Gluonic evanescent operators in two-loop renormalization





Outline

Introduction

- Calculation of bare form factors
- Renormalization in two different schemes
- Results
- Conclusion

Studies of evanescent operator

An operator is said to be evanescent if it vanishes at 4 spacetime dimension via does not vanish in general $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ spacetime dimensions. Such degrees of freedom should be considered in the dimensional regularization.

In 1990, people began the study of the fermionic evanescent operator. From the two-loop level, evanescent operators should be taken into account in the calculation of the anomalous dimensions. Buras and Weisz 1990

Buras and Weisz 1990 Bondi, Curci, Paffuti and Rossi, 1990 Dugan and Grinstein 1991

. . .

Example of evanescent operators: $\bar{\psi}\gamma^{[\mu_1}\dots\gamma^{\mu_n]}\psi\bar{\psi}\gamma_{[\mu_1}\dots\gamma_{\mu_n]}\psi$, $n \ge 5$.

Evanescent operator in the Yang-Mills theory

Form factor : $\int d^d x e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle g_1 \cdots, g_n | O | 0 \rangle$

An operator is called evanescent if its tree form factors vanish. A general delta function can manifest this property, for example,

 $\delta^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5}_{\mu_6 \mu_7 \mu_8 \mu_9 \mu_{10}} F_{\mu_1 \mu_2} F_{\mu_3 \mu_4} F_{\mu_5 \mu_6} F_{\mu_7 \mu_8} F_{\mu_9 \mu_{10}} \quad \text{with} \quad \delta^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n} = \det(\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}) = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^{\mu_1}_{\nu_1} \dots \delta^{\mu_1}_{\nu_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta^{\mu_n}_{\nu_1} \dots \delta^{\mu_n}_{\nu_n} \end{vmatrix}$

We initiate the study of gluonic evanescent operators and gave a systematic construction of the higher-dimensional operators in the Yang-Mills theory. Jin, Ren, Yang, RY, 2022

In this talk, we focus on the two-loop anomalous dimensions of the dimension-10 operators in the planar limit, which is the simplest two-loop calculation of the anomalous dimensions involving gluonic evanescent operators.

The dimension-10 single-trace operator basis

$$O_{1} = D^{6}(F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}) \qquad O_{4} = \frac{D^{4}(F_{12}F_{13}F_{23})}{12}$$
$$O_{31} = \frac{1}{8}D_{9}D_{10}\left[(2\delta_{3412(10)}^{56789} + \delta_{5612(10)}^{34789})F_{12}F_{34}F_{56}F_{78}\right]$$
$$O_{35} = \frac{1}{8}\left[-2\delta_{569(10)8}^{12347}F_{12}F_{56}F_{34}F_{9(10)}F_{78} + \delta_{5678(10)}^{12349}F_{12}F_{56}F_{78}F_{9(10)}F_{34}F_{34}F_{36}F_{34}F_{36}F_$$

There are length-2, -3, -4 and -5

The basis are classified according to:

- 1. Physical and evanescent
- 2. C-parity.
- 3. Length
- 4. Helicity sector for physical operators.



Outline

- Introduction
- Calculation of bare form factors
- Renormalization in two different schemes
- Results
- Conclusion

Calculation of bare form factors

Our calculation is based on the unitarity cut method and the IBP reduction. A loop form factor can be expanded into a set of IBP master integrals

$$\mathcal{F}^{(l)} = \sum_{i} c_{i} I_{i}^{(l)}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(l)}\Big|_{\text{cut}} = \sum_{a} C_{a} I_{a}|_{\text{cut}} \xrightarrow{\text{unitarity-IBP}} \sum_{\text{cut permitted}} c_{i} M_{i} \xrightarrow{\text{collect}} \sum_{i} c_{i} M_{i}$$
According to generalized unitarity $\mathcal{F}^{(l)}|_{\text{cut}} = \sum_{\text{helicities}} \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \times (\prod_{i} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(0)})$

