CPEC Tracking System Optimization

Hao Liang (Jilin Univ.)

On behalf of the CEPC Tracker Team

2021.7.30

Contents

- 1. Motivation by now
- 2. Research on Scale 5
- 3. The general results
- 4. Summary

Motivation by now

find out the influence on momentum resolution by the number of DC layers in barrel geometry

At previous report, I misunderstood a statement in 'LDT user's guide' which is about what does it do if the flag 'Scale down by factor 5' is on.

This time we corrected it that the right way is the layers are divided by 5, the thickness is multiplied by 5 and the resolution is divided by $\sqrt{5}$. While the way to do scale 5 by manual is going to be singed by 'manual 5' in the following plots.

Compare 100 layers with $\sigma_{RPhi} = 100 \mu m$ and thickness = 0.001; left : no multiple scattering; right : open it



Compare 60 layers with $\sigma_{RPhi} = 100 \mu m$ and thickness = 0.001; left : no multiple scattering; right : open it



Compare different thickness for 100 layers with $\sigma_{RPhi} = 100 \mu m$; open multiple scattering



Compare 80, 90, 100, 110 layers with $\sigma_{RPhi} = 100 \mu m$ and total thickness = 0.003356; no multi-scattering



Compare 80, 90, 100, 110 layers with $\sigma_{RPhi} = 100 \mu m$ and total thickness = 0.003356; open multi-scattering



To conclude,

1. Without multiple scattering, the fitting track process can be understood very well (such as the method of least squares). The resolution of Pt is decided by resolution of detector, while the different positions of layers for detector could take different influence to dPt/Pt too, which can explain why the scale 5 would take difference (with layers are divided by 5, the positions shall be changed).

2. Considering multiple scattering, the different layers shall have correlations to each other because a random process of multiple scattering at one layer could affect the track in the following parts. So the scale 5 absolutely would cause difference, while the less thickness of each layer causes the less influence, which is corresponding to the results ahead.

3. Though the scale 5 could causes difference, we compared it in range of 80 - 110 layers which is almost what we really care in the following research and the results testified the difference is reliable.

At last report, we presented two general curves on influence of different layers for dPt/Pt, while the number of tracks simulated was too small to make them clear enough.

This time we amplified the tracks by 10 times from 1000 to 10000.

We check out the ratio of dPt/Pt from 10 to 150 layers in DC comparing with that from 0 layer, with

 $\sigma_RPhi=100\mu m$ and total thickness = 0.003356, while the scale 5 is turned off.

The distribution of parameters by 1000 tracks.

The distribution of parameters by 10000 tracks.



the influence from statistics for dPt/Pt by comparing 1000 tracks to 10000 tracks without multiple scattering



the influence from statistics for dPt/Pt by comparing 1000 tracks to 10000 tracks with multiple scattering



The general result of momentum resolution by 10 to 150 layers in DC, while the multiple scattering is off.



The general result of momentum resolution by 10 to 150 layers in DC, while the multiple scattering is on.



To conclude,

- The statistics affects the results much, while more momenta needs more tracks to get a better fitted Gaussian distribution. By comparing the momentum resolution from 1000 tracks and 10000 tracks, we could believe it that our general results are reliable.
- 2. Without multiple scattering, the dPt/Pt decreases with the number of layers in DC increases obviously, which is corresponding to our initial conjecture.
- 3. Considering multiple scattering, the general trend of momentum resolution is still more layers takes better resolution. But the changing rate becomes flat when the number of layers catches a range because of the multiple scattering.

Summary

- The scale 5 is just a mathematic way to make detector setups simpler, we have totally understood it. This method absolutely could cause difference which is much small if there is no multiple scattering, while this difference would be a little obvious if the multiple scattering is considered. By checking its influence from 80 to 110 layers, the idea is that we could use it if we have a request on simulation speed and just want to check a result cursorily, but we had better turn off it if we want to get the results more exact.
- 2. By amplifying the tracks number, we could get a general conclusion that more layers could take less resolution for momentum but this trend would become flat if the number has arrived a value. What is more, if we really want to change the number of layers in DC, the global position, the material budget, and the total radius of it shall be changed. So here we just give a general suggestion that **the 90 to 110 layers in DC** seems a good range for the following researches.

Thanks

Questions & Suggestions

Backup

Compare 80, 90, 100, 110 layers with $\sigma_{RPhi} = 100 \mu m$ and total thickness = 0.003356; open multi-scattering; open scale 5



Backup

Compare 80, 90, 100, 110 layers with $\sigma_{RPhi} = 100 \mu m$ and total thickness = 0.003356; open multi-scattering; no scale 5





Compare 80, 90, 100, 110 layers with $\sigma_{RPhi} = 100 \mu m$ and total thickness = 0.003356; no multi-scattering; no scale 5





the value of dPt/Pt in **The general results**, without multiple scattering





the value of dPt/Pt in **The general results**, without multiple scattering





the value of dPt/Pt in **The general results**, without multiple scattering





the value of dPt/Pt in **The general results**, with multiple scattering





the ratio of momentum resolution in The general results without multiple scattering around 1 GeV



