Hadron Structure

Workshop on Hadron Structure at High-Energy, High-Luminosity Facilities.

Oct. 25-27

Teleworkshop Administrator: Zhu-Fang Cui, Nanjing University, phycui@nju.edu.cn

Meson Structure at EicC

Rong WANG Quark Matter Research Center Institute of Modern Physics, CAS, China



• Motivations for meson structure measurement

Previous experiments & EicC opportunity

Simulations and discussions

Forward neutron detector



• How to understand the masses of the proton and π meson (K meson) is fundamental and profound question in QCD.

At extremely low scale, proton is made of 3 valence quarks

and pion is made of 1 valence quark and 1 valence anti-quark.

• Gluon self-interactions!

In the deep infrared region, the gluon acquire a big effective mass. The light quark becomes massive by dressing up with gluons.



Infrared-safety strong running coupling & scaleinvariant in extremely low scale region & parameterfree prediction & frame independent

 $m_p \gg m_\pi$



• Two mechanisms for the hadron mass: HB and EHM

HB: Higgs Boson mechanism EHM: Emergent Hadron Mass mechanism

• Three parts of hadron mass: HB mass, HB+EHM mass, chiral-limit mass



For the proton, the chiral-limit mass is dominant.

For the pion, the chiral-limit mass is zero due to the Nambu-Goldstone nature. The pion mass is mainly from the constructive interference between HB and EHM.

For the kaon, the HB mass is negligible.

R. Wang

QMRC, IMP, CAS

IMP?

• With EHM mechanism, the DSE calculation gives quite different form factors and structure functions of π and k from the predictions of HB mass only.



Measuring the form factors of π and k mesons at high Q² is one way see EHM!

- IMP
- The π & K mesons are so simple in the quark model. However, we know not much about the pion structure and very little about the kaon structure.
- There are some global analyses of the pion-induced Drell-Yan data and the neutron-tagged DIS data at HERA. The extracted pion PDFs are shown below. JAM used both the pion-induced Drell-Yan data and the neutron-tagged DIS data. xFitter used only the Drell-Yan data.



Neutron-tagged DIS data is crucial to reduce the uncertainties of sea quark and gluon distributions of the pion!



We have performed an analysis to the current available data under the assumption that all sea quarks and gluons come from gluon radiations. The purely dynamically produced sea quarks agree well with the H1 leading neutron-tagged DIS data. (Infrared-safety strong coupling is used)



QMRC, IMP, CAS



 The asymptotic freedom and perturbative QCD calculations are successfully tested in experiments. Now it is a new age to test QCD at long distance. We need to understand QCD in all the kinematical regions.

Measuring the pion and kaon structure functions provides a way to test the fruitful calculations from the nonperturbative approaches (IQCD and the continuum DSE)!



QMRC, IMP, CAS

- IMP
- The QCD analysis of Drell-Yan data with next-to-leading soft-gluon re-summation gives the large-x behavior consistent with the DSE calculations. We need more data to better understand this large-x behavior. Neutron-tagged DIS data at large-x are needed to have the similar analysis.



Previous experiments



There are much less experimental data on the pion and kaon structures so far, compared to the nucleon structure data. [some interesting experiments are listed here. Pion electroproduction: Jlab Fπ; Pion-Nucleus Drell-Yan: CERN NA3/10, Fermilab E615 data; Leading neutron tagged DIS: ZEUS@HERA, H1@HERA; Pion-Nucleus photon/JPsi production: CERN NA24, WA11/70, Fermilab E706]



R. Wang

QMRC, IMP, CAS

EicC opportunity





EIC far-forward detector complex



- → $\Lambda \rightarrow n + \pi^0$: additional high-res/granularity EMCal+tracking before ZDC seems doable
- > $\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$: additional trackers in opposite direction on path to ZDC more challenging

EicC opportunity



The EicC kinematical coverage bridges well the JLab-12GeV domain and EIC-US domain!