In the conventional dimensional regularization (CDR) scheme, one needs to do the d-dimensional helicity sum $\sum_{\mu} e^{\mu} e^{\nu \pi} = e^{\mu\nu} q^{\mu} p_i^{\nu} + p_i^{\mu} q^{\nu}$

$$\sum_{\text{helicities}} \epsilon_i^{\mu} \epsilon_i^{\nu*} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{q^{\mu} p_i^{\nu} + p_i^{-} q}{p_i \cdot q}$$

Master and cuts







Two-loop



Gehrmann and Remiddi, 2001

Tensor reduction

A challenging part of our work is tensor reduction before IBP

 $l_i \cdot e_j \to l_i \cdot p_j, l_i \cdot l_j$

For 2-point and 3-point form factors, the gauge invariant basis projection is efficient.

Boels and Luo 2017 Boels, Jin and Luo 2018

However, the number of basis blows up for higher-point form factors.

A modified PV reduction

Passarino and Veltman 1979 Kreimer 1992

Momenta in the denominators

Loop momentum decomposition: $l_i^{\mu} = (x_{i,j}p_j^{\mu}) + l_{i,\perp}^{\mu}$

Given a tensor integral $\int [dl] \frac{\prod_k l_{i_k}^{\mu_k}}{\prod_j D_j}$

PV for the $l_{i,\perp}^{\mu}$, The only available tensor: $\eta_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - (G_p)_{jk}^{-1} p_j^{\mu} p_k^{\nu}$

$$l_{i_{1,\perp}}^{\mu_{1}} \cdots l_{i_{m,\perp}}^{\mu_{m}} = \begin{cases} 0, & m \text{ odd} \\ \sum_{\sigma} y_{\sigma} \eta_{\perp}^{\mu_{\sigma_{1}} \mu_{\sigma_{2}}} \cdots \eta_{\perp}^{\mu_{\sigma_{m-1}} \mu_{\sigma_{m}}}, & m \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Finally, do the substitution: $l_{i,\perp} \cdot l_{j,\perp} = l_i \cdot l_j - x_{i,k} x_{j,s} p_k \cdot p_s$

Then we can do the IBP reduction via FIRE6. Smirnov and Chuharev 2019 For two-loop minimal form factors, the calculations are intrinsically 3-point.

Outline

- Introduction
- Calculation of bare form factors
- Renormalization in two different schemes
- Results
- Conclusion

Z matrix and anomalous dimension

Renormalized operator $O_i = Z_i^{\ j} O_{b,j}$

Dilatation matrix
$$\mathcal{D} \equiv -\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}Z}{\mathrm{d}\mu} Z^{-1} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^l \mathcal{D}^{(l)}$$

Together with
$$Z_i^{\ j} = \delta_i^j + \sum_{l=1}^{j} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^l Z^{(l)}_i^{\ j}$$
 and $\mu \frac{d\alpha_s}{d\mu} = -2\epsilon \alpha_s - \frac{\beta_0}{2\pi} \alpha_s^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)_s$
see e.g. Gehrmann, Jaquier, Glover, Koukoutsakis, 2012

One gets

 $\mathcal{D}^{(1)} = 2\epsilon Z^{(1)} \,,$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(2)} = 4\epsilon Z^{(2)} - 2\epsilon \left(Z^{(1)}\right)^2 + 2\beta_0 Z^{(1)}$$

Eigenvalues of the dilatation matrix are the anomalous dimensions.

Two renormalization schemes



1. The \overline{MS} scheme

2. Finite renormalization scheme, the finite evanescent-to-physical mixing is also subtracted.

Bondi, Curci, Paffuti and Rossi, 1990

The \overline{MS} scheme

The bare form factor has UV and IR divergence. After renormalization, there would be no UV divergence. The IR divergence takes the universal form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(1)} &= I^{(1)}(\epsilon) \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(0)} + \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(1), \text{fin}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) , \\ \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(2)} &= I^{(2)}(\epsilon) \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(0)} + I^{(1)}(\epsilon) \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(1)} + \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(2), \text{fin}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$
Catani, 1998

where $F_i^{(l)}$ is the *l*th term of renormalized form factors $Z_i^{\ j}F_{b,j}$ under the α_s -expansion. Requiring that the divergences are the same on the both side of the equation gives linear equations of the Z matrix.

