Next-to-next-to-leading order matching of Bc and Bc* decay constants

Reporter: Tao Wei

2022.11.24

Based on:

Wei Tao, Ruilin Zhu, Zhen-Jun Xiao, arXiv:2209.15521

Outline

1) Introduction

Calculation Procedure


- **3** Results
- Summary

Introduction

- Beauty-charmed meson family discovered in particle physics experiment
- Bc(1S), CDF collaboration, 1998
- Bc(2S), ATLAS collaboration, 2014
- Bc*(2S), CMS and LHCb collaborations, 2019
- > Difficulty for experiment measurement
- composed of two different heavy flavor quarks
- the ground state Bc(1S) only weak decays
- Absolute branching ratios are hardly measured

Introduction

- Theoretical investigation
- Need study decay constants to obtain leptonic branching ratios
- Decay constants are essentially nonperturbative and universal
- But lattice QCD studies lesser due to doubly heavy flavors
- Nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) effective theory



- Decay constants \sim Short-distance perturbative matching coefficients \times long-distance nonperturbative NRQCD matrix elements (LDMEs)
- Systematical calculation order by order

Introduction

- Review high order calculation for Bc and Bc* decay constants with NRQCD
- systematical study of Bc at the leading order (LO) [C.H.Chang,Y.Q.Chen,PRD(1994)]
- NLO for Bc [E.Braaten, S.Fleming, PRD(1995)]
- NLO for Bc* [D.S.Hwang,S.Kim,PRD(1999)]
- High order relativistic corrections resummed [J.Lee,W.Sang,S.Kim,JHEP(2011)]
- Approximate NNLO for Bc [A.I.Onishchenko,O.L.Veretin,EPJC(2007)]
- Full analytical NNLO for Bc [L.B.Chen, C.F.Qiao, PLB(2015)]
- NNNLO for Bc [F.Feng,et al.,2208.04302]
- NNLO for Bc* [W.Tao,R.Zhu,Z.J.Xiao,2209.15521]
- NNNLO for Bc* [W.Sang,H.F.Zhang,M.Z.Zhou,2210.02979]

Outline

1) Introduction

Calculation Procedure

- **3** Results
- Summary

Definition

> QCD definition for decay constants

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \bar{b} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} c \right| B_{c}(P) \right\rangle = i f_{B_{c}}^{a} P^{\mu}, \qquad a \equiv (a,0) \text{:timelike component of axial current}$$

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \bar{b} \gamma_{5} c \right| B_{c}(P) \right\rangle = i f_{B_{c}}^{p} m_{B_{c}}, \qquad p \text{:pseudoscalar current}$$

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \bar{b} \gamma^{\mu} c \right| B_{c}^{*}(P,\varepsilon) \right\rangle = f_{B_{c}^{*}}^{v} m_{B_{c}^{*}} \varepsilon^{\mu}, \qquad f_{B_{c}}^{a} \equiv f_{B_{c}}^{p}$$

> NRQCD definition for decay constants

$$f_{B_{c}}^{p} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{m_{B_{c}}}} \frac{C_{p}(m_{b}, m_{c}, \mu_{f})}{\sqrt{\left(0 \left| \chi_{b}^{\dagger} \psi_{c} \right| B_{c}(\mathbf{P}) \right) (\mu_{f}) + O(v^{2})}}{\frac{\text{mathing coefficients}}{\sqrt{\left(0 \left| \chi_{b}^{\dagger} \sigma \right| \varepsilon \psi_{c} \right| B_{c}^{*}(\mathbf{P}) \right) (\mu_{f}) + O(v^{2})}}{\frac{2}{m_{B_{c}^{*}}} \frac{C_{v}(m_{b}, m_{c}, \mu_{f})}{\sqrt{\left(0 \left| \chi_{b}^{\dagger} \sigma \right| \varepsilon \psi_{c} \right| B_{c}^{*}(\mathbf{P}) \right) (\mu_{f}) + O(v^{2})}}{\sqrt{\left(0 \left| \chi_{b}^{\dagger} \sigma \right| \varepsilon \psi_{c} \right| B_{c}^{*}(\mathbf{P}) \right) (\mu_{f}) + O(v^{2})}}$$

