

Shanshan Cao Shandong University

Reference: Rept. Prog. Phys. 84 (2021) 2, 024301



Jet quenching

General picture: how to study hot matter with short lifetime



 Observe (thermal/soft) particles emitted from the medium



Send energetic particles (hard probes) through the medium











Hard probes

Mueller et al., Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 62, 361 (2012)



What is jet?



A narrow cone of hadrons and other particles produced by hadronization and decay of quarks and gluons.



What is jet depends on how you define a jet

Theorist: a single particle (LO) or a single particle + one emission within a cone (NLO)



Experimentalists: different jet finding algorithms to construct jets

Cone algorithms (e.g. IC-PR, iterative cone algorithm with progressive removal)



1. Highest p_T particle: seed axis



- 2. Circle with radius R, summation over momenta inside gives jet trial axis
 - 3. If seed axis = jet trial axis, a jet is found, removed from particle list, otherwise set current trial as seed and repeat 2 & 3



Jet finding algorithms

Requirements

Collinear safety



With collinear splitting: no jet (under threshold) Without collinear splitting: 1 jet (over threshold)



Define distance

- Sequential clustering algorithm (e.g. kt algorithm)

- 1. Start with *i* with the highest p_{T}
- 2. Calculate $\{d_{ij}, d_{iB}\}$, if d_{ij} is smallest with some *j*, combine *i* and *j* into one object and remove them from the particle list; repeat this step until d_{iB} is smallest, then *i* is the final jet
- 3. Repeat from 1 until no particle is left in the list



Jet finding algorithms

kt

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ij} &= \min(p_{ti}^2, p_{tj}^2) \times \frac{R_{ij}^2}{R} \\ d_{iB} &= p_{ti}^2 \end{aligned}$$

(combine soft first)

(best for resolving jets)

anti-kt

Cambridge/Aachen (C/A)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{p_{ti}^2}, \frac{1}{p_{tj}^2} \end{pmatrix} \times \frac{R_{ij}^2}{R} \\ d_{iB} = \frac{1}{p_{ti}^2}$$

(combine hard first)

$$d_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}^2}{R}$$
$$d_{iB} = 1$$

(best for de-clustering, studying jet substructure)

Theoretical framework for hadrons/jets in pp collisions

hadron production

jet production

Hadron spectra in pp collisions

Within the NLO initial production + fragmentation framework, gluon fragmentation • dominates h^{\pm} production up to 50 GeV

- contributes to over 40% D up to 100 GeV

Formation of jet from a single parton

More theoretical studies, e.g. [Kang, Ringer, Vitev, JHEP 10 (2016) 125] Here we concentrate on numerical modelings/simulations, e.g. physics in Pythia • Start with a highly virtual parton ($Q^2 = p^2 - m^2$), consider $a \rightarrow bc$ splitting

DGLAP equation for FF:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} D(z, Q^2) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{1}{Q^2} \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} P(y) D\left(\frac{z}{y}, Q^2\right)$$

$$P_{\text{max}}^2, Q_a^2) = \prod_i \Delta_{ai}(Q_{\text{max}}^2, Q_a^2) = \prod_i \exp\left[-\int_{Q_a^2}^{Q_{\text{max}}^2} \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi} \int_{z_{\text{min}}}^{z_{\text{max}}} dz P_{ai}(z, Q^2)\right]$$

Sudakov form factor: $\Delta_a(Q)$

Probability of no splitting between Q_{\max} and Q_a

i: splitting channel P: splitting function z: fractional energy or momentum

Monte Carlo implementation

- Random number $r \in (0,1)$
- If $r \leq \Delta(Q_{\max}^2, Q_{\min}^2)$, particle is stable, no splitting (Q_{\min} : minimum allowed virtuality)
- Otherwise, splitting happens
- If $r \leq \Delta(Q_{\max}^2, Q_a^2) = \frac{\Delta(Q_{\max}^2, Q_{\min}^2)}{\Delta(Q_a^2, Q_{\min}^2)}$, no splitting above Q_a , or splitting happens at or below Q_a
- Solve $r = \Delta(Q_{\text{max}}^2, Q_a^2)$ to obtain Q_a , virtuality at which a splits

Determine the splitting channel, use branching ratio from $BR_{ai}(Q_a^2) =$

- For a given channel, sample z using $P_{ai}(z, Q_a^2)$
- zQ_a and $(1-z)Q_a$ are new Q_{max} 's for determining Q_b and Q_c in $a \rightarrow bc$ splitting

$$^{\max} dz P_{ai}(z, Q_a^2)$$

$$\left[p^+, \frac{Q_a^2}{2p^+}, 0\right] \to \left[zp^+, \frac{Q_b^2 + k_\perp^2}{2zp^+}, \overrightarrow{k}_\perp\right] + \left[(1-z)p^+, \frac{Q_c^2 + k_\perp^2}{2(1-z)p^+}, -\overrightarrow{k}_\perp\right]$$

Conservation of the minus-component gives

Light cone coordinates $(A^+, A^-, \overrightarrow{A}_+)$ from cartesian coordinates: (A^0, A^1, A^2, A^3) $A^{+} = (A^{0} + A^{3})/\sqrt{2}, \quad A^{-} = (A^{0} - A^{3})/\sqrt{2}, \quad \overline{A}^{-}$ $A \cdot B = A^+B^- + A^-B^+ - \overrightarrow{A_\perp} \cdot \overrightarrow{B_\perp}, \qquad A^2 =$ On shell condition: $p^2 = m^2 \rightarrow p^- = \frac{m^2 + p_\perp^2}{2p^+}$

$$p = \left(p^+, \frac{m^2 + p_{\perp}^2}{2p^+}, \overrightarrow{p}_{\perp}\right)$$
 convenient for a large p

Kinematics

$$k_{\perp}^{2} = z(1-z)Q_{a}^{2} - (1-z)Q_{b}^{2} - zQ_{c}^{2}$$

$$\vec{A}_{\perp} = (A^1, A^2)$$

$$2A^+A^- - A_\perp^2$$

 p^+ component

Light cone coordinates

We observe the world with light

What is the time on the photo? ($t = x^0$ or $t = x^0 + x^3$)

Parton shower

- We know how to determine Q and p^{μ} for $a \rightarrow bc$
- Starting from a Q_{max} (e.g. Pythia choice $Q_{\text{max}}^2 = 4Q_{\text{hard}}^2$), generate one splitting
- Using new Q_b and Q_c , generate secondary splittings
- Iterate until all partons reach Q_{\min} (usually choose 1 GeV in vacuum)

 \mathcal{A}

- Hadronization
- Use jet finding algorithm to construct jets

Theoretical framework for hadrons/jets in AA collisions

$$d\widetilde{\sigma}_{h} = \sum_{abjX} f_{a/A} \otimes f_{b/A}$$

Cold nuclear matter effects

Cold nuclear matter effects

Nuclear shadowing effect

- nucleon in nucleus is "shadowed" by other nucleons at small x
- Momentum conservation requires anti-shadowing at larger x

Cronin effect: momentum broadening of partons before hard scatterings

Constraints from pA or dA collisions

 $R_i^A(x) = f_{i/A}(x)/f_{i/p}(x)$

Prediction from HIJING

Hot nuclear matter (QGP) effects

Different theories/models at different virtuality/energy scales

Medium-modified parton shower at high virtuality •

Recall: Sudakov

 $\Delta_a(Q_{\max}^2, Q_a^2) = \prod_i \Delta_{ai}(\zeta$

 $P_{ai}(z, Q^2) = P_{ai}^{\text{vac}}(z) + P_{ai}^{\text{med}}(z, Q^2)$ Splitting function: (vacuum part + medium-induced part)

Medium-induced splitting function:

(e.g. from higher-twist energy loss calculation)

