Quantum kinetic theory with QEDinteraction and its effect on spin polarization

Shuo Fang (方 硕)

University of Science and Technology of China (USTC)





Outline

- Global and local polarization in heavy ion collisions
- Quantum kinetic theory with collisions
- Collisional effects on spin polarization
- Summary

Outline

- Global and local polarization in heavy ion collisions
- Quantum kinetic theory with collisions
- Collisional effects on spin polarization
- Summary

Spin-orbit coupling in many-body system





Einstein de-Haas effect **Polarization -> rotation**

Barnett effect
Rotation -> Polarization

S. J. Barnett, Phys. Rev. 6, 239 (1915) A. Einstein and W. J. de Haas, Verh. d. Deutsch. Phy. Ges. 17, 152 (1915)

Global polarization



- Large initial orbit angular momentum (OAM) of order of $10^5\hbar$ is produced in peripheral heavy ion collisions.
- The deconfined quarks can be polarized along the direction of the initial OAM via **spin-orbit coupling**, and the hadrons in final state can be further polarized from quark coalescence mechanism.
 - QGP is the most vortical matter in nature, $\omega \sim 10^{22} s^{-1}$.



L. Adamczyk et al. [STAR Collaboration], Nature 548, 62(2017) Francesco Becattini, Michael A. Lisa, ARNPS 70 (2020) 395-423



Sign puzzle in local polarization

- Stronger in-plane expansion of QGP due to spacetime anisotropy can induce local polarization along the beam line.
- In the past, the theories in the market CANNOT explain the local polarization well, even gives opposite results.
- The shear effects can be important to local polarization, and even quantitively explain the experiment by proper choice of parameter.





Fu, Liu, Pang et al, PRL. 127 (2021) 14, 142301 Becattini , Buzzegoli, Palermo, PRL. 127 (2021) 27, 272302 Yi , Pu, and Yang, PRC. 104 (2021) 6, 064901



φ_o [rad]

 ϕ_{p} [rad] 2

s quark

-P, (1/1000)

φ_n [rad]

A hypero

W/O shear

-P. (1/1000)

Question: how can we understand spin polarization?

The spin polarization in heavy ion collision is a collective motion, and to understand it we need: a Boltzmann-type equation with spin.

Question: Microscopically, how can we implement the **spin evolution** into the evolution of quarks and gluons?



Outline

- Global and local polarization in heavy ion collisions
- Quantum kinetic theory with collisions
- Collisional effects on spin polarization
- Summary

Present efforts on quantum kinetic theory (I)

Up to now there are plenty of investigations on relativistic quantum kinetic theory and spin transport equations:

• Hamiltonian form and effective field theory:

D. T. Son, N. Yamamoto, PRL109 (2012) 181602; PRD 87 (2013) 8, 085016; S. Lin, A. Shukla, JHEP 06 (2019) 060

• Path integral formalism:

M.A. Stephanov, Y. Yin PRL 109 (2012) 162001; J.-Y. Chen, D. T. Son, M. A. Stephanov, H.-U. Yee, Y. Yin, PRL 113 (2014) 18, 182302; J.-W. Chen, J.-Y. Pang, S. Pu, Q. Wang PRD 89 (2014) 9, 094003; J.-Y. Chen, D. T. Son, M. A. Stephanov, PRL 115, 021601 (2015)

• Chiral kinetic theory from quantum field theory:

J.-W. Chen, S. Pu, Q. Wang, X.-N. Wang, PRL 110 (2013) 26, 262301; Y. Hidaka, S. Pu, D.-L. Yang, PRD 95 (2017) 9, 091901; A. Huang, S. Shi, Y. Jiang, J. Liao, P. Zhuang, PRD 98 (2018) 3, 036010

• Worldline formalism:

N. Mueller, R. Venugopalan, PRD 97 (2018) 5, 051901;PRD 96 (2017) 1, 016023

• Quantum kinetic theory including quantum corrections to collision terms:

