# Observation of new structures in the J/ $\psi$ J/ $\psi$ mass spectrum at CMS



#### 第三届粒子物理前沿研讨会 2022年7月22日,中山大学





### Fully charmed tetraquaks at LHC

2022年7月9日,易凯





Zhen Hu





#### the Compact Solenoid detector

Lead tungstate

E/M Calorimeter (ECAL)

3.8T Superconducting Solenoid

Hermetic (|η|<5.2) Hadron Calorimeter (HCAL) [scintillators & brass]

All Silicon Tracker (Pixels and Microstrips)

Redundant Muon System (RPCs, Drift Tubes, Cathode Strip Chambers)

#### the Compact Solenoid detector

3.8T Superconducting Solenoid

Hermetic (|η|<5.2) Hadron Calorimeter (HCAL) [scintillators & brass]

•

\_\_\_\_η coverage (track & muon): [-2.5,2.5]

HCAL

ECAL

Hadron

Bectromagneti

Lead tungstate E/M Calorimeter (ECAL) Floctron

Charged Hadron (e.g. Pion)

Neutral Hadron (e.g. Neutron)

All Silicon Tracker (Pixels and Microstrips)

Redundant Muon System (RPCs, Drift Tubes, Cathode Strip Chambers)



## Dimuon at CMS & trigger



- Muon system
  - High-purity muon ID,  $\Delta m/m \sim 0.6\%$  for J/ $\psi$
- Silicon Tracking detector, B=3.8T
  - $\Delta p_T/p_T \sim 1\%$  & excellent vertex resolution
- Special triggers for different analyses at increasing Inst. Lumi.



- μ p<sub>T</sub>, (μμ) p<sub>T</sub>, (μμ) mass, (μμ) vertex, and additional μ Zhen Hu July 22, 2022



#### Selected CMS contributions with low $p_T$ muons







## B physics at CMS

- vs RHIC
  - better resolution
    - CMS' 1st Y(1S,2S,3S) measurements in HI
  - additional detector capability
    - CMS' 1st secondary vertex meas. in HI (eg  $b \rightarrow J/\psi$ )
- vs ALICE
  - complementary acceptance (ALICE access low-pt)
  - CMS better resolution
- vs Tevatron experiments
  - extend kinematic (p<sub>T</sub>,y) acceptance
- vs ATLAS
  - more flexible trigger, better resolution
- vs LHCb

Zhen Hu

- complementary acceptance, LHCb great particle ID
- higher luminosity











First X(3872) signal in PbPb

Nucl. Phys. Vol 1005 (2021)121781

CMS played the following leading roles

- First LHC experiment to see X(3872)
- First LHC experiment to see exotic hadron
- First LHC experiment to see X(3872) in PbPb data



7





### Exotic hadron



#### QCD理论允许强子的夸克数不只是2或3 多夸克态 混杂态 胶子球 夸克数=2 +激 夸克数=0,多个 夸克数>=4 发胶子:qqg, 胶子gg, ggg … qqqg … g g g g



Zhen Hu



Zhen Hu

### 4c exotic meson

- First mention of 4c states at 6.2 GeV (1975)
  - Just one year after the discovery of  $J/\psi$ )

We expect at least three exotic mesons with hidden charm,  $c\bar{c}(p\bar{p}-n\bar{n})$  [between 3.7~4.1 GeV],  $c\bar{c}\lambda\bar{\lambda}$  [~4.1 GeV] and  $c\bar{c}c\bar{c}$  [~6.2 GeV], to which we refer



(Received January 20, 1975)

• First calculation of 4c states (1981): Z. Phys. C 7 (1981) 317

 L	S	JPC	Mass (GeV)				(cc) <sub>6</sub>	$\overline{(CC)}_{6}*$
1	0 1 2	$ \begin{array}{c} 1^{} \\ 0^{-+}, 1^{-+}, 2^{-+} \\ 1^{}, 2^{}, 3^{} \end{array} $	6.55			S	J <sup>PC</sup>	Mass (GeV)
2	0 1 2	2 <sup>++</sup> 1 <sup>+-</sup> , 2 <sup>+-</sup> , 3 <sup>+-</sup> 0 <sup>++</sup> , 1 <sup>++</sup> , 2 <sup>++</sup> , 3 <sup>++</sup> , 4 <sup>++</sup>	6.78	$\longleftarrow (cc)_{\underline{3}} * - (\overline{cc})_{\underline{3}}$	1 2	0	$1^{}$ 2++	6.82 7.15
3	0 1 2	3 <sup></sup> 2 <sup>-+</sup> , 3 <sup>-+</sup> , 4 <sup>-+</sup> 1 <sup></sup> , 2 <sup></sup> , 3 <sup></sup> , 4 <sup></sup> , 5 <sup></sup>	6.98		3	0	3	7.41

- Many recent theoretical studies on (cccc), (bbbb), (bbcc):
  - controversial on existence of bound states below  $\eta_b \eta_b$  threshold;

consistent on existence of resonant states above  $\eta_b\eta_b$  threshold.