The efficiency of the calcualtion can be enhanced by using the numerical reconstruction method.

The finite renormalization scheme

A general dilatation matrix

$$egin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{pp}} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{pe}} \ \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{ep}} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{ee}} \end{pmatrix}$$

In this scheme

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm pp} & \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm pe} \\ 0 & \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm ee} \end{pmatrix}$$

This is necessary to involve a finite part in the Z matrix: $Z|_{div} + Z|_{fin}$.

At each loop, the divergent part can be calculated similarly as in the \overline{MS} scheme. The finite part is proportional to the physical operators. To exclude the terms proportional to the evanescent operators, we calculate the bare form factor at 4dimensional kinematic points. At such points, the terms proportional to the evanescent operators vanish automatically.

Structure of the Z matrix and dilatation matrix

MS scheme

finite scheme

 $\begin{pmatrix} Z_{\rm pp}^{(1)} & Z_{\rm pe}^{(1)} \\ 0 & Z_{\rm ep}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\rm pp}^{(2)} & Z_{\rm pe}^{(2)} \\ Z_{\rm pp}^{(2)} & Z_{\rm pe}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\rm pp}^{(2)} & Z_{\rm pe}^{(1)} \\ Z_{\rm ep}^{(2)} & Z_{\rm ee}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\rm pp}^{(2)} & Z_{\rm pe}^{(2)} \\ \hat{Z}_{\rm ep}^{(2)} & \hat{Z}_{\rm ee}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{\rm pp}^{(1)} \ \mathcal{D}_{\rm pe}^{(1)} \\ 0 \ \mathcal{D}_{\rm ee}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{\rm pp}^{(2)} \ \mathcal{D}_{\rm pe}^{(2)} \\ \mathcal{D}_{\rm ep}^{(2)} \ \mathcal{D}_{\rm ee}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{\rm pp}^{(1)} \ \mathcal{D}_{\rm pe}^{(1)} \\ 0 \ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm ee}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{\rm pp}^{(2)} \ \mathcal{D}_{\rm pe}^{(2)} \\ 0 \ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm ee}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$

Outline

- Introduction
- Calculation of bare form factors
- Renormalization in two different schemes
- Results
- Conclusion

The dimension-10 single-trace operator basis

$$O_{1} = D^{6}(F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}) \qquad O_{4} = \frac{D^{4}(F_{12}F_{13}F_{23})}{12}$$
$$O_{31} = \frac{1}{8}D_{9}D_{10}\left[(2\delta_{3412(10)}^{56789} + \delta_{5612(10)}^{34789})F_{12}F_{34}F_{56}F_{78}\right]$$
$$O_{35} = \frac{1}{8}\left[-2\delta_{569(10)8}^{12347}F_{12}F_{56}F_{34}F_{9(10)}F_{78} + \delta_{5678(10)}^{12349}F_{12}F_{56}F_{78}F_{9(10)}F_{34}F_{34}F_{36}F_{34}F_{36}F_$$

There are length-2, -3, -4 and -5

The basis are classified according to:

- 1. Physical and evanescent
- 2. C-parity.
- 3. Length
- 4. Helicity sector for physical operators.



1-loop Z matrix (The finite scheme)

The blockwise feature:

- 1. C-parity
- 2. Length
- 3. Helicity sector (1-loop)
- 4. Type of the total derivative