* needs hydrodynamic input

$$Q_{\max}^{2}, Q_{a}^{2} = \prod_{i} \exp \left[-\int_{Q_{a}^{2}}^{Q_{\max}^{2}} \frac{dQ^{2}}{Q^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{2\pi} \int_{Z_{\min}}^{Z_{\max}} dz P_{ai}(z, Q^{2}) \right]$$

$$P_{ai}^{\text{med}}(z, Q^2) = \frac{C_A}{C_2(a)} \frac{P_{ai}^{\text{vac}}(z)}{z(1-z)Q^2} \quad \text{interference e}$$
$$\times \int_{0}^{\tau_f^+} d\zeta^+ \hat{q}_a \left(\vec{r} + \hat{n} \frac{\zeta^+}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left[2 - 2\cos\left(\frac{\zeta^+}{\tau_f^+}\right)\right]$$

location dependence medium inforation

17

Medium-induced splitting function

emitted gluon

nuclear medium

- GW: ignore phase factors in collinear expansion
- AZZ: consider phase factors, but calculate only one diagram •
- SCM: calculate all diagrams with phase factors *
- * More and more precise description of the length dependence of jet energy loss
- Monte Carlo implementation MATTER [Phys. Rev. C 101 (2020) 2, 024903]

[Phys. Rev. C 105 (2022) 2, 024908]

Multiple scatterings

e.g. AMY energy loss formalism [JHEP 06 (2002) 030]

Multiple emissions

Other challenges

Space-time structure of parton shower

Space-time structure is usually ignored for vacuum shower, but important for its medium modification

$$P_{ai}^{\text{med}}(z,Q^2) = \frac{C_A}{C_2(a)} \frac{P_{ai}^{\text{vac}}(z)}{z(1-z)Q^2} \times \int_{0}^{\tau_f^+} d\zeta^+ \hat{q}_a \left(\vec{r} + \hat{n}\frac{\zeta^+}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left[2 - 2\cos\left(\frac{\zeta^+}{\tau_f^+}\right)\right]$$

Determined by the initial location, direction of propagation and time of propagation τ_f^+ : formation time (time of propagation before splitting)

$$\tau_f^+ = \frac{2p^+}{Q^2} = \frac{2p^+ z(1-z)}{k_\perp^2}$$

Formation time

$$\left[p^+, \frac{Q_a^2}{2p^+}, 0\right] \to \left[zp^+, \frac{k_\perp^2}{2zp^+}, \overrightarrow{k}_\perp\right] + \left[(1-z)p^+, \frac{k_\perp^2}{2(1-z)p^+}, -\overrightarrow{k}_\perp\right], \quad (Q_b, Q_c \ll Q_a)$$

. Needs virtuality for p^- conservation, $Q_a^2 = \frac{k_\perp^2}{z(1-z)}$

• Off-shellness is unstable, $\tau_f^+ \sim \frac{1}{\Delta p^-} = \frac{2p^2}{Q_a^2}$

. Cartesian coordinate: $\tau_f = \frac{2E}{Q_a^2} = \frac{2z(1-z)E}{k_\perp^2}$

. Additional correction is needed for massive p

$$\frac{d^{+}}{da} = \frac{2z(1-z)p^{+}}{k_{\perp}^{2}}$$
 (assume 0 rest mass)

particles:
$$\tau_f = \frac{2E}{Q_a^2} = \frac{2z(1-z)E}{k_\perp^2 + z^2 M^2}$$
, if $M_a = M_c = M_c$

- * independent interaction of nucleon pairs.
- At high energy, each nucleon moves in a straight line *

Distribution of nucleons inside a nucleus — Woods-Saxon: $\rho(r) = \rho_0 \frac{1 + w(r/R)^2}{1 + \exp[(r - R)/a]}$

Initial condition

Thickness function: $T_A(\vec{r}) = \rho(\vec{r}, z_A) dz_A$

b) Beam-line View

Glauber model assumption: nucleus-nucleus collision is viewed a superposition of

normalized to 1

Glauber model

- Superposition of nucleon-nucleon (p-p) collision with given $\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{NN}}(s)$ *
- The cross section of A-B collision is then *

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{AB}}}{d^2 b} = 1 - \left[1 - \hat{T}_{\text{AB}}(\vec{b}) \sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{NN}}\right]^{AB}$$

$$\hat{T}_{
m A}(ec{s}) = \int \hat{
ho}_{
m A}(ec{s}, z_{
m A}) dz_{
m A},
onumber \ \hat{T}_{
m B}(ec{s} - ec{b}) = \int \hat{
ho}_{
m B}(ec{s} - ec{b}, z_{
m B}) dz_{
m B},$$

 $\hat{T}_{AB}(\vec{b}) = \int \hat{T}_{A}(\vec{s}) \hat{T}_{B}(\vec{s} - \vec{b}) d^{2}s.$

Overlap:

$$\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{AB}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi b db \left\{ 1 - \left[1 - \hat{T}_{\text{AB}}(\vec{b}) \sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{NN}} \right]^{AB} \right\}.$$

Participant number and binary collision number

Participant and binary collision:

proportional to soft matter density

probe production

Semi-classical determination of collision locations

- * If $d < \sqrt{\sigma/\pi}$, collision happens between this pair
- Each nucleon contributes to location of participants \rightarrow initial energy density of QGP **
- * The middle point of the pair contributes to local of binary collisions \rightarrow jet production points

Simplified models of medium modification

Modify splitting functions in Pythia

e.g.
$$P_{q \to qg}(z) = C_F \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \Rightarrow C_F \left[\frac{2(1+f_{med})}{1-z} - (1+z) \right]$$
 particle moment
 $f_{med} = K_f \int d\zeta \left[\epsilon(\zeta) \right]^{3/4} \times \left[\cosh \rho(\zeta) - \sinh \rho(\zeta) \cos \psi \right]$

e.g.
$$P_{q \to qg}(z) = C_F \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \Rightarrow C_F \left[\frac{2(1+f_{med})}{1-z} - (1+z) \right]$$
 particle moment
 $f_{med} = K_f \int d\zeta \left[\epsilon(\zeta) \right]^{3/4} \times \left[\cosh \rho(\zeta) - \sinh \rho(\zeta) \cos \psi \right]$

model parameter energy density

Implementation YAJEM-FMED, Q-Pythia •

flow effect: $\hat{q}_a = \hat{q}_{a,\text{local}} \cdot p^{\mu} u_{\mu} / p^0$

Simplified models

Keep vacuum splitting function, modify parton kinematics from Pythia

Example 1: Hybrid model [JHEP 07 (2011) 118, JHEP 03 (2013) 080]

Apply strongly coupled drag on partons

 $\cdot \quad \frac{1}{E_{\text{in}}} \frac{dE}{dx} = -\frac{4}{\pi} \frac{x^2}{x_{\text{stop}}^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_{\text{stop}}^2 - x^2}}, \quad \text{stopping}$

Example 2: YAJEM [Phys. Rev. C C79 (2009) 054906] Transport/drag coefficient ~ T^3 and $p^{\mu}u_{\mu}/p^0$ $\Delta E_{a} = \int_{\tau_{a}^{0}}^{\tau_{a}^{0} + \tau_{a}^{f}} d\zeta D_{a}(\zeta) \quad \text{(YAJEM-DRAG)}$ 27 $\Delta Q_a^2 = \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_a^0 + \tau_a^J} d\zeta \hat{q}_a(\zeta) \quad \text{(YAJEM-RAD)}$

strong coupling parameter

g distance:
$$x_{\text{stop}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{\text{sc}}} \frac{E_{\text{in}}^{1/3}}{T^{4/3}}$$

Simplified models

Example 3: JEWEL [JHEP 03 (2016) 053, JHEP 10 (2014) 019]

