# The analysis of $Bs \rightarrow \phi \nu \bar{\nu}$ At CEPC

2021 - 09 - 08

The SnowMass Group: Yudong Wang, Lingfeng Li, Manqi Ruan, Yanyun Duan, Tao Liu, Taifan Zheng

## Outline

- 1. Introduction and Motivation
- 2. Signal and background
- 3. Reconstruction of  $\phi$
- 4. Missing energy and missing mass
- 5. Results and conclusion

## Motivation

- Large statistics for the heavy hadron ( $B_s, B_c, \Lambda_b...$ ) meanwhile clean background.
- Free from strong interaction and not affected by non-factorizable corrections thus theoretically cleaner compared to b → sℓℓ transitions (exist multiple anomalies)
- Verify the SM mechanism and provide opportunity to explore new physics.
- Performance some benchmark of simulation at CEPC (charged lepton identify, vertex reconstruction, energy and momentum resolution).

## Physics of $b \rightarrow s \nu \bar{\nu}$

The effective Hamiltonian for  $b \rightarrow s \nu \bar{\nu}$  transitions in the SM reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm eff}^{\rm SM} = -\frac{4\,G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* C_L^{\rm SM} \mathcal{O}_L + \text{h.c.} \,,$$

Beyond the SM, a second operator can appear in the effective low-energy Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4 G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \left( C_L \mathcal{O}_L + C_R \mathcal{O}_R \right) + \text{h.c.},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_L = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_L b) (\bar{\nu}\gamma^\mu (1-\gamma_5)\nu) , \qquad \mathcal{O}_R = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_R b) (\bar{\nu}\gamma^\mu (1-\gamma_5)\nu) .$$

Relation between BSM and SM for inclusive branch

$$\frac{\mathrm{BR}(B_s \to \phi \nu \bar{\nu})}{\mathrm{BR}(B_s \to \phi \nu \bar{\nu})_{\mathrm{SM}}} = R(\frac{C_L}{C_R})$$

 $F_L$  determines the kinematic distribution of  $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$  decays as

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{3}{4}(1 - F_L)\sin^2\theta + \frac{3}{2}F_L\cos^2\theta$$

where  $\theta \in [0,\pi)$  is the angle between the K<sup>+</sup> and B<sub>s</sub> flight directions in the  $\phi$  rest frame

 $F_{L,SM} = 0.53 \pm 0.04$ 

By the calculation of LingFeng, which take both theoretical uncertainties and their correction into consideration



 $BR \left( B_s \to \phi \nu \bar{\nu} \right)_{SM} = (9.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ 

# The Signal Topology

Number of signal decay by SM prediction at CEPC :

 $N(B_s \to \phi \nu \bar{\nu}) \sim 3.0 \times 10^5$ 

The analysis strategy

- The signal-hemisphere choice and  $\phi$  reconstruction
- The kinematics of  $\phi$  and other final state (charge lepton)
- The kinematics of missing states
- BDT method of TMVA



## $\phi$ reconstruction

#### Reconstruct the decay $\phi(1020) \rightarrow K^+K^-$

- $Br(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = 49.2 \%$
- Take oppositely charged tracks pair in the jet chamber
- Employ the kinematic fit package for ILC to reconstruct the secondary vertex
- Form their invariant mass window

$$|M_{trk1,trk2} - M_{\phi}| < 0.01 \text{ GeV}$$

The  $\phi$  reconstruction efficiency and purity are defined to reflect the results

 $\epsilon = \frac{\text{Number of correctly selected track pair candidates}}{\text{Number of } \phi \to K^+K^- \text{ events}}$ 

 $= \frac{\text{Number of correctly selected track pair candidates}}{\text{Number of selected track pair candidates}}$ 

## $\phi$ reconstruction



Integrated efficiency and purity are 0.985, 0.756

Further requirements for signal  $\phi$  : 1) Located at the signal hemisphere. 2) The impact parameters of both Kaon pair tracks are larger than 0.01 mm. 3) The distance of  $\phi$  decay vertex to interact point(IP) is larger than 0.4 mm.





