

Measurement of the proton structure parameters using the Drell-Yan forwardbackward asymmetry (A_{FB}) at hadron colliders

Mingzhe Xie

University of Science and Technology of China 2022. 11

Proton structure study at the LHC

Expectations and difficulties

- advantages: high collision energy/large data sample, significant contribution from sea quarks / heavy quarks / large range of x region
- difficulties: few observables vs mixture of various parton information



• Find new experimental observables to constrain proton structure information

Drell-Yan process and AFB

AFB from original EW symmetry breaking

- Spatial asymmetry as function of dilepton mass
- Different in u-type and d-type contributions, due to different Z-quark couplings



Drell-Yan process and AFB

A_{FB} affected by the proton structure information

- PDF luminosities of uubar and ddbar govern the combination of the two contributions in the A_{FB} observation: cross sections
- Unknown quark direction which dilute the spatial asymmetry: dilution effect



Xsections: the observed Z production is the combined results of the uubar and ddbar initial states



Dilution: the quark directions are unknown. One needs to assume that quarks carry higher energy than antiquarks, so that the quark direction is along the dilepton system.

• No matter how PDF affects A_{FB}, it is possible that the u and d quark contribution can be separately observed, based on the fact that their original asymmetries are different

Factorization on A_{FB} and relavent proton structure information

Factorization

• To measure the proton structure information, we need to factorize the PDF contribution in A_{FB} into well-defined structure parameters.

Details in Phys. Rev. D 106, 033001 (2022)

$$A_{FB}(M) = \frac{\sum_{q=u,c} [1 - 2D_q(M)] \alpha_q(M)}{\alpha_{\text{total}}(M)} \cdot A_{FB}^u(M; \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^\ell) + \frac{\sum_{q=d,s,b} [1 - 2D_q(M)] \alpha_q(M)}{\alpha_{\text{total}}(M)} \cdot A_{FB}^d(M; \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^\ell) \equiv [\Delta_u(M) + P_0^u] \cdot A_{FB}^u(M; \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^\ell) + [\Delta_d(M) + P_0^d] \cdot A_{FB}^d(M; \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^\ell),$$
(1)

- The observed A_{FB} is naturally factorized into a 2-term formality, which is the combination of the uubar and ddbar asymmetries
- The original EW asymmetries are governed by the effective weak mixing angle
- The coefficients of the two EW asymmetries, namely the weights in the combination, represent the proton structure information

Some discussions

Parameters at the Tevatron and LHC

• For LHC's pp collision: separating u and d contributions. However with both q and qbar; both small x and relatively large x

$$P_0^u \propto u(x_1)\bar{u}(x_2) - u(x_2)\bar{u}(x_1)$$
$$P_0^d \propto d(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) - d(x_2)\bar{d}(x_1) \qquad x_1 > x_2$$

• For Tevatron's ppbar collision: qbar and small x contributions significantly suppressed

$$P_0^u \propto u(x_1)u(x_2) - \bar{u}(x_1)\bar{u}(x_2) \approx u(x)^2$$
$$P_0^d \propto d(x_1)d(x_2) - \bar{d}(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) \approx d(x)^2$$

• Measurements using LHC and Tevatron data provide complimentary constraints on proton structure parameters

Measurement using the CMS data

AFB measured using CMS data

- LHC 8 TeV data in Run 1
- AFB vs mass measured in 4 ZY bins, up to 2.4



The A_{FB} vs mass spectrum reported by CMS, compared to the theoretical predictions from the PDF of CT18. This plot gives an example of the AFB in the ZY bin of [1.5, 2.4]