1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0				0	0			•)
	3 egs	,	č			Č		č		č	č	č	č	č	č		č	č	č			Č.	Č.	č	Ť	Č.	č	Č	Č.	Ŭ	Č.	č	č	·	Č.	Ŭ
	0	ege .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	zi1(2, 21)	zi1(2, 22)	zi1(2, 23)	zi1(2, 24)	۰	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	z11(2, 34)	z11(2, 35)	•
	0	- 1	21 5 cm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	zl1(3, 21)	zi1(3, 22)	zi1(3, 23)	zi1(3, 24)	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	zl1(3, 34)	z11(3, 35)	0
	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	o	o	0	0	o	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	zl1(4, 21)	zi1(4, 22)	zi1(4, 23)	zi1(4, 24)	o	o	0	0	0	0	o	o	0	z11(4, 34)	z11(4, 35)	0
	0	0	0	1	14	10	2	0	0	0			0	0	7	1	1	0	0	0	zl1(5, 21)	z11(5, 22)	z11(5, 23)	zi1(5, 24)	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	3	0	zl1(5, 34)	z11(5, 35)	
				срк - О	age 0	3 ops	3 ops - 5				72 cgs	96 cgs			S ops	Seps.	12 cgs														diage .	192 ogs				.
					Ĩ	16	13cgs 17						ļ	Ì								-			Ľ	Ì					-	-				
	°	0	0	a	•	3 ogs 16	3 opt	0	o	0	0	0	0	o	0	o	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0			o	0	0	°
	•	0	0	0	•	3 ogs	12 ops	Sope	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	o	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 ope	4 cgs	0	0	0	•
	0	0	0	0	۰	0	- 6 cgs	2 Sept	-	- <u>-</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 1/ 3 cps	-	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	٥	- 41 72 cps		- 12 cgs	15 cps	15cps	•
	0	0	0	0	•	1 Jage -	3 12 apr	2 3cps	- 10 3 cgs	14 3 cps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 26 2 cps	10	o	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	19 73cgs	12 26 cps	5 12cps		- 23 9 apr	0
	0	0	0	0	, L	0	0	U	U	U	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 11	13	0	o	o	•
	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o		2	o	o	0	o	0	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	0	0	0	0	0	0		49	0	o	o	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		- 2	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	o	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	145	41	0	o	o	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		5 cgs	4 cgs	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	22	2	4	0	0	0	0	0		43	432 cgs	1	10	46	
											6 cgs	2 0 0	- 24	-04	1	1					9 cgs	3 ogs 0	3 egs 0	9 age 0							216 ops	27 ege	4 cgs	27 ege	27 egs	
		č	ž	Ĩ			Ì	Ì	Ĩ	č	č	ž	Ĩ	č	2 ops 1	2 ogs 14	č	ž	č	Ĵ				Ĩ	Ľ	Ľ	ž	ž	ž		Ĩ	-	ž			.
	l °	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	4	2 ope	•	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	•	19	29	o	0	0	°
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	Sept	2 028	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15 cps	72cgs	0	0	0	•
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- iliope	2 Sept	1 60 cgs	15cps		15cgs	0	0		- il linge	0	0	0	0	0	0		- BOoge			10ops	•
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 15 cgs	2 20 cps	-1 15cm	- 1 5 cps	21 6cps	- 4 15 cps	0	0	- 4	- 7 20cps	0	0	0	0	0	٥	- 1 340 cps	1 20 cps	1 40cps	251 150cps	- 1 90 cps	•
, (0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	0	0	o	0	64	1	14	14		67	0	o	197	169	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 27		- 19	277		0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	- 55	
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 cps	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	15 cgs 10	15 cgs 19	
					-																	2 025		1							-	-		Sega 1	9 ope 5	
	ľ			U	•	•	•	•	U	U	0	U	U		0		0		U		0	0	2 ops	4 cps 27	Ů	0		•	•	Ů	v	0	0	Seps 2	6 cps 4	°
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	406	6 ops	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	9 cgs	9 cgs	•
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	211(25, 21)	20(25, 22)	211(25, 23)	zili(25, 24)	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	zl1(25, 34)	zi1(25, 35)	•
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	٥	0	16 3 cps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	٥	1 12 cgs
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	0	0	17 4 cps	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	o	0	0	0	-	25	0	0	o	o	0	o	o	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	o	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	o	o	0	0	0	0	27		0	o	0	o	o	- 19
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	0	0	0	0	0	o -	3	5 026	0	0	0	o	0	120 cgs
		0	0	0	0	16	1	0	0	0	10	-7	0	0	16	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10 cgs	15 cgs	;		-	0	0	40 cgs
					-	;	1		-		4				3	;	1							-							404 10	3 ega 14			-	
	°	v	U	U	5	5		4	4	4	;	3	10	14	3	3	1	25	55	14	182	92	50	v	U	U	•			Ů	3 ope 2	Segs 1	19	112	20	°
	°	0	0	0	0	0	Ξ,	0	7			-	3	,	,	0	,	3	,	,				-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	cgs.	cgs	3 opt	9 ops	9 cgs	0
	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34				0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	22 9000	- 26 9 apr	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-25	25	-	5 - 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 55 9 cps	52 9 cps	0
	۱.			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	-3	4	_14	0	0	0	0	0	3
	1 °	-		-			-															-				-										

