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## Dissecting Axion and

Dark Photon Background

## Ultralight Bosons: $\Psi=a, B^{\mu}$ and $H^{\mu \nu}$

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{\mu} a \nabla_{\mu} a-\frac{1}{4} B^{\mu \nu} B_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EH}}(H)-V(\Psi)
$$

- Extra dimensions predict a wide range of ultralight boson mass. Dimensional reduction from higher form fields:
e.g. $g^{M N}(5 D) \rightarrow g^{\mu \nu}(4 D)+B^{\mu}(4 D), \quad B^{M}(5 D) \rightarrow B^{\mu}(4 D)+a(4 D)$.
- String axiverse/photiverse: logarithmic mass window. $\ln 4 D, m_{\psi} \propto e^{-\nu_{6 D}}$.
- Ultralight $m_{\Psi}$ as low as $\sim 10^{-22} \mathrm{eV}$ can be naturally predicted. Solution to small-scale problems in the galaxy?
- Coherent waves dark matter candidates when $m_{\Psi}<1 \mathrm{eV}$ :

$$
\Psi\left(x^{\mu}\right) \simeq \Psi_{0}(\mathbf{x}) \cos \omega t ; \quad \Psi_{0} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{m_{\psi}} ; \quad \omega \simeq m_{\psi}
$$

## Property of Ultralight Dark Matter

Galaxy formation: virialization $\rightarrow \sim 10^{-3} \mathrm{c}$ velocity fluctuation, thus kinetic energy $\sim 10^{-6} m_{\psi} c^{2}$.
Effectively coherent waves:

$$
\Psi(\vec{x}, t)=\frac{\sqrt{2 \rho_{\Psi}}}{m_{\Psi}} \cos \left(\omega_{\Psi} t-\vec{k}_{\Psi} \cdot \vec{x}+\delta_{0}\right) .
$$

- Bandwidth: $\delta \omega_{\Psi} \simeq m_{\Psi}\left\langle v_{\mathrm{DM}}^{2}\right\rangle \simeq 10^{-6} m_{\Psi}, Q_{\psi} \simeq 10^{6}$.
- Correlation time: $\tau_{\Psi} \simeq \mathrm{ms} \frac{10^{-6} \mathrm{eV}}{m_{\Psi}}$.

Power law detection is used to make integration time longer than $\tau_{\psi}$.

- Correlation length: $\lambda_{d} \simeq 200 \mathrm{~m} \frac{10^{-6} \mathrm{eV}}{m_{\psi}} \gg \lambda_{c}=1 / m_{\psi}$. Sensor array can be used within $\lambda_{d}$.


## Dark Photon Dark Matter

- A new $U(1)$ vector couples in different portals with SM particles:

$$
\epsilon F_{\mu \nu} B^{\mu \nu}+B_{\mu} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu}\left(g_{V}+g_{A} \gamma_{5}\right) \psi+B_{\mu \nu} \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu \nu}\left(g_{M}+g_{E} \gamma_{5}\right) \psi
$$

- Cavity/circuits for kinetic mixing, optomechanics for hidden $U(1)$, spin sensors for dipole couplings...
- Similar to axion: extra dimensions, misalignment production (or during inflation), coherent waves.
- Novel aspects: three polarization degrees of freedom:

Longitudinal mode: $\vec{\epsilon}_{0}(\vec{k}) \propto \vec{k}$.
Transverse modes: $\vec{\epsilon}_{R / L}$.

## Spin Precession from Axion Gradient

Dipole coupling: $H \propto \overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\psi}$.
Effective 'magnetic field' $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}}$ causes
precession of the fermions' spin $\vec{\sigma}_{\psi}$.
[Graham, Rajendran, Budker et al]
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sample

(e.g., SQUID)
E.g., NMR (Casper), spin-based amplifiers, comagnetometer, magnon ...

- Axion gradient: $\partial_{\mu} a \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} \psi \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}}_{a}=\vec{\nabla} a \propto \vec{\epsilon}_{0}$.
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Spin amplifier [Jiang et al 21' Nature Physics]

## Dipole Couplings and Spin Precession

Dipole coupling: $H \propto \overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\psi}$.

