

QCD factorization and the jet cross section at hadron colliders

邵鼎煜 *Dingyu Shao*

复旦⼤学 *Fudan Universi*ty

物理学系 *&* 核物理与离⼦束应用教育部重点实验室

Based on *Phys.Lett.B 815 (2021) 136124; JHEP 02 (2021) 066; Phys.Rev.Lett. 127 (2021) 212002*

高能理论论坛 高能所理论室 Mar 16 2022

Standard Model Production Cross Section Measurements

Status: March 2021

Collinear factorization for inclusive observables

For inclusive observables, sensitive only to a single high-energy scale *Q***, we have**

$$
\sigma = \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 \hat{\sigma}_{ab}(Q, x_1, x_2, \mu_f) f_a(x_1, \mu_f) f_b(x_2, \mu_f) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/Q)
$$

partonic cross sections: perturbation theory $\overline{}$ = $\overline{}$ " 1
" 1
" 1 $\overline{}$

ic cross extendistribution functions (PDFs): nonperturbative

power corrections nonperturbative

The right way to look at this formula is effective theory " ¹

$$
\sigma = \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 C_{ab}(Q, x_1, x_2, \mu) \langle P(p_1) | O_a(x_1) | P(p_1) \rangle \langle P(p_2) | O_b(x_2) | P(p_2) \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/Q)
$$

Wilson coefficient: matching at *μ ≈ Q* perturbation theory

low-energy matrix elements nonperturbative

power suppressed operators

The matching coefficient C_{ab} is independent of **external states and insensitive to physics below the** matching scale $μ$. hi α ¹d₂ σταις με α ₂, $\$ m nt cl illig sce above μ . μ , μ

Can use quark and gluon states to perform the matching. $\overline{}$ tch \mathbf{C}^2 a,b $"$

• Trivial matrix elements $\overline{1}$ dx, $\overline{2}$ cab($\overline{2}$) $\overline{2}$. The cap($\overline{2}$) $\overline{2}$ is obtained by $\overline{2}$

!

 $\langle q_{a'}(x'p)|O_a(x)|q_{a'}(x'p)\rangle = \delta_{aa'}\,\delta(x'-x)$

• Wilson coefficients are partonic cross section !qa! (x p)|Oa(x)|qa! (x p)" = δaa! δ(x ! − x)

 $C_{ab}(Q, x_1, x_2)=\hat{\sigma}_{ab}(Q, x_1, x_2)$

!

• Bare Wilson coefficients have divergencies. Renormalization induces dependence on *μ.* **Quite nontrivial that the low-energy matrix element factorizes into a product**

$$
\langle P(p_1)|O_a(x_1)|P(p_1)\rangle \langle P(p_2)|O_b(x_2)|P(p_2)\rangle
$$

One should be worried about long-distance interactions
mediated by soft gluens **mediated by soft gluons** mediated hy coft gluons

All proton collisions include forward component (proton remnants) non
Nonfactorization il proton comsions include i ard component (proton remnante) and component proton remnants,

Absence of factorization-violation due to Glauber gluons is important element of factorization proof for Drell-Yan process. of factorization proof for Drell-Yan process. Bodwin '85; Collins, Soper, Sterman '85 '88 ... λ heance of factorization, vial **\bsence of factorization-violation due to Glauber gluons is important eleme** the nonfactorization issues to arise, from graphs such as of factorization proof for Dre n nrocass Rodwin '85: Collins Soner Sterman '85" active quarks, there are no provided to the notative process to the non-

rion is violated in angely an hadil σ TMD factorization is viol arguments show that the contribution of th \mathbf{F}_{max} such dependence to the TMD \mathbf{F}_{max} e.g. TMD factorization is violated in di-jet/di-hadron production

Collins, Qiu `07; Collins `07, Vogelsang, Yuan `07; Rogers, Mulders `10, ... \overline{g} is very important to determine to determine to determine to determine to determine to determine to deter-Furthermore, graphs with a scalar-scalar-gluon-gluon ver-

FIG. 8 (color online). The exchange of two extra gluons, as in **Rogers, Mulder** complete provided by the complete processes of the glauber of the given elsewhere.
Sections. this graph, will tend to give nonfactorization in unpolarized cross sections.