- A radiated gluon can scatter with the medium during $\tau_f \sim 2E/k_{\perp}^2$
- Scattering increases k_1^2 , therefore also updates τ_f (becomes shorter)
- If scattering (mean free path) is before the new τ_f , keep this medium modification, otherwise reject
- If there are multiple scatterings, the medium-induced gluon is accepted with probability $1/N_{\rm scat}$, modeling "totally coherent limit"
- Scatterings can raise Q^2 and excite additional splittings

Medium modification at low virtuality: transport theory

- Parton shower picture fails when virtuality no longer keeps dropping ($Q^2 \sim \hat{q} au_f$)
- Microscopic transport model: time-evolution of particle systems by solving transport equations

e.g. Boltzmann equation $\frac{d}{dt}f_a(t, \vec{x}, \vec{p}) =$

Boltzmann equation applies when $l_{\rm mfp} \sim \frac{1}{\rho\sigma} \gg \sqrt{\sigma}$

- Dilute quasi-particle system
- Weak interaction lacksquare

Running coupling of QCD: Boltzmann Transport is more valid for particles with larger energy scale

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{p_i}{E_{\overrightarrow{p}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + F_i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right]f_a(t,\overrightarrow{x},\overrightarrow{p}) = \mathscr{C}[f_a]$$

Transport theory in heavy-ion collisions

Transport?

Applicable to jet-medium interaction: quarks and gluons (partons) at large energy scale are quasi-particles

Jet parton interactions with the QGP

Elastic and inelastic processes:

Elastic (collisional)

 $\frac{d\Gamma_{\text{coll}}}{d\omega dk_{\perp}^2}(T, E, \ldots) = ?$

$$\frac{d}{dt}f_a(t,\vec{x},\vec{p}) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{p_i}{E_{\vec{p}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{p}{P_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right]f_a(t,\vec{x},\vec{p}) = \mathscr{C}[$$

$$p_a \cdot \partial f_a(x_a, p_a) = E_a(\mathscr{C}_a^{\text{el}} + \mathscr{C}_a^{\text{inel}})$$

Elastic process

on:
$$\frac{d}{dt}f_{a}(t, \vec{x}, \vec{p}) = \mathscr{C}[f_{a}]$$
$$\mathscr{C}[f_{a}] \equiv \int d^{3}k \left[w(\vec{p} + \vec{k}, \vec{k})f_{a}(\vec{p} + \vec{k}) - w(\vec{p}, \vec{k})f_{a}(\vec{p}) \right] \quad \text{gain - loss}$$
$$\underset{\text{transition rate}}{d} \frac{d}{dt}f_{a} = -\int d^{3}k \ w(\vec{p}, \vec{k}) f_{a} = -\Gamma f_{a}$$
$$\Gamma = \int d^{3}k \ w(\vec{p}, \vec{k}) \quad \text{number of scattering per unit time}$$

Collision integral:

Loss term:

Scattering rate:

Relating transition rate to cross section:

$$w(\overrightarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{k}) = \gamma_b \int \frac{d^3q}{2\pi^3} f_b(\overrightarrow{q}) v_{\text{rel}} d\sigma(\overrightarrow{p}, \overrightarrow{q} \to \overrightarrow{p} - \overrightarrow{k}, \overrightarrow{q} + \overrightarrow{k})$$

if f_b is not affected by f_a , linear equation with respect to f_a

Elastic process

Relative velocity:

$$v_{\text{rel}} = \left| \frac{\overrightarrow{p}}{E_{\overrightarrow{p}}} - \frac{\overrightarrow{q}}{E_{\overrightarrow{q}}} \right| = \frac{\sqrt{(p \cdot q)^2 - (m_a m_b)^2}}{E_{\overrightarrow{p}} E_{\overrightarrow{q}}}$$

Cross section:

 $v_{\rm rel} d\sigma(\vec{p}, \vec{q} \to \vec{p}', \vec{q}') =$ 12 /

$$\frac{1}{2E_{\vec{p}}2E_{\vec{q}}}\frac{d^{3}p'}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{\vec{p'}}}$$

Full expression for elastic scattering rate:

$$\Gamma_{a}^{\text{el}}(\overrightarrow{p}_{a},T) = \sum_{b,(cd)} \frac{\gamma_{b}}{2E_{a}} \int \prod_{i=b,c,d} d[p_{i}] f_{b} \times (2\pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}(p_{a}+p_{b}-p_{c}-p_{d}) |\mathcal{M}_{ab\rightarrow cd}|^{2}$$

$$d[p_{i}] \equiv \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{2E_{i}(2\pi)^{3}}$$

sum over all possible scattering channels

 $\frac{d^3q'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{q}'}} \frac{1}{\gamma_Q \gamma_{q,g}} \sum |\mathcal{M}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p+q-p'-q').$

Elastic process

Light flavor (massless):

ij ightarrow kl	$ M ^2_{ij ightarrow kl}$
gg ightarrow gg	$rac{9}{2}g_s^4\left(3-rac{ut}{s^2}-rac{us}{t^2}-rac{st}{u^2} ight)$
gg ightarrow q ar q	$\frac{3}{8}g_s^4\left(\frac{4}{9}\frac{t^2+u^2}{tu}-\frac{t^2+u^2}{s^2} ight)$
gq ightarrow gq gar q ightarrow gar q	$g_s^4 \left(rac{s^2 + u^2}{t^2} - rac{4}{9} rac{s^2 + u^2}{su} ight)$
$egin{aligned} q_i q_j & ightarrow q_i q_j \ q_i ar q_j & ightarrow q_i ar q_j \ ar q_i q_j & ightarrow ar q_i q_j \ ar q_i ar q_j & ightarrow ar q_i ar q_j \ ar q_i ar q_j & ightarrow ar q_i ar q_j \end{aligned}$	$\frac{4}{9}g_s^4 \frac{s^2 + u^2}{t^2}, i \neq j$
$egin{array}{ll} q_i q_i ightarrow q_i q_i \ ar q_i ar q_i & ightarrow ar q_i ar q_i \ ar q_i ar q_i & ightarrow ar q_i ar q_i \end{array}$	$\frac{4}{9}g_s^4\left(\frac{s^2+u^2}{t^2}+\frac{s^2+t^2}{u^2}-\frac{2}{3}\frac{s^2}{tu}\right)$
$q_i \bar{q}_i o q_j \bar{q}_j$	$rac{4}{9}g_{s}^{4}rac{t^{2}+u^{2}}{s^{2}}$
$q_i \bar{q}_i o q_i \bar{q}_i$	$\frac{4}{9}g_{s}^{4}\left(rac{s^{2}+u^{2}}{t^{2}}+rac{t^{2}+u^{2}}{s^{2}}-rac{2}{3}rac{u^{2}}{st} ight)$
$q \bar{q} ightarrow g g$	$rac{8}{3}g_{s}^{4}\left(rac{4}{9}rac{t^{2}+u^{2}}{tu}-rac{t^{2}+u^{2}}{s^{2}} ight)$

Heavy flavor:

$$\Sigma \left| \mathcal{M}_{qQ \to qQ} \right|^2 = \frac{64}{9} \pi^2 \alpha_s^2 (M_{\rm T}) \frac{(M^2 - u)^2 + (s - M^2)^2 + 2M^2 t}{t^2},$$

$$\Sigma \left| \mathcal{M}_{gQ \to gQ} \right|^2 = \pi^2 \alpha_s^2 (M_{\rm T}) \left[\frac{32}{t^2} (s - M^2) (M^2 - u) \right]$$

$$+\frac{64}{9}\frac{(s-M^2)(M^2-u)+2M^2(s+M^2)}{(s-M^2)^2}$$

$$+ \frac{64}{9} \frac{(s-M^2)(M^2-u) + 2M^2(M^2+u)}{(M^2-u)^2}$$

$$+\frac{16}{9}\frac{M^2(4M^2-t)}{(s-M^2)(M^2-u)}$$

$$+16\frac{(s-M^2)(M^2-u)+M^2(s-u)}{t(s-M^2)}$$

$$-16rac{(s-M^2)(M^2-u)-M^2(s-u))}{t(M^2-u)}\Bigg],$$

Calculation of the scattering rate

$$\Gamma_a^{\text{el}}(\overrightarrow{p}_a, T) = \sum_{b,(cd)} \frac{\gamma_b}{2E_a} \int \prod_{i=b,c,d} d[p_i] f_b S_2(q_i)$$

