Progress on cluster counting

Guang Zhao, Shuiting Xin, Mingyi Dong, Siman Liu, Linghui Wu, Shengsen Sun

zhaog@ihep.ac.cn

January 26, 2022 CEPC Physics and Detector Plenary Meeting

Outline

- Introduction
- Updates in simulation
 - Peak finding algorithm
 - Gas mixture study
- Status of experiment
 - Prototype experiment at IHEP
 - Beam test data analysis
- Summary

Introduction

- Particle ID with a drift chamber is a key feature for the 4th conceptual detector
- Ionization measurement using the cluster counting technique (dN/dx) can benefit from small fluctuations
- Need detailed simulation for the feasibility and performance study
- Input from experiment is essential

4th Conceptual Detector





The simulation workflow



A framework of dN/dx simulation is ready

Full simulation



Signal generator (Garfield++):

- Heed: ionization process
- Magboltz: gas properties (drift/diffusion)

Electronics:

Electronics

- Preamplifier
- Noises
- ADC



Realistic waveform



Peak finding algorithm:

- Low pass filter (smoothing)
- Second derivative (peak detection)

Peak finding algorithm

• Requirement: fast and efficient

- Fast: Data size of waveform is huge. Fast online algorithm at the front-end is recommended
- Efficient: Good ability to recover pile-up. High pulse detection efficiency

• Peak finding using derivatives

- Insensitive to the baseline
- Good ability for pile-up recovery
- Fast
- Easy to implement in hardware

Peak finding algorithm (II)

• Low pass filter (smoothing)

- Filter out high frequency noises in the waveforms in order to improve the S/N ratio
- Finite impulse response (FIR) filter: <u>FIR[i] = a0*x[n] + a1*x[n-1] + …</u>

• Derivative (peak detection)

- First derivative
 - First derivative (D1): <u>D1[i] = FIR[i] FIR[i G]</u>
 - Positive D1: <u>D1' = H(D1) * D1</u> (H is heaviside function) (Falling-edge cancelling)
- Second derivative and detection: recover pile-up peaks on the rising edge
 - Second derivative (D2): <u>D2[i] = D1'[i] D1'[i G]</u>
 - Integration on the positive D2: INT(D2')
 - Hit detection: Passing a threshold T

The cutoff frequencies, derivative steps and thresholds are optimized

Smoothing



- Moving average: Poor frequency response
- Optimal filter with Remez exchange algorithm
 - Fast roll-off
 - Good stopband attenuation

References

- J. H. McClellan and T. W. Parks, "A unified approach to the design of optimum FIR linear phase digital filters", IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory, vol. CT-20, pp. 697-701, 1973.
- 2 J. H. McClellan, T. W. Parks and L. R. Rabiner, "A Computer Program for Designing Optimum FIR Linear Phase Digital Filters", IEEE Trans. Audio Electroacoust., vol. AU-21, pp. 506-525, 1973.

Derivative

• Use second derivative instead of first derivative (rising-edge pile-ups recovery)



Noise definition

- Noise amplitude related to single-pulse amplitude
 - Noise level definition: $\frac{\sigma_{Noise}}{\bar{A}_{signal}}$

Fit to single-pulse amplitudes with a Polya function



More reasonable noise definition. Noise level is only dependent on the single-pulse amplitudes

K/pi separation power with the updated algorithm



He 90% + iC_4H_{10} 10%, DC size = 1m



Better separation power for the updated algorithm with tuned parameters

Properties of gas mixtures



He80: Larger cluster density (more statistics, more pile-ups) **He90:** Larger longitudinal diffusion (more pollution from the secondaries)

dN/dx from MC truth



He 90% + iC₄H₁₀ 10% mixture has better K/pi separation for high momentum

K/pi separation power for gas mixtures



He 80% + iC_4H_{10} 20% has better K/pi separation for low momentum, but worse for high momentum

Prototype test with different gas mixtures

- Test primary ionization signals with different gas ratios
 - He/iC₄H₁₀ = 90/10
 - He/iC₄H₁₀ = 80/20
 - He/iC₄H₁₀ = 70/30
- High He ratio (@ the same HV) means high gas gain and high SNR, which is good for cluster counting
- Low He ratio requires preamplifiers with high gain bandwidth product (GBP)

Prototype test @ IHEP

Proportional tube (ϕ =32mm) Preamplifier











Beam test data analysis



muons_165GeV_angle0_GSPS1p2_delay725ns_7Nov_0321

Beam test @ CERN from F. Grancagnolo's group

schematic



The test was performed during November 2021 at CERN on the H8 beam line in a parasitic mode. Main users on the same beam line was a team testing a tile calorimeter and, therefore, requesting for large part of the time, beams of electrons and hadrons, at various energies, needed for their calibration, but useless for our purposes. Only sporadically, a beam of 165 GeV/c muons was available for us.



Ongoing activities:

- Binary file converter
- Outlier removal
- Event classification
- Tuning peak finding algorithm

Summary

• Simulation is updated in several aspects

- Peak finding algorithm with tuned parameters
- Noise definition
- Results with He 80% + iC₄H₁₀ 20% gas mixture gives better K/pi separation at low momentum, but
 worse K/pi separation at high momentum

Experiment status

- Prototype experiment with different gas mixtures
 - High gain and good SNR for high He ratio
 - Need preamplifier with high GBP for low He ratio
- Data analysis with the beam test data is ongoing

Backup

More study of gas mixtures

- · choice of the gas mixture is essential
 - High cluster density compatibly with cluster counting efficiency
 - · Low drift velocity helps to identify clusters in time
 - Small longitudinal diffusion is beneficial to both spatial resolution and dN/dx measurement
- Simulation of gas mixture performed to understand the gas property and optimize the working point