N. Weickgenannt, X.-L. Sheng, E. Speranza, Q. Wang, D. H. Rischke PRD 100 (2019) 5, 056018; PRL 127 (2021) 5, 052301; PRD 104 (2021) 1, 016022; PRD 104 (2021) 1, 016029; K. Hattori, Y. Hidaka, D.-L. Yang PRD 100 (2019) 9, 096011; JHEP 07 (2020) 070; Y.-C. Liu, K. Mameda, X.-G. Huang CPC 45 (2021) 8, 089001; Z. Wang, X. Guo, S. Shi, P. Zhuang PRD 100 (2019) 1, 014015; Z. Wang, X. Guo, P. Zhuang, EPJC 81 (2021) 9, 799; Z. Wang, arXiv:2205.09334; S. Lin, Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 7, 076017; S. Lin, Z. Wang, JHEP 12 (2022) 030

Present efforts on quantum kinetic theory (II)

Talks on recent process of quantum kinetic theory in the 7th conference on Chirality, 2023:

• July 15th :

Jianhua Gao, 16:30-17:00; X.-L. Sheng, 17:00-17:30;

• July 17th :

Qun Wang, 8:30-9:00; Shi Pu, 9:30-10:00; Kazuya Mameda, 10:00-10:30; Shu Lin, 10:50-11:20; Ziyue Wang, 11:20-11:50; Xingyu Guo, 11:50-12:20; David Wagner, 15:00-15:30;

• July 18th :

Afternoon Session-I: Jiayuan Tian, 14:30-14:45; Peiwei Yu, 15:15-15:30;

Collisional quantum kinetic theory (I)

We define the gauge invariant 2-point Wigner function for fermions,

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^{<}(x,p) = \int d^{4}y e^{-ip \cdot y} \langle : \overline{\psi}_{\beta}(x+\frac{y}{2}) e^{\frac{y}{2} \cdot \overleftarrow{D}(x)} \otimes e^{-\frac{y}{2} \cdot \overrightarrow{D}(x)} \psi_{\alpha}(x-\frac{y}{2}) : \rangle$$

- Along the Schwinger-Keldysh contour, one derives the Kadanoff-Baym equations up to $O(\hbar)$,

$$p_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}S^{<} + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}S^{<} = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(\Sigma^{<}S^{>} - \Sigma^{>}S^{<}) \quad , \quad p_{\mu}S^{<}\gamma^{\mu} - \frac{i\hbar}{2}\partial_{\mu}S^{<}\gamma^{\mu} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2}(S^{>}\Sigma^{<} - S^{<}\Sigma^{>})$$

- For massless particle, in 4×4 Clifford basis, we have,

$$S = \mathcal{V}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu}$$



$$\mathcal{V}^{\mu}, \Sigma^{\mu}_{\mathrm{V}}, f_{\mathrm{V}} \sim \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{0}), \qquad \mathcal{A}^{\mu}, \Sigma^{\mu}_{\mathrm{A}}, f_{\mathrm{A}} \sim \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{1})$$

J.-P. Blaizot, E. Iancu, Phys.Rept. 359 (2002) 355-528; Y. Hidaka, S. Pu, and D.-L. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 95, 091901 (2017); D.-L. Yang, K. Hattori, Y. Hidaka, JHEP 07 (2020) 070

Shuo Fang(USTC), Collisional QKT and spin polarization, 7th conference on Chirality, 2023

Re t

Im t

 (C_{-})

(C₊)

 (C_0)

t₀- iβ

Collisional quantum kinetic theory (II)