> Perturbative matching formulae

$$Z_{J}Z_{2,b}^{\frac{1}{2}}Z_{2,c}^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma_{J} = C_{J}\tilde{Z}_{J}^{-1}\tilde{Z}_{2,b}^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{Z}_{2,c}^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\Gamma}_{J}$$

 Z_I :QCD on-shell current renormalization constants

$$Z_a = Z_v = 1, Z_p = \frac{m_b Z_{m,b} + m_c Z_{m,c}}{m_b + m_c}$$

 \tilde{Z}_J :NRQCD $\overline{\rm MS}$ current renormalization constants

 Z_2/Z_m :QCD on-shell field/mass renormalization constants

 \tilde{Z}_2 : NRQCD on-shell field renormalization constants

$$\tilde{Z}_{2,b} = \tilde{Z}_{2,c} = 1$$

 $\Gamma_J/\tilde{\Gamma}_J$:unrenormalized QCD/NRQCD current vertex function

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_J$$
=1

> NRQCD current renormalization constants and anomalous dimensions

$$\tilde{Z}_{J} = 1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}^{(n_{l})}(\mu_{f})}{\pi}\right)^{2} \frac{\gamma_{J}^{(2)}(x)}{4\epsilon} + O(\alpha_{s}^{3}).$$

$$\gamma_{J} = \frac{d \ln \tilde{Z}_{J}}{d \ln \mu_{f}} = \frac{-2 \partial \tilde{Z}_{J}^{(1)}}{\partial \ln \alpha_{s}^{(n_{l})}(\mu_{f})} = \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}^{(n_{l})}(\mu_{f})}{\pi}\right)^{2} \gamma_{J}^{(2)}(x) + O(\alpha_{s}^{3})$$

$$\gamma_{p}^{(2)}(x) = -\pi^{2} \left(\frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} + \frac{(1 + 6x + x^{2})C_{F}^{2}}{2(1 + x)^{2}}\right),$$

$$\gamma_{v}^{(2)}(x) = -\pi^{2} \left(\frac{C_{F}C_{A}}{2} + \frac{(3 + 2x + 3x^{2})C_{F}^{2}}{6(1 + x)^{2}}\right)$$

- \succ Matching and Calculation of α_s
- Decoupling $\alpha_s^{(n_f=n_b+n_c+n_l)}(\mu)$ to $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu)$

$$\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu) = \alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu)}{\pi} T_F \left(\frac{n_b}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_b^2} + \frac{n_c}{3} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_c^2} + O(\epsilon) \right) + O(\alpha_s^2) \right)$$

Renormalization group running equations

$$\alpha_s^{(n_l)}\left(\mu_f\right) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_f}\right)^{2\epsilon} \alpha_s^{(n_l)}\left(\mu\right), \qquad \qquad \mu: \text{renormalization scale} \\ \mu_f: \text{NRQCD factorization scale}$$


$$\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0^{(n_l)} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^{(n_l)}^{2}}} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_1^{(n_l)} \ln \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^{(n_l)}^{2}}}{\beta_0^{(n_l)^2} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^{(n_l)}^{2}}} \right)$$

> Renormalization at NNLO

- two loop diagrams
- tree diagram inserted with one α_s^2 -order counter-term vertex
- tree diagram inserted with two α_s -order counter-term vertexes (vanishing)
- one loop diagram inserted with one α_s -order counter-term vertex

Diagrams

➤ Tree,1loop,2loop



Calculation steps

- > Higher order calculation steps
- FeynCalc obtains diagrams and corresponding amplitudes,
 \$Apart decomposes every amplitude into several Feynman integral families
- FIRE / Kira / FiniteFlow reduces every Feynman integral family to master integral family
- Kira+FIRE+Mathematica code reduces all of master integral families to the minimal master integral families
- AMFlow with Kira/FiniteFlow calculates the minimal master integral families one by one
- Renormalization