The one-loop anomalous dimensions (same in the two schemes)

 $\gamma_{\mathrm{p,length-2}}^{\mathrm{even},(1)}:-\frac{22}{3},$ $\gamma_{\mathrm{p,length-3}}^{\mathrm{even},(1)}: \frac{14}{2}, 6, \frac{42}{5}, \frac{28}{2},$ $\gamma_{\text{p,length-3}}^{\text{odd},(1)}: 8,$ $\gamma_{\text{p,length-4}}^{\text{even},(1)}:9, \frac{21}{2}, \frac{32}{3}, 12, \frac{1}{2}\left(17 \pm 3\sqrt{41}\right), \frac{1}{6}\left(31 \pm \sqrt{697}\right), \frac{1}{4}\left(29 \pm \sqrt{201}\right), \frac{2}{3}\left(19 \pm 3\sqrt{5}\right),$ $x_1, x_2, x_3,$ $\gamma_{\text{p,length-4}}^{\text{odd},(1)}: \frac{22}{3}, \frac{17}{2}, \frac{32}{3}, 11, \frac{25}{2},$ $\gamma_{\text{p,length-5}}^{\text{even},(1)}: \frac{2}{3} \left(19 \pm 3\sqrt{10} \right), \frac{1}{3} \left(32 \pm \sqrt{34} \right),$ $\gamma_{\rm e, length-4}^{\rm even,(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \left(23 \pm \sqrt{345} \right), \frac{38}{3},$ $\gamma_{\rm e, length-5}^{\rm even,(1)} = \frac{2}{3} \left(14 \pm \sqrt{170} \right) \,,$ $\gamma_{\rm e, length-4}^{\rm odd,(1)} = 10.$

$$x_i$$
 are the roots of $x^3 - \frac{446x^2}{15} + \frac{769x}{3} - \frac{8014}{15} = 0$

The two-loop dilatation matrix (The finite scheme)

 $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm pp} & \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm pe} \\ 0 & \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\rm ee} \end{pmatrix}$

