

Precision test of the Zbb anomalous couplings at colliders

Bin Yan
Institute of High Energy Physics

July 22, 2022

Bin Yan, C.-P. Yuan, PRL127(2021)5,051801


Bin Yan, Zhite Yu and C.-P. Yuan, PLB822(2021)136697

Hai Tao Li, Bin Yan and C.-P. Yuan, arxiv:2112.07747, accepted by PLB

Hongxin Dong, Peng Sun, Bin Yan and C.-P. Yuan, PLB829(2022)137076₁

Standard Model Total Production Cross Section Measurements

Status: May 2020



Why we need the New Physics?

Some open questions:


1. What is Dark Matter ?
2. What is the origin of the neutrino mass?
3. What is the nature of the electroweak symmetry breaking?
4. What is the nature of the Higgs boson (Composite or elementary particle)?
5.

New Physics Models and new measurements to answer these questions

The New Physics Signals?


1. W-boson mass? 7σ

CDF, Science 376(2022)6589



2. Muon g-2? 4.2σ


PRL126(2021)14,141801



3. Strong coupling? $\sim 4\sigma$

PDG2020

G. Bell, C. Lee, Y. Makris, J. Talbert and Bin Yan, in preparation



4. Forward-backward asymmetry of bottom quark @ LEP


PDG2020 2.1σ

5. Anomaly of B physics

The New Physics Signals?


1. W-boson mass? 7σ

CDF, Science 376(2022)6589



2. Muon g-2? 4.2σ


PRL126(2021)14,141801



3. Strong coupling? $\sim 4\sigma$

PDG2020

G. Bell, C. Lee, Y. Makris, J. Talbert and Bin Yan, in preparation




4. Forward-backward asymmetry of bottom quark @ LEP



PDG2020 2.1σ

5. Anomaly of B physics

Status of Zbb couplings



Excluded by off-Z pole data



$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{b}\gamma_\mu(\kappa_V g_V - \kappa_A g_A \gamma_5)bZ_\mu$$

- Large deviation of the Zbb coupling
- The degeneracy of the Zbb coupling

Status of Zbb couplings

A. How to break the degeneracy of the Zbb coupling?

New experiments: CEPC (e+e- collider), etc.



B. How to explain the LEP data?



New Physics?

Many new physics models

e.g. Custodial symmetry + heavy B' quark

K. Agashe, R. Contino, L. Rold, A. pomarol, 2006'



Statistical Fluctuation or Systematic error?

New experiments: e.g. CEPC

So...

Should we just wait for the next generation lepton colliders?



***Any possibility from LHC and ep colliders
(HERA and EIC)?***

In 2020, The United States Department of Energy announced that an EIC will be built over the next ten years at Brookhaven National Laboratory




Zbb couplings@ LHC and ep colliders

A. LHC



B. HERA and EIC




Single-Spin Asymmetry

Jet charge weighted Single-Spin Asymmetry

A. Zbb couplings @LHC

Bin Yan, C.-P. Yuan, PRL127(2021)5,051801



Charge conjugation invariance

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{b}\gamma_\mu(\kappa_V g_V - \kappa_A g_A \gamma_5)bZ_\mu$$

→ Only **axial-vector components** contribute to the cross section


Isospin symmetry

→ Massless quark doublet will vanish, only **top and bottom** quarks can contribute to the scattering

Goldstone boson equivalence theorem

→ The **polarization of Z boson** is different from quark initial state


Bottom quark's contribution is comparable to the top quark, i.e. $\sigma(b)/\sigma(t) \simeq 1.1$ 10




Break the Zbb coupling degeneracy

Current Zh data could break the degeneracy

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_W}{2c_W} \bar{b} \gamma_\mu (\kappa_v^b g_V^{b,\text{SM}} - \kappa_a^b g_A^{b,\text{SM}} \gamma_5) b Z_\mu$$



Including all Zh data




Their central values are smaller than SM predictions

The two high P_T^Z data play an important role 11


Break the Zbb coupling degeneracy

Current Zh data could break the degeneracy

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_W}{2c_W} \bar{b} \gamma_\mu (\kappa_v^b g_V^{b,\text{SM}} - \kappa_a^b g_A^{b,\text{SM}} \gamma_5) b Z_\mu$$




Including all Zh data



Removing the two high P_T^Z data 12

B. Zbb couplings@LHC



Hongxin Dong, Peng Sun, Bin Yan and C.-P. Yuan


Indirectly search

Is it possible to probe the Zbb coupling directly at the LHC?

$Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$?