## Vector-like signals:

- Axion gradient: $\partial_{\mu} \mathrm{a} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} \psi \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}}_{a}=\vec{\nabla} a \propto \vec{\epsilon}_{0}$.
- Dark photon with dipole couplings:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{\mu \nu} \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \psi \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{MDM}}=\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} \propto \vec{\epsilon}_{R / L} ; \\
& B_{\mu \nu} \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu \nu} i \gamma^{5} \psi \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{EDM}}=\partial_{0} \vec{B}-\vec{\nabla} B^{0} \propto \begin{cases}\vec{\epsilon}, & m \gg|p|, \\
\vec{\epsilon}_{R / L}, & m \ll|p| .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Identification of the couplings?

## Kinetic Mixing Dark Photon

- Kinetic mixing $\mathbf{U}(1) \sim F_{\mu \nu} B^{\mu \nu}$ shows up in circuit/cavity. [Chaudhuri et al $15^{\prime}$ ] or geomagnetic fields [Fedderke et al 21'];

ADMX/DM Radio


- Effective currents:

$$
\vec{J}_{\mathrm{eff}} \propto \hat{\epsilon}
$$

## Hidden U(1) Dark Photon

- U(1) B-L \& B shows up in optomechanical detectors [Graham et al 15', Pierce Zhao et al 18' $20^{\prime}$ 21'] or astrometry [Graham et al 15', Xue et al 19' 21'].


- Force:

$$
\vec{F} \propto \hat{\epsilon} .
$$

## General Axion \& Dark Photon Background

- Cosmological isotropic background [CaB, Dror et al 21']:

Thermal freeze out, Topological defect decay,
Parametric resonance/tachyonic instability of inflaton,

- Sources from a specific direction:

Cold stream of dark matter, Emissions from superradiant clouds. Dipole radiations from $U(1)$ ' charged binaries


Broad spectrum with potential anisotropy or macroscopic polarization.

## Superradiance and Gravitational Atom

- Rotational and dissipational medium can amplify the wave around. [Zeldovichi 72']
- Superradiance: the wave-function is exponentially amplified from extracting BH rotation energy when $\lambda_{c} \simeq r_{g}$. [Penrose, Starobinsky, Damour et al]
- Gravitational bound state around BH :

$$
a\left(x^{\mu}\right)=e^{-i \omega t} e^{i m \phi} S_{l m}(\theta) R_{l m}(r),
$$

- Stringent Constraint using EHT data [EHT 21']:

[YC, Liu, Lu, Mizuno, Shu, Xue, Yuan, Zhao 21']


## Axion Wave from Saturating Axion Cloud

- Self interaction saturating phase where $a_{\max } \simeq f_{a}$.
[Yoshino, Kodama 12', Baryakhtar et al 20']

- Two level state with 2, 1, 1 and 3, 2, 2. Annihilations between 3, 2, 2 lead to 'ionized' axion wave with velocity $v \sim \alpha / 6$ :

$$
B_{a} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~T} \times \mathcal{C}_{N}\left(\frac{\alpha}{0.1}\right)^{4}\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{kpc}}{r}\right), \quad\left[\text { Baryakhtar et al } 20^{\prime}\right]
$$

- For $\mathrm{BH} \sim 10 M_{\odot}$, superradiance happens for $m_{a} \sim 100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ axion. Axion gradient/DP signals are expected!

Multi-messenger astronomy with GNOME, ngEHT and PTA!

- Localization of the source ?


## 

- Axion-DP coupling:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a \partial^{\mu} a-m_{a}^{2} f_{a}^{2}\left[1-\cos \left(\frac{a}{f_{a}}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{4} B_{\mu \nu} B^{\mu \nu}-\frac{\alpha}{4 f_{a}} a B_{\mu \nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu \nu}
$$

- Rolling a leads to different dispersions between $R / L$-handed dark photon:

$$
\omega_{L / R}^{2}=p^{2} \mp p \frac{\alpha}{f_{a}} a^{\prime}
$$

- Tachyonic instability: exponential increase of mode with negative $\omega^{2}$.
- Potential chiral spectrum. How to identify the macroscopic circular polarization?