 $u \bar{u} \rightarrow e^+e^-$

 $d \bar{d} \rightarrow e^+e^-$

150

center of mass energy

200

Asymmetry, A_{FB}

0.8 0.6

0.4

0.2 0

-0.2 -0.4

50

100

Measurement using the CMS data

Structure parameters measured in all ZY bins

- P0u consistently lower than predictions, while P0d higher than predictions
- In another word, the data indicates a stronger d-quark contribution



arXiv:2209.13143

$$P_0^u \propto u(x_1)\bar{u}(x_2) - u(x_2)\bar{u}(x_1) P_0^d \propto d(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) - d(x_2)\bar{d}(x_1)$$

Measured structure parameters from all the 4 ZY bins using the CMS 8 TeV data

Measurement using the DØ data

AFB measured using DØ data

- Tevatron 1.96 TeV data in Run 2 (half DØ data set)
- AFB vs mass in an integrated ZY phase space



The AFB vs mass spectrum reported by DØ compared to the theoretical predictions from the PDF of CT18.

Measurement using the DØ data

Structure parameters

- P0u lower than predictions, while P0d higher than predictions
- Consistent with the CMS data

arXiv:2209.13143

	P_0^u	P_0^a
D0 data	0.6395 ± 0.0361	0.2706 ± 0.0665
CT18	0.6994 ± 0.0089	0.1733 ± 0.0062
MSHT20	0.6887 ± 0.0066	0.1658 ± 0.0075
NNPDF3.1	0.6919 ± 0.0054	0.1703 ± 0.0055

$$P_0^u \propto u(x_1)u(x_2) - \bar{u}(x_1)\bar{u}(x_2) \approx u(x)^2$$
$$P_0^d \propto d(x_1)d(x_2) - \bar{d}(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) \approx d(x)^2$$

Some discussions

Correlations between P0u and P0d

• Since P0u and P0d are simultaneously measured from one A_{FB} spectrum, they certainly have correlations, roughly at 90%.

The effective weak mixing angle $sin^2\theta_W$

- $sin^2\theta_W$ is treated as a free parameter in the measurement. In another word, it is also measured simultaneously.
- However, this is not our purpose. We treat it as free parameter simply to properly deal with the correlation between PDF and EW.
- The fitted $\sin^2\theta_W$ is consistent with the direct measurement on weak mixing angle reported by CMS and DØ using the same data sample.

Average magnitude and mass-evolution

- The structure parameters have P0 part and Δ part.
- P0 represents the average magnitude of the proton structure information in the mass window under investigation. Dominant part
- Δ represents the dependence with mass. Not dominant because A_{FB} is not measured in large mass range.

Summary

Details in Phys. Rev. D 106, 033001 (2022) arXiv:2209.13143

A_{FB} factorization

• Define structure parameters, so that the u and d contributions can be separately measured

Measurement from the CMS and DØ data

• Both data show stronger contribution of d quark



	P_0^u	P_0^d
D0 data	0.6395 ± 0.0361	0.2706 ± 0.0665
CT18	0.6994 ± 0.0089	0.1733 ± 0.0062
MSHT20	0.6887 ± 0.0066	0.1658 ± 0.0075
NNPDF3.1	0.6919 ± 0.0054	0.1703 ± 0.0055

Backup

Some discussions

Average magnitude and mass-evolution

- P₀ parameters: dominant part, representing the magnitude of the proton structure information averaged in the mass window under investigation
- Δ parameters: secondary effect, describing the dependence with mass. Not dominant because A_{FB} is usually measured in a narrow mass window around Z pole

$$A_{FB}(M) = \frac{\sum_{q=u,c} [1 - 2D_q(M)] \alpha_q(M)}{\alpha_{\text{total}}(M)} \cdot A_{FB}^u(M; \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^\ell) + \frac{\sum_{q=d,s,b} [1 - 2D_q(M)] \alpha_q(M)}{\alpha_{\text{total}}(M)} \cdot A_{FB}^d(M; \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^\ell) \equiv [\Delta_u(M) + P_0^u] \cdot A_{FB}^u(M; \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^\ell) + [\Delta_d(M) + P_0^d] \cdot A_{FB}^d(M; \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^\ell),$$
(1)