# Kinematics of $\nu\bar{\nu}$

#### Indirect measurement by the full reconstruction

- The total energy
- Missing energy

a). In the signal-hemisphere, the  $\phi$  energy ratio. ( $\alpha_1 = E_{\phi}/E_{sig}$ )

b). The missing energy ratio in the signalhemisphere. ( $\alpha_2 = E_{sig}/E_{beam}$  and  $E_{asymmetry}$ )

c). The direction that missing momentum *i* . *e* .  $(1 - \alpha_1)/\theta_{\langle P_{\phi}, P_{miss} \rangle}$ 

d). The correctional signal energy of  $B_s$  and mass of  $\nu \bar{\nu}$ 



## **Missing Energy Resolution**

$$\frac{\delta E}{E} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus b$$

$$\frac{\delta E_{miss}}{E_{miss}} = \frac{E_{miss}^{Reco} - E_{miss}^{Gen}}{E_{miss}^{Gen}} = \frac{E}{\sqrt{s} - E} (\frac{a}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus b)$$

Resolution: Fit by double-side crystal ball function







# Analysis of $\nu\bar{\nu}$ System

A correctional signal  $B_s$  energy and invariant mass of  $\nu\bar{\nu}$ 

$$\hat{P}_{B_s} = \hat{V}_{B_s} (\hat{P}_{B_s} = \hat{P}_{\phi} \text{ if } |V_{\phi}| < 0.02 \text{mm}),$$

$$E_{B_s} = E_{beam} - E_{track} - E_{neutral} + E_{\phi},$$

$$|P_{B_s}| = \sqrt{E_{B_s}^2 - M_{B_s}^2}, \longrightarrow 5.367 \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_{\nu\nu} = \sqrt{(p_{B_s} - p_{\phi})^2} (\text{or} - \sqrt{-(p_{B_s} - p_{\phi})^2})$$

#### Best choice : Fit the signal $B_s$ mass

- No good method to fit by the only  $\phi$  information
- No good resolution for missing momentum v.s.  $\nu\nu$  momentum

Alternative way :

• Use the truth  $B_s$  mass to deduce other variables in this algorithm



Replace  $E_{beam}$  in the above equation by  $E_{sig}$  and get a more precise  $E_{B_s}$  and  $M_{\nu\nu}$ Repeat this process any times until get a good results

# Analysis of $\nu\bar{\nu}$ System



The typical reconstruction error for  $q^2(m_{\nu\nu}^2)$  and  $E_{B_s}$  are 2.5 GeV<sup>2</sup> and 1.7 GeV, respectively.



Any strategy to improve the missing momentum resolution?

GeV

12

# Cut chain and result

| Cuts   | $B_s \to \phi \nu \bar{\nu}$ | $u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} + s\bar{s}$ | $car{c}$              | $b\overline{b}$       | total bkg             | $\sqrt{S+B}/S$ (%) |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CEPC events $(10^{12}Z)$                                       | $3.03 	imes 10^5$            | $4.28 \times 10^{11}$            | $1.20 \times 10^{11}$ | $1.51 \times 10^{11}$ | $6.99 	imes 10^{11}$  | 276                |
| $^*N^{\mathrm{sig}}_{\phi( ightarrow K^+K^-)}>0$               | $9.00 	imes 10^4$            | $1.39 	imes 10^9$                | $1.55 \times 10^9$    | $3.14 	imes 10^9$     | $6.08 	imes 10^9$     | 86.7               |
| Energy asymmetry $> 8 \text{ GeV}$                             | $7.61 	imes 10^4$            | $2.97	imes10^8$                  | $3.61 	imes 10^8$     | $9.05	imes10^8$       | $1.56 	imes 10^9$     | 51.9               |
| Energy total $< 85 \text{ GeV}$                                | $7.36 	imes 10^4$            | $6.28 	imes 10^7$                | $1.16 	imes 10^8$     | $4.65 	imes 10^8$     | $6.44 	imes 10^8$     | 34.5               |
| $E_{B_s}^N > 28  {\rm GeV}$                                    | $6.40 	imes 10^4$            | $1.77 	imes 10^7$                | $3.03	imes10^7$       | $8.83	imes10^7$       | $1.36 	imes 10^8$     | 18.2               |
| $\alpha < 1.0$   | $4.34 	imes 10^4$            | $6.22 	imes 10^6$                | $6.42 	imes 10^6$     | $1.00 	imes 10^7$     | $2.26 	imes 10^7$     | 11.0               |
| b-tag $> 0.6$  | $3.34 	imes 10^4$            | $< 2.0 \times 10^4$              | $2.54 	imes 10^5$     | $6.44 	imes 10^6$     | $6.69	imes10^6$       | 7.76               |
| $E_{\mu} < 1.2 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } E_e < 1.2 \text{ GeV}$ | $3.02 	imes 10^4$            | -                                | $1.08 	imes 10^5$     | $2.33	imes10^6$       | $2.44 	imes 10^6$     | 5.20               |
| $(1-lpha_1)/	heta_\phi^{ m miss} < 2.0$                        | $2.04 	imes 10^4$            | -                                | $2.82 	imes 10^4$     | $4.53 	imes 10^5$     | $4.81 	imes 10^5$     | 3.47               |
| BDT response $> 0.20$  | $1.30 	imes 10^4$            | -                                | $< 1.0 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.65 	imes 10^4$     | $< 1.75 \times 10^4$  | 1.34               |
| Efficiency   | 4.29%                        | -                                | $< 8 \times 10^{-9}$  | $1.09 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.36 \times 10^{-8}$ |                    |