Network of Detectors

## Event Horizon Telescope: an Earth-sized Telescope

- For single telescope with diameter $D$, the angular resolution for photon of wavelength $\lambda$ is around $\frac{\lambda}{D}$;
- VLBI: for multiple radio telescopes, the effective $D$ becomes the maximum separation between the telescopes.

- As good as being able to see

on the moon from the Earth.


## Event Horizon Telescope: an Earth-sized Telescope

- For single telescope with diameter $D$, the angular resolution for photon of wavelength $\lambda$ is around $\frac{\lambda}{D}$;
- VLBI: for multiple radio telescopes, the effective $D$ becomes the maximum separation between the telescopes.
- Stokes polarization basis:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{I J}+V_{I J} & Q_{I J}+i U_{I J} \\
Q_{I J}-i U_{I J} & I_{I J}-V_{I J}
\end{array}\right) \propto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left\langle\epsilon_{R} \epsilon_{R}^{\star}\right\rangle_{I J} & \left\langle\epsilon_{R} \epsilon_{L}^{\star}\right\rangle_{I J} \\
\left\langle\epsilon_{L} \epsilon_{R}^{\star}\right\rangle_{I J} & \left\langle\epsilon_{L} \epsilon_{L}^{\star}\right\rangle_{I J}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Total intensity $I$


Linear polarization $Q, U$

## Globa Gravitational Wave Detector Network

- Localization due to long baseline $\sigma_{\theta} \propto \lambda_{h} / R_{E}$.
- Macroscopic polarization from correlation of detectors.



## Pulsar timing array

- Angular correlation for stochastic isotropic unpolarized GW: HD curves.


- Microscopic tensor nature shows up in macroscopic correlations.


## Sensor Network For Domain Wall [swome 21' Natre Physics]

GNOME: worldwide coordinated search for domain wall passing through sensors:
a



- Transient signals for axion or dilaton domain wall in spin sensors or atomic clocks.


## Scalar Field Interferometry

Two point correlation function of the scalar field [Derevianko 18']:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle a(\overrightarrow{0}) a(\vec{d})\rangle & =\frac{\rho_{a}}{\bar{\omega}} \int d^{3} \vec{v} \frac{f_{\mathrm{DM}}(\vec{v})}{\omega} \cos \left[m_{a} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{d}\right] \\
& \propto \exp \left[-\frac{d^{2}}{2 \lambda_{c}^{2}}\right] \cos \left[m_{a} \vec{v}_{g} \cdot \vec{d}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{\mathrm{DM}}(\vec{v}) \propto \exp \left[-\frac{\left(\vec{v}-\vec{v}_{g}\right)^{2}}{2 v_{\mathrm{vir}}^{2}}\right]$ and $\vec{v}_{g}$ is the Earth velocity in the halo.

- Velocity fluctuation $\sim v_{\text {vir }}$ leads to decoherence at dB length scale.
- Negative correlation appears when $\vec{d} / / \vec{v}_{g}$.
- Localization with $\sigma_{\theta} \propto \lambda / d$ and Daily modulation due to the self-rotation
 of the Earth. [Foster, Kahn et al 20']
- Identification of the macroscopic and microscopic nature?


## Vector Sensor Network

based on
arxiv: 2111.06732, Phys. Rev. Res.

YC, Min Jiang, Jing Shu, Xiao Xue and Yanjie Zeng.

## Vector Sensor Interferometry For Isotropic Backgrounds

A pair of vector sensors separated by a baseline $\vec{d}$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\vec{d}, \vec{l}_{l}, \vec{l}_{J}\right) \propto\left\langle\left(\vec{O}\left(t, \vec{x}_{I}\right) \cdot \hat{l}_{l}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}}\left(t, \vec{x}_{J}\right) \cdot \hat{l}_{\jmath}\right)\right\rangle, \quad \vec{d} \equiv \vec{x}_{l}-\vec{x}_{J} .
$$

For isotropic sources $f_{\text {iso }}(p, \hat{\Omega})=\frac{f_{\text {iso }}(p)}{4 \pi p^{2}}$ :

- Dipole correlation for each mode of $\vec{\epsilon}$ at $d=0$.

$$
\mathcal{F} \propto \hat{l}_{I} \cdot \hat{l}_{J}=\cos \theta_{I J}
$$

Any deviation is a sign of anisotropy.