Avoid collinear divergence: $S_2(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, \hat{u}) = \theta(\hat{s} \ge 2\mu_D^2)\theta(-\hat{s} + \mu_D^2 \le \hat{t} \le -\mu_D^2)$

Debye screening mass: $\mu_D^2 = g^2 T^2 (N_c + N_f/2)/3$

$$\Gamma_{a}^{\text{el}}(\overrightarrow{p}_{a},T) = \sum_{b,(cd)} \frac{\gamma_{b}}{16E_{a}(2\pi)^{4}} \int dE_{b}d\theta_{b}d\theta_{d}d\phi_{d}$$
$$\times f_{b}(E_{b},T)S_{2}(\hat{s},\hat{t},\hat{u}) \left| \mathcal{M}_{ab\to cd} \right|^{2} \frac{1}{E_{a} - \left| \overrightarrow{p}_{a} \right|^{2}}$$

 $\cos\theta_{bd} = \sin\theta_b \sin\theta_d \cos\phi_d + \cos\theta_b \cos\theta_d$

$$E_d = \frac{E_a E_b - p_a E_b \cos \theta_b}{E_a - p_a \cos \theta_d + E_b - E_b \cos \theta_{bd}}$$

 $(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, \hat{u}) \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_a + p_b - p_c - p_d) |\mathcal{M}_{ab \to cd}|^2$

 $2\mu_{\rm D}^{2})\theta(-\hat{s} + \mu_{\rm D}^{2} \le \hat{t} \le -\mu_{\rm D}^{2})$ $(-\hat{s} + \mu_{\rm D}^{2} \le \hat{t} \le -\mu_{\rm D}^{2})$ \vec{p}_{a} \vec{p}_{b} $\vec{\theta}_{b}$ $\vec{\theta}_{d}$ \vec{p}_{d} \vec{p}_{d} \vec{p}_{d} \vec{p}_{d} \vec{p}_{d} \vec{p}_{d} \vec{p}_{d} \vec{p}_{d}

Assume *b* massless here Massive case [Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 4, 350]

Scattering / momentum broadening / energy loss rate

General form:

$$\langle\langle \mathbf{X}(\overrightarrow{p}_{a},T)\rangle\rangle = \sum_{b,(cd)} \frac{\gamma_{b}}{16E_{a}(2\pi)^{4}} \int dE_{b}d\theta_{b}d$$

 $\times \mathbf{X}(\overrightarrow{p}_{a}, T)f_{b}(E_{b}, T)S_{2}(\widehat{s}, \widehat{t}, \widehat{u}) | \mathcal{M}_{ab \to cd} |^{2} \frac{E_{b}E_{d}\sin\theta_{b}\sin\theta_{d}}{E_{a} - |\overrightarrow{p}_{a}|\cos\theta_{d} + E_{b} - E_{b}\cos\theta_{bd}}$

$$\Gamma_a^{\rm el} = \langle \langle 1 \rangle \rangle \qquad \qquad \hat{q}_a = \langle \langle [\vec{p}_c - q_a] \rangle \langle \vec{p}_c - q_a \rangle \langle \vec{p}_c - q_a \rangle \langle \vec{p}_c \rangle \langle \vec{p}$$

Small angle approximation:

$$\Gamma_{a}^{\text{el}} = C_{2}(a) \frac{42\zeta(3)}{\pi} \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}T^{3}}{\mu_{\text{D}}^{2}} \qquad \hat{q}_{a} = C_{2}(a) \frac{42\zeta(3)}{\pi} \frac{42\zeta(3)}{\pi}$$

 $\partial_d d\phi_d$

 $-(\overrightarrow{p}_{c}\cdot\hat{p}_{a})\hat{p}_{a}]^{2}\rangle\rangle \qquad \qquad \hat{e}_{a}=\langle\langle E_{a}-E_{c}\rangle\rangle$

 $\hat{e}_{a}^{3} - \alpha_{s}^{2} T^{3} \ln\left(\frac{C_{\hat{q}} E_{a} T}{4\mu_{D}^{2}}\right) \qquad \hat{e}_{a}^{2} = C_{2}(a) \frac{3\pi}{2} \alpha_{s}^{2} T^{2} \ln\left(\frac{C_{\hat{e}} E_{a} T}{4\mu_{D}^{2}}\right)$

Monte Carlo simulation

$$\Gamma_a^{\text{el}}(\overrightarrow{p}_a, T) = \sum_{b,(cd)} \frac{\gamma_b}{16E_a(2\pi)^4} \int dE$$

 $\times f_h(E_h, T)S_2(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, \hat{u}) \mid \mathcal{M}_{ab}$

1. Use total rate $\Gamma = \sum_{i} \Gamma_{i}$ to determine the probability of elastic scattering $P_{\rm el} = \Gamma \Delta t$ 2. Use branching ratios Γ_i/Γ to determine the scattering channel

3. Use the differential rate to sample the *p* space of the two outgoing partons

 $E_b d\theta_b d\theta_d d\phi_d$

$$E_{b}E_{d}\sin\theta_{b}\sin\theta_{d}$$

$$E_{b}E_{d}\sin\theta_{b}\sin\theta_{d}$$

$$E_{a}-|\overrightarrow{p}_{a}|\cos\theta_{d}+E_{b}-E_{b}\cos\theta_{bd}$$



ΔE_{col} from our MC simulation agrees with the semi-analytical result.

Inelastic scattering

Inelastic scattering rate



- Higher-twist: collinear expansion ($\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle \ll l_{\perp}^2 \ll Q^2$) $\frac{d\Gamma_a^{\text{inel}}}{dzdl_{\perp}^2} = \frac{dN_g}{dzdl_{\perp}^2dt} = \frac{6\alpha_s P(z)l_{\perp}^4\hat{q}}{\pi(l_{\perp}^2 + z^2M^2)^4}\sin^2\left(\frac{t-t_i}{2\tau_f}\right)$
- $P_{\text{inel}} = \Gamma_{\text{inel}} \Delta t \rightarrow \text{Monte Carlo simulation}$

[Majumder PRD 85 (2012); Zhang, Wang and Wang, PRL 93 (2004)]



• Medium information absorbed in $\hat{q} \equiv d \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle / dt$ — calculated using elastic scattering

Monte-Carlo simulation

Number *n* of radiated gluons during Δt – Poisson distribution:

 $P(n) = \cdot$

1. Calculate $\langle N_q \rangle$ and thus P_{inel}

2. If gluon radiation happens, sample *n* from P(n)

3. Sample *E* and *p* of gluons using the differential spectrum

4. Assume 2->2 first and adjust *E* and *p* of the 2+*n*

final partons together to guarantee *E-p*

conservation of 2->2+*n* process

$$\frac{\langle N_g \rangle^n}{n!} e^{-\langle N_g \rangle}$$

Probability of inelastic scattering during Δt : $P_{\text{inel}} = 1 - e^{-\langle N_g \rangle}$



<*E_g*> from our MC simulation agrees with the semi-analytical result.