	٥	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0
-	15	0	6	0		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
- 209	- 1471	7121	25	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
-4	o	0	- 59	0		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•
	9923	1591	455	22459																				0	•	•				•					
	0	0	-1	0	523	649	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	797	345	0	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	0			o
0	o	o	16	o	266	962	o	0	o	0	o	0	0	226	52	0	0	0	0					0	0	0	o	o	0	o	o	0			o
0	0	0	1	1	1005	- 100	<u>- 115</u>	0	0		7	21	0	-17	-	-4	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	0	0	- 227	49	0			0
0	0	0	4	4	124	221	65	2611		267		251	11	17265	-12	6201	_ 1191	679	5429					0	0	0	0	0	0	16261	251 593	- 55577			0
	0	0	ŝ	4	496	216 655	17	716	257	129	12	105	_ <u>1</u>	2700	165	5400 \$369	1666	5267	1250					0	0	0	0	0	0	129.600	129.600	10500 54313			0
	29	122	j.	73	27	7	27 0	27 0	ء 0	2205	95	24 0	°	2700	73	343	75	270 0	675 0					0	0	0	0	0	0	21	2539	10500			0
١.	20	19	55	275	26 671	715	0	0	0	54 2505	166 5677		0	45	144	1625	0	0						0	0	0	0			129	3131	0			0
	12	29	26 12	105 29	105 101	422	17			162	422 6451	12219		16 553	422	216														216 2059	5194 4422				
	20 11	40 2	72	54 1	54 121	422 52	16 7	172	16	5156 437	1725 541	255 267		144 2709	27 2671	550 6701	174	475	17					č		č	č	č	č	4912 99091	10265	2697			č
Ľ	18	10	•	27	17	27 257		•		1296	422	72	-	510 955	540 853	2240	3		405					č	č		č	č	č	77760	28550	1050			č
	26		Ŭ		290	72		U	0					54	36					•	•	•	•							Ŭ	Ů		•	•	
°	-	2	0	0	27	105	0	0	0	25	4	0	0		54 205	0	0	0	0	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 291	0	0	•	•	0
0	2	2	0	0	;	12	29	0	0 81	26	3	0 5	22	36		105	0	0	0	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	204	206	0	•	•	0
0	900	60	0	0	225	1200	270	540	675	10500	7200	÷.	20	12500	9000	12500	12500	675 275 689	6750	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	645000 91477	226,000	216000	•	•	0
•	25	10	0	0	675	10500	45	150	450	10500	7200	1	15	27000	2000	54000	275	5400	27000	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	216000	9000	72000	•	•	0
0	100	20	0	0	675	10500	150	2007	900	10900	7200	- 34	10	4500	2000	15000	250	600	27000	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	645000	226000	72000	•	•	0
0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	10	-10	-10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 15	9	26	340	0	0	0	0	0	0		144	45	3	- 200	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	-5	-7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 1753	15	26	125	0	0	0	0	0	0	-17 72	17	- 17 45	200	- 245	0
•	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 24	0	4	-	4	0	-	-	-17	-	-16	45	422	2205	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 25	 144	22 45	645	- 451 645	0
•	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0		-	- 17	17	- 45	0	- 45	3	91 54	- 13 54		21	77	422	0	0	0	0	0	0	1296	67 206		167	4	0
0	0	0	0	0		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	206	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
0	o	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	•	•	0	575	17	- 27		-	o	o	0	•		253
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					-	0	1135 04	0	0	0	o	o	0			0
0	o	o	o	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					-	4.	255	13159		<u>91</u>	o	o	0			- 295
0	o	o	o	0	o	o	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0						953	-i	553	14629	19	o	o	0			2269
0	o	o	o	0	0	0	o	0	0	o	o	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	o					2	101	10	167	91	\$776	o	o	0			4051
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		•			0	0	0	40 0	0	0	- 260	152	0	•		0
0	o	0	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	0	o	0	o	o	o	o					0	o	0	0	o	0		222	o			o
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	0	0	575	515	599			o
0	o	0	0	0	o	o	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102	162	5	1469	154	o
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 a	15	25	27 685	1122	0
	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0					0	0		0	0	0	36 0	72	34 D	54	27	277
("													Ĩ			~				1	1	1	1	Ĭ	Č.	č		č	Ĭ	Ĭ	Ĭ		1	-	9

The two-loop anomalous dimensions

MS scheme

Finite scheme



The physical effect of evanescent operators

1. In the \overline{MS} scheme, the evanescent operators and the physical operators mix together in the dilatation matrix. To get the correct physical anomalous dimensions, it is necessary to renormalize the evanescent operators up to the two-loop order.

2. In the finite scheme, the block D_{ep} vanishes. The anomalous dimensions are just eigenvalues of the block D_{pp} . This does means that the evanescent operators are totally decoupled in the calculation. The term $(-2\epsilon Z_{pe}^{(1)}Z_{ep}^{(1)})$ contributes to the block $D_{pp}^{(2)}$. Therefore, to get the correct two-loop physical anomalous dimensions, we need to renormalize the evanescent operators up to the one-loop order.

Anomalous dimensions at the WF fixed point

The new Z matrix reads $\tilde{Z} \equiv KZ$

The dilatation matrix under scheme change

see e.g. Vasilev, 2004 Pietro and Stamou, 2018

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = -\frac{\partial K}{\partial \alpha_s} (\mu \frac{d\alpha_s}{d\mu}) K^{-1} + K \mathcal{D} K^{-1}$$

The anomalous dimensions do not depend on the scheme at a fixed point.