1. Huge backgrounds at hadron colliders;
2. The dependence on Zbb couplings is similar to R_b




$\Upsilon(ns) \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$

$J^{PC}(\gamma, \Upsilon(ns)) = 1^{--}$

charge conjugation invariance \longrightarrow axial-vector component of Zbb coupling

Exclusive Z boson decay@ NRQCD

LO:



NLO:




TABLE II. The branching ratios of $Z \rightarrow \Upsilon(ns) + \gamma$ at the LO and NLO in units of 10^{-8} with renormalization scale $\mu = m_Z$.

$\text{BR}(Z \rightarrow \Upsilon(ns) + \gamma)$	$\Upsilon(1s)$	$\Upsilon(2s)$	$\Upsilon(3s)$
LO	3.83 ± 0.20	1.82 ± 0.21	1.32 ± 0.17
NLO	5.61 ± 0.29	2.66 ± 0.31	1.93 ± 0.25



The relativistic correction is very small

T.- C. Huang and F. Petriello, PRD92,014007(2015)

Sensitivity @ HL-LHC

ATLAS+CMS

$\Upsilon(1s, 2s, 3s) \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \tau^+\tau^-$




$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{b}\gamma_\mu(\kappa_V g_V - \kappa_A g_A \gamma_5)b Z_\mu$$

C. Zbb couplings@HERA and EIC

Bin Yan, Zhite Yu and C.-P. Yuan, PLB822(2021)136697

Polarized lepton beam



Single-Spin Asymmetry (SSA):

$$A_e^b = \frac{\sigma_{b,+}^{\text{tot}} - \sigma_{b,-}^{\text{tot}}}{\sigma_{b,+}^{\text{tot}} + \sigma_{b,-}^{\text{tot}}}$$

+/-: right/left-handed lepton

1. Photon-only diagrams will **cancel** in SSA
2. Leading contribution: γ -Z interference
3. Only sensitive to the **vector component** of the Zbb coupling
4. It plays a **complementary role** to the **HL-LHC**, which is sensitive to the axial-vector component of the Zbb coupling


DIS cross section

Polarized cross section

$$F_{1,L,3} \equiv F_{1,L,3}(\lambda_e)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\lambda_e}^{\pm}}{\sigma_0 dxdy} = F_1 \left((1-y)^2 + 1 \right) + F_L \frac{1-y}{x} \mp F_3 \underline{\lambda_e} \left(y - \frac{y^2}{2} \right)$$

$\lambda_e = \pm 1$: lepton helicity



SSA: $\sigma_{b,+} - \sigma_{b,-}$

Two possible combination:

$$g_A^e g_V^b \quad \checkmark$$

$$g_V^e g_A^b$$



$$F_{1,L} = C_q \otimes (q + \bar{q})$$

$$F_3 = C_q \otimes (q - \bar{q})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{g_W}{2c_W} \bar{f} \gamma_\mu (g_V^f - g_A^f \gamma_5) f Z_\mu$$

Zbb couplings @EIC

- (i) $\epsilon_q^b = 0.001$, $\epsilon_c^b = 0.03$, $\epsilon_b = 0.7$; $E_{\text{cm}} = 141 \text{ GeV}, P_e = 0.7$
(ii) $\epsilon_q^b = 0.01$, $\epsilon_c^b = 0.2$, $\epsilon_b = 0.5$. $\mathcal{L} = \bar{b}\gamma_\mu(\kappa_V g_V - \kappa_A g_A \gamma_5)bZ_\mu$




The minimal luminosities needed to resolve the degeneracy or exclude LEP AFB data:

$$(i) : \mathcal{L} > 0.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}; (ii) : \mathcal{L} > 4.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}. \quad (i) : \mathcal{L} > 42.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}; (ii) : \mathcal{L} > 332.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}.$$

D. Zbb couplings @EIC

Hai Tao Li, Bin Yan and C.-P. Yuan, arxiv:2112.07747

Polarized lepton beam



Single-Spin Asymmetry:

$$A_e^b = \frac{\sigma_{b,+}^{\text{tot}} - \sigma_{b,-}^{\text{tot}}}{\sigma_{b,+}^{\text{tot}} + \sigma_{b,-}^{\text{tot}}}$$

vector component of the Zbb coupling

Is it possible to probe the axial-vector component at the EIC?