Backup

01	LiC Detector-Toy (barrel)									
02	SDT-CEPC									
03	Version:	6 -	+ 3 + DC -	+ 2						
04	Vertex Detector (VTX)									
05										
06	Number of layers	:	8							
07	Description (optional)	:	-Beamt					-Vertex dete	ector	
08	Names of the layers (opt.)	:	XBT,	VTX1,	VTX2,	VTX3,	VTX4,	VTX5,	VTX6,	XVTXSHELL
09	Radii [mm]	:	14.5,	16.0,	18.0,	37.0,	39.0,	58.0,	60.0,	65.0
10	Upper limit in z [mm]	:	4225,	62.5,	62.5,	125,	125,	125,	125,	145
11	Lower limit in z [mm]	:	-4225,	-62.5,	-62.5,	-125,	-125,	-125,	-125,	-145
12	Efficiency RPhi	:	Ο,	1.0,	1.0,	1.0,	1.0,	1.0,	1.0,	0.0
13	Efficiency 2nd coord. (eg. 2	z):	-1							
14	Stereo angle alpha [Rad]	:	pi/2							
15	Thickness [rad. lengths]	:	0.0015,	0.0015,	0.0015,	0.0015,	0.0015,	0.0015,	0.0015,	0.0015
16	error distribution	:	0							
17	0 normal-sigma(RPhi) [1e-6m]	:	2.8, 6,	4.0, 4.0,	4.0, 4.0					
18	sigma(z) [le-6m]	:	2.8, 6,	4.0, 4.0,	4.0, 4.0					
19	1 uniform-d(RPhi) [1e-6m]	: 4.0 VTX-setups								
20	d(z) [1e-6m]	:	4.0				• • •		-	
21										

Backup

22	CMOS Tracker (CIT)								
24	Number of layers	:	4						
25	Description (optional)	:		CMOS trad	TPC Inner Wall				
26	Names of the layers (opt.)	:	CIT1,	CIT2,	CIT3,	XTPCW1			
27	Radii [mm]	:	78.0,	238.0,	398.0,	399.0			
28	Upper limit in z [mm]	:	150.0,	750.0,	1300.0,	2900.0			
29	Lower limit in z [mm]	:	-150.0,	-750.0,	-1300.0,	-2900.0			
30	Efficiency RPhi	:	1.00,	1.00,	1.00,	0.0			
31	Efficiency 2nd coord. (eg. z):	-1						
32	Stereo angle alpha [Rad]	:	pi/2						
33	Thickness [rad. lengths]	:	0.0065,	0.0065,	0.0065,	0.002			
34	error distribution	:	0						
35	0 normal-sigma(RPhi) [1e-6m]	:	7.2						
36	sigma(z) [1e-6m]	:	86.6						
37	1 uniform-d(RPhi) [1e-6m]	:	7.2						
38	d(z) [1e-6m]	:	86.6	CIT cotune					
39				CII-setups					



40 Time Projection Chamber (TPC) (DC) (TPC --> DC by setting some paremeters in LDT) 41 sigma^2=sigma0^2+sigma1^2*sin(beta)^2+Cdiff^2*6mm/h*sin(theta)*Ldrift[m] 42 Number of layers : could be changed 43 Radii [mm] 400,1400 44 Upper limit in z [mm] 2900.0 : -2900.0 45 Lower limit in z [mm] 46 Efficiency RPhi 1 47 Efficiency z 1 48 Thickness [rad. lengths] : could be changed 49 sigma0(RPhi) [1e-6m] : 10050 sigmal(RPhi) [1e-6m] : 0 51 Cdiff(RPhi) [1e-6m/sqrt(m)] : 0 52 sigma0(z) [1e-6m] : 2828 53 sigma1(z) [1e-6m] : 0 **DC-setups** 54 Cdiff(z) [1e-6m/sqrt(m)] : 0 55



56 CMOS Tracker (CET)		
57		
58 Number of layers	:	3
59 Description (optional)	:	TPC outer wall External Tracker
60 Names of the layers (opt.)	:	XTPCW2, CET1, CET2
61 Radii [mm]	:	1401.0, 1411, 1800
62 Upper limit in z [mm]	:	2900.0, 2900.0, 2900.0
63 Lower limit in z [mm]	:	-2900.0, -2900.0, -2900.0
64 Efficiency RPhi	:	0.0, 1.0, 1.0
65 Efficiency 2nd coord. (eg. z)	:	-1
66 Stereo angle alpha [Rad]	:	pi/2
67 Thickness [rad. lengths]	:	0.010, 0.0065, 0.0065
68 error distribution	:	0
69 0 normal-sigma(RPhi) [1e-6m]	:	7.2
70 sigma(z) [1e-6m]	:	86.6
71 1 uniform-d(RPhi) [1e-6m]	:	7.2
72 d(z) [1e-6m]	:	86.6
73		
74 Magnetic field and beam spot		
75		CFT cotune
76 Solenoid magnetic field [T]	:	
77 Range in x [mm]	:	-0.0 0.0
78 Range in y [mm]	:	-0.0 0.0
79 Range in z [mm]	:	-0.0 0.0

Backup

📣 Edit simulation parameters	-	_			\times			
文件(F) 编辑(E) 查看(V) 插入(I) 工具(T) 桌	面(D	窗	⊐(W.	帮助	I (H)	ъ		
) 🖆 🛃 🌭 🗔 🔲 🗉 🗎 🗞 🔳								
Mass of the particles [GeV]	05							
Number of events	100	00						
Number of tracks per event	100							
Start parameter range								
Momentum (min) [GeV]	1	2	5	10	20			
Momentum (max) [GeV]	1	2	5	10	20			
Polar angle theta (min) [deg]	90							
Polar angle theta (max) [deg]	90							
Azimuthal angle phi (min) [deg]	0							
Azimuthal angle phi (max) [deg]	360							
Flags								
Simulation	Simulation							
Symmetry in theta			0					
Use absolute momentum			0					
Scale down TPC by factor	5		0					
Multiple scattering			$ \bigcirc $					
Measurement errors			\odot					
Reconstruction								
Display bad tracks			•					
Chi2			\odot					

Simulationparameters