



Investigation of the primary clusters for the high precision particle identification (PID) at CEPC

Yue Chang

Huirong Qi, Xin She, Liwen Yu, Jian Zhang, Chunxu Yu, Zhiyang Yuan, Hongliang Dai, Zhi Deng, Yulan Li Manqi Ruan, Gang Li, Linghui Wu, Jianchun Wang, Yuanbo Chen, and some inputs from LCTPC collaboration

> Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS Nankai University Tsinghua University

CEPC Workshop 2022, October 24-28, Beijing





Simulation of pixelated readout



TPC technology for future e+e- Colliders

- Some advantages of TPC detector
 - Operation under 3&2 T magnetic field
 - Full 3D tracker
 - Excellent pattern recognition capability
 - **good dE/dx resolution** due to a large number

• TPC detector plays a crucial role in

the future e+e- Colliders

 A lot of R&D already present (LCTPC, CEPC)



TPC requirements for e+e- Higgs/EW/Top factories

- Provide decent #Hits (for track finding) with high spatial resolution compatible with PFA design
- $\sigma_{1/pt} \sim 10^{-4} \text{GeV/c}^{-1}$ (TPC alone) and $\sigma_{\text{point}} < 100 \,\mu\text{m}$
- Provide dE/dx and dN/dx with a resolution < 3%</p>
 - Essential for Particle ID
 - Beneficial for Flavor @ Z pole & jet
 - b-tagging (electrons from semi-leptonic b-decays)
 - c-tagging, D meson spectroscopy (kaon/pion separation)





dE/dx Resolution of TPC

5.4% typical dE/dx resolution for 1m track (fit in 2021)

GeV

Detector	Acceterator	Size(φ×L)	B (T)	N_Samples	Control sample	Effective detector length (bar*m)	dE/dx
ALEPH	LEP	$3.6 \mathrm{m} \times 4.4 \mathrm{m}$	1.5	388	е	45.6	4.5%
ALICE	LHC	$5 \mathrm{m} \times 5 \mathrm{m}$	0.5	159	π	6.0	4.5%
STAR	RHIC	$4 \mathrm{m} \times 4.2 \mathrm{m}$	0.5	45	π	0.4 – 0.6	8.0%
TOPAZ	TRISTAN	$2.4 \mathrm{m} \times 2.2 \mathrm{m}$	1	175	π	0.4 - 0.6	4.4%
DELPHI	LEP	$2.4 \mathrm{m} \times 2.7 \mathrm{m}$	1.2	192	π	0.4 – 0.6	5.7%

An F, et al. Monte Carlo study of particle identification at the CEPC using TPC dE/dx information[J]. The European Physical Journal C, 2018, 78(6): 1-8. Ligtenberg C, et al. Performance of a GridPix detector based on the Timepix3 chip[J]. NIMA, 2018, 908: 18-23.

dE/dx Performance

- Separation looks clearer in TPC (first study)→ shows a promising result
- Momentum increases →PID decreases
- For track-finding purposes the number of hits per cm → dN/dx, is of interest



LCTPC Workpackage Meeting Andreas Löschcke Centeno p/G

dE/dx & dN/dx

Classical dE/dx Measurement



■ Classical dE/dx measurement by charge (charge ≈ number of primary + secondary electrons)

- measure charge per sample along a track
- get "a mean" charge over the sample = dE/dx
- the fundamental, central problem of all dE/dx measurements by charge summation

Problem



sensitive to large fluctuations

dN/dx Measurement by Cluster Counting

- Direct cluster counting → ultimate way to measure dE/dx
 - avoid any problems with cluster fluctuations
 - no charge measurement need, just counting
 - <<u>3%</u> dE/dx resolution by cluster counting (statistical error only)
 - **5.4%** dE/dx resolution by charge measurement
- Obvious problem
 - How to resolve individual clusters and count them?
 - high cluster density(~30 cl./cm in Ar mixture for m.i.p → typical drift velocities of 50 µm/ns
 - → 6 ~10 ns in between clusters → fast-shaping electronics (~ns needed) In time)
 - Need devices with high time resolution or high granularity to resolve them
 - difficult to achieve
 - need more R&D

Cluster Counting in Space

- TPC with cluster counting
 - Cluster Counting so far based on time measurement in small drift cells
 - Pixelated TPC makes space measurement possible
 - GEMs/Micromegas + small pixels have high granularity → resolve clusters in space
 - Time information added → 3D position in space



Pixelated readout technology

Why Pixelated readout?

- High granularity readout allows measuring every ionization cluster
- **Optimize Pixel Size** High spatial resolution under 2T or 3T magnetic field Challenge **Better momentum resolution** Timepix hits Telescope track z-axis (drift direction) [mm] High-rate operation (MHz/cm²) 8 6-4 **Excellent two tracks separation** • 2-່ວ 0.8 L 0.6 L **Pixelated TPC with GridPixes** 0.4 175 180 185 190 195 200 205 210 0.2 y-axis (beam direction) [mm] Tests with single and 0.5 • [mm] 0.45 0.45 0.35 0.3 0.25 0.15 0.1 0.15 quad devices have been B = 0Tsuccessfully done. ~4.1% dE/dx resolution (Octopuce) at B = 1.0T at DESY D_T 306 µm/√cm TimePix1 TPX3 chip Quad Module 4.18 mm z0 0.05 2019 2017 2018 2007 z-position [mm]

https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.01987

Simulation of pixelated readout

Magboltz Simulation – Working Gas

- Magboltz simulation for optimal working point of electrical field for various parameters
- Gas mixture parameters (drift velocity, attachment, diffusion) → Provide key parameters for pixel size optimization
- T2K has a faster drift velocity & smaller longitudinal &transverse diffusion
- T2K gas has stable properties in TPC (LCTPC, T2K experiment)