Average jet charge weighted Single-Spin Asymmetry (WSSA):


$$A_e^{bQ} = \frac{\sigma_{b,+}^Q - \sigma_{b,-}^Q}{\sigma_{b,+}^Q + \sigma_{b,-}^Q}$$

$$\sigma_{b,\pm}^Q = \int dp_T^j \frac{d\sigma_{b,\pm}^{\text{tot}}}{dp_T^j} \langle Q_J \rangle_b(p_T^j)$$

$$\langle Q_J \rangle_b(p_T^j) = \sum_{q=u,d,c,s,b} \left[f_J^q(p_T^j, \epsilon_q^b) - f_J^{\bar{q}}(p_T^j, \epsilon_q^b) \right] \langle Q_J^q \rangle_b(p_T^j)$$

D. Krohn, M. D. Schwartz, T. Lin and W. J. Waalewijn, PRL 110,212001(2013)
W.J.Waalewijn, PRD86,094030(2012)

Jet Charge Weighted SSA



SSA: $\sigma_{b,+} - \sigma_{b,-}$



$$g_A^e g_V^b$$


$$g_V^e g_A^b$$

$$F_{1,L} = C_q \otimes (q + \bar{q})$$

$$F_3 = C_q \otimes (q - \bar{q})$$

Key point:

$$\langle Q_J^q \rangle = -\langle Q_J^{\bar{q}} \rangle$$



WSSA: $\sigma_{b,+}^Q - \sigma_{b,-}^Q$

$$g_A^e g_V^b$$


$$g_V^e g_A^b$$




$$F_{1,L} = C_q \otimes (q - \bar{q}) \langle Q_J^q \rangle$$

$$F_3 = C_q \otimes (q + \bar{q}) \langle Q_J^q \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{g_W}{2c_W} \bar{f} \gamma_\mu (g_V^f - g_A^f \gamma_5) f Z_\mu$$



Zbb couplings @EIC



$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{b}\gamma_\mu(\kappa_V g_V - \kappa_A g_A \gamma_5)bZ_\mu$$

$$(i) \quad \epsilon_q^b = 0.001, \quad \epsilon_c^b = 0.03, \quad \epsilon_b = 0.7; \\ (ii) \quad \epsilon_q^b = 0.01, \quad \epsilon_c^b = 0.2, \quad \epsilon_b = 0.5.$$

WSSA

$$(i) : \mathcal{L} > 0.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}; \\ (ii) : \mathcal{L} > 36.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}.$$

SSA

$$(i) : \mathcal{L} > 0.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}; \\ (ii) : \mathcal{L} > 4.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}.$$

Summary

- A. We proposed four different new methods to probe the Zbb coupling at the LHC and ep colliders;
- B. The Zh data at the 13 TeV LHC can resolve the apparent degeneracy of the Zbb coupling;
- C. Zh cross section, exclusive Z boson decay at the LHC and WSSA at the EIC depend on the axial-vector Zbb coupling, while the SSA in HERA or EIC is sensitive to the vector Zbb coupling;
- D. It is hopeful to verify or exclude the LEP measurement by those new methods.


Thank you!

Backup

Status of Zbb couplings

	measured value	SM prediction
R_b^0	0.21629 ± 0.00066	0.21578 ± 0.00011
$A_{FB}^{0,b}$	0.0992 ± 0.0016	0.1032 ± 0.0004
\mathcal{A}_b	0.923 ± 0.020	0.93463 ± 0.00004

$A_{FB}^b \sim 2.1\sigma$ deviation with SM prediction



Gfitter Group:
EPJC74 (2014)3046

$$R_b = \frac{\Gamma(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})}{\sum_q \Gamma(Z \rightarrow q\bar{q})}$$


D. Choudhury, T. M. P. Tait, C.E.M. Wagner,
PRD 65(2002)053002




$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{g}{c_W} Z_\mu (g_{Lb} \bar{b}_L \gamma^\mu b_L + g_{Rb} \bar{b}_R \gamma^\mu b_R)$$

$g_{Lb} < 0$ was Excluded

g_{Rb} Could be positive and negative

Status of Zbb couplings

Off Z-pole data is sensitive to the sign of the Zbb couplings



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{g_W}{c_W} Z_\mu (g_{Lb} \bar{b}_L \gamma^\mu b_L + g_{Rb} \bar{b}_R \gamma^\mu b_R)$$

D. Choudhury, T. M. P. Tait, C.E.M. Wagner,
PRD 65(2002)053002


$g_{Lb} < 0$ was Excluded

g_{Rb} Could be positive or negative


Sensitivity@HL-LHC

The expected limit is sensitive to the central value of the signal strength






$$\mu_{Zh} = \frac{\sigma(pp \rightarrow Zh)}{\sigma(pp \rightarrow Zh)^{\text{SM}}}$$