### $J/\psi J/\psi$ cross section at 7 TeV

#### J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2014) 094



Total cross section, assuming unpolarized prompt  $J/\psi J/\psi$  pair production  $1.49 \pm 0.07$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.13$  (syst.) nb

Different assumptions about the  $J/\psi J/\psi$  polarization imply modifications to the cross section ranging from -31% to +27%.







We saw hints at Run I data Proposed three signal regions for Run II data



Blinded mass windows for Run II:

- 1. [6.3,6.6] GeV
- 2. [6.8,7.1] GeV
- 3. [7.2,7.8] GeV (for potential wide structure)

These mass windows will be windows for LEE for potential structures

Run I data will be ignored for significance calculation

CMS eventually decide to blind the whole region: [6.2, 7.8] GeV after LHCb released their result





### The LHC is pushing the limit

#### CMS Peak Luminosity Per Day, pp



1902

THA

NNU



- Signal:  $X \to J/\psi J/\psi \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Data: 135 *f b*<sup>-1</sup>, taken in 2016, 2017 and 2018 LHC runs
- Signal MC samples:
  - $J^P = 0^+$  resonance
  - -- Generator: Pythia8, JHUGen
- Background MC samples:
  - Nonresonant single-parton scattering (NRSPS)
  - -- Generator: Pythia8, HelacOnia (next-to-next-to-leading order), Cascade (next-to-leading order)
    - Nonresonant double-parton scattering (NRDPS)
    - -- Generator: Pythia8







### **Event selections**

#### Muon selection

- $p_T(\mu^{\pm}) > 2.0 \text{ GeV/c}$
- $|\eta(\mu^{\pm})| < 2.4$
- All muons are <u>soft</u>
  - For 2017-18 years:  $p_T(\mu^{\pm}) > 3.5 \text{ GeV/c}$  for at least one  $\mu^+\mu^-$  pair, which has  $vtxprob(\mu^+\mu^-) > 0.5\%$ and 2.95  $< m_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 3.25 \text{ GeV}$

 $J/\psi$  selection

- •2.95 <  $m_{J/\psi}$  < 3.25 GeV
- • $p_T(J/\psi) > 3.5 \text{ GeV/c}$
- • $vtxprob(J/\psi) > 0.5\%$
- •Constrained  $vtxprob(J/\psi) > 0.1\%$

 $\frac{J/\psi J/\psi \text{ selection}}{vtxprob(4\mu) > 0.5\%}$ •  $vtxprob(J/\psi J/\psi) > 0.1\%$ • Proper HLT is fired in event

#### Multiple candidates

•Choose the best candidate with minimum  $\left(\frac{M(J/\psi_1) - M(J/\psi_{PDG})}{\sigma(M(J/\psi_1))}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M(J/\psi_2) - M(J/\psi_{PDG})}{\sigma(M(J/\psi_2))}\right)^2$ value if there are 4 muons in event, but more than one candidate (~0.2%) •Keep all candidates if there are more then 4 muons in event (~0.2%)

Baseline mass variable – invariant mass of two constrained J/ $\psi$  candidates







## $J/\psi$ candidates





### CMS background (BW0 + NRSPS + DPS)



- Most significant structure in first step is a BW at threshold, BW0--what is its meaning?
- Treat BW0 as part of background due to:
  - Inadequacy of our NRSPS model at threshold though one floating parameter?
  - BW0 parameters very sensitive to other model assumptions
  - A region populated by feed-down from possible higher mass states
  - Possible coupled-channel interactions, pomeron exchange processes...
- NRSPS+NRDPS+BW0 as our background







### CMS model: 3 BWs + Background



	BW1 (MeV)	BW2 (MeV)	BW3 (MeV)
m	6552 ± 10	6927±9	7287± 19
Г	124± 29	122± 22	95± 46
Ν	474± 113	492±75	156± 56

- BW1 (6.5 $\sigma$ ) observation (> 5.7 $\sigma$  with syst.)
- BW2[X(6900)] (9.4*σ*) -- confirmation
- BW3 (4.1 $\sigma$ ) -- evidence

Statistical significance only





## CMS result with BW0 explicitly shown









1902

नसिति

NNU



## Significance with systematics

Table 2. Systematic uncertainties on masses and widths, in view.						
Source	$\Delta M_{BW1}$	$\Delta M_{BW2}$	$\Delta M_{BW3}$	$\Delta\Gamma_{BW1}$	$\Delta\Gamma_{BW2}$	$\Delta\Gamma_{BW3}$
signal shape	3	4	3	14	7	7
NRDPS		< 1	< 1	3	3	4
NRSPS	3	1	1	18	15	17
feeddown shape	11	>1	1	25	8	6
momentum scaling	1	3	4	-	-	-
resolution	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	1
efficiency	< 1	< 1	< 1	1	< 1	1
combinatorial background	< 1	< 1	< 1	2	3	3
total	12	5	5	34	19	20

Table 2: Systematic uncertainties on masses and widths, in MeV.