<sup>\*</sup> The signal  $\phi$  here is required to satisfy the following conditions : 1) Located at the signal hemisphere. 2) The impact parameters of both Kaon pair tracks are larger than 0.01 mm. 3) The distance of  $\phi$  decay vertex to interact point(IP) is larger than 0.4 mm.

TABLE III: The cut chain for the signal and  $q\bar{q}$  with full simulation samples and scaled to the integrated luminosity to  $10^{12}Z$  bosons at CEPC.

## Always companied charged lepton produced in the signal-hemi, benefit from the optimism for the low energy lepton identify?



Charged lepton (muon and electron) identify by DanYu.

#### The semi-leptonic decay background performance









 $0.53 \pm 0.04$  (SM)  $\pm 0.04$  (reco)



# Summary

- By  $10^{12}$  Z decay, CEPC will produce  $1.5 \times 10^{11} b\bar{b}$  and  $3.0 \times 10^5$  signals ( $B_s \to \phi \nu \bar{\nu}$ ) by SM prediction
- $B_s$  Statistics : More than 5 higher order compared to current measurement
- Expected accuracy

About 1.34 % for the branch ratio

•  $\phi$  longitudinal polarization fraction  $F_{L,SM}$  measurements

 $F_L = 0.53 \pm 0.04 \text{ (SM)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (reco)}$ 

- Potential optimization and detector requirement.
  - Charged lepton identify at low energy region ( < 1.2 GeV )
  - The missing energy and momentum resolution : are largely determined by the hadronic final states
  - Study of the flavor rare decay processes of  $B_s$ ,  $B_c$  and  $\Lambda_b$  at CEPC are expected

End Thanks

## Luminosity and Statistics

## **CEPC** scheme

| operation mode                     | Z factory | WW threshold scan | Higgs factory |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|--|
| center-of-mass energy (GeV)        | 91.2      | 160               | 240           |  |
| running time (yeas)                | 2         | 1                 | 7             |  |
| $L (10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1})$       | 32        | 10                | 3             |  |
| intergrated luminosity $(ab^{-1})$ | 16        | 2.6               | 5.6           |  |
| Higgs yield                        | -         | -                 | $10^{6}$      |  |
| W yield                            | -         | $10^{7}$          | $10^{8}$      |  |
| Z yield                            | $10^{12}$ | $10^{8}$          | $10^{8}$      |  |

Provides unique opportunities for various flavor measurements  $Z \rightarrow b\overline{b} \qquad : \sim 1.5 \times 10^{11}$  $Z \rightarrow c\overline{c} \qquad : \sim 1.2 \times 10^{11}$  $Z \rightarrow \tau^{+}\tau^{-} \qquad : \sim 3.37 \times 10^{10}$ 

Huge B flavor physics potential of Tera-Z, especially  $B_s$ ,  $B_c$  and  $\Lambda_b$ ...