Outline

1 Introduction

Calculation Procedure

- **Results**
- **Summary**

Matching coefficients results

> Matching coefficient formula

$$C_{J}(\mu_{f}, \mu, m_{b}, x) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu)}{\pi} C_{J}^{(1)}(x)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu)}{\pi}\right)^{2} \left(C_{J}^{(1)}(x) \frac{\beta_{0}^{(n_{f})}}{4} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}} + \frac{\gamma_{J}^{(2)}(x)}{2} \ln \frac{\mu_{f}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}} + C_{F}^{2} C_{J}^{FF}(x) + C_{F} C_{A} C_{J}^{FA}(x) + C_{F} T_{F} n_{l} C_{J}^{FL}(x) + C_{F} T_{F} C_{J}^{FH}(x)\right)$$

$$+ O(\alpha_{s}^{3}).$$

$$\times = \frac{m_{c}}{m_{b}}$$


NLO matching coefficients:

$$C_p^{(1)}(x) = \frac{3}{4} C_F \left(\frac{x-1}{x+1} \ln x - 2 \right)$$

$$C_v^{(1)}(x) = \frac{3}{4} C_F \left(\frac{x-1}{x+1} \ln x - \frac{8}{3} \right)$$

Matching coefficients results

 \triangleright x dependence for C_J^{FF} , C_J^{FA} , C_J^{FL} , and C_J^{FH}




Matching coefficients results


numerical results for matching coefficients

• $\mu_f \in [1.5,1.2,1] \text{GeV}, \ \mu \in [6.25,4.75,3] \text{GeV}, \ m_b \in [5.25,4.75,4.25] \text{GeV}, \ m_c \in [2,1.5,1] \text{GeV}$

	LO	NLO	NNLO
C_p	1	$0.9117^{-0+0.0072+0.0061-0.0156}_{+0-0.0160-0.0064+0.0263}$	$0.7897^{-0.0310+0.0206+0.0119+0.0149}_{+0.0253-0.0482-0.0133-0.0141}$
C_v	1	$0.8697^{-0+0.0107+0.0061-0.0156}_{+0-0.0236-0.0064+0.0263}$	$0.7363^{-0.0234+0.0230+0.0106+0.0117}_{+0.0191-0.0526-0.0117-0.0121}$

• μ dependence for matching coefficients





Decay constants results

→ Bc and Bc* decay constants

- LDMEs $\langle 0 | \chi_b^{\dagger} \sigma \cdot \varepsilon \psi_c | B_c^*(\mathbf{P}) \rangle \approx \langle 0 | \chi_b^{\dagger} \psi_c | B_c(\mathbf{P}) \rangle \approx \sqrt{2N_c} \psi_{B_c}(0)$
- $|\psi_{B_c}(0)|^2 \in [0.13, 0.12, 0.10] \text{GeV}^3$, $\mu_f \in [1.5, 1.2, 1] \text{GeV}$, $\mu \in [6.25, 4.75, 3] \text{GeV}$, $m_b \in [5.25, 4.75, 4.25] \text{GeV}$, $m_c \in [2, 1.5, 1] \text{GeV}$

	$\frac{f_{B_C}^p}{10^{-1} \text{GeV}}$	$\frac{f_{B_c^*}^{\nu}}{10^{-1} \text{GeV}}$
LO	$4.79^{+0.20+0+0+0+0}_{-0.42-0-0-0-0}$	$4.78^{+0.20+0+0+0+0}_{-0.42-0-0-0-0}$
NLO	$4.37^{+0.18+0+0.03+0.03-0.07}_{-0.38-0-0.08-0.03+0.13}$	$4.15^{+0.17+0+0.05+0.03-0.07}_{-0.36-0-0.11-0.03+0.13}$
NNLO	$3.78^{+0.15-0.15+0.10+0.06+0.07}_{-0.33+0.12-0.23-0.06-0.07}$	$3.52^{+0.14-0.11+0.11+0.05+0.06}_{-0.31+0.09-0.25-0.06-0.06}$

Decay widths & branching ratios

> Bc and Bc* leptonic decay widths and branching ratios

Formulae

$$\Gamma(B_c^+ \to l^+ + \nu_l) = \frac{|V_{bc}|^2}{8\pi} G_F^2 m_{B_c} m_l^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_{B_c}^2}\right)^2 f_{B_c}^{p 2},$$

$$\Gamma(B_c^{*+} \to l^+ + \nu_l) = \frac{|V_{bc}|^2}{12\pi} G_F^2 m_{B_c^*}^3 \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_{B_c^*}^2}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{m_l^2}{2m_{B_c^*}^2}\right) f_{B_c^*}^{\nu^2}$$