Elastic vs. inelastic energy loss

Divide scattering probability of jet parton into two regions

- 1. Pure elastic scattering without gluon emission: $P_{el}(1 P_{inel})$
- 2. Inelastic scattering: P_{inel}

Total probability: $P_{\text{tot}} = P_{\text{el}} + P_{\text{inel}} - P_{\text{el}}P_{\text{inel}}$



$$P_{\text{tot}} = 1 - e^{-(\Gamma_{\text{el}} + \Gamma_{\text{inel}})\Delta t}$$
$$= (1 - e^{-\Gamma_{\text{el}}\Delta t}) + (1 - e^{-\Gamma_{\text{inel}}\Delta t})$$
$$-(1 - e^{-\Gamma_{\text{el}}\Delta t}) \times (1 - e^{-\Gamma_{\text{inel}}\Delta t})$$

- Elastic and inelastic energy losses are comparable at early time
- Inelastic process dominates at large time



Jet propagation through hydrodynamic medium



QGP medium **Hydrodynamics**

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}=0$$





local medium information

ε, *T*, **u** ...

energetic partons **LBT**

 $\frac{1}{p \cdot u} p^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} f = \mathscr{C}$

Mass effects on elastic vs. inelastic energy loss



- Crossing point: 7 GeV for charm quark, 18 GeV for bottom quark



Collisional energy loss dominates at low energy, radiative dominates at high energy

• $\Delta E_b < \Delta E_c < \Delta E_{\mu/d/s} < \Delta E_{\rho}$ holds. Why similar R_{AA} between light hadron, D and B?

Flavor hierarchy of jet quenching

NLO initial production and fragmentation + Boltzmann transport (elastic and inelastic energy loss) + hydrodynamic medium for QGP

charged hadron



- g-initiated h & D $R_{AA} < q$ -initiated h & D $R_{AA} => \Delta E_q > \Delta E_q > \Delta E_c$ holds
- Although R_{AA} (c->D) > R_{AA} (q->h), R_{AA} (g->D) < R_{AA} (g->h) due to different fragmentation functions => R_{AA} (h) $\approx R_{AA}$ (D)







Introducing non-perturbative interactions for low p_T heavy quarks

- Suppression of radiative energy loss due to the "dead cone effect"
- Heavy quark diffusion, diffusion coefficient κ or D_s as important input into transport models

Perturbation calculation fails at low p_T



- LO: Svetitsky, PRD 37 (1988)
 Moore and Teaney, PRC 71 (2005)
- NLO: Caron-Huot and Moore, JHEP 02 (2008)
- A factor of over 5 increase at NLO





Perturbative calculation with effective propagator approach

Parametrization of the heavy-quark-QGP interaction potential: \succ

$$V(r,T) = -\frac{4}{3}\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_d r}}{r} - \frac{\sigma}{m_s}e^{-m_s r}$$

Yukawa (color coulomb) String

in which $m_d = a + b * T$ and $m_s = \sqrt{a_s + b_s} * T$ are the respective screening masses, α_s and σ are the respective Yukawa and confining interaction strength.

By Fourier transformation, \geq

$$V(\vec{q},T) = -\frac{4\pi\alpha_s C_F}{m_d^2 + |\vec{q}|^2} - \frac{8}{(m_s^2 + m_s^2)^2}$$

For $Qq \rightarrow Qq$ process, we express the scattering amplitude with effective potential propagator,

$$iM = iM_c + iM_s = \overline{u}\gamma^{\mu}uV_c\overline{u}\gamma^{\nu}$$

Parameters can describe the lattice potential





Burnier, Kaczmarek and Rothkopf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 082001



Riek and Rapp, Phys. Rev. C 82 (2010) 035201

 $u + \overline{u}u V_{s}\overline{u}u$



R_{AA} and v₂ of **D** mesons at LHC



- later evolution stage (near T_c)

Pb-Pb @5.02 TeV ALICE 30-50% CMS 30-50% Yukawa 0.3 string Yukawa + string 0.2 2 0.1-0.1 15 20 25 10 30 35 5 p_T (GeV)

Xing, Qin, Cao, arXiv:2112.15062

• At high p_T , the Yukawa interaction dominates heavy-quark-medium interaction • At low to intermediate p_{T} , the string interaction dominates, stronger contribution at

R_{AA} and v₂ of **D** mesons at RHIC



Xing, Qin, Cao, arXiv:2112.15062

- Effects of string interaction are crucial for the p_T regime studied at RHIC
- Combination of short-range Yukawa and long-range string interactions provide a reasonable description of the D meson R_{AA} and v_2



Transport coefficients — \hat{q}

Temperature dependence



- String interactions dominates at low temperature and low momentum

Momentum dependence



Yukawa interaction dominates at high temperature and high momentum

Temperature dependence



- Stronger temperature dependence at lower momentum
- Different momentum dependence at different temperature

Transport coefficients — D_s

Momentum dependence



From Boltzmann to Fokker-Plank equation

Boltzmann equation:

Collision integral:

$$\frac{d}{dt}f_Q(t,\vec{x},\vec{p}) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{p_i}{E_{\vec{p}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + F_i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right]f_Q(t,\vec{x},\vec{p}) = C\left[f_Q\right]$$

$$C\left[f_Q\right] \equiv \int d^3k \left[w(\vec{p} + \vec{k}, \vec{k})f_Q(\vec{p} + \vec{k}) - w(\vec{p}, \vec{k})f_Q(\vec{p})\right]$$

Small *k* approximation:

$$v(\vec{p}+k,k)f_Q(\vec{p})$$

$$egin{aligned} A_i(ec{p}) &= \int d^3k w(ec{p},ec{k})k_i, \ B_{ij}(ec{p}) &= rac{1}{2}\int d^3k w(ec{p},ec{k})k_ik_j. \end{aligned}$$

Fokker-Plank equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_Q(t, \vec{p}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_Q(t, \vec{$$

 $w(\vec{p}+\vec{k},\vec{k})f_O(\vec{p}+\vec{k}) \approx w(\vec{p},\vec{k})f_Q(\vec{p})$ $|\vec{k}| \ll |\vec{p}|$ $+k_irac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\left[w(ec{p},ec{k})f_Q(ec{p})
ight]+rac{1}{2}k_ik_jrac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i\partial p_i}\left[w(ec{p},ec{k})f_Q(ec{p})
ight].$ $C[f_Q] \approx \int d^3k \left(k_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \frac{1}{2} k_i k_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} \right) w(\vec{p}, \vec{k}) f_Q(\vec{p})$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left\{ A_i(\vec{p}) f_Q(t, \vec{p}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \left[B_{ij}(\vec{p}) f_Q(t, \vec{p}) \right] \right\}$

Physical meanings of A and B

$$\begin{split} A_i(\vec{p}) &= \int d^3k w(\vec{p}, \vec{k}) k_i, & \text{Rec} \\ B_{ij}(\vec{p}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3k w(\vec{p}, \vec{k}) k_i k_j. & \text{Sca} \end{split}$$

Define: $\langle X(\vec{p}) \rangle = \left[d^3 k w(\vec{p}, \vec{k}) X(\vec{p}), \text{ average change of } X \text{ per unit time} \right]$

$$A_i(\vec{p}) = \langle (p - p')_i \rangle,$$
$$B_{ij}(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle (p - p')_i (p - p')_i \rangle$$

Decomposition:

 $A_i(\vec{p}) = A(\vec{p})p_i$

 $B_{ij}(\vec{p}) = B_0(\vec{p}) P_{ij}^{\parallel}(\vec{p}) + B_1(\vec{p}) P_{ij}^{\perp}(\vec{p}),$

call:
$$\Gamma_{12\to 34}(\vec{p}_1) = \int d^3k w_{12\to 34}(\vec{p}_1, \vec{k}).$$

Scattering rate: number per unit time

 k_i is substituted by $(p - p')_i$.

