



# A measurement of the ratio of the W+1 jet to Z+1 jet cross sections with ATLAS

#### Xu Chao(USTC & CEA-Saclay,IRFU) Zhao Zhengguo(USTC) Eric Lancon(CEA-Saclay,IRFU)

2011.04.01

# Outline

- $\succ$  Introduction of  $R_{jets}$
- *R<sub>jets</sub>* Measurement With ATLAS
- Data Samples and Standard Model Predictions
- Event Selection
- Ratio Measurement Procedure
- Summary

# **Introduction of** *Rjets*

I. What is  $R_{jets}$ 

$$R_{jets} = \frac{\sigma_{W+1-jet}}{\sigma_{Z+1-jet}}$$

### II. Why $R_{jets}$ interesting

- ✓ By measuring  $R_{jets}$  many systematic uncertainties present in the V+jets analyses cancel or are significantly reduced, small errors allow precise comparison with theoretical predictions
- ✓ Measurment of  $R_{jets}$  in various kinematics and topological regimes, such as jet  $p_T$  is also sensitive to new physics

### **R**<sub>jets</sub> Measurement With ATLAS Inclusive ratio

- Tevatron <*Phy.Rev.Lett.*94(2005)091803>  $R = 10.92 \pm 0.15(stat) \pm 0.14(sys)$
- ATLAS <1010.1007/JHEP12(2010)060>  $R = 11.7 \pm 0.9(stat) \pm 0.4(sys)$



### We do exclusive ratio R<sub>jets</sub> with ATLAS

- The ratio of W and Z production cross section with subsequent decay to leptons( $e/\mu$ )
- For V+jets events with exaclty one jet
- The ratio  $R_{jets}$  presented as function of the cumulative transverse momentum  $p_T$  of jet

#### This is the first time such a measurement performed directly! 4

### Data Samples and Standard Model Predictions

- With 33.33pb<sup>-1</sup> of data in the electron and muon channels collected with the ATLAS detector at the LHC in 2010
- Compared to NLO pQCD calculations and the prediction from LO ME+PS generators



### **Event Selection**

	$W \to e\upsilon + j$	$Z \rightarrow ee + j$	$W  o \mu \upsilon + j$	$Z  o \mu\mu + j$
Acceptance	$N_e = 1$ $M_T > 40 GeV$ $E_T^{miss} > 25 GeV$	$N_e = 2$ 71< $m_{ee}$ <111GeV Opposite Charge	$N_{\mu} = 1$ $M_T > 40 GeV$ $E_T^{miss} > 25 GeV$	$N_{\mu} = 2$ 71< $m_{\mu\mu}$ <111GeV Opposite charge
Jet	$\begin{aligned} & \eta  < 2.8 \\ p_T > 30 GeV \\ &N_{jet} \geq 1 \end{aligned}$			

### **Ratio Measurement Procedure**

The correction formula for correcting the selected events for each gague boson type(V=W, Z) to the number of events at hadron level.

$$\sigma_V(p_T) = \frac{N_V(p_T)}{L} = \frac{N_{data} \cdot (1 - f_{QCD}) \cdot (1 - f_{ewk})}{A \times \epsilon \cdot L}$$

✓  $f_{QCD}$ : fraction of QCD background in all data

✓  $f_{ewk}$ : fraction of electroweak background remaining after the QCD correction

- ✓  $A \times \epsilon$ : lepton and jet acceptance times efficiency
- $\checkmark$  *L*: Integrated luminosity

The  $R_{jets}$  can be expressed by the ratio :

 $R_{jets}(p_T) = \frac{\sigma_W(p_T)}{\sigma_Z(p_T)} = \frac{N_W(p_T)}{N_Z(p_T)} = \frac{N_{data,W}}{N_{data,Z}} \cdot \frac{A_Z}{A_W} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_Z}{\epsilon_W} \cdot \frac{1 - f_{QCD}}{1 - f_{QCD}} \cdot \frac{1 - f_{ewk}}{1 - f_{ewk}}$ All systematic uncertainties are determined as relative errors on  $R_{jets}$  itself.

### **QCD** background of W(Muon)

Nloose = Nnonqcd + Nqcd

Niso =  $\epsilon$ nonqcd\*Nnonqcd +  $\epsilon$ qcd\*Nqcd

Enonged is average muon isolation efficiency for all non-QCD processes Eqcd is muon isolation efficiency for QCD process Nicose is the number of events from data applied all cuts but isolation Niso is the number of events from data applied full selection cuts

Estimated using  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  data

Estimated using QCD data





## **QCD background of Z(Muon)**



Invariant mass distributions for non-isolated muon pairs(left)

Work in progress

Events 10<sup>5</sup> Z+jets;jet p\_>30GeV; vs=7TeV; Ldt=33.33 pb bbba ccba 10 Vtaunu  $10^{3}$ Nmunu numu ata 2010√s=7Te 101010-1 10-2 10-3 80 100  $4\overline{0}$ 60 120 140  $m(\mu,\mu)[GeV]$ 

> Invariant mass distributions for isolated muon pairs(right) Work in progress

### 1. The shape of QCD background is obtained from MC

# 2. The normalization is determined with non-isolated di-muon events in data

### **Electroweak background(Muon)**

The electroweak background is estimated using MC.

- The systematic uncertainties are conservatively estimated from these sources:
- **1.**  $p_T$  resolution and polar-angular resolution
- replace the reconstructed muon by generated muon
- 2.  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  correction
- vary the  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  correction in muon channel
- 3. Model uncertainty

compare different generators

Systematic	$\Delta f_{ewk,W}$ [%]	$\Delta f_{euk,Z}$ [%]	$\Delta R_{\text{jets}}[\%]$
$p_T$ and $\eta$ Resolution	0.01	3.38	0.02
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ correction	1.13	0	0.07
Different generators	4.28	32.5	0.10

Small systematics because of the ratio measurement!

### A× *\epsilon* (Muon)

It is difficult to separate the detector acceptance (A) and detector efficiency( $\varepsilon$ ) in muon channel, due to large extrapolation distances and inhomogenous efficiency, we study the muon acceptance times efficiency(A× $\varepsilon$ ) as function of jet  $p_T$  threshold using MC.

The main systematics comes from :

- PDF and Strong Coupling uncertainty Total uncertainty within 2.5% seen for jet  $p_T$  threshold below 100GeV
- Signal Model uncertainty Between Alpgen and Pythia ,less than 3% uncertainty for most of the kinematic range
- Uncertainty due to Pile-Up
  Smaller than 1% for both W(Z) acceptance resulted by Pile-up
- Muon momentum scale uncertainty Changing muon momentum up to  $\pm 2\%$ , less than 2% variation observed
- Muon momentum resolution uncertainty Smearing the muon momentum, less than 2% variation is observed

#### (plots shown in backup)

## **Trigger Efficiency(Muon)**

- For earlier data using uncorrelated jet trigger events to estimate muon trigger efficiency
- For later data, using tag-and-probe method on the  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  events



Work in progress





Work in progress

### Summary

 $> R_{jets}$  is the first such measurement made in hadron collider

Small systematic uncertainty error in the ratio measurement

≻Fair agreement between data and theory

A× *\epsilon* (Muon)

Signal Model uncertainty



W

Ζ

### A× *\epsilon* (Muon)

#### • Uncertainty due to Pile-Up



Ζ

### A× ε (Muon)

#### Muon momentum scale uncertainty and resolution uncertainty



The Trigger efficiency are caculated from Monte Carlo after all other selections. Corrected using efficiency scale factor derived from data. The scale factor corrections applied as function of Muon  $\eta$  and  $p_T$ 