The conclusion is **not sensitive** to the other **top quark couplings**



Sensitivity@HL-LHC



DIS cross section

Polarized cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\lambda_e}^{\pm}}{\sigma_0 dxdy} = F_1 \left((1-y)^2 + 1 \right) + F_L \frac{1-y}{x} \mp F_3 \underline{\lambda_e} \left(y - \frac{y^2}{2} \right)$$

$\lambda_e = \pm 1$: lepton helicity

DIS variables:

$$Q^2 = -q^2, \quad x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}, \quad y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot k}, \quad xyS = Q^2,$$

Simplified-ACOT- χ scheme@NNLO

M. Guzzi et al, PRD86,053005(2012)

M. A. G. Aivazis, F.I. Olness, W.-K. Tung, 94'


M. A. G. Aivazis, J.C. Collins, F.I. Olness, W.-K. Tung, 94'

J.C. Collins, 98'

M.Kramer, F.I. Olness, D. E. Soper, 00'

....

$Q \sim m_f$



SSA@HERA and EIC

SSA definition:

$$A_e^b = \frac{\sigma_{b,+}^{\text{tot}} - \sigma_{b,-}^{\text{tot}}}{\sigma_{b,+}^{\text{tot}} + \sigma_{b,-}^{\text{tot}}}$$

SSA@HERA (Run-II)

$$A_e^b = \frac{\sigma_b^{\text{tot}}(P_e) - \sigma_b^{\text{tot}}(-P'_e)}{P'_e \sigma_b^{\text{tot}}(P_e) + P_e \sigma_b^{\text{tot}}(-P'_e)}$$

SSA@EIC

$$A_e^b = \frac{1}{P_e} \frac{\sigma_b^{\text{tot}}(P_e) - \sigma_b^{\text{tot}}(-P_e)}{\sigma_b^{\text{tot}}(P_e) + \sigma_b^{\text{tot}}(-P_e)}$$



To physics Observables


P_e, P'_e

Lepton beam polarization

$P_e = P'_e$

Zbb couplings @HERA

H1	R	L
$e^- p$	$47.3 \text{ pb}^{-1}, 0.36$	$104.4 \text{ pb}^{-1}, -0.258$
$e^+ p$	$101.3 \text{ pb}^{-1}, 0.325$	$80.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}, -0.37$
ZEUS	R	L
$e^- p$	$71.2 \text{ pb}^{-1}, 0.29$	$98.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}, -0.27$
$e^+ p$	$78.8 \text{ pb}^{-1}, 0.32$	$56.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}, -0.36$



JHEP 09, 061 (2012)

Eur. Phys. J. C 62, 625 (2009)

Phys. Rev. D 87, 052014 (2013)

Simplified-ACOT- χ scheme@NNLO



$$Q \sim m_b$$

- (i) $\epsilon_q^b = 0.001, \quad \epsilon_c^b = 0.03, \quad \epsilon_b = 0.7;$
- (ii) $\epsilon_q^b = 0.01, \quad \epsilon_c^b = 0.2, \quad \epsilon_b = 0.5.$

1. The SSA is sensitive to κ_V
2. $\kappa_{V,A} < 0$ could be excluded by HERA data
3. It could be used to crosscheck the off-Z-pole data


Jet Charge

R.D. Field and R.P. Feynman, NPB136,1(1978)

$$Q_J = \frac{1}{\left(p_T^j\right)^\kappa} \sum_{i \in \text{jet}} Q_i (p_T^i)^\kappa, \quad \kappa > 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_J^q \rangle &= \frac{\tilde{J}_{qq}(p_T^j, R, \kappa, \mu)}{J_q(p_T^j, R, \mu)} \tilde{D}_q^Q(\kappa) \\ &\times \exp \left[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \frac{\alpha_s(\mu')}{\pi} \tilde{f}_{q \rightarrow qg}(\kappa) \right] \langle Q_i^q \rangle (e) \end{aligned}$$

D. Krohn, M. D. Schwartz, T. Lin and W. J. Waalewijn,
PRL 110,212001(2013)
W.J.Waalewijn, PRD86,094030(2012)





Jet Charge

Transverse-momentum-weighting scheme:

R.D. Field and R.P. Feynman, NPB136,1(1978)

$$Q_J = \frac{1}{(p_T^j)^\kappa} \sum_{i \in jet} Q_i (p_T^i)^\kappa, \quad \kappa > 0$$

H. T. Li and I. Vitev, PRD 101(2020)076020



Perfect agreement between theory and data