 $^{\prime})_{j}\rangle$

$$P_{ij}^{\parallel}(ec{p})\equivrac{p_ip_j}{ec{p}^2}, \qquad P_{ij}^{\perp}(ec{p})\equiv\delta_{ij}-rac{p_ip_j}{ec{p}^2}$$



Physical meanings of A and B

$$egin{aligned} &A_i(ec{p}) = A(ec{p}) p_i, \ &B_{ij}(ec{p}) = B_0(ec{p}) P_{ij}^{\parallel}(ec{p}) + B_1(ec{p}) P_{ij}^{\perp}(ec{p}), \end{aligned}$$

 $B_1(\vec{p}) =$

 $B_0(\vec{p}) =$

Fokker-Plank:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_Q(t, \vec{p}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left\{ A_i(\vec{p}) f_Q(t, \vec{p}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \left[B_{ij}(\vec{p}) f_Q(t, \vec{p}) \right] \right\}$$

Stochastic realization of Fokker-Plank: Langevin equation

$$A(\vec{p}) = p_i A(\vec{p})^i / \vec{p}^2 = \left\langle 1 - \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p}'}{\vec{p}^2} \right\rangle,$$

$$P_{ij}^{\parallel}B^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p}')^2}{\vec{p}^2} - 2\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p}' + \vec{p}^2 \right\rangle,$$

$$\frac{1}{2} P_{ij}^{\perp} B^{ij} = \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \vec{p'}^2 - \frac{(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p'})^2}{\vec{p}^2} \right\rangle,$$

Longitudinal drag

Longitudinal diffusion

Transverse diffusion



Langevin equation

 $dx_i = \frac{p_i}{E_{\vec{n}}} dt,$

 $dp_i = -\Gamma p_i dt + \sqrt{dt} C_{ik} \rho^k,$

Connection to Fokker-Plank:

Summary of different transport equations: Boltzmann equation (in the limit of small momentum transfer $|\vec{k}| \ll |\vec{p}| \rightarrow$ Fokker-Plank equation (in the limit of multiple scatterings) \rightarrow Langevin equation, e.g. heavy quarks inside QGP Langevin may be used in complex systems where Boltzmann is not applicable (e.g. water)

 $\overrightarrow{\rho}$: Gaussian-normal distributed random (stochastic) variable

$$P(\vec{\rho}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^3 \exp\left(-\frac{\vec{\rho}^2}{2}\right)$$

$$\Gamma(\vec{p}) = A(\vec{p}) + \frac{1}{p_i} \xi C_j^k(\vec{p}) \frac{\partial C_{ik}(\vec{p})}{\partial p_j}$$

$$C_{ik}(\vec{p}) = \sqrt{2B_0} P_{ik}^{\parallel} + \sqrt{2B_1} P_{ik}^{\perp}.$$



From single to multi-stage jet evolution

High $Q^2 \rightarrow Low Q^2$, require a framework that combines different physics of medium modification



- In high virtuality phase (DGLAP/radiation phase): $\hat{q}\tau \ll l_{\perp}^2 \sim \mu^2$, so rare scatterings • Scale continues to drop as scattering is rare
- In the low virtuality phase (BDMPS/transport phase) $\hat{q}\tau \sim l_{\perp}^2 \sim \mu^2$
- Each scattering is equally important and sum of scatterings much larger than vacuum term



Examples of multi-stage approach

- Example 1: DGLAP + transport evolution [Cao, Majumder, Qin and Shen, PLB 793 (2019)] Scale 1 ($Q \gg M_{HM}$): HQ fragmentation function (FF) is treated with the DGLAP equation
- Input 1: medium-modified splitting function (higher-twist)

$$P(y, Q^2) = P_{vac}(y) + P_{med}(y, Q^2)$$

• Input 2: FF at a low scale $D(z, E, Q_0^2)$

Scale 2 ($Q \sim M_{HM}$): Transport model with the rate equation (elastic + inelastic) $\Gamma^{\text{inel}}(t) = \int dy \int dl_{\perp}^2 \frac{dN}{dy dl_{\perp}^2 dt}$

- Extracted from transport model (in scale 2) medium modified FF at $Q_0 \sim M_{\rm HM}$

Multi-scale evolution of the *b*-quark fragmentation function



Semi-analytical calculation [Cao et. al., PLB 793 (2019)] → full Monte-Carlo simulation — JETSCAPE



Examples of multi-stage approach

Example 2: Full Monte-Carlo simulation — JETSCAPE [https://jetscape.org]



Stage 1: High Q and high E — medium-modified shower (MATTER); lose Q faster than E [Majumder and Putschke, PRC 93 (2016)] Stage 2: low Q and high E — transport (LBT or MARTINI) Stage 3: low Q and low E — energy loss (strongly coupled approach)



Effects of different stages on the hadron RAA

Dependence on Q₀ (central Pb-Pb collisions)



- Partons with larger *E* hit Q_0 later \rightarrow MATTER dominates high p_T , LBT low p_T
- Larger Q₀ leads to shorter MATTER evolution

 \rightarrow LBT contribution is larger

• Setup of dynamical Q₀:

$$Q_0^2 = \hat{q}\tau_f, \quad \tau_f = 2E/Q_0^2$$
$$\rightarrow Q_0^2 = \sqrt{2E\hat{q}}$$

Examples of multi-stage approach

Example 3: Boltzmann (BM) + Langevin (LV) transport

- BM: scattering between quasi-particles
- BM + small momentum transfer (k) => LV•
- LV deviates from BM when k<<p (or M/T>>1) is not satisfied
- LV can be extended to non-quasi-particle medium where BM does not apply

Neither BM nor LV alone is sufficient for HQ interaction with QGP!

Lido (Linearized Boltzmann with diffusion model) (Duke) [Ke, Xu and Bass, PRC 98 (2018)]

small k (< k_0) HQ cannot "see" quasi-particles Langevin







[EMMI, NPA 979 (2018)]

Single inclusive jet R_{AA}



- Jet observables require treatment or recoiled partons
- Parton showers in pp collisions are simulated with Pythia
- Medium modification is simulated with LBT
- Jets are reconstructed with anti- k_{T} algorithm at the parton level

Jet observables require treatment of secondary partons from splittings and

Single inclusive jet v_n



• Effects of energy loss, geometry and fluctuations, etc.

 v_3



Correlation between soft and hard v_n



Possible constraints on the path length dependence of jet energy loss





Gamma-jet yield and asymmetry

The golden channel for studying jets – high p_T photons provide unmodified baselines, no "surface" bias" in triggered events that di-jets suffer



64



Nuclear modification of hadrons triggered by gamma-jet

$$R_{
m AA}(p_{
m T},y,\phi) \equiv rac{1}{\langle N_{
m coll}
angle} rac{rac{dN_{
m AA}}{dp_{
m T}dyd\phi}}{rac{dN_{
m pp}}{dp_{
m T}dyd\phi}}$$





$p_{\rm T}^{\gamma} > 10 \text{ GeV, different } p_{\rm T}^{h}$

Di-hadron/jet asymmetry



- $x_{\rm T} = \min(p_{\rm T}^D, p_{\rm T}^{\bar{D}}) / \max(p_{\rm T}^D, p_{\rm T}^{\bar{D}})$
- Density distribution of the initial *cc̄* production points: (a) *x*_T ∈ [0.2, 0.4], (b) *x*_T ∈ [0.4, 0.6], (c) *x*_T ∈ [0.6, 0.8], and (d) *x*_T ∈ [0.8, 1.0].
- Di-hadron asymmetry helps locate the region of production vertex of hard partons.