The formal solutions to the vector and axial Wigner functions read,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}^{\leq,\mu}(p) &= 2\pi \mathrm{sgn}(n\cdot p) p^{\mu} \delta(p^2) f_V^{\leq}(p) \\ \mathcal{A}^{\leq,\mu}(p) &= 2\pi \mathrm{sgn}(n\cdot p) p^{\mu} \delta(p^2) f_A^{\leq}(p) + 2\pi \hbar \delta(p^2) \mathrm{sgn}(n\cdot p) S^{(n),\mu\alpha} \left[\partial_{\alpha} f_V^{\leq} - \left(\Sigma_{V,\alpha}^{\leq} f_V^{\geq} - \Sigma_{V,\alpha}^{\geq}(p) f_V^{\leq} \right) \right] \\ \text{where the spin tensor is} \quad S_{(n)}^{\mu\nu} &= \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{\rho} n_{\sigma} / (2n \cdot p) \end{aligned}$$

The EoMs of the Wigner functions are,

SF.S. Pu. D.-L.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \cdot \mathcal{V}^{<} &= \Sigma_{V}^{<} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{>} - \Sigma_{V}^{>} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{<}, \\ \Delta \cdot \mathcal{A}^{<} &= \Sigma_{V}^{<} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{>} - \Sigma_{V}^{>} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{<} - \Sigma_{A}^{<} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{>} + \Sigma_{A}^{>} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{<}. \end{aligned}$$

For massless fermions, the equilibrium conditions are,

global equilibrium :
$$\partial_{(\mu}(\beta u_{\nu)}) = 0, (\omega_s^{\mu\nu}) = -\partial^{[\mu}(\beta u^{\nu]})$$

local equilibrium : $\omega_s^{\mu\nu} = -\Omega^{\mu\nu}$. Spin chemical Thermal
Y. Hidaka, S. Pu, and D.-L. Yang, Phys.Rev.D 97 (2018) 1, 016004 potential vorticity
SF, S. Pu, D.-L. Yang Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 1, 016002

General discussions and HTL approximations

 We consider the behavior of a hard electron in a thermalized QED plasma: a t-channel process is firstly considered; a realistic gauge theory.

$$e^-(p) + e^-_{eq}(k) \leftrightarrow e^-(p') + e^-_{eq}(k'),$$

The distribution functions in local equilibrium are taken as

$$\mathcal{N}_{V,leq}^{<}(x,p) = \left[\exp\left(\beta u \cdot p - \beta \mu_{V}\right) + 1\right]^{-1},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{A,leq}^{<}(x,p) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \mathcal{N}_{V,leq}^{<}(x,p) \mathcal{N}_{V,leq}^{>}(x,p) \Omega_{\mu\nu} S_{(u)}^{\mu\nu},$$

so that the axial charge are damped in local equilibrium.

 Then we adopt the momentum hierarchy to consider the small-angle multi-scattering process only to get the leading-logarithm result,

$$eT \ll q^{\mu} \ll T, k^{\mu}, k'^{,\mu}, p^{\mu},$$

We also adopt the q expansion and keep up to q^2 : leading logarithm results.

Arnold, Moore, Yaffe JHEP 11 (2000) 001; JHEP 05 (2003) 051





Spin Boltzmann equation with collision terms

 A spin Boltzmann equation (SBE) with explicit collision kernel is derived:

 $(p \cdot \partial) f_V^{<}(x, p) = \mathcal{C}_V^{\mathrm{HTL}}[f_V] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2),$ $(p \cdot \partial) f_A^{<}(x, p) + \hbar \partial_\mu S_{(u)}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu f_V^{<}(x, p) = \mathcal{C}_A^{\mathrm{HTL}}[f_V, f_A] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2),$