- Finite baseline distinguishes $\vec{\epsilon}_{0}$ from $\vec{\epsilon}_{R / L}$ at $\xi \equiv p_{0} d \approx 4$.
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A pair of vector sensors separated by a baseline $\vec{d}$ :

$$
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For isotropic sources $f_{\text {iso }}(p, \hat{\Omega})=\frac{f_{\text {iso }}(p)}{4 \pi \rho^{2}}$ :

- A twisted setup can identify the macroscopic circular polarization.


Right and left handed DP respond differently to such setup.

## Localization

Sources from a specific direction $f_{\mathrm{str}}(p, \hat{\Omega})=\frac{f_{\mathrm{str}}(p)}{p^{2}} \delta^{2}\left(\hat{\Omega}-\hat{\Omega}_{0}\right)$ :

- Short baseline limit with $d=0$ : The optimal arrangements of the sensors are the same for $\vec{\epsilon}_{0}$ and $\vec{\epsilon}_{R / L}$, reaching $\sigma_{\Omega} \approx 1 /$ SNR.
- Long baseline limit:

The sensitive directions should overlap with the signals as much as possible with $\sigma_{\theta} \approx 1 /(\operatorname{SNR} p d)$.


Multi-messenger astronomy with GNOME [Dailey et al 21']!

## Axion Gradient and MDM DP Dark Matter

$3 \times 3$ matrix of vector correlation: $\mathscr{C}(\vec{d})_{I J} \propto\left\langle\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}}\left(t, \vec{x}_{l}\right) \cdot \hat{l}_{l}\right)\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{O}}\left(t, \vec{x}_{J}\right) \cdot \hat{f}_{J}\right)\right\rangle$ with $f_{\mathrm{DM}}(\vec{v}) \propto \exp \left[-\left(\vec{v}-\vec{v}_{g}\right)^{2} /\left(2 v_{\text {vir }}^{2}\right)\right]$.

- 5 possibilities when two $\hat{l}_{i}$ align:

$\vec{O}^{\mathrm{MDM}}$
$\propto \vec{\epsilon}_{R / L}$
- Straight lines are not influenced by $\vec{v}_{g}$.
- Axion and MDM DP have totally different spatial correlations.


## Dipole Angular Correlation

For $f_{\mathrm{DM}}(\vec{v}) \propto \exp \left[-\left(\vec{v}-\vec{v}_{g}\right)^{2} /\left(2 v_{\text {vir }}^{2}\right)\right]$,
Tune $\vec{l}_{1}$ and $\vec{l}_{2}$ with certain directions at the same location:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\vec{l}_{1}, \vec{l}_{2}\right) & =\left(\vec{l}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathscr{C}(0) \cdot \vec{l}_{2} \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{v_{\text {vir }}^{2}}{2} \vec{l}_{1} \cdot \vec{l}_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{l}_{1} \cdot \vec{v}_{g}\right)\left(\vec{l}_{2} \cdot \vec{v}_{g}\right) & \text { Axion Gradient; } \\
\frac{v_{\text {vir }}^{2}}{2} \vec{l}_{1} \cdot \vec{l}_{2}-\frac{1}{6}\left(\vec{l}_{1} \cdot \vec{v}_{g}\right)\left(\vec{l}_{2} \cdot \vec{v}_{g}\right) & \text { MDM DP; } \\
\frac{1}{6} \vec{l}_{1} \cdot \vec{l}_{2} & \text { EDM DP. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Universal dipole angular correlation: $\vec{l}_{1} \cdot \vec{l}_{2}=\cos \theta$, in constrast with monopole or quadruple (H.D. curve) for stochastic GW searches.
- $\vec{v}_{g}$ brings in anisotropy, with different signs for axion gradient and MDM DP.


## Summary

- Correlations of vector sensors can identify the macroscopic property and the microscopic nature of the bosonic background:

Coupling type, macroscopic polarization and
localization/anisotropy ...
$\rightarrow$ Multi-messenger astronomy/cosmology!

- How to improve sensitivity based on those information?
- Quantum metrology can play huge rules in fundamental physics!


## Thank you!

## Appendix