D meson **R**_{AA} in different systems



- Clear hierarchy of R_{AA} with respect to the system size
- Significant R_{AA} in the small O-O system, existence of QGP



Liu, Xing, Wu, Qin, Cao, Xing, PRC 105 (2022) 4, 044904

• Scaling of R_{AA} with the system size (quantified by N_{part}) across different collision systems

D meson v₂ in different systems





Li, Xing, Wu, Cao, Qin, EPJC 81 (2021) 11, 1035

• Energy loss effect: for a given centrality, v_2 increases with the system size • Geometry effect: for a given N_{part}, v₂ increases from O-O, Ar-Ar, Xe-Xe to Pb-Pb

Scaling of v_2/ε_2 with respect to N_{part}



- v_2/ε_2 scales with the system size across different collision systems



Li, Xing, Wu, Cao, Qin, EPJC 81 (2021) 11, 1035

• Separate energy loss and geometry effects by rescaling heavy quark v_2 with bulk ε_2

Extraction of QGP properties from model-to-data comparison





Medium response to jet propagation



Soft hadron emission

Hard probes

Novel method



Disturb the liquid and study the propagation of the perturbation

What is medium response



 Energy depletion and energy deposition: jet-induced medium excitation, medium response
Why to study medium response

- "Signal" and "background" have different properties, but...
- Always overlap somewhat
- Any procedure to remove "background" will also cut signal

Christine Nattrass (UTK), INT, 28 July 2021



One cannot separate hadrons from QGP emission and jet fragmentation inside jet cone

The energy and momentum deposited by the jet shower into the medium appear at large angles away from the jet axis.







Why we need medium response

Different implementations of medium response

Linear hydrodynamic response

Hydrodynamics with a source: $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}(x) =$

Linear approximation: $T^{\mu\nu} \approx T_0^{\mu\nu} + \delta T^{\mu\nu}$

Decomposition:

 $\delta T^{00} \equiv \delta \epsilon, \ \delta T^{0i} \equiv g^i,$

Fourier transformation of $\partial_{\mu}\delta T^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu}$:

$$egin{aligned} J^0 &= -i\omega\delta\epsilon + iec k\cdotec g, \ ec J &= -i\omegaec g + iec kc_s^2\delta\epsilon + rac{3}{4}\Gamma_s\left[k^2ec g + rac{ec k}{3}(ec k\cdotec g)
ight], \end{aligned}$$

$$= J^{\nu}(x).$$
 $J^{\nu}(x) = [dE/d^4x, d\vec{p}/d^4x]$

 $T^{\mu\nu} \approx T_0^{\mu\nu} + \delta T^{\mu\nu}; \quad \partial_\mu T_0^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \partial_\mu \delta T^{\mu\nu} = J^\nu.$

$$\delta T^{ij} = \delta^{ij} c_s^2 \delta \epsilon + rac{3}{4} \Gamma_s (\partial^i g^j + \partial^j g^i + rac{2}{3} \delta^{ij}
abla \cdot ec g),$$

$$\begin{split} \delta\epsilon(\vec{k},\omega) &= \frac{(i\omega - \Gamma_s k^2) J^0(\vec{k},\omega) + ik J_L(\vec{k},\omega)}{\omega^2 - c_s^2 k^2 + i\Gamma_s \omega k^2}, \\ \vec{g}_L(\vec{k},\omega) &= \frac{i c_s^2 \vec{k} J^0(\vec{k},\omega) + i \omega \hat{k} J_L(\vec{k},\omega)}{\omega^2 - c_s^2 k^2 + i\Gamma_s \omega k^2}, \\ \vec{g}_T(\vec{k},\omega) &= \frac{i \vec{J}_T(\vec{k},\omega)}{\omega + \frac{3}{4} i\Gamma_s k^2}. \end{split}$$

Linear hydrodynamic response



Neufeld, PRC 79 (2009) 054909

Viscosity dependence of the Mach cone structure



Qin, Majumder, Song, Heinz, PRL 103 (2009) 152303

Energy deposition from single quark vs. quark jet shower

Full hydrodynamic response

When energy deposition is comparable to the unperturbed medium density Directly solve $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu}$

- (1+1)-D hydro Floerchinger, Zapp, EPJC 74 (2014) 12
- (2+1)-D hydro Chaudhuri, Heinz, PRL 97 (2006) 062301



• (3+1)-D hydro Noronha, Torrieri, Gyulassy, Rischke, PRL 105 (2010) 222301; Tachibana, Hirano, PRC 90 (2014) 2, 021902

- Need background subtraction
- Distortion of Mach cone by the **QGP** flow

Tachibana, Chang, Qin, PRC 95 (1017) 4, 044909

Perturbative approximation of medium response jet gluon bremsstrahlung jet parton particle "hole" recoil "negative" particle back reaction



Describe jet partons, radiated gluons, recoil partons and "negative" partons within the same perturbative transport framework, e.g. linear Boltzmann transport (LBT)

 $p_a \cdot \partial f_a(x_a, p_a) = E_a(\mathscr{C}_a^{\text{el}} + \mathscr{C}_a^{\text{inel}})$

Perturbative approximation of medium response





LBT with recoil approximation

- Energy (observable) distribution: • positive - negative contribution
- Cone structure at t = 4 fm

LBT simulation by Tan Luo



State-of-the-art concurrent simulation of jet+hydro

QGP medium **Hydrodynamics**



 $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}=j^{\nu}$

source term



Going beyond the linear approximation

energetic partons LBT

local medium information

ε, *T*, **u** ...

 $\frac{1}{p \cdot u} p^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} f = \mathscr{C}$



jet and recoil partons with *E* < *E*_{cut} (comoving frame) [positive] + back reaction [negative]

Concurrent simulation of jet and medium (CoLBT-hydro)



Jet propagation in hot medium at $\tau = 0.4 fm$



Summary of jet quenching models with medium response

- JEWEL [BDMPS-Z] : recoiled partons transported. (modified parton shower)
- LBT [HT] : recoiled partons transported. (shower + transport)
- MARTINI [AMY] : recoiled partons transported. (shower + transport)

- CoLBT-hydro [HT] : Transport + Hydro parallel simulation. (shower + transport)
- Hybrid [AdS/CFT] : fully thermalized wake. (modified parton shower)
- Coupled Jet-Fluid [HT] : solve Boltzmann equation + Hydro simulation
- EPOS3-HQ : YaJEM + Hydro parallel simulation. (modified parton shower)



Energy momentum deposition into Hydro



Summary of jet quenching models with medium response

modified parton shower + transport

Matter [HT] + LBT [HT] : recoiled partons transported.

JETSCAPE

- Matter [HT] + MARTINI [AMY] : recoiled partons transported.
 - Matter [HT] + ADS/CFT: Hydro simulation.

- AMPT Particle scattering for both medium and jet BAMPS
- Linearized viscous hydrodynamics with source

Recoil-medium rescattering

Energy momentum deposition into Hydro



Detailed comparison between different implementations

Angular structure of jet in a brick



- Recoil only vs. recoil+hydro
- with vs. w/o particlization in hydro



- Integrated and differentiated jet energy (momentum) $E(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\theta} d\theta' \frac{dE}{d\theta'}, \ \rho_{E}(\theta) = \frac{1}{E(\theta = 0.4)} \frac{dE}{d\theta}$
- Similar structure between recoil only and recoil+hydro
 - Backward suppression due to holes (recoil only)
 - Backward suppression due to particlization in (recoil+hydro)
- Tachibana, Shen, Majumder, arXiv:2001.08321

Detailed comparison between different implementations

Angular structure of jet in an expanding medium



- Different flow effects between recoil only and recoil+hydro



- Collimation due to push by the flow
- No backward suppression due to holes (recoil only)
 - No backward suppression due to particlization in (recoil+hydro)
 - Different flow effects in hydro response

Tachibana, Shen, Majumder, arXiv:2001.08321

Effects on experimental observables

Energy loss



He, Cao, Chen, Luo, Pang, Wang, PRC 99 (2019) 5, 054911

Jet RAA

RAA



Cone size dependence of jet RAA



Chang, Tachibana, Qin, PLB 801 (2020) 135181







without medium response

LBT calculation from Yayun He



Jet v₂

250

Medium modification of γ -triggered hadron yield





Medium modification of γ -triggered hadron yield



Change of variable: $z = p_T^h / p_T^\gamma \longrightarrow \xi = \log \frac{1}{\pi}$