We remark that, because the TMD factorization break-We will also show under what circumstances the phase cancels. Of course this cancellation \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow with large distance scales. ing effects are due to the Glauber region where all components of gluon momentum are small, the interactions responsible for breaking TMD factorization are associated

Rogers, Mulders `10 In our specific model, a large number of graphs vanish

Dijet events with large rapidity gap at the LHC

None of the DGLAP-based Monte Carlo generators using LO or NLO calculations can provide a complete description of all measured cross sections and their ratios.

Tools: Soft-Collinear Effective Theory

- **• Technical challenges**
	- **• Glauber gluons are offshell**
	- **• Must be included as potential, not dynamical field in the effective Lagrangian**
	- **• Glauber region is not well defined without additional rapidity regulator (on top of dim.reg.)** (Rothsten & Stewart '20)

- **• study QCD factorization without Glauber region**
	- **• Assign scaling behavior to fields**
	- **• Expand Lagrangian to leading power**
	- **• Resummation with Renormalization Group**

Jet radius and q_T joint resummation for boson-jet correlation *Xt ^t ·n*¯*J*~*nJT ·*~*x^T* ^h0*|U† ⁿ*¯*^J* (0)*U† nJ*¹ (0)*··· U† ⁿJm* (0)*|Xt*ih*Xt|Un*¯*^J* (0)*UnJ*¹ (0)*··· UnJm* (0)*|*0i*.*

(Chien, DYS & Wu '19 JHEP)

Construction of the theory formalism

- **•** Multiple scales in the problem
- relevant for the observable *q^T* include the soft modes with momentum *ps*, and the collinear modes along the two beam directions (*n*¹ and *n*2) and the jet direction (*n^J*). Small-angle soft modes are *n*¯*^J · p^t* 2 cosh ⌘*^J* $B_{\rm eff}$ making the replacement in (2.21), (2.21), (2.21), (2.13) then gives the final factorized expression \sim • Rely on effective field theory: SCET + Jet Effective Theory (Becher, Neubert, Rothen, DYS '16 PRL)

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d^2q_Td^2p_Td\eta_Jdy_V} = \sum_{ijk} \int \frac{d^2x_T}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\vec{q}_T \cdot \vec{x}_T} \mathcal{S}_{ij \to Vk}(\vec{x}_T, \epsilon) \mathcal{B}_{i/N_1}(\xi_1, x_T, \epsilon) \mathcal{B}_{j/N_2}(\xi_2, x_T, \epsilon)
$$
\n
$$
\times \mathcal{H}_{ij \to Vk}(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, m_V, \epsilon) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{J}_m^k(\{\underline{n}_J\}, Rp_J, \epsilon) \otimes \mathcal{U}_m^k(\{\underline{n}_J\}, R\vec{x}_T, \epsilon) \rangle
$$

(also see Sun,Yuan,Yuan '14; Buffing,Kang,Lee,Liu '18,...) *^T /p^V*

Numerical results

- **NLL resummation is consistent with the LHC data (q_T & ΔΦ)**
- **ΔΦ distribution for dijet production can be a clean probe of** *factorization violation* (Collins & Qiu '07, Rogers & Mulders '10, ……)
- **• NLL result has 20-30% scale uncertainties. Higher-order resummation is necessary**

Jet definition

Which particles get put together?

Jet algorithm

How to combine their momenta?

Recombination scheme

Jet definition with clustering algorithms

- **•Determine distances between "particles"**
- Recombine nearest "particles": $p_i^{\mu}, p_j^{\mu} \rightarrow p_i^{\mu} + p_j^{\mu}$
- **•Repeat until distances larger than jet radius R**

Recoil and the jet axis

Jet axis is along jet momentum: recoiled by soft radiation in jet

- **• TH challenge: Non-linear evolution (Non-global logs)**
- **• EX challenge: Contamination**

Recoil absent for the p_T-weighted recombination (Ellis, Soper '93)

$$
p_{t,r} = p_{t,i} + p_{t,j},
$$

\n
$$
\phi_r = (w_i \phi_i + w_j \phi_j) / (w_i + w_j)
$$

\n
$$
w_i = p_t^n
$$

\n
$$
y_r = (w_i y_i + w_j y_j) / (w_i + w_j)
$$

(Winner-take-all scheme) (Bertolini, Chan, Thaler '13)