| Channel                  | Belle II            | LHCb                    | $\operatorname{Giga-}Z$ | Tera- $Z$            | $10 \times \text{Tera-}Z$ |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| $B^0, ar{B}^0$           | $5.3 	imes 10^{10}$ | $\sim 6 \times 10^{13}$ | $1.2 	imes 10^8$        | $1.2 \times 10^{11}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{12}$      |
| $B^{\pm}$                | $5.6	imes10^{10}$   | $\sim 6 	imes 10^{13}$  | $1.2 	imes 10^8$        | $1.2 \times 10^{11}$ | $1.2 	imes 10^{12}$       |
| $B_s,ar{B}_s$            | $5.7 	imes 10^8$    | $\sim 2 	imes 10^{13}$  | $3.2 	imes 10^7$        | $3.2 	imes 10^{10}$  | $3.2	imes10^{11}$         |
| $B_c^{\pm}$              | -                   | $\sim 2 	imes 10^{11}$  | $2.2	imes 10^5$         | $2.2 	imes 10^8$     | $2.2	imes10^9$            |
| $\Lambda_b, ar\Lambda_b$ | -                   | $\sim 2 	imes 10^{13}$  | $1.0 	imes 10^7$        | $1.0 	imes 10^{10}$  | $1.0	imes10^{11}$         |

# Events Analysis By $\phi$

### Now, we have a leading $\phi$ with its momentum and vertex

Define the scaleless variables  $\alpha_1 = \frac{E_{\phi}}{E_{vis}^{sig}}$  and  $\alpha_2 = \frac{E_{vis}^{sig}}{E_{beam}}$ 

 $E_{vis}^{sig}$  is the energy of signal-hemisphere and  $E_{beam} = 45.6 \text{ GeV}$ 

 $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  show the strong correlation via missing energy, signal-hemi energy and  $\phi$  energy.

Significant difference of  $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1$  distribution for background and signal events.



# Events Analysis By $\phi$



Mostly background (more than 99 % ) could be rejected Amount of remain background still be large compared to signal Loose boundary defined by

$$\alpha = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} = \frac{(E_{vis}^{sig})^2}{E_{\phi} \cdot E_{beam}} = 1.0$$

The accuracy of  $\alpha$  depend on the energy resolution (about 4 % in CEPC baseline full simulation)



# Analysis

## **Charged Lepton Identify**

No charged lepton generated in the signal hemisphere for signal decay

Main background usually generated accompanied with a charged lepton

Good performance 102 for the charged lepton 100 mis-id to muon(single) as the energy larger 3 mis-id to electron(single) mis-id rate(%) 98 mis-id to muon(jet) than 1 GeV eff(%) mis-id to electron(jet) 2 electron eff (single) Normalized Entries 94 Signal muon eff (single) qq **10**<sup>-1</sup> electron eff (jet) 92 muon eff (jet)  $10^{-2}$ 90 20 E[GeV] 10 30 40 30 10 20 0 0 10<sup>-3</sup> E[GeV] 10-4 Charged lepton (muon and electron) identify by DanYu. 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

Samples satisfy  $N_{\phi} > 0$  and  $\alpha < 1.0$ 

 $\log_{10}(E_{e}+1)$ 









# The Background

**Generator** : CEPC official - whizard-1.9.5

### **General background**

- The  $q\bar{q}$  events especially the heavy-flavor  $b\bar{b}$  and  $c\bar{c}$
- $10^6 \sim 10^7$  full simulation samples for each channel

### Main background

• The semi-leptonic decay of  $B^{(*)}$  or  $D^{(*)}$  decay

 $b\bar{b}: b \to B(B^*) \to D(D^*)\ell\nu_\ell$  with  $D(D^*) \to \phi X$ 

- One or more  $\phi$  produced and decay to  $K^+K^-$  pair
- Significant missing energy





• Full simulation samples generated corresponding to  $\sim 3 \times 10^8$  for each heavy-flavor channel

### At CEPC, with $1.5 \times 10^{11} b\bar{b}$ events, the expected advance?

- More than 5 higher order magnitude of luminosity than current limit (2.844  $\times 10^{6}$   $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ )
- At least 2-3 order optimization for the branch limit
- Test the SM prediction precisely