Figure 20. Angular distributions for detected decay products of $\Lambda \rightarrow n + \pi^0$: (a) neutrons; and (b) π^0 . Beam energy settings: 18×275 , 10×100 , and 5×41 .

Angle distributions of forward particles



at EIC-US



Figure 21. Energy and angular Θ distributions for the detected $\gamma\gamma$ used to reconstruct the π^0 from a Λ decay channel.

Not-very-high c.m. energy is good for forward particle measurements. (large angle spread at EicC!)

OMRC, IMP, CAS

EicC opportunity



In the hard scattering regime, QCD scalling predicts $\sigma_L \propto 1/Q^6$ and $\sigma_T \propto 1/Q^8$.



- T. Vrancx, J. Ryckebusch, PRC **89**(2014)025203.
- Predictions are for $\varepsilon > 0.995 Q^2, W$ kinematics shown earlier.

At small t & high Q^2 , $\sigma_L \gg \sigma_T$. No need for L-T separation? Transverse part is just a small correction. L-T separation method: measuring $\left(\frac{d\sigma_T}{dt} + \varepsilon \frac{d\sigma_L}{dt}\right)$ at two energies

Q^2 , GeV ²	W, GeV	\sqrt{s} , GeV	3
10	10	12	0.449
10	10	16	0.862
10	10	20	0.951
15	10	12	0.388
15	10	16	0.846
15	10	20	0.946
20	10	12	0.324
20	10	16	0.829
20	10	20	0.940

L-T separation is possible at the future EicC!

R. Wang







Sullivan process: the elastic scattering between electron probe and the virtual pion.





Assuming an integrated luminosity of 50 fb⁻¹ at EicC, the estimated statistical errors of the pion and kaon form factors are shown below. For forward neutrons, we assume a 100% acceptance. For forward Λ , we will collect the charged decays (p, π^-), and the branching ratio is 64%. For the acceptance of forward p and π^- , we require $|\eta| < 5$. These shown errors can be regarded as the ideal case for the future experimental running. (The background processes and the performances of the end-cap and forward detectors are not considered so far.)



QMRC, IMP, CAS



The pion and kaon structure functions can be accessed by electron scattering from the 'meson cloud' outside the proton through the Sullivan process, by tagging the neutrons and Λ 's.





$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}\sigma(ep \to enX)}{\mathrm{d}x_{\mathrm{B}}\mathrm{d}Q^{2}\mathrm{d}x_{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{4\pi\alpha^{2}}{x_{\mathrm{B}}Q^{4}} \left(1 - y + \frac{y^{2}}{2}\right) F_{2}^{\mathrm{LN}(4)}(Q^{2}, x_{\mathrm{B}}, x_{\mathrm{L}}, t) \\ &= \frac{4\pi\alpha^{2}}{x_{\mathrm{B}}Q^{4}} \left(1 - y + \frac{y^{2}}{2}\right) F_{2}^{\pi} \left(\frac{x_{\mathrm{B}}}{1 - x_{\mathrm{L}}}, Q^{2}\right) f_{\pi^{+}/p}(x_{\mathrm{L}}, t). \\ f_{\pi^{+}/p}(x_{\mathrm{L}}, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{g_{pn\pi}^{2}}{4\pi} (1 - x_{\mathrm{L}}) \frac{-t}{(m_{\pi}^{2} - t)^{2}} \exp\left(R_{n\pi}^{2} \frac{t - m_{\pi}^{2}}{1 - x_{\mathrm{L}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Using p-Lambda-K coupling and the kaon mass for the kaon flux around the proton



The model for the simulation is checked with previous HERA data.



 $ep \rightarrow enX$



Invariant kinematic distributions of the neutron-tagged DIS events at EicC energy.

The scattered electrons are collected with the central detectors. ZDC should cover the angle from 0 to 3 Deg.

QMRC, IMP, CAS



 $ep \rightarrow e\Lambda X$



To measure the kaon structure, we tag the neutron and two gammas from Lambda decay, with the farforward detector ZDC of EicC and the central ECal.