Recoil-free azimuthal angle for boson-jet correlation

(Chien, Rahn, Schrignder, DYS, Waalewijn & Wu '21 PLB)

Following the standard steps in SCET₂ we obtain the following factorization formula

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dp_{x,V} dp_{T,J} dy_V d\eta_J} = \int \frac{db_x}{2\pi} e^{ip_{x,V}b_x} \sum_{i,j,k} B_i(x_a, b_x) B_j(x_b, b_x) S_{ijk}(b_x, \eta_J) H_{ij \to Vk}(p_{T,V}, y_V - \eta_J) J_k(b_x)
$$

Fourier transformation in 1-D

Linearly-polarized gluon TMDs

For Higgs production linearly-polarized gluon TMDs arises from spin interference between multiple initial-state gluons (Catani, Grazzini '10)

$$
\Phi_{g}^{\mu\nu}(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}) = \frac{n_{\rho}n_{\sigma}}{(p \cdot n)^{2}} \int \frac{d(\xi \cdot P)d^{2}\xi_{T}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{ip \cdot \xi} \langle P | \text{Tr} [F^{\mu\rho}(0) F^{\nu\sigma}(\xi)] | P \rangle \Big|_{LF} \n= \frac{1}{2x} \left\{ -g_{T}^{\mu\nu} f_{1}^{g}(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}) + \left(\frac{p_{T}^{\mu}p_{T}^{\nu}}{M^{2}} + g_{T}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}}{2M^{2}} \right) h_{1}^{\perp g}(x, \mathbf{p}_{T}^{2}) \right\}
$$

Boson-jet correlation can be used to probe linear-polarized gluon TMDs inside the proton (Boer, Mulders, Pisano, Zhou '16)*gg* channel

17

Linearly-polarized gluon jets

The linearly-polarized jet function describes the effect of a spin-superposition of the gluon initiating the jet

$$
J_g^L(\vec{b}_\perp,\mu,\nu) = \left[\frac{1}{d-3}\left(\frac{g_\perp^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} + \frac{b_\perp^{\mu}b_\perp^{\nu}}{\vec{b}_\perp^2}\right)\right] \frac{2(2\pi)^{d-1}\omega}{N_c^2-1} \langle 0|\delta(\omega-\bar{n}\cdot\mathcal{P})\delta^{d-2}(\mathcal{P}_\perp)\mathcal{B}_{n\perp\mu}^a(0)e^{i\vec{b}_\perp\cdot\hat{k}_\perp}\mathcal{B}_{n\perp\nu}^a(0)|0\rangle
$$

The first non-vanishing order is one loop

We provide evidence for contributions from linearlypolarized gluon jet functions using **MCFM**

Better angular resolution

- The angular resolution of jet measurements is about 0.1 radians, limiting access to the back-to-back region
- This can be overcome by measuring the jet using only charged particles, exploiting the superior angular resolution of the tracking systems at the LHC.

Numerical results

- first N2LL resummation including full jet dynamics
- good perturbative convergence
- Pythia agrees well
- Our work serves as a baseline for pinning down the factorization violation effects

Jet charge and Spin asymmetries at the RHIC

(Kang, Lee, DYS, Terry, '21 JHEP)

One-loop soft functions in the polarized case are different from the unpolarized counterpart beyond LL Liu, Ringer, Vogelsang, Yuan '20

We apply jet charge tagging to enhance the asymmetry Kang, Liu, Mantry, DYS '20 PRL Gluon Sivers function: heavy flavor dijets (Kang, [Reiten,](https://inspirehep.net/literature?q=a%20J.Reiten.1) DYS, Terry '21 JHEP)

Central jet veto in Higgs production via VBF

VBF signature:

- **• Energetic jets in the forward and backward directions**
- **• Large rapidity separation and large invariant mass of two tagged jets**
- **• Little radiation in the central-rapidity region**

- **• Major QCD backgrounds: t-channel color octet exchange**
- **• Central jet veto can suppresses QCD background**
- **• Central jet veto: no extra jets between tagging jets**

