



# Fast simulation of the 4th detector at CEPC with Delphes

Xu Gao<sup>1</sup>, Siman Liu<sup>1</sup>, Youhui Yun<sup>3</sup> Gang Li<sup>2</sup>, Linghui Wu<sup>2</sup>, Weimin Song<sup>1</sup>

Jilin University<sup>1</sup>

IHEP<sup>2</sup>

Nanjing University<sup>3</sup>

### Outline

- Introduction
- Implementation and validations of the CEPC new detector with Delphes
  - Tracker
  - Particle Identification(PID)
  - Calorimeter
- Analysis examples for  $e^+e^-$  collision
- Summary

### Introduction to Dephes

- Delphes is a modular framework that simulates the response of a multipurpose detector
- Simulations included:
  - Charged particle propagation in B field with full covariance
  - EM/Had showers and energy resolution
  - Ideal particle-flow
  - .....
- Physics objects provided:
  - Tracks, photons, neutral hadrons
  - Lepton/photon isolation
  - Jets, missing energy

#### Photon conversion and confusion among showers not included





#### Simulation of the 4th detector at CEPC

- Why simulate new CEPC detector using Delphes?
  - Fast: Delphes are two or three orders of magnitude faster than the fully GEANT based simulations
  - Good enough: detector response in Delphes is good enough for most phenomenological studies
- For physics study at the CEPC detector:
  - Implement the detector with a tcl card
  - Provide a dedicated PID module
  - Provide more flexibility between lepton/photon isolation and jet clustering
  - Provide a few analysis examples
  - ...



#### Resolution of tracker



The results consistent with full simulation

#### Tracker performance



Total events =100 k, efficiency ≈94 % Resolution of invariant mass : 0.45 GeV Recoil mass: 125.24 GeV, resolution: 0.25 GeV (no beam energy spread)



dN/dx

Consider effect of cluster counting efficiency as a function of dN/dx in xy plane

 $\varepsilon_{counting} = \frac{dN/dx_{meas}}{dN/dx_{real}}$ 

Cluster counting efficiency curve is determined with 2% noise taken into account



PID performance is obtained with Delphes by using above counting efficiency



#### Ideal vs considering cluster counting efficiency

k/pi separation powser ( $|\cos\theta| < 0.854$ )



Only considering  $\pi$  and K later 8

#### PID efficiency of kaon



**ToF only** 

- $\epsilon^{K}$  is Kaon PID efficiency
- $n_{sel}^{K}$  is number that K is identified as K
- $n_{tot}^K$  is number of K



dominant in the low momentum range



dominant in the high momentum range

#### Combine dN/dx and ToF



Efficiency > 90% when pt less than 20 GeV

#### Efficiency > 96% when $|\cos\theta|$ less than 0.9

#### Calorimeter

The resolution formula as a function of energy:



- For ECAL,  $a_E$ =0.03,  $b_E$ =0.01
- For HCAL,  $a_H$ =0.4, $b_H$ =0.02
- Noise term neglected temperately

Consider  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ ,  $H \rightarrow gg \rightarrow 2$  jets, check the invariant mass spectrum

#### Resolutions of photon and Jet



Resolution = 0.96  $\pm$  0.01 GeV

Resolution =  $4.31 \pm 0.04$  GeV

#### Exclusive analysis examples for $e^+e^-$ collision

- Lepton/photon pair selection should go first before jet-clustering
- Lepton/photon pair selection is realized by modifying algorithms in Delphes
- Different and very important features compared with analyses at pp collision



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z(\mu^+\mu^-)H(di-jets)$ 



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z(di-jets)H(\gamma\gamma)$ 



## Summary

- Simulation of CEPC the 4th detector with Delphes is ready to use
  - The detector layout is implemented and validated
  - Some necessary modifications of Delphes code were done for ee collision
  - PID : probabilities of different hypotheses of tracks provided for analyzers
  - Guarantee there is no overlap between lepton/photon isolation and jet clustering with ee-kt
  - Github repository: <a href="https://github.com/oiunun/Delphes\_CEPC.git">https://github.com/oiunun/Delphes\_CEPC.git</a>
- Still many works need to do
  - More validations
  - Angular resolutions of photons and tracks
  - Updates according to detector optimization.
  - More realistic simulation of dN/dx and cluster counting efficiency curve will be improved

• ...

• Welcome to use it and feedback!

## **Thanks!**

## Backup

#### Tracker layout

Detector	Layer	Radius(mm)	Halfz(m)	Material budget[x/X0]
VXD	1	16	0.2	0.0015
	2	18	0.2	0.0015
	3	38	0.2	0.0015
	4	40	0.2	0.0015
	5	58	0.2	0.0015
	6	60	0.2	0.0015
Shell	1	65	0.2	0.0015
SIT	1	120	0.241	0.0065
	2	270	0.455	0.0065
	3	420	0.721	0.0065
	4	570	0.988	0.0065
Inner wall	1	600	2.98	0.00104
DC	80	600-1800	2.98	0.002
Outer wall	1	1800	2.98	0.01346
SET	1	1815	2.98	0.0065

#### Tracker layout

Detector	Rin(mm)	Rout(mm)	Z(m)	Material budget[x/X0]
DSK1A	29.5	120	0.241	0.0065
DSK1B	29.5	120	-0.241	0.0065
DSK2A	30.5	270	0.455	0.0065
DSK2B	30.5	270	-0.455	0.0065
DSK3A	32.5	420	0.721	0.0065
DSK3B	32.5	420	-0.721	0.0065
DSK4A	34	570	0.988	0.0065
DSK4B	34	570	-0.988	0.0065
ETD1	600	1822	3.0	0.0065
ETD2	600	1822	-3.0	0.0065

#### The calculation of the probability

Define chi-square:

 $(\chi^i)^2 = (\chi_1^i)^2 + (\chi_2^i)$  (It follows a Chi-square distribution of 2 degrees of freedom)







Compare the probabilities

The most likely assumption is taken

#### The calculation of $\chi$

• dN/dx 
$$\chi_1^i = \frac{(dN/dx)_{meas} - (dN/dx)_{exp}^i}{(\sigma)_{dN/dx}^i}$$

- dN/dx and  $(\sigma)_{dN/dx}$  are functions of  $\beta\gamma$ •  $dN/dx_{exp} = f(\beta\gamma) * \varepsilon_{counting}$  (f is the theoretical function that only depends on  $\beta\gamma$ ) •  $(\sigma)_{dN/dx} = \varepsilon_{counting} * \sqrt{f(\beta\gamma)}$
- In the formula:
  - $(dN/dx)_{exp}$  and  $(\sigma)_{dN/dx}$  are calculated with  $\beta\gamma$ 's for 5 particle hypotheses
  - $(dN/dx)_{meas}$  follows a Poisson distribution with mean and sigma calculated with the truth  $\beta\gamma$

• TOF 
$$\chi_2^i = \frac{(tof)_{meas} - (tof)_{exp}^i}{(\sigma)_{tof}^i}$$
  
•  $(tof)_{exp} = \frac{L}{v} = \frac{L}{\beta c}$   $\beta = \frac{p}{\sqrt{p^2 + (m^i)^2}}$ 

•  $(tof)_{meas}$ : follows a Gaussian distribution with mean =  $(tof)_{exp}$  and  $(\sigma)_{tof}$ •  $(\sigma)_{tof} = 30ps$ 

ToF:  

$$(\sigma)_{tof}$$
  
mean  
 $mean = (tof)_{exp}$ 

mean

mean =  $(dN/dx)_{exp}$ 

dN/dx:

 $(\sigma)_{dN/dx}$