- It is found that, when the vector and axial distribution functions of probes are in local equilibrium, the collision kernels are zero: Detailed balance exists for quantum modes.
- For certain cases, we find the spin relaxation rate can be much slower than particle relaxation rate.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}_{V}[f_{V}] &= \frac{e^{4}\delta(p^{2})}{24\beta^{2}}\ln\frac{T}{m_{D}}\left[2f_{V}^{<}(p)f_{V}^{>}(p) + |\mathbf{p}|F(p)\hat{p}_{\perp,\alpha}\partial_{p_{\perp}}^{\alpha}f_{V}^{<}(p) - |\mathbf{p}|\frac{1}{\beta}(\partial_{p_{\perp}}\cdot\partial_{p_{\perp}})f_{V}^{<}(p)\right] \\ \mathcal{C}_{A}[f_{V},f_{A}] &= -\frac{e^{4}\delta(p^{2})}{8\pi^{2}|\mathbf{p}|}\ln\frac{T}{m_{D}}\left\{\frac{2\pi^{2}}{3\beta^{2}}|\mathbf{p}|F(p)f_{A}^{<}(p) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3\beta^{2}}|\mathbf{p}|^{2}F(p)[(\hat{p}_{\perp}\cdot\partial_{p_{\perp}}) - \frac{1}{\beta}(\partial_{p_{\perp}}\cdot\partial_{p_{\perp}})]f_{A}^{<}(p) \\ &- \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3\beta^{2}}|\mathbf{p}|^{2}f_{A}^{<}(p)(\hat{p}_{\perp}\cdot\partial_{p_{\perp}})f_{V}^{<}(p) + \hbar F(p)|\mathbf{p}|H_{3,\alpha}\partial_{p_{\perp}}^{\alpha}f_{V}^{<}(p) \\ &- \hbar\frac{\pi^{2}}{12\beta^{2}}F(p)|\mathbf{p}|\epsilon^{\rho\alpha\nu\beta}\hat{p}_{\perp,\nu}u_{\beta}\partial_{p_{\perp},\rho}\partial_{\alpha}f_{V}^{<}(p) + \hbar\frac{\pi^{2}}{6\beta^{3}}\epsilon^{\rho\alpha\nu\beta}\hat{p}_{\perp,\rho}u_{\beta}\partial_{p_{\perp},\nu}\partial_{\alpha}f_{V}^{<}(p) \\ &+ \hbar\frac{\pi^{2}}{6\beta^{2}}\epsilon^{\mu\xi\lambda\kappa}p_{\lambda}u_{\kappa}\partial_{\xi}f_{V}^{<}(p)\partial_{p_{\perp},\mu}f_{V}^{<}(p) \\ &- \hbar\frac{\pi^{2}}{12\beta^{3}}|\mathbf{p}|\epsilon^{\rho\alpha\nu\beta}\hat{p}_{\perp,\nu}u_{\beta}\hat{p}_{\perp,(\gamma}g_{\lambda)\rho}\hat{p}_{\perp,\lambda}\partial_{p_{\perp}}^{\lambda}\partial_{p_{\perp}}^{\lambda}\partial_{\alpha}f_{V}^{<}(p)\right\} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{2}). \end{split}$$

SF,S. Pu, D.-L. Yang Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 1, 016002

Outline

- Global and local polarization in heavy ion collisions
- Quantum kinetic theory with collisions
- Collisional effects on spin polarization
- Summary

Off-equilibrium effects from SBE

- f_A , f_V in global equilibrium: the spin polarization pseudovector S^{μ} receives contributions only from thermal vorticity;
- f_A , f_V in **local equilibrium**: S^{μ} also has contributions from shear viscosity, etc. \leftrightarrow "Intrinsic Hall effect"

Non-dissipative \leftrightarrow Reversible $\leftrightarrow C_V = C_A = 0$

What about the off-equilibrium effects from collisions?
 Important in condensed matter physics: anomalous Hall effect, spin Hall effect, etc. ↔ "Extrinsic Hall effect"

We need to solve the spin Boltzmann equations!

Some common used method: relaxation time approximation, a gradient expansion, method of moments.

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mu}(\mathbf{p}) = rac{\int d\Sigma \cdot p \mathcal{J}_{5}^{\mu}(p, X)}{2m_{\Lambda} \int d\Sigma \cdot \mathcal{N}(p, X)},$$

Extrinsic anomalous Hall effects from collisions in condensed matter physics



D. Xiao, M.-C. Chang, Q. Niu RMP 82, 1959 N. A. Sinitsyn 2008, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20,023201 Y. Yao, et al. PRB 75, 020401 Hirsch, J. E., 1999, PRL 83, 1834.