Del Duca, Frizzo, Maltoni '05

Jet veto & QCD resummation

- **Due to existence of a small scale** p_T **^{veto}, the fixed order calculations are unreliable**
- **• QCD resummation is necessary, the large log should be resumed to all order**
- **• Standard jet veto resummation for gg->H processes**
	- **• Rapidity cut independent**

Banfi, Monni, Salam, Zanderighi '12; Becher, Neubert, Rothen '12, '13;

Stewart, Tackmann, Walsh, Zuberi '12, '13

• Rapidity cut dependent

Michel, Pietrulewicz, Tackmann '18

- **• Nonfactorizable jet veto in VBF: Superleading Logs**
	- **• Four-loop** Forshaw, Kyrieleis, Seymour '06
	- **• Five-loop** Keates, Seymour '09
	- **• All-order** Becher, Neubert, DYS '21

Courtesy of Johannes Michel

Jet veto & QCD resummation

- **Due to existence of a small scale** p_T **^{veto}, the fixed order calculations are unreliable**
- **• QCD resummation is necessary, the large log should be resumed to all order**
- **• Standard jet veto resummation for gg->H processes**
	- **• Rapidity cut independent**

Banfi, Monni, Salam, Zanderighi '12;

Becher, Neubert, Rothen '12, '13;

Stewart, Tackmann, Walsh, Zuberi '12, '13

• Rapidity cut dependent

Michel, Pietrulewicz, Tackmann '18

- **• Nonfactorizable jet veto in VBF: Superleading Logs**
	- **• Four-loop** Forshaw, Kyrieleis, Seymour '06
	- **• Five-loop** Keates, Seymour '09
	- **• All-order** Becher, Neubert, DYS '21

Nonfactorizable QCD effects in Higgs production via VBF

Liu, Melnikov, Penin '19

nonfactorizable correction: $\Delta_{\text{NF}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{VBF}}^{\text{NNLO,NF}}}{\sigma_{\text{VBF}}^{\text{LO}}} \times 100\% = -0.39\%$

- **• the nonfactorizable correction is comparable to the NNNLO QCD factorizable corrections**
- **• appear for the first time at NNLO, scale dependence is large**

See also Gaunt '14, Schwartz, Yan & Zhu `17 `18 …

Central jet veto at the LHC

leading logs:

$$
e^+e^-, ep: \alpha_s^n \ln^n\left(\frac{Q}{Q_0}\right)
$$

$$
pp: \t\t \cdots \t + \alpha_s^3 (i\pi)^2 \ln^3\left(\frac{Q}{Q_0}\right) \times \alpha_s^n \ln^{2n}\left(\frac{Q}{Q_0}\right)
$$

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$

- Such events was originally suggested on the basis of color flow considerations in QCD Bjorken '93
- Global Logs resummation is first done by Oderda & Sterman '98
- Forshaw, Kyrieleis, Seymour '06 have analyzed the effect of Glauber phases in nonglobal observables directly in QCD
	- Non-zero contributions starting at 3 loops
	- Collinear logarithms starting at 4 loops: Super-leading logs

wide angle soft gluon emission developing a sensitivity to emission at small angles

Fixed order calculation

- Gluons are added in all possible ways to trace diagrams and colour factors calculated using COLOUR
- Diagrams are then cut in all ways consistent with strong ordering
- At fourth order there are $10,529$ diagrams and $1,746,272$ after cutting.
- SLL terms are confirmed at fourth order and computed for the first time at $5th order$

Keates and Seymour arXiv:0902.0477 [hep-ph]

Simone Marzani's slide

All-order QCD resummation of super-leading logs

Super-leading logs from renormalization group evolution:

$$
\mathcal{H}_4 U(\mu_s, \mu_h) = \mathcal{H}_4 \mathbf{P} \exp \left[\int_{\mu_s}^{\mu_h} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \mathbf{\Gamma}^H(Q, \mu) \right]
$$

= $\mathcal{H}_4 + \int_{\mu_s}^{\mu_h} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \mathcal{H}_4 \mathbf{\Gamma}^H(Q, \mu) + \int_{\mu_s}^{\mu_h} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \int_{\mu}^{\mu_h} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \mathcal{H}_4 \mathbf{\Gamma}^H(Q, \mu') \mathbf{\Gamma}^H(Q, \mu)$

