# Weekly Report

Shudong WANG

#### Hardware

- Assembled IHEP-PPA-SS2
- Developing IHEP ATLAS ITk Production Management Page



wangsd@ihep.ac.cn

- Introduction:
- Motivation: JetEtMiss group observed that the constituent based taggers showed impressive performance and best performance tagger is found (by far) for ParticleNet. Related to this, Xbb tagger team also observe better performance for GNN taggers compared to the current Xbb version, so a natural path is to try to see if this is also true for W and Z taggers.
- The conventional method for W/Z tagging:
- 1. Derive a set of high-level variables which summarize the information contained in the jet constituents.
- 2. Utilize these variables to perform cut based tagging or ML based tagging.
- The construction of these variables is almost always accompanied by information loss.
- Constituent based W/Z tagging:
- Combine and utilize the jet constituents' information using larger, complex and state-of-the-art ML/DL algorithms

- Simulation Samples:
- Follow previous work on top tagging using UFO jet inputs: <u>http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2777009/</u>
- Boosted W/Z bosons are obtained from simulated W '→WZ (→ qqqqq) events with m<sub>w</sub> = 2 TeV and cross section is reweighted to populate kinematic region of interest (0.2 TeV 4 TeV)
- QCD jets obtained from events containing pairs of light quarks / gluons.
- Events are generated at leading-order with Pythia8 using the NNPDF2.3LO set of parton distribution functions and the A14 set of tuned parameters.
- Jet reconstruction, grooming, and truth labeling is identical to previous work

(We just download their samples and use)

Jet requirements	W jet requirements	Z jet requirements
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Jet}  \eta  < 2.0 \\ \mbox{Jet} p_{\rm T,truth} > 200 \\ \mbox{GeV} \\ \mbox{Number of} \\ \mbox{constituents} \geq 2 \\ \mbox{Jet mass} > 40 \ \mbox{GeV} \end{array}$	dR(truth jet, MC truth W) < 0.75 Ungroomed truth jet mass > 50 GeV Number ghost associated $b$ -hadrons == 1 Truth jet $\sqrt{d_{12}}$ > 55.25×exp( $-2.34 \times 10^{-3} \times \text{Jet} p_{\text{T,truth}}$ )	dR(truth jet, MC truth Z) < 0.75 Ungroomed truth jet mass > 50 GeV Truth jet $\sqrt{d_{12}}$ > 55.25×exp( $-2.34 \times 10^{-3} \times$ Jet $p_{\rm T,truth}$ )

- Simulation Samples:
- The samples contain the flat ntuple (i.e. 1 jet / entry)
- Each jet has some high-level variable info (e.g. pt, eta, phi, D2, numConstituents, etc.) and 4 vector for the jet constituents are also stored (η, φ, p<sub>T</sub>, E), we foucus on constituent level information now.

- Data process flow:
- Flat ntuple -> labeling (according to truth jet definitions mentioned in the previous slide)
  - -> sampling (let #sig:#bkg == 1:1 to avoid *class-imbalance*) -> reweighting (according to pT)
  - -> pre-processing( trim off undesired branches & add new vars) -> NN (ParticleNet) training

- Simulation Samples:
- Distributions of the of the jet constituent  $p_T$ , E,  $\eta$ , and  $\phi$ .





- Simulation Samples:
- Jet pT and Training Weights
- Raw di-jet sample contains unphysical pT spectrum
- Derive weights which match background pT spectrum to signal

- Prevents the tagger from associating signal jets with a particular *p*T, and assigning high scores to background jets which happen to have this pT.

• Weights are applied to loss function in network training



- Processing Samples:
- Constituent Level Pre-Processing:
- $\Delta \eta$  Difference in pseudo-rapidity between the particle and the jet axis
- $\Delta \phi$  Difference in azimuthal angle between the particle and the jet axis
- $\ln p_{\rm T}$  Logarithm of the particle's pT
- ln *E* Logarithm of the particle's energy

• 
$$\ln \frac{p_{\rm T}}{\sum_{\rm jet} p_{\rm T}}$$
 Logarithm of the particle's pT relative to the total pT in jet

• 
$$\ln \frac{E}{\sum_{j \in I} E}$$
 Logarithm of the particle's energy relative to the total energy in jet

•  $\Delta R$  Angular separation between the particle and the jet axis  $\sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$ 

- Processing Samples:
- Distributions of the seven constituent-level quantities used as inputs to tagger



2022/10/18

10<sup>5</sup>

10<sup>4</sup>

10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>2</sup>

wangsd@ihep.ac.cn

- Training:
- Using ParticleNet, 1250W events (jets) for each class
- Train : Val : Test = 6: 2: 2
- use  $(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$  as coordinates to compute distance between particles
- Using default setup of ParticleNet:
- Number of EdgeConv blocks:3
- k nearest neighbors: 16
- Number of channels C = (C1, C2, C3) for each EdgeConv block is (64, 64, 64), (128, 128, 128), and (256, 256, 256)
- Nodes of fully connected layer: 256
- Dropout probability: 0.1
- Starting learning rate: 5 x 10-3
- Learning rate scheduler: flat+decay
- Batch Size: 1000





• Preliminary result from ParticleNet:





AUC: 0.975, ACC: 0.917

• Problem found (biased sampling)



#### **Result of biased sampling ?**

- Weights gained by using all sig(13M+) & bkg (1.2B+) events, then do the sampling to get 1:1 sig/bkg ratio (12.5M: 12.5M) and apply weights\*sf as training weights.
- Won't affect the result much, but need to be improved.