SBE with Moller scattering (I)

 We discuss the off-equilibrium corrections to the Wigner functions in local equilibrium (the free streaming Wigner functions):

v

v

D

$$\mathcal{A}_{f}^{<,\mu}(X,p) = 2\pi\delta(p^{2})p^{\mu}f_{A,f}^{<} + 2\pi\delta(p^{2})\hbar S_{(u)}^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}f_{V,f}^{<}$$

$$f_{A,f} + \delta f_{A} \qquad f_{V,f} + \delta f_{V}$$

We did not insert the perturbations to the selfenergy terms to avoid double counting.

Consider a Moller scattering process



$$p \cdot \partial f_V^{<} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{p',k,k'} W_{pk \to p'k'} (2\pi)^3 \delta(k^2) \delta(p'^2) \delta(k'^2) \\ \times \left(f_V^{<}(k') f_V^{<}(p') f_V^{>}(p) f_V^{>}(k) - f_V^{>}(k') f_V^{>}(p') f_V^{<}(p) f_V^{<}(k) \right)$$

SF, S. Pu, et al in preparation

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{A}^{<}(p) + \hbar\partial_{\mu}S^{(u),\mu\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}f_{V}^{<} = \mathcal{C}_{A}^{B}[f_{V}, f_{A}] + \mathcal{C}_{A}^{\partial^{2}}[f_{V}] + \mathcal{C}_{A}^{\Sigma}[f_{V}],$$

SBE with Moller scattering (II)



If considering the radiative corrections, the formal solution should be written as,

$$\delta f_{\rm V} = \frac{1}{h(\ln e)e^4 \ln \frac{1}{e}} \times \mathcal{O}(\partial^1)$$

$$\delta f_{\rm A} = \frac{1}{H_1(\ln e)e^4 \ln \frac{1}{e}} \times \left[\mathcal{O}(\hbar \partial^2) + H_2(\ln e)e^4 \ln \frac{1}{e} \times \mathcal{O}(\hbar \partial^1) \right]$$

h, H1, H2: Possible radiative corrections from thermal photons

Question: Between the leading order terms of gradient and coupling constant, which one is dominant to the spin polarization effect?

SF, S. Pu, et al in preparation

f_V in local equilibrium: Gradient expansion (I)

- To avoid the above problem, we consider f_V in local equilibrium for simplicity: only $C_A^B[f_A, f_V^{leq}]$ survives. This is guaranteed by $C_A \neq 0$ when f_A is off-equilibrium.
- Up to leading logarithm, the spin Boltzmann equation reduces to,

$$\begin{split} p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{A}^{<}(p) &+ \hbar\partial_{\mu}S_{(u)}^{\mu\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}f_{V,\text{leq}}^{<}(p) \\ &= 8e^{4}\int_{p',k,k'}(2\pi)^{3}\delta(k'^{2})\delta(p'^{2})\delta(k^{2})\frac{(p\cdot k')^{2} + (p\cdot k)^{2}}{(p-p')^{4}} \\ &\times f_{V,\text{leq}}^{<}(k')f_{V,\text{leq}}^{<}(p')f_{V,\text{leq}}^{>}(k)f_{V,\text{leq}}^{>}(p)\left[-\frac{\delta f_{A}^{<}(p)}{f_{V,\text{leq}}^{<}(p)f_{V,\text{leq}}^{>}(p)} + \frac{\delta f_{A}^{<}(p')}{f_{V,\text{leq}}^{<}(p')f_{V,\text{leq}}^{>}(p')}\right]. \end{split}$$