Require that $\mu \frac{d\alpha_s}{d\mu} = 0$, we get the coupling constant at the WF fixed point: $\alpha^* = -\frac{4\pi\epsilon}{\beta_0} - \frac{4\pi\beta_1\epsilon^2}{\beta_0^3} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$ The dilatation matrix: $\mathcal{D}^* = \sum_{i=1} \epsilon^i \mathcal{D}^*_i = \sum_l \left(\frac{\alpha^*}{4\pi}\right)^l \mathcal{D}^{(l)} = \left(-\frac{\epsilon}{\beta_0} - \frac{\beta_1\epsilon^2}{\beta_0^3}\right)\mathcal{D}^{(1)} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{\beta_0^2}\mathcal{D}^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$ The anomalous dimensions: $\gamma^* = \sum_{i=1} \epsilon^i \gamma_i^*$

We verity that the anomalous dimensions at the fixed point are the same in the two schemes.

Outline

- Introduction
- Calculation of bare form factors
- Renormalization in two different schemes
- Results
- Conclusion

Conclusion

1. We perfume for the first time the two-loop anomalous dimensions of the dimension-10 operators.

2. Our calculation shows that the evanescent operators do contribute to the two-loop anomalous dimension in dimensional regularization.

3. We check the scheme independence at the WF fixed point.

4. Our strategy is expected to be applied to the two-loop renormalization for higherdimensional operators in general theories. Thank you!

Renormalized form factors $Z_i^{j}F_{b,j}$ under the α_s -expansion

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(0)} &= \mathcal{F}_{i,\mathrm{b}}^{(0)} \,, \\ \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(1)} &= S_{\epsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{i,\mathrm{b}}^{(1)} + \left(Z^{(1)}{}_{i}{}^{j} - \frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \frac{\beta_{0}}{\epsilon} \delta_{i}{}^{j} \right) \mathcal{F}_{j,\mathrm{b}}^{(0)} \,, \\ \mathcal{F}_{i}^{(2)} &= S_{\epsilon}^{-2} \mathcal{F}_{i,\mathrm{b}}^{(2)} + S_{\epsilon}^{-1} \left(Z^{(1)}{}_{i}{}^{j} - \left(1 + \frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \right) \frac{\beta_{0}}{\epsilon} \delta_{i}{}^{j} \right) \mathcal{F}_{j,\mathrm{b}}^{(1)} \\ &+ \left(Z^{(2)}{}_{i}{}^{j} - \frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \frac{\beta_{0}}{\epsilon} Z^{(1)}{}_{i}{}^{j} + \frac{\delta_{n}^{2} + 2\delta_{n}}{8} \frac{\beta_{0}^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}} \delta_{i}{}^{j} - \frac{\delta_{n}}{4} \frac{\beta_{1}}{\epsilon} \delta_{i}{}^{j} \right) \mathcal{F}_{j,\mathrm{b}}^{(0)} \,. \end{split}$$

Vanishing of \widehat{D}_{ep}

Dugan and Grinstein 1991

The one-loop case is obvious, since the Z_{ep}^1 is finite. We only keep the finite part of the dilatation matrix, thus D_{ep}^1 vanishes.

For the two-loop case,

$$Z^{(2)}|_{\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}-\text{part}} = \frac{1}{2}(Z^{(1)})^2 - \frac{\beta_0}{2\epsilon}Z^{(1)}$$
 guarantees a finite $D^{(2)}$

For the block $D_{ep}^{(2)}$, which represent the mixing from evanescent to physical operators, the above relation should be one-order higher in the ϵ -expansion.

$$\hat{Z}_{ep}^{(2)}|_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}-part} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{Z}_{ep}^{(1)} \hat{Z}_{pp}^{(1)} + \hat{Z}_{ee}^{(1)} \hat{Z}_{ep}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{\beta_0}{2\epsilon} \hat{Z}_{ep}^{(1)} \quad \text{guarantees a vanishing } D_{ep}^{(2)}$$