Becher, Neubert, Rothen, DYS '16 PRL

Factorization in global event shapes

E.g. Thrust $T \sim 1$

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dT}=H\cdot J\otimes S
$$

Soft radiation does not resolve individual energetic patrons. Sensitive only to direction and total charge of the jets

$$
S \sim \sum_{X_s} \Big| \bra{X_s} \boldsymbol{S}(n) \boldsymbol{S}(\bar{n}) \ket{0} \Big|^2
$$

Simple structure -> N3LL resummation

Introduction to Soft-Collinear **Effective Theory**

 $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ Springe

Factorization for gap between jets in e+e-

(Becher, Neubert, Rothen, DYS, '16 PRL, '16 JHEP; Caron-Huot '15 JHEP)

Collinear singularities and SLLs at hadron colliders an Singuidi ities diiu SLLS dt fiduron conic

One-loop anomalous dimension:

$$
\mathbf{\Gamma}^{(1)} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \bm{V}_2 & \bm{R}_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \bm{V}_3 & \bm{R}_3 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \bm{V}_4 & \bm{R}_4 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bm{V}_5 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array} \right)
$$

$$
W_{ij}^k = \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{n_i \cdot n_k \, n_j \cdot n_k}
$$

$$
V_m = -2 \sum_{(ij)} \int \frac{d\Omega(n_k)}{4\pi} (T_{i,L} \cdot T_{j,L} + T_{i,R} \cdot T_{j,R}) W_{ij}^k [\Theta_{\text{in}}^{n\bar{n}}(k) + \Theta_{\text{out}}^{n\bar{n}}(k)]
$$

+
$$
2 i\pi \sum_{(ij)} (T_{i,L} \cdot T_{j,L} - T_{i,R} \cdot T_{j,R}) \Pi_{ij},
$$

$$
\Pi_{ij} = 1 \text{ if both incomingor outgoingor outgoing
$$

 r *ities* when (*nⁱ · nk*)(*n^j · nk*) Individually R_m and V_m contain singularities when emitted gluon k gets collinear to **parsons i or j.**

- one integrates over the direction *n^k* of the emission. We note that individually *R^m* and *v*and collinear from collinear divergence collinear divergences. In the cross section of α implementation, one works with a collinear cuto α to regularize the divergences. The divergences of α **• Expect cancellation in inclusive soft observables such as gaps between jets at lepton colliders**
- As long as we choose the *µ^h* and *µ^s* properly, the hard and soft functions will be • Glauber phases spoil this cancellation: soft+collinear double logs! "Super- \mathbf{s}'' **leading logs"**

Simplification of the imaginary part all pairs of unordered indices $i, j = 1...m$. Due to the emitted gluon the product $\mathcal{H}_m R_p$ defines a hard function with $m+1$ external leg the virtual correction (red) *HmV^m* has *m* legs. the product $\mathcal{H}_m R_m$ defines a hard function η

Imaginary part of the anomalous dimension: \mathcal{P} is the imaginary part in the second line. This imaginary part is called the Glauber or \mathcal{P}

For e+e-: For pp:

The imaginary part can be simplified using color conservation \mathcal{L} creteness, consider the process 1 + 2 ! 3 + *···* + *m*. We then have

Figure 2: Action of the imaginary part $\boldsymbol{V_I}$ (red dotted line) and the $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ real-emission piece R_C on the hard function. After the simplification cussed in the text, these parts only involve legs 1 and 2. The real tions $\mathcal{H}_m R_C$ involve one additional hard gluon (dashed blue line) which is collinear to one of the incoming legs. matrix as we did in our previous paper in the initial phase can are called the initial state in **Extracting the collinear singularities:** $\overline{W}_{ij}^k = \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{n_i \cdot n_k n_j \cdot n_k} - \frac{\delta(n_k - n_i)}{n_i \cdot n_k} - \frac{\delta(n_k - n_j)}{n_j \cdot n_k}$