Make gradient expansion and match the same order in both side,

$$\hbar \left(\frac{\hat{\partial}_{\mu} S_{(u)}^{\mu\nu}}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left[-\hat{\partial}_{\nu} (\beta u \cdot p) + \hat{\partial}_{\nu} (\beta \mu_{V}) \right] \right)^{(2)} f_{V,\text{leq}}^{<}(x,p) f_{V,\text{leq}}^{>}(x,p) + L \left(\hat{D} f_{A}^{<}(x,p) \right)^{(2)} + L \frac{p^{\langle \mu \rangle}}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \hat{\nabla}_{\mu} f_{A}^{<,(1)}(x,p) = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \mathcal{C}_{A}^{(2)}$$

SF,S. Pu, D.-L. Yang Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 1, 016002 *SF*, S. Pu, et al in preparation

f_V in local equilibrium: Gradient expansion (II)

- By hard calculation, we get,

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{A}}^{(2)} = -\frac{\hbar}{2} f_{V,\text{leq}}^{<}(x,p) f_{V,\text{leq}}^{>}(x,p) \left[A_{\mathbf{p}} + B_{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha} p_{\langle \alpha \rangle} + C_{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha \rho} p_{\langle \alpha} p_{\rho \rangle} + D_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mu \alpha \lambda} p_{\langle \mu} p_{\alpha} p_{\lambda \rangle} \right]$$

• Then we assume the most general expression for f_A ,

$$\begin{split} f_{\rm A}^{<,(2)}(p) &= -\frac{\hbar}{2} f_{V,\rm leq}^{<}(x,p) f_{V,\rm leq}^{>}(x,p) \left\{ \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm s,1} \frac{1}{\beta} \hat{\omega}_{\rho} \hat{\nabla}^{\rho} \beta + \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm s,2} \hat{\nabla}_{\alpha} \hat{\omega}^{\alpha} + \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm s,3} \hat{\omega}_{\rho} \hat{\nabla}^{\rho} \alpha \right. \\ &+ \left[\varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm v,1} \beta \hat{\theta} \hat{\omega}^{\alpha} + \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm v,2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_{\beta} \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} \alpha \hat{\nabla}_{\mu} \beta + \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm v,3} \beta \hat{\sigma}^{\mu\alpha} \hat{\omega}_{\mu} \right] p_{\langle \alpha \rangle} \\ &+ \left[\varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm ts,1} \beta \hat{\omega}^{\langle \alpha} \hat{\nabla}^{\rho} \beta + \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm ts,2} \beta^{2} \hat{\nabla}^{\langle \rho} \hat{\omega}^{\alpha} + \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm ts,3} \beta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\langle \rho} \hat{\sigma}_{\mu}^{\alpha} u_{\sigma} \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} \beta + \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm ts,4} \beta^{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\langle \rho} \hat{\sigma}_{\mu}^{\alpha} u_{\sigma} \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} \alpha \right. \\ &+ \left. \left\{ \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm ts,5} \beta^{2} \hat{\omega}^{\langle \alpha} \hat{\nabla}^{\rho} \alpha \right\} p_{\langle \alpha} p_{\rho \rangle} + \varphi_{\bf p}^{\rm ts} \beta^{3} \hat{\omega}^{\langle \rho} \hat{\sigma}^{\mu\lambda\rangle} p_{\langle \mu} p_{\rho} p_{\lambda \rangle} + a_{0 \mathbf{p}} \right\} \end{split}$$

• We then impose the matching condition, $n_a = \langle E_p \rangle_{0,a}$ choose the polynomial basis for the coefficient functions $\varphi_p^{i,j}$ and performing the collision integrals using HTL approximation, we can get the off equilibrium corrections.

