

# Study of Electroweak Phase Transition in Exotic Higgs Decays with CEPC simulation

Zhen Wang, Xu-Liang Zhu, Yanda Wu, Yuwen Zhang, Elham Khoda, Shih-Chieh Hsu, Shu Li, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf

Joint Workshop of the CEPC Physics, Software and New Detector Concept in 2022 2022-05-25



# **Physics Motivation**

J. Kozaczuk, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and J. Shelton *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 115035 (2020).



• We are interested in the strong first-order electroweak phase transition in the "SM Higgs + Light Real Singlet Scalar" model:

$$V = -\mu^2 |H|^2 + \lambda |H|^4 + \frac{1}{2}a_1 |H|^2 S + \frac{1}{2}a_2 |H|^2 S^2 + b_1 S + \frac{1}{2}b_2 S^2 + \frac{1}{3}b_3 S^3 + \frac{1}{4}b_4 S^4 + \frac{1}{2}b_2 S^2 + \frac{1}{3}b_3 S^3 + \frac{1}{4}b_4 S^4 + \frac{1}{2}b_4 S^4 + \frac{1}{2}b_4$$





### **Theoretical Prospects**





J. Kozaczuk, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and J. Shelton *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 115035 (2020). Z. Liu *et al., Chinese Phys. C* **41**, 063102 (2017).



# **Sample Production**



- **Signal:** The samples are generated at 240 GeV. 50000 events per mass point from 5 to 60 GeV for electron and muon channel separately
- Generator: Madgraph5 and Pythia8
- Simulation and reconstruction: cepcsoft 0.1.1 , CEPC\_v4



TSUNG-DAO LEE INSTITUTE

**Fig.** Mass distribution of  $h_1$  when  $m_1 = 60$ GeV



# **Sample Production**



 Background : 2-Fermion, 4-Fermion, Higgs involved process as our background. Expect luminosity : 5.0 ab<sup>-1</sup>.

Process	$\int L$	Final states	X-sections (fb)	Comments	decay mode	branching ratio	relative uncertainty
Higgs signal	5 ab $^{-1}$	ffH	203.66	all signals	$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$	57.7%	+3.2%, -3.3%
	5 ab $^{-1}$	$e^+e^-H$	7.04	including ZZ fusion	$H \rightarrow c \bar{c}$	2.91%	+12%, -12%
	5 ab $^{-1}$	$\mu^+\mu^- H$	6.77		$H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$	6.32%	+5.7%, -5.7%
	5 ab $^{-1}$	$ au^+ au^- H$	6.75		$H \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$2.19 \times 10^{-4}$	+6.0%, -5.9%
	5 ab-1	$ u ar{ u} H$	46.29	all neutrinos (ZH+WW fusion)	$H \to WW^*$	21.5%	+4.3%, -4.2%
	5 ab $^{-1}$	$a ar{a} H$	136.81	all quark pairs (Z $ ightarrow qar{q}$ )	$H \rightarrow ZZ^*$	2.64%	+4.3%, -4.2%
					$H  ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$2.28 \times 10^{-3}$	+5.0%, -4.9%
2 fermion backgounds				$H \rightarrow Z\gamma$	$1.53 \times 10^{-3}$	+9.0%, -8.8%	
Process	$\int L$		Final states	X-sections (fb) Comments	$H \rightarrow gg$	8.57%	+10%, -10%
$e^+e^-  ightarrow e^+e^-$	5 ab	0-1	$e^+e^-$	24770.90	 $\Gamma_H$	4.07 MeV	+4.0%, -4.0%

https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1674-1137/43/4/043002/pdf http://cepcsoft.ihep.ac.cn/guides/Generation/docs/ExistingSamples/#240-gev lxslc7 : /cefs/data/DstData/CEPC240/CEPC\_v4\_update





- Same flavor opposite sign lepton pair with energy larger than 20 GeV
- Invariant lepton pair mass should be within the Z mass window [77.5,104.5] GeV
- Recoiled mass of the lepton pair system should be within [124,140] GeV
- 4 jets are required to be reconstructed. Reconstructed S particle is decided by pairing them 2 by 2 and find the set with smallest mass difference.
- Number of energetic particles(energy > 0.4 GeV) in the 4jets should be larger than 40
- B-inefficiency : GBDT-based b-jet tagging algorithm.  $L_{b1}$ ,  $L_{b2}$ ,  $L_{b3}$ ,  $L_{b4}$  should satisfy  $Log10\left(\frac{L_{b1} \times L_{b2} \times L_{b3} \times L_{b4}}{L_{b1} \times L_{b2} \times L_{b3} \times L_{b4} + (1-L_{b1}) \times (1-L_{b2}) \times (1-L_{b3}) \times (1-L_{b4})}\right) < -4.0$