- Investigated effects of systematics on local significance by a profiling procedure a discrete set of individual alternative signal and background hypotheses tested in minimization
  - Significant change: BW1 significance changed from  $6.5\sigma$  to >5.7\sigma
  - No relative significance changes for BW2 and BW3



#### Significance with systematics







## X(6900) reported by LHCb

- In 2020, LHCb reported X(6900) state in  $J/\psi J/\psi$  final state, <u>Sci.Bull.65 (2020) 23</u>
- Tried two different models
  - Model I: background+2 auxiliary BWs+  $X(6900) \rightarrow$  poor description of 'dip' around 6.7 GeV
  - Model II: a "virtual" X(6700) to interfere with NRSPS background to account for dip
- LHCb agnostic on which one is to be preferred
- What happens if fit CMS data using LHCb models?











## Try LHCb model 1

#### Background + 2 auxiliary BWs + X(6900)



Weighted candidates / (28 MeV/c <sup>2</sup> ) 180 140 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 17		T Data Total f Resona Thresh DPS NRSP: DPS-N NRSP:	it ancc old BW1 old BW2 VRSPS
6200	7000 <i>M</i>	$\frac{8000}{(MeV/c^2)}$	9000
	$1' - dI - J/\psi$		

Exp.	Fit	<i>m</i> (BW1)	Γ(BW1)	m(6900)	Γ(6900)	5
LHCb [15]	Model I	unrep.	unrep.	$6905\pm11\pm7$	$80\pm19\pm33$	
CMS	Model I	$6550\pm10$	$112\pm27$	$6927\pm10$	$117\pm24$	

- CMS Data shows a shoulder before BW1
- CMS shoulder helps make BW1 distinct
- Does not describe well dips

X(6900) parameters are in good agreement with LHCb LHCb did not give parameters for another 2 BWs

- CMS vs LHCb comparisons:
  - $135/9 \approx 15X$  (int. lum.)
  - $(5/3)^4 \approx 8X$  (muon acceptance due to pseudo-rapidity range)
  - Higher muon  $p_T$  (>3.5 or 2.0 GeV vs >0.6 GeV)
    - Similar number of final events, but DPS suppressed







## Try LHCb model 2

#### DPS + X(6900) + "X(6700)" interferes with NRSPS



- X(6900) parameters are consistent
- CMS obtained larger amplitude and natural width for BW1
- CMS's X(6600) is 'eaten' –does not describe X6600 and below
- Does not describe X(7200) region



All CMS fits presented are not very good: ...other interference scenarios are under study in CMS







### Summary

• CMS found 3 significant structures using 135 *fb*<sup>-1</sup> 13 TeV data <u>https://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/preliminary-results/BPH-21-003/index.html</u>

M[BW1] = $6552 \pm 10 \pm 12$ MeV	$\Gamma[BW1] = 124 \pm 29 \pm 34 \text{ MeV}$	>5.7 <b>σ</b>
$M[BW2] = 6927 \pm 9 \pm 5 MeV$	$\Gamma[BW2] = 122 \pm 22 \pm 19 \text{ MeV}$	>9.4 <b>o</b>
M[BW3] = 7287 $\pm$ 19 $\pm$ 5 MeV	$\Gamma[BW3] = 95 \pm 46 \pm 20 \text{ MeV}$	>4.1 <b>o</b>

- BW2 consistent with X(6900) reported by LHCb
- Two new structures, provisionally named as X(6600) [BW1], X(7300) [BW3]
- A family of structures which are candidates for all-charm tetra-quarks!
- Dips in data show possible interference effects under study
- More data/knowledge needed to understand nature of near threshold region
- All-heavy quark exotic structures offer system easier to understand
- A new window to understand strong interaction