SF, S. Pu, et al in preparation

f_V in local equilibrium: Gradient expansion (III)

• Finally, we get,

$$\begin{split} &\delta f_{\rm A}^{<}(x,p) \\ = -\frac{\hbar}{2} f_{V,\rm leq}^{<}(x,p) f_{V,\rm leq}^{>}(x,p) \frac{48\pi\beta^2}{e^4 \ln \frac{T}{m_{\rm D}}} \left\{ -(-\frac{27\zeta(3)}{\pi^2\beta} + E_{\rm P}) \frac{2\ln 2}{3} \left(\omega_\rho \nabla^\rho \beta - \beta \nabla_\alpha \omega^\alpha\right) \right. \\ &+ \left(-\frac{27\zeta(3)}{\pi^2\beta} + E_{\rm P} \right) \left(\frac{5}{3} - \frac{360\zeta(3)}{7\pi^4} \ln 2 \right) \beta \omega_\rho \nabla^\rho \alpha \\ &+ \left[-\frac{45\zeta(3) - 7\pi^2 \ln 2}{14\pi^2 \ln 2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\beta \nabla_\nu \alpha \nabla_\mu \beta - \frac{3\pi^2}{10 \ln 2} \beta \sigma^{\mu\alpha} \omega_\mu \right] p_{\langle\alpha\rangle} \\ &+ \left[-\frac{\beta}{4} \left(\omega^{\langle\alpha} \nabla^\rho\rangle \beta + \frac{1}{2} \beta \nabla^{\langle\rho} \omega^\alpha) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\langle\rho} \sigma^{\alpha\rangle}_\mu u_\sigma \nabla_\nu \beta \right) + \frac{3645\zeta^2(3) + 7\pi^6}{1512\pi^4\zeta(3)} \beta^2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\langle\rho} \sigma^{\alpha\rangle}_\mu u_\sigma \nabla_\nu \alpha \right. \\ &+ \left. \left. + \frac{10935\zeta^2(3) - 14\pi^6}{756\pi^4\zeta(3)} \beta^2 \omega^{\langle\alpha} \nabla^\rho\rangle \alpha \right] p_{\langle\alpha} p_{\rho\rangle} + \frac{7\pi^4}{10125\zeta(5)} \beta^3 \omega^{\langle\rho} \sigma^{\mu\lambda\rangle} p_{\langle\mu} p_\rho p_{\lambda\rangle} \right\}, \end{split}$$

 We notice that, the corrections are proportional to combinations of hydrodynamic fluctuations and, therefore, should not lead to sizeable contributions to the spin polarization if *f_V* is in local equilibrium.

SF, S. Pu, et al in preparation

Other applications of collisional SBE: Spin hydrodynamics from method of moments

- Another useful effective way to describe the off-equilibrium effect of SBE is to use the method
 of moments and investigate only the behavior of several moments.
- The rank-3 spin AMT can be given by QFT,

$$S^{\mu\rho\sigma} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \overline{\psi} \{\gamma^{\mu}, S^{\rho\sigma}\} \psi = \frac{\hbar}{2} \epsilon^{\beta\mu\rho\sigma} \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\beta} \gamma^{5} \psi$$

which in terms of Wigner function is,

$$S^{\mu\rho\sigma}(x) = \epsilon^{\beta\mu\rho\sigma} \frac{\hbar}{2} 4 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{A}^{<}_{\beta}(x,p)$$

A generalized offequilibrium spin BMT equation Also see Prof. Shi Pu, July 17, 9:30, D.-L. Wang, July 18, 4:00pm Parallel Session A

Using the solution to Wigner functions, we derive the EoM of the spin density 4:00pm Parallel Session A using HTL approximation,

where
$$\tau_s = \frac{108\beta}{e^4 \ln \frac{1}{e} \frac{6+5\pi^2}{8\pi^3}}$$
, $\tau_s Ds^{\langle \alpha \rangle} + s^{\alpha} = \frac{\hbar^2}{96\beta^2} \omega^{\alpha} + \tau_s \left(s^{\beta} \omega^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \frac{3}{5} s^{\beta} \sigma^{\alpha}_{\beta} - s^{\alpha} \theta\right)$
+other dissipative correction

Hongo, Huang, Kaminski, Stephanov, Yee, JHEP 11 (2021) 150 Denicol, Koide, Rischke, Phys.Rev.Lett. 105 (2010) 162501 **SF**, Fukushima, Pu, Wang, in preparation