The one-loop anomalous dimension is

$$
\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{V}_m &= \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_m + \boldsymbol{V}^G + \sum_{i=1,2} \boldsymbol{V}_i^c \, \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\hat{s}} \\ \boldsymbol{R}_m &= \overline{\boldsymbol{R}}_m + \sum_{i=1,2} \boldsymbol{R}_i^c \, \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\hat{s}} \,, \end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\overline{V}_{m} = 2 \sum_{(ij)} (\boldsymbol{T}_{i,L} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j,L} + \boldsymbol{T}_{i,R} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{j,R}) \int \frac{d\Omega(n_k)}{4\pi} \overline{W}_{ij}^{k} \qquad \overline{\boldsymbol{R}}_{m} = -4 \sum_{(ij)} \boldsymbol{T}_{i,L} \circ \boldsymbol{T}_{j,R} \overline{W}_{ij}^{m+1} \Theta_{\text{hard}} (n_{m+1})
$$
\n
$$
V_{i}^{c} = 4C_{i} \mathbf{1}
$$
\n
$$
\boldsymbol{K}_{i}^{c} = -4 \boldsymbol{T}_{i,L} \circ \boldsymbol{T}_{i,R} \delta (n_{k} - n_{i})
$$
\n
$$
V^{G} = -8i\pi (\boldsymbol{T}_{1,L} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{2,L} - \boldsymbol{T}_{1,R} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{2,R})
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{H}_{m} \boldsymbol{T}_{i,L} \circ \boldsymbol{T}_{j,R} = \boldsymbol{T}_{i}^{a} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \boldsymbol{T}_{j}^{\overline{a}}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{H}_{m} \boldsymbol{T}_{i,L} \circ \boldsymbol{T}_{j,R} = \boldsymbol{T}_{i}^{a} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \boldsymbol{T}_{j}^{\overline{a}}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{1}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_m \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_m = \sum_{(ij)} \underbrace{\bigwedge_{\boldsymbol{M}} \bigg(\boldsymbol{r}^i \bigg) \bigg(\bigwedge_{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{r}^i \bigg) }_{\boldsymbol{J}} + \underbrace{\bigwedge_{\boldsymbol{M}} \bigg(\bigg(\bigg(\bigg) \bigg) \bigg(\bigg(\bigg) \bigg) }_{\boldsymbol{J}} \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{M}^i \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{J
$$

$$
f_{\rm{max}}
$$

 $\mathcal{H}_m \overline{\mathbf{R}}_m = \sum_{(ij)} \left(\mathcal{M} \left(\sum_i \sum_j \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \right) \right)$

34

Hard function for octet exchange:

$$
\mathbf{JL}_{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \times
$$

Action of the anomalous dimension

TIL O TIR SCRR-R.)

Compute
$$
\mathcal{H}_4 U(\mu_s, \mu_h) = \mathcal{H}_4 P \exp \left[\int_{\mu_s}^{\mu_h} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \mathbf{\Gamma}^H(Q, \mu) \right]
$$

= $\mathcal{H}_4 + \int_{\mu_s}^{\mu_h} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \mathcal{H}_4 \mathbf{\Gamma}^H(Q, \mu) + \int_{\mu_s}^{\mu_h} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \int_{\mu}^{\mu_h} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \mathcal{H}_4 \mathbf{\Gamma}^H(Q, \mu') \mathbf{\Gamma}^H(Q, \mu)$

Leading super-leading logs

- 1. Want the maximum numbers of logs, i.e. the maximum power of Γ^c
- **2. Need two imaginary parts VG to spoil cancellation of collinear singularities**
- 3. Need at least one real emission $\bar{\Gamma}$ to resolve the gap region

Three properties of the anomalous dimension greatly simplify the calculations

• Color coherence

$$
\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_m\,\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^c\,\overline{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}=\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_m\,\overline{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}\,\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^c
$$

• Cyclicity of the trace

$$
\begin{aligned} \langle \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{m}\,\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{c}\otimes\mathbf{1}\rangle&=0\\ \langle \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{m}\,\boldsymbol{V}^{G}\otimes\mathbf{1}\rangle&=0 \end{aligned}
$$

Leading super-leading logs \mathbf{C}_1 we also need to the two power power \mathbf{C}_2 *nⁱ · n^j nⁱ · n^k n^j · n^k* (*n^k ⁿi*) *nⁱ · n^k* (*n^k ⁿ^j*) *n^j · n^k . Ing super-leading I* \mathcal{S} to the two properties in (11) we also need one power pow of the last step of the last step of the evolution. The evolution $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{S}$ Z *d*⌦(*nk*) ع د
ا ⇣ *W^k* ¹*^j ^W^k* 2*j* $\ddot{\bullet}$ $\overline{\mathbf{p}}$ *logs*