Thanks to Yu Bai. <u>Y. Bai *et al., Chinese Phys. C* **44**, 013001 (2020).</u> TSUNG-DAO LEE INSTITUTE



#### **Cut Based Approach**



• Signal Selection Efficiencies:

• Signal Distribution:







### **Cut Based Approach**





TSUNG-DAO LEE INSTITUTE

M<sub>bb</sub>[GeV]







- Trained the variables after some loose selections :
- Same flavor opposite sign lepton pair with energy larger than 20 GeV •
- Invariant lepton pair mass should be within the Z mass window [77.5,104.5] GeV
- Recoiled mass of the lepton pair system should be within [124,140] GeV

#### 10 BDTs are trained with 10 different signal samples from 15GeV to 60 GeV

• lep pt Variables

used in

training

- jet\_energy
- jet\_inv\_mass
- opening\_angle
- jet\_recoil\_m
  rgy
  S\_mass
  btag\_ineff • jet recoil mass

  - Y12

- Y23
- Y34
- Y45
- Y56

Output of BDT classifier is used as the discriminant and used in the fitting and limit setting. TSUNG-DAO LEE INSTITUTE



## **BDT Approach**









BDT Score

# **Systematic Uncertainty**



- Systematic uncertainty from luminosity and lepton identification are considered to be small.
- Event yield of all kinds of backgrounds are conservatively considered by varying event yields by 5% for dominant process and 100% for other processes.
- Flavor tagging uncertainty is estimated on ZZ->qq+mumu control sample and yields 0.78% for 2jet analysis, we conservatively set this term to 1%.
- Jet energy resolution is estimated by varying energy of each jet with a Gaussian function according to CEPC calorimeter energy resolution.

P.-Z. Lai *et al* 2021 *JINST* **16** P07037



# Limit Setting with TRExFitter



• Current Limits of cut-based and BDT approach.





# Summary



- A search for exotic decays of the Higgs boson into a pair of spin-zero singlet-like particles is done with 5 ab-1 simulation data with CEPC.
- Snowmass submission (EF02) https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.10184
- BDT based analysis gives better sensitivity than the cut-based analysis approach.
- This realistic study yields a weaker exclusion limit compared to the theoretical projections
- The study with 4b final states could conclusively test the possibility of an SFOEWPT in the extended-SM with a light singlet of mass as low as 20 GeV.

# **Future Plans**

- Boosted and resolved topology in the S particle decay.
- Jet energy resolution uncertainty



#### Thanks!





### **Backup**



#### • Jet energy resolution reference.



#### P.-Z. Lai et al 2021 JINST 16 P07037

Jet energy resolution is performed by extrapolating the curve to low energy region and apply smearing.

https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/16/07/P07037



### **Backup**



• Backup

$m_1[GeV]$	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	$b_3$	$b_4$	$D_width$	BR
5	0.00379269019	0.00087284094	3.16227766017e-05	7.3774e-05	0.01780479
	0.00033598183	0.00693322201	8.91250938133e-07	1.0348e-06	0.00025421
10	0.02511886432	0.01954047457	0.00125892541179	0.0030277	0.42627589
10	0.00199526231	0.04908345294	1.58489319246e-05	2.1351e-05	0.00521904
15	0.05011872336	0.00389883725	0.00446683592151	0.011795	0.73632455
15	0.00375837404	0.19540474574	7.94328234724e-05	5.9206e-05	0.01422012
20	0.00630957344	0.49083452948	0.00025118864315	0.0001866	0.04347394
25	0.01	0.97934363956	0.00063095734448	0.00044524	0.09859974
30	0.01678804018	1.55215506742	0.00125892541179	0.0011898	0.22613126
35	0.02511886432	2.46	0.00251188643151	0.0025006	0.38033656
40	0.02660725059	3.89883725345	0.00398107170553	0.0025799	0.38771480
45	0.04216965034	4.90834529482	0.00630957344480	0.0058611	0.58957125
50	0.04216965034	7.77920304401	0.01	0.0050107	0.55126677
55	0.06309573445	9.79343639562	0.01584893192461	0.0089054	0.68549957
60	0.05956621435	15.5215506742	0.02511886431509	0.0045989	0.53001523

**Table.** Parameters and related BRs that satisfy a strong 1-st order electroweak phase transition. The orange shading represent parameter when BR is at its upper bound, and blue shading represent the lower bound.

Mass	BDT Limits	Theory
20GeV	0.0005	0.0006
30GeV	0.0006	0.0005

Limits from BDT and Theory







• Backup

10 BDTs are trained with 10 different signal samples from 15GeV to 60 GeV

Number of events in one training:

•	Number of training and testing events	
•	Signal training events :	30000
•	Signal testing events :	7806
	Signal training and testing events:	37806
	Dataset[dataset] : Signal due to the	e preselec
	Background training events :	400000
•	Background testing events :	166345
:	Background training and testing events:	566345