Outline

- Global and local polarization in heavy ion collisions
- Quantum kinetic theory with collisions
- Collisional effects on spin polarization
- Summary

Summary

- We have derived a spin Boltzmann equation with QED collision kernel is derived, the collision kernel is calculated using HTL approximation; such SBE can be used for future simulation; the spin relaxes slower than normal quantity.
- 2. We investigate the **off-equilibrium correction** to spin polarization pseudo-vector using gradient expansion and RTA separately: **off-equilibrium effects can be important**!
- 3. An off-equilibrium BMT equation is derived using the method of moments.

Thanks for your attention!



Backup slides

SBE with Moller scattering

- The spin Boltzmann equation is,

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{A}^{<}(p) + \hbar\partial_{\mu}S^{(u),\mu\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}f_{V}^{<} = \mathcal{C}_{A}^{B}[f_{V}, f_{A}] + \mathcal{C}_{A}^{\partial^{2}}[f_{V}] + \mathcal{C}_{A}^{\Sigma}[f_{V}]$$

> The Boltzmann type collision kernel:

$$\mathcal{C}_{A}^{B}[f_{V}, f_{A}] = 8e^{4} \int_{p', k, k'} W_{pk \to p'k'}(2\pi)^{3} \delta(k'^{2}) \delta(k^{2}) \delta(p'^{2}) \\ \times \left[f_{V}^{<}(k') f_{V}^{<}(p') f_{V}^{>}(k) f_{A}^{>}(p) - f_{V}^{>}(k') f_{V}^{<}(p') f_{V}^{<}(k) f_{A}^{<}(p) \right] + \dots$$

> The pure ∂^2 term:

$$\mathcal{C}_{A}^{\partial^{2}}[f_{V}] = 8e^{4} \int_{p',k,k'} W^{1}_{\mu,pk\to p'k'}(2\pi)^{3} \delta(k'^{2}) \delta(k^{2}) \delta(p'^{2}) \hbar S^{(u),\mu\alpha}(p) \\ \times \left[f_{V}^{<}(k') f_{V}^{<}(p') f_{V}^{>}(k) \partial_{\alpha} f_{V}^{>}(p) - f_{V}^{>}(k') f_{V}^{>}(p') f_{V}^{<}(k) \partial_{\alpha} f_{V}^{<}(p) \right] + \dots$$

> The self-energy coupled term:

$$\mathcal{C}_{A}^{\Sigma}[f_{V}] = 8e^{4} \int_{p',k,k'} W^{1}_{\mu,pk \to p'k'}(2\pi)^{3} \delta(p'^{2}) \delta(k'^{2}) \delta(k^{2}) \hbar S^{(u),\mu\alpha}(p') \\ \times \left[-f_{V}^{>}(p) f_{V}^{>}(k) f_{V}^{<}(k') \left(\Sigma_{V,\alpha}^{<}(p') f_{V}^{>}(p') - \Sigma_{V,\alpha}^{>}(p') f_{V}^{<}(p') \right) \right. \\ \left. + f_{V}^{<}(k) f_{V}^{<}(p) f_{V}^{>}(k') \left(\Sigma_{V,\alpha}^{>}(p') f_{V}^{<}(p') - \Sigma_{V,\alpha}^{<}(p') f_{V}^{>}(p') \right) \right] + \dots$$

SF, S. Pu, et al in preparation

f_V in local equilibrium: another approach

- The off-equilibrium effect can be described using numerical simulation,
- $\mathcal{S}^{\mu}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\int d\Sigma \cdot p \mathcal{J}_{5}^{\mu}(p, X)}{2m_{\Lambda} \int d\Sigma \cdot \mathcal{N}(p, X)},$



200GeV, CLVisc hydrodynamics + AMPT initial + EoS: NEOS-BQS

SF, S. Pu, et al in preparation C. Yi, **SF**, S. Pu, et al. in preparation