Magboltz Simulation – Magnetic Field

The transverse diffusion decreases under a higher magnetic field



Simulation of the primary cluster

- Heed Simulation
- Typically ~30 primary ionization clusters/cm in gas at 1 bar → Verify the validity of the model
- ~1.881 clusters/ 500µm , ~1.142 clusters/ 300µm
- If pad size is at the level of cluster distances of primary ionization, Cluster counting becomes effective

More detailed research is needed

Simulation of the primary cluster

Simulation Study for 1000 mm tracks in T2K gas with different particles – different gas & pressure

- Need gas with low diffusion & large drift velocity
- Need gas with good cluster statistic
- Pixel size → Optimize working gas & pressure

Particle Separation by Cluster Couting

- Simulation Study for 1000 mm tracks in T2K gas with different particles π/κ/μ
- The performance of particle separation is proportional to the difference in the average ionization
- The relative ionization of different particle species depends on the momentum
- Use always 1000 mm tracks of pions and kaons at maximum ionization difference at about 3 GeV

PID Improment by Cluster Counting

- Calculate separation power S to determine PID performance
- Typical(average) particle separation power: $\pi/k \rightarrow 2\sigma$ up to 8 ~ 20 GeV(max. 2.5 ~ 3.5 σ)
- Cluster counting efficiency > 25% is sufficient to beat charge measurement
- The potential of better resolution by at least a factor of 2

Occupancy

- Occupancy is a very key issue at the high rate or high luminosity
- Smaller pad/pixel size→ smaller occupancy
 - Pad readout (1 mm×6 mm), innermost

occupancy 1×10^{-4}

- Pixelated readout (55 μm ×55 μm), much LOWER innermost occupancy ~ 1 × 10⁻⁶
- Increase the number of channels & power consumption
- To be addressed by R&D
 - \rightarrow A detailed simulation would be necessary to determine the scaling factor
 - → Simulation ongoing at IHEP & LCTPC

Pixel Size Optimization

dE/dx resolution

- Charge measurement and cluster counting are a function of pad size
- 6mm \rightarrow 0.1mm : 30% improved resolution via the cluster counting (dN/dx)
- Cluster counting exhibits better pion/kaon separation power
- High readout granularity VS the primary cluster size optimization

R&D Plan at IHEP

Realization of pixelated technology collaborated with Tsinghua

Bump bond pixelated readout with Micromegas detector	Module size	To be addressed by R&D
 ≥300 µm×300 µm Developed the readout chip by Deng Zhi (Tsinghua) 	1-2 cm ²	 Research on pixelated readout technology realization Optimization of cluster profile and pad size Study of the 'dN_{cl}+dx'
 Developed the Micromegas detector sensor at IHEP Development of the new module and prototype 	100 cm ²	 Study the distortion using UV laser tracks and UV lamp to create ions disk In-situ calibration with UV Laser system Study of the 'dE/dx+dN_{cl}/dx'

Summary

- **Classical PID with dE/dx by TPC charge measurement contributes to many large detectors**
 - 5.4% typical dE/dx resolution for 1m track → no miracles to be expected
- Cluster Counting promises better dE/dx resolution (< 3%)</p>
 - The potential of better resolution by at least a factor of 2
 - Cluster counting efficiency > 25% is sufficient to beat charge measurement
- **The pixelated readout is an efficient way to count every cluster in space**
 - Many simulations are still necessary to understand the detailed requirements of the pixelated detector(e.g. number of ADC bits, pixel readout sizes, occupancy, ion backflow, etc.)
 - new ideas are also welcome

THANKS!

PID from TPC dE/dx information

• TPC provide dE/dx information → significant for PID

effective detector length L = track length * pressure Fit by Lehraus 1983: dE/dx res. = 5.7 * L ^{-0.37} (%)

- Fit in 2021 (25 large detectors) : dE/dx res. = 5.4 * L ^{-0.37} (%)
- 5.4% typical dE/dx resolution for 1m track

What's the next?

dE/dx Measurement by Cluster Counting

- Count number of clusters along track
 - Cluster density should be proportional to dE/dx
- Obvious problem
 - How to resolve individual clusters and count them?
 - high cluster density(~30 cl./cm in Ar mixture for m.i.p \rightarrow typical drift velocities of 50 µm/ns
 - → 6 ~10 ns in between clusters → fast-shaping electronics (~ns needed) In time)
 - Need device with high time resolution or high granularity to resolve them
 - difficult to achieve
- Direct cluster counting → ultimate way to measure dE/dx
 - avoid any problems with cluster fluctuations
 - no charge measurement need, just couting
 - **1.8%** dE/dx resolution by cluster counting (statistical error only)
 - **5.4%** dE/dx resolution by charge measurement

How to achieve cluster counting?