5$	
3S	$0.455 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.410 { m ~GeV^3}$	$0.286 { m ~GeV}^3$	$0.791 { m ~GeV^3}$	

 $H_1 = \frac{3N_c}{2\pi} \frac{|R_{1p}(0)|^2}{m^4}$ 

error~40%





 $H_8 = \frac{4C_F}{3N_c\beta_0} \ln[\frac{\alpha_s(\Lambda_0)}{\alpha_s(\Lambda)}]H_1$ 



### Λ<sub>0</sub>=mv=0.822 GeV, Λ=2m =3GeV

 $H_8 = \frac{4C_F}{3N_c\beta_0} \ln[\frac{\alpha_s(\Lambda_0)}{\alpha_s(\Lambda)}]H_1$ 



### Λ0=mv=0.822 GeV, Λ=2m =3GeV

 $H_8 = \frac{4C_F}{3N_c\beta_0} \ln[\frac{\alpha_s(\Lambda_0)}{\alpha_s(\Lambda)}]H_1$ 

 $\Lambda_0 = 1 \text{GeV}$ 

### Λ0=mv=0.822 GeV, Λ=2m =3GeV

 $H_8 = \frac{4C_F}{3N_c\beta_0} \ln[\frac{\alpha_s(\Lambda_0)}{\alpha_s(\Lambda)}]H_1$ 

**Λ<sub>0</sub>=1GeV** (Brambilla et.al., PRL88:012003,2002)



### Λo=mv=0.822 GeV, Λ=2m =3GeV

 $H_8 = \frac{4C_F}{3N_c\beta_0} \ln[\frac{\alpha_s(\Lambda_0)}{\alpha_s(\Lambda)}]H_1$ 

**Λ<sub>0</sub>=1GeV** (Brambilla et.al., PRL88:012003,2002)

### error~40%

## xco LH decay width in NRQCD











### curves: NRQCP predictions using Method II



#### filled belts :PDG 10 data; curves: NRQCD predictions using Method II

At perturbative energy scale  $\mu = 2m$ 



#### filled belts :PDG 10 data; curves: NRQCD predictions using Method II

At perturbative energy scale  $\mu = 2m$ 

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1} \to LH) = 0.834 \text{ MeV}$ 

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2} \to LH) = 2.57 \quad \text{MeV}$$



#### filled belts :PDG 10 data; curves: NRQCD predictions using Method II

At perturbative energy scale  $\mu = 2m$ 

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1} \to LH) = 0.834 \text{ MeV}$ 

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2} \to LH) = 2.57 \text{ MeV}$ 

#### could be compared with experimental values by BESIII



- \* We estimate  $h_c$  light hadronic decay width up to NLO in  $a_s$  in NRQCD, and together with E1 transition width, the total width of  $h_c$  is larger than the central value of BESIII.
- Operator evolution equation is a good method to evaluate P-wave long-distance matrix element, and can be extended to P-wave case, which is lack of data.
- \* NLO NRQCD predictions for  $\chi_{cJ}$  (J=0,1,2) are also given, which could be compared with BESIII results.