- Suppression of hadrons at small ξ (large *z*), enhancement at large ξ (small *z*)
- With increasing photon (γ) $p_{\rm T}$
- Transition point from suppression to enhancement shifts to larger ξ
- Transition point corresponds to a fixed p_T range of soft hadrons (~ 2GeV)
 - Unique feature of j.i.m.e. thermal property of the medium, independent of the jet energy

γ -jet fragmentation function and Z-triggered hadron yield



Chen, Cao, Luo, Pang, Wang, PLB 810 (2020) 135783



Chen, Yang, He, Ke, Pang, Wang, arXiv:2010.05422



Jet shape

 $\rho(\mathbf{r}) =$

δr





$$\frac{\sum_{i \in (r-\delta r/2, r+\delta r/2)} p_{\rm T}^{i}}{\sum_{i \in (0,R)} p_{\rm T}^{i}} \qquad (r = \sqrt{(\eta_p - \eta^{\rm jet})^2 + (\phi_p - \phi^{\rm jet})^2})$$



Medium modification of jet shape



Jet-Fluid: PRC 95 (2017) 4, 044909 MARTINI: NPA 982 (2019) 643







Jet mass

$$M=\sqrt{E^2-p_{\mathrm{T}}^2-p_z^2},$$

- Jet quenching decreases M
- Medium response increases M

MARTINI: NPA 982 (2019) 643 Hybrid: JHEP 01 (2020) 044



Rajagopal, JHEP 01 (2020) 044

Groomed jet splitting function







Elayavalli, Zapp, JHEP 07 (2017) 141

Mihano, Wiedemann, Zapp, PLB 779 (2018) 409



Criticism on medium response study

* Theories without medium response effects can also describe data, why we need medium response?

- Short response: one can only judge the effects after studying medium response
- Motivation: we should search for unambiguous signatures of medium response

e the effects after studying medium response nambiquous signatures of medium response

Diffusion wake





- High *p*_T, suppression, no broadening
- Low *p*_T, enhancement, broadening
- Diffusion wake predicted in $1 < p_T < 2$ GeV bin

Chen, Cao, Luo, Pang, Wang, PLB 777 (2018) 86



Enhancing diffusion wake effects

Subtract MPI in Z-hadron correlation



 $A_{\vec{n}} = \frac{\int d\phi [(dN^h/d\phi)_{\phi-\phi_n>0} - (dN^h/d\phi)_{\phi-\phi_n<0}]}{\int d\phi dN^h/d\phi}$

Apply 2D jet tomography



Direction and path-length bias with:

• A_x cut

• $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}/p_{\rm T}^{Z/\gamma}$ cut

Chen, Yang, He, Ke, Pang, Wang, arXiv:2101.05422

azimuthal asymmetry of soft hadron dist. w.r.t. \hat{n}



Hadron chemistry inside jets



CoLBT-hydro calculation from Wei Chen (QM 2019)

- Different mechanism between showering partons and medium
- More baryons form medium



Baryon meson ratio in jet

- Enhancement around 2 GeV
- Sensitive to $E_{\rm med}$

Monte-Carlo method



A view of Monte Carlo, Monaco

Why Monte-Carlo

Limits of semi-analytical calculation

Splitting function: $P_{q \to qg}^{\text{vac}}(x) = \frac{4}{3}$

Number of emitted gluon: N_g

Energy taken away from the q

- E on the RHS does not change with parton evolution

$$\frac{1+(1-x)^2}{x}$$
$$= \int_{x_{\text{cut}}}^{1} P(x) dx$$

uark:
$$\Delta E = \int_{x_{\text{cut}}}^{1} xE \cdot P(x)dx$$

Do not naturally include energy fluctuation, average energy loss only Hard to include other fluctuations with the presence of medium

Monte-Carlo method

$$P_{q \to qg}^{\text{vac}}(x) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1 + (1 - x)^2}{x} \qquad \qquad N_g = \int_{x_{\text{cut}}}^1 P(x) dx \qquad \qquad \Delta E = \int_{x_{\text{cut}}}^1 x E \cdot P(x) dx$$

Monte Carlo methods are computational algorithms that rely on repeated random sampling based on probability distributions to obtain numerical results – naturally include quantum fluctuations in realistic physics processes and connect theories and experiments.

- Use N_a (if $N_a << 1$, otherwise e^{-Ng}) as the probability of splitting
- If a splittings happen, sample x using P(x) and take energy xE away from parent quark

Repeat the process to obtain numerical results of multiple splittings

MC sampling: hit-or-miss method

If the maximum of $f(x) \le f_{\max}$ in the range where we want to sample *x*:

- 1. Select an x with even probability in the allowed range: $x = x_{\min} + R(x_{\max} x_{\min});$
- 2. Compare a (new) Rf_{max} with f(x);

if $Rf_{\max} \leq f(x)$, accept *x*;

3. Otherwise repeat 1 and 2 until x is found.



Hit-or-miss: example

Example: sample medium-induced gluon spectrum (x and k_{\perp})

$$f(x,k_{\perp}) = \frac{dN_g}{dxdk_{\perp}^2 dt} = \frac{2\alpha_s C_A P(x)}{\pi k_{\perp}^4} \hat{q} \left(\frac{k_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^2 + x^2 M^2}\right)^4 \sin^2\left(\frac{t-t_i}{2\tau_f}\right)$$

1. Calculate N_g within Δt at given E, T and t (record f_{max} when evaluating integral);

 $\langle N_g \rangle (E, T, t, \Delta t) =$

2. If emission happens sample x and k_{\perp} independently within physical ranges;

3. Compare a new Rf_{max} with $f(x,k_{\perp})$, accept x and k_{\perp} if $Rf_{max} \leq f(x,k_{\perp})$;

4. Otherwise repeat 2 and 3 until x and k_{\perp} are found.

$$= \Delta t \int dx dk_{\perp}^2 \frac{dN_g}{dx dk_{\perp}^2 dt}$$
1. Find the primitive function of f(x):

$$F(x) = \int_{x_{\min}}^{x} f(x) dx;$$

2. Sample a random number u in [0, $F(x_{max})$] with $RF(x_{max});$

3. Solve x with the inverse function of F(x):

$$x = F^{-1}(u).$$

Efficient when the analytical solution of the inverse function exists. Still doable even it does not exist.



Inverse-primitive-function: example

- **Example:** sample x from splitting function P(x) [used in MATTER] 1. Calculate the integrated splitting function (primitive function); $F(x) = \int_{z}^{x} dz P(z)$
- 2. Sample a random number u in $[0, F(x_{max})]$ with $RF(x_{max})$; 3. Solve F(x) = u numerically (bisection method) --(a) set $x_{mid} = (x_{min} + x_{max})/2;$ (b) if $F(x_{mid}) < u$, set $x_{min} = x_{mid}$; if $F(x_r)$ (c) Repeat (a) and (b) until $|F(x_{mid})-u| < \varepsilon$, and then $x = x_{mid}$.

$$z) \qquad [x_{\max} = 1 - z_{\text{cut}}]$$

$$_{mid}) > u$$
, set $x_{max} = x_{mid}$;

primitive function G(x) and its inverse $G^{-1}(x)$ are known.

- 1. Select an x according g(x) using the inverse primitive function method;
- 2. Compare a (new) Rg(x) with the ratio f(x); if $Rg(x) \leq f(x)$ accept x;
- 3. Otherwise repeat 1 and 2 until x is found.

MC sampling: combined method

Assume the existence of a function g(x), with $f(x) \leq g(x)$ over the x range of interest. Here g(x) is picked to be a "simple" function, such that the