We assume ZDC covers the angle from 0 to 3 Deg. The gammas are supposed to be detected with ZDC and Endcap Ecal.





For Lambda-tagged events, we use the wider bins. Under the 50 fb⁻¹ luminosity, the uncertainties on the kaon structure are small, which will play an important role in the synergy to JLab12GeV, Amber, EIC measurements and the future global QCD analysis.





EicC also will provide the meson structure function data at high Q², though with relatively larger uncertainties. This is vital for the extraction of the gluon distribution via scaling violation.

Forward neutron detector



• Zero-degree calorimeter, R&D



Tungsten-copper alloy + scintillator / silica fibre

from Hengne LI

δE	(35%)		
E	$\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}$		

• Optimized Shashlik calorimeter





from Ye TIAN

R. Wang

QMRC, IMP, CAS



• An alternative solution: time of flight detector

As the energy of the forward neutron at EicC is not that high compared to that at EIC-US, we would possibly measure the time of flight, to reconstruct the momentum of the neutron. We could improve the energy resolution of the neutron. (smaller neutron efficiency but probably higher energy resolution)

The forward neutron is around 10 GeV, $\gamma{\sim}10, \beta{\sim}1$



Several layers of plastic scintillators to measure the time of flight of the neutron

Assume flight distance ~ 100 m, then tof = 333 ns. Assume δ tof = 200 ps, then $d\beta \sim 0.06\%$.

 $\frac{\delta E}{E} = \frac{\delta \gamma}{\gamma} = \gamma^2 \delta \beta \sim 6\%$

(
$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} \rightarrow \frac{d\gamma}{\gamma} = \gamma^2 d\beta$$
)

Summary



- Measuring the pion & kaon form factors and structure functions are the important ways to reveal EHM and Higgs modulation of the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.
- EicC data at low energy would allow the L-T separation of the exclusive pion/kaon electroproduction, which could be used to test the assumption that the longitudinal cross section is dominant.
- The Q² for the form factor measurement could reach 30 GeV² (statistical uncertainty less than 6% for F^{π}).
- For the structure function measurement, EicC would provide a broad kinematical range. (Q² up to 50 GeV², $0.01 < x_{\pi} < 0.95$, $0.05 < x_{K} < 0.85$)
- At $Q^2 \sim 4$ GeV², the pion&kaon structure function measurements at EicC would achieve high statistical precision. (For $x_{\pi} < 0.9$ the statistical uncertainty is less than 2%; For $x_{K} < 0.8$ the statistical uncertainty is less than 5%.)
- The high-performance of the forward detector system is important and should be investigated for the next step.





Thank You !



Leading Λ tagged DIS is one kind of SIDIS, but in the forward region.

It is impossible to distinguish the Λ and Σ by the invariant mass.

- • $\Lambda \rightarrow n\pi^0, \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$, we probably could count the number of photons and the transverse momentum conservation
- •The decay vertex of leading Λ is quite different from that of Σ





\sqrt{s} , GeV	L /cm²/s	$oldsymbol{Q}^2$, GeV 2	<i>W,</i> GeV	у	<i>t</i> , GeV²	3
12	1×10^{33}	10	10	0.762	0.1	0.449
16	2×10^{33}	10	10	0.428	0.1	0.862
20	2×10^{33}	10	10	0.273	0.1	0.951
12	1×10^{33}	15	10	0.797	0.1	0.388
16	2×10^{33}	15	10	0.447	0.1	0.846
20	2×10^{33}	15	10	0.286	0.1	0.946
12	1×10^{33}	20	10	0.298	0.1	0.324
16	2×10^{33}	20	10	0.467	0.1	0.829
20	2×10^{33}	20	10	0.832	0.1	0.940

To do the L-T separation, EicC is suggested to run at c.m. energy from 12 GeV to 20 GeV, which is hard to be realized at EIC-US of much higher energy.