- ~"清华-南师"CMS组(2019-2022)
  - 4 faculties:易凯,胡震,王义,Gerry Bauer
  - 3 postdocs:张敬庆, Muhammad Ahmad, Samet Lezik
  - 10 grads:刘锦枫,温宏伟,王地,崔志鹏,梁正臣,王晰宁,王雨潇,张顺亮,陈亮亮,闫豆豆
  - ~30 undergrads
  - 3 engineers and technicians
- ・ 现任CMS实验二级管理职位1人:
  - Muhammad Ahmad (PPD DQM)
- ・ 现任CMS实验三级管理职位2人:
  - 胡震(BPH P&P), Samet Lezik (DPG Tracking)
- 曾任CMS实验二级管理职位3人次:
  - 胡震 (PPD PdmV), Jordan Martins (PPD PdmV), Jordan Martins (BPH VFS)
- 曾任CMS实验三级管理职位7人次:
  - 易凯 (B物理 Production), (Quarkonium), (Spectroscopy)
  - 胡震 (PPD PdmV), Muhammad Ahmad (PPD PdmV), 刘锦枫 (PPD PdmV), Jordan Martins (PPD PdmV)





#### Physics Performance & Datasets (PPD) organisation

#### Physics Performance & Datasets (PPD) Organisation as of 05/22



![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

NNU

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 硬件贡献——GEM, MTD

- 在北大帮助下参加了GEM探测器升级
  - 得到清华理科发展双E基金资助:已先期贡献50万材料费
  - 2019、2020、2021、2022年参加过多批电子学版的生产和检测

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

- 参加了MTD探测器升级
  - 得到清华物理系科研发展金资助:已先期贡献50万core contribution
  - 学生长期base在CERN,参加MTD研发和装配工作
  - 已经在清华物理系争取到新的实验室空间,新的设备费资助

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

## 硬件贡献— HGCal

- 在高能所帮助下参加了HGCal探测器升级
  - 得到清华物理系科研发展金资助:已先期贡献设备30万+core contribution 100万
  - 参加高能所站点的生产和测试工作
    - QA/ QC Test before Assembly
    - Module Assembly on Gantry

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### 第四届重味物理与量子色动力学研讨会 湖南大学,长沙

#### Observation of structures in J/ψJ/ψ mass spectrum at CMS 张敬庆 2022年7月29日(周五), 15:45

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Significance with systematics

- To include systematics, alternative resonance/background shapes applied in the fit.
- Calculate signal- and null-hypothesis *NLL\_syst* including systematic using:

 $NLL_(syst-sig) = Min\{NLL_(nom-sig), NLL_(alt-i-sig)+0.5+0.5\cdot\Delta dof\}$ 

- □ *NLL\_(nom-sig*): the NLL of nominal 'signal hypothesis' fit.
- $\square$  *NLL\_(alt-i-sig)*: the NLL of i-th alternative fit of 'signal hypothesis'
- $NLL_(syst-null) = Min\{NLL_(nom-null), NLL_(alt-j-null)+0.5+0.5 \cdot \Delta dof\}$
- Significance including systematics as usual from *NLL\_(syst-null)-NLL\_(syst-sig)*

	Significance with syst.
BW1	$5.7\sigma$
BW2	no sensible changes
BW3	no sensible changes

![](_page_30_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Line shape

• S-wave relativistic Breit-Wigner (used in default fit):

$$BW(m; m_0, \Gamma_0) = \frac{\sqrt{m\Gamma(m)}}{m_0^2 - m^2 - im\Gamma(m)}, \text{ where } \Gamma(m) = \Gamma_0 \frac{qm_0}{q_0 m},$$

*q* is the momentum of a daughter in the mother particle rest frame;  $q_0$  means the value at peak position ( $m = m_0$ ).

• NRSPS and NRDPS:

 $f_{NRSPS}(x, x_0, \alpha, p_1, p_2, p_3)$ 

$$= (x - x_0)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{(15 - x_0)^2} - \frac{p_1}{10}\right) \cdot (15 - x)^2\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_0)^{p_3}}{2 \cdot p_2^{p_3}}\right),$$
  
$$f_{NRDPS}(x, a, p_0, p_1, p_2) = \sqrt{x_t} \cdot \exp(-a \cdot x_t) \cdot (p_0 + p_1 \cdot x_t + p_2 \cdot x_t^2),$$
  
where  $x_0 = 2m_{J/\psi}, x_t = x - x_0$ 

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Steps to identify structures in $J/\psi J/\psi$ mass spectrum

- Null-hypothesis (initial baseline model): NRSPS+NRDPS
- Add potential structures to baseline model
  - Add most prominent structure to baseline model
  - Calculate its local significance
  - Keep in baseline only if >  $3\sigma$  significance
  - Repeat until no more >  $3\sigma$  structures

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

Zhen Hu

1911-

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Fig. 4.** Invariant mass spectra of weighted di- $J/\psi$  candidates in bins of  $p_T^{\text{di-}J/\psi}$  and overlaid projections of the  $p_T^{\text{di-}J/\psi}$ -binned fit with model I.

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)