



Some exotic Higgs decay from NMSSM at the CEPC

<u>Kun Wang1(</u>王坤), Jingya Zhu² (朱经亚)

¹ University of Shanghai for Science and Technology ² Henan University

arXiv: 2002.05554 [hep-ph] arXiv: 2003.01662 [hep-ph] arXiv: 2006.03527 [hep-ph] K. Wang and Jingya Zhu, JHEP 06, 078 (2020)
K. Wang and Jingya Zhu, Phys.Rev.D 101 (2020) 9, 095028
Shiquan Ma, K. Wang and Jingya Zhu, Chin.Phys.C 45 (2021) 2, 023113

kwang@usst.edu.cn

CEPC Workshop 2022 @ China

2022.05.25 1

Outline

□Introduction: Why Supersymmetry (SUSY)?

□Model: Semi-constrained NMSSM (scNMSSM)

Our work: Higgs invisible decay

Higgs decay to light scalars



Introduction: Why SUSY ?

(b)

$\Box \text{Problems in the SM:}$ $\Box \text{Fine-turning}$ $\underbrace{H_{H_{\text{H}}} \left(\begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} \right)}_{H_{\text{H}}} \left(\begin{array}{c} s \\ s \end{array} \right)}$

(a)

Martin, arXiv:9709356

Gauge couplings can't be unified at GUT scale

□Without dark matter candidate

\Box How SUSY solve these:

$$egin{aligned} \Delta m_{H(a)}^2 = & -rac{|\lambda_f|^2}{8\pi^2} \Lambda_{ ext{UV}}^2 + \dots \ \Delta m_{H(b)}^2 = & rac{\lambda_S}{16\pi^2} igg[\Lambda_{ ext{UV}}^2 - 2m_S^2 \ln(\Lambda_{ ext{UV}}/m_S) + \dots igg] \end{aligned}$$



$\Box R$ parity:

The Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP) is absolute stable, which can be dark matter candidate.

Introduction: Why scNMSSM ?



Model: Semi-constrained NMSSM

□The superpotential of NMSSM:

 $W_{\rm NMSSM} = y_u \hat{Q} \cdot \hat{H}_u \hat{u}^c + y_d \hat{Q} \cdot \hat{H}_d \hat{d}^c + y_u \hat{L} \cdot \hat{H}_d \hat{e}^c + \lambda \hat{S} \hat{H}_u \cdot \hat{H}_d + \frac{\kappa}{3} \hat{S}^3$

 \Box The effective μ "-term":

 $\mu_{\rm eff} = \lambda v_s$

□The soft breaking term:

 $-\mathcal{L}_{\text{NMSSM}}^{\text{soft}} = -\mathcal{L}_{\text{MSSM}}^{\text{soft}}|_{\mu=0} + m_S^2 |S|^2 + \lambda A_\lambda S H_u \cdot H_d + \frac{1}{3} \kappa A_\kappa S^3 + \text{h.c.}$

Semi-constrained: The Higgs sector are considered nonuniversal, the Higgs soft mass and trilinear couplings are allowed to be different at GUT scale.

 \Box In the scNMSSM, the complete parameter sector is:

 $\lambda, \kappa, \tan\beta = \frac{v_u}{v_d}, \mu, A_\lambda, A_\kappa, A_0, M_{1/2}, M_0$

Model: electro-weak sector

□Higgs



□Neutralino & Chargino

In scNMSSM, the Bino and Wino are very heavy, so they can be decoupled from the light sector.



Our work

□Higgs invisible decay:

125GeV Higgs (h_2) decay to Dark Matter (LSP) So, we focus on:

 $m_{\rm Higgs} \ge 2 m_{\rm LSP}$

$\Box \text{Higgs decay to light scalars:}$ $125 \text{GeV Higgs can be: } h_1, h_2$ $\text{Light scalars can be: } h_1, a_1$ $3 \text{ type: } \begin{cases} h_2 \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \\ h_1 \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \\ h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1 \end{cases}$

Higgs invisible decay



$m_{\rm Higgs} \geq 2 m_{\rm LSP}$

With right DM relic density, Higgs invisible decay is small, that because λ is small, Higgs couples very weak with DM.

If invisible decay was discovered at CEPC, probably DM is not mainly singlino in the scNMSSM.



can be checked by CEPC



- For LSP with right DM relic density, it can be checked by SD experiment
- For LSP without right DM relic density it can be checked by CEPC.
- For higgsino LSP, SD is large, when it have right DM relic density, it will be excluded.
- For singlino LSP, SD is small, so it can have right DM relic density.

Higgs decay to light scalars

\Box Three scenarios:

• Scenario I: h_2 is the SM-like Higgs, and the light $h_2 \rightarrow a_1 a_1$ scalar a_1 is CP-odd; • Scenario II: h_1 is the SM-like Higgs, and the light $h_1 \rightarrow a_1 a_1$ scalar a_1 is CP-odd; • Scenario III: h_2 is the SM-like Higgs, and the light $h_2 \rightarrow h_1 h_1$

scalar h_1 is *CP*-even.

	Scenario I	Scenario II	Scenario III
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}/\text{GeV}$	3 ~ 129	98 ~ 198	3 ~ 190
m_{h_1}/GeV	4 ~ 123	123 ~ 127	$4 \sim 60$
m_{h_2}/GeV	123 ~ 127	127 ~ 5058	123 ~ 127
m_{a_1}/GeV	$4 \sim 60$	0.5 ~ 60	3~697

Detections at future lepton colliders







Deacy Mode	Futrue colliders		
	HL-LHC	CEPC	FCC-ee
$(b\bar{b})(b\bar{b})$	$650 {\rm fb}^{-1} (@{\rm II})$	$0.42 {\rm fb}^{-1}$ (@III)	$0.41 \text{ fb}^{-1}(@\text{III})$
(jj)(jj)	-	$21 \text{ fb}^{-1}(@\text{II})$	$18 {\rm fb}^{-1}$ (@II)
$(\tau^+\tau^-)(\tau^+\tau^-)$	-	$0.26 {\rm fb}^{-1}(@{\rm III})$	$0.22 \text{ fb}^{-1}(@\text{III})$
$(b\bar{b})(\tau^+\tau^-)$	$1500 {\rm fb}^{-1} (@{\rm II})$	$4.6 {\rm fb}^{-1}(@{\rm II})$	$3.6 {\rm fb}^{-1}(@{\rm II})$
$(\mu^+\mu^-)(\tau^+\tau^-)$	$1000 {\rm fb}^{-1}(@{\rm II})$	-	-

Summary

□Higgs invisible decay:

- There are 4 funnel-annihilation mechanisms;
- If Higgs invisible decay was discovered at CEPC, probably DM is not mainly singlino in the scNMSSM;
- With right DM relic density, Higgs invisible decay is small, and they could be checked by SD experiment (LZ).

□ Higgs decay to light scalars:

There are 3 scenarios about $h_{SM} \rightarrow ss$ 95% E.L.@ CEPC is showed in 4b, 4j, $2b2\tau$, 4τ The most effective way is in 4τ channel(0.26fb⁻¹) Minimum IL for discovering @CEPC is close to FCC







Thanks

kwang@usst.edu.cn

CEPC physics 2022 @ China

2022.05.25 16