The super-leading logs at (3+n) order are associated with color traces of the form ding logs at (3+n) order are associated with col $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ with color traces of the form \mathcal{A} The angular -distributions only act on the test function. mension are encoded in *R^c* s at (3+n) order are associated with color traces of the form remaining insertions of *^c*, but not the initial-state par-

$$
C_{rn} = \left\langle \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_4 \left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^c \right)^r \boldsymbol{V}^G \left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^c \right)^{n-r} \boldsymbol{V}^G \, \overline{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} \otimes \mathbf{1} \right\rangle \qquad 0 \leq r \leq n
$$

The SLLs first appear at four loop (n=1) appear at four-loop $(1, 4)$ The SLLs first appear at four loop (n=1) $t = \frac{1}{2}$ final-state collinear singularities cancel between $\frac{1}{2}$ sertions of *^c* in (13) vanish. The gluon with label *k*

The three loop terms (n=0) can be numerically significant numerically significant, even though it only involves the control of the control of the control of the control o
The control of the the initial-state pieces (with *i* = 1*,* 2) must be kept in (6). \mathbf{t} **The three loop terms (n=0) can be numerically significant** \mathbf{r} on interval in the constraint ⇥veto(*nk*)=1 ⇥hard(*nk*) restricts the emission

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1$ We consider the case where particles 1 and 2 transform representation of SU(N_c).
The corresponding contribution to the partonic contribution to the partonic contribution to the partonic contribution to the partonic contribution of SU(N_c). cross section, we must combine the color traces *Crn* with the cape where particles = and = transferred to assume that ℓ *GLUA* ℓ representation of SU(N_c) cross section, we must combine the color traces *Crn* with the distribution of α **We consider the case where particles 1 and 2 transform in the fundamental** whore narticles 1 and 2 transform in the fung wirth particles a direction of the direction of N_c is in the vertex region, it can now it c

$$
C_{rn} = 2^{8-r} \pi^2 (4N_c)^n \{\sum_{j>2} J_j \langle \mathcal{H}_4[(\boldsymbol{T}_2 - \boldsymbol{T}_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_j + 2^{r-1} N_c (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) d_{abc} \boldsymbol{T}_1^a \boldsymbol{T}_2^b \boldsymbol{T}_j^c] \rangle
$$

+ 2 (1 - \delta_{r0}) J_2 \langle \mathcal{H}_4 [C_F + (2^r - 1) \boldsymbol{T}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_2] \rangle \}

with the angular integ $|$ $I = \int \frac{d\Omega(n_k)}{n_k}$ $\frac{1}{4\pi}$ (*W*) $\overline{}$ *r*=0 ${\bf u}$ lar integrals: $J_j=\int \frac{d\Omega(n_k)}{4\pi}\left(W^k_{1j}-W^k_{2j}\right)\Theta_{\rm veto}(n_k)$ anomalous dimension greatly simplify our calculations. $J_j =$ $\int d\Omega(n_k)$ 4π $\left(W^k_{1j} - W^k_{2j}\right)$ with the angular integrals: $J_j = \int \frac{d\Omega Z(T_k)}{\Delta T} \left(W_{1j}^k - W_{2j}^k \right) \Theta_{\text{veto}}(n_k)$

All-order results of leading SLLs

(Becher, Neubert, DYS '21 PRL)

Sudakov suppression of the superleading logarithms is weaker than the one present for global observables

Numerical results

Red: Four loop Blue: Five loop Black: all order

Summary and outlook

- **• Factorization is at the heart of any quantitative prediction using pQCD at hadron colliders**
- **• We investigate naive factorization violation effects using jet processes**
	- **• Azimuthal decorrelation: tracking jets**
	- **• Spin Asymmetry: charge tagged jets**
	- **• Gap fraction: all-order results of superseding logs**
- **• Our EFT and the renormalization group-based approach provide a transparent understanding of the underlying dynamics**
- **• Our findings indicate that SLLs could have an appreciable effect on precision observables, e.g. Higgs production via VBF**
- **• Understand the low energy theory from Glauber gluons ?**
-