Decay widths

	1211	$m_{B_c^*}$) ($2m_{B_c^*}$)
	$\frac{\Gamma(B_c^+ \to e^+ + \nu_e)}{10^{-21} \text{GeV}}$	$\frac{\Gamma(B_c^{*+} \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e)}{10^{-13} \text{GeV}}$
LO	$3.39^{+0.28+0+0+0+0}_{-0.56-0-0-0-0}$	$3.45^{+0.29+0+0+0+0}_{-0.57-0-0-0-0}$
NLO	$2.82^{+0.23+0+0.04+0.04-0.10}_{-0.47-0-0.10-0.04+0.16}$	$2.61^{+0.22+0+0.06+0.04-0.09}_{-0.43-0-0.14-0.04+0.16}$
NNLO	$2.11^{+0.18-0.16+0.11+0.06+0.08}_{-0.35+0.14-0.25-0.07-0.07}$	$1.87^{+0.16-0.12+0.12+0.05+0.06}_{-0.31+0.10-0.26-0.06-0.06}$
	$\frac{\Gamma(B_c \to \mu^+ + \nu_\mu)}{10^{-16} \text{GeV}}$	$\frac{\Gamma(B_c^* \to \mu^+ + \nu_\mu)}{10^{-13} \text{GeV}}$
LO	$1.45^{+0.12+0+0+0+0}_{-0.24-0-0-0-0}$	$3.45^{+0.29+0+0+0+0}_{-0.57-0-0-0-0}$
NILO	1 2 2 10 10 10 10 10 02 10 02 10 04	
NLO	$1.20^{+0.10+0+0.02+0.02-0.04}_{-0.20-0-0.04-0.02+0.07}$	$2.61^{+0.22+0+0.06+0.04-0.09}_{-0.43-0-0.14-0.04+0.16}$
	$1.20^{+0.10+0+0.02+0.02-0.04}_{-0.20-0-0.04-0.02+0.07}$ $0.90^{+0.08-0.07+0.05+0.03+0.03}_{-0.15+0.06-0.11-0.03-0.03}$	$2.61^{+0.22+0+0.06+0.04-0.09}_{-0.43-0-0.14-0.04+0.16}$ $1.87^{+0.16-0.12+0.12+0.05+0.06}_{-0.31+0.10-0.26-0.06-0.06}$

Decay widths & branching ratios

Decay widths

	$\frac{\Gamma(B_c^+ \to \tau^+ + \nu_\tau)}{10^{-14} \text{GeV}}$	$\frac{\Gamma(B_c^{*+} \to \tau^+ + \nu_\tau)}{10^{-13} \text{GeV}}$
LO	$3.47^{+0.29+0+0+0+0}_{-0.58-0-0-0-0}$	$3.04^{+0.25+0+0+0+0}_{-0.51-0-0-0-0}$
NLO	$2.88^{+0.24+0+0.05+0.04-0.10}_{-0.48-0-0.10-0.04+0.17}$	$2.30^{+0.19+0+0.06+0.03-0.08}_{-0.38-0-0.12-0.03+0.14}$
NNLO	$2.16^{+0.18-0.17+0.11+0.07+0.08}_{-0.36+0.14-0.26-0.07-0.08}$	$1.65^{+0.14-0.10+0.10+0.05+0.05}_{-0.27+0.09-0.23-0.05-0.05}$

Decay branching ratios

Outline

1 Introduction

Calculation Procedure

- **3** Results
- Summary

Summary

- Verify Bc decay constant from pseudoscalar current is identical with the Bc decay constant from axial-vector current
- Obtain the novel anomalous dimension for the flavor-changing heavy quark vector current
- Obtain NNLO result for the decay constant of Bc*
- The obtained branching ratio of $B_c^{*+} \to \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$ isn't small, which can be a good channel to detect Bc^*

Thank you!