

Abdualazem Fadol

October 31, 2022



□ It is essential to measure the Higgs boson properties precisely—think of its decay width.

- \Box However, a direct measurement of the Higgs boson total width is probably inconceivable.
- Due to the following reasons:
 - The width predicted by the SM is 4.1 MeV—very small;
 - $\circ~$ but the experimental resolution is $\sim 0.2~\text{GeV}\text{--experimental limitation.}$

 \Box Why the $H^* \rightarrow ZZ$ off-shell is a good idea to measure the Higgs total width?

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{pp\to H\to ZZ}}{\mathrm{d}M_{ZZ}^2}\sim \frac{g_{Hgg}^2g_{HZZ}^2}{\left(M_{ZZ}^2-m_H^2\right)^2+m_H^2\Gamma_H^2}$$

 \Box Assuming the on-shell ($m_H \sim M_{ZZ}$) and off-shell case (m_H is an arbitrary)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{on-shell}}^{pp \to H \to ZZ}}{\mathrm{d}M_{ZZ}^2} \sim \frac{g_{Hgg}^2 g_{HZZ}^2}{m_H^2 \Gamma_H^2} \qquad \mathrm{and} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{off-shell}}^{pp \to H \to ZZ}}{\mathrm{d}M_{ZZ}^2} \sim \frac{g_{Hgg}^2 g_{HZZ}^2}{\left(M_{ZZ}^2 - m_H^2\right)^2}$$

□ Notice that the off-shell cross-section does not depend on the Higgs boson width.

Evidence of off-shell Higgs boson production

Motivation:

- The ggF cross-section extends by O(15%);
- o due to two threshold effects on the off-shell.
- The Higgs total width can indirectly measured.
- Constrain the Higgs couplings in BSM scenarios.

Off-shell Higgs measurements:

- $\circ H^* \to ZZ \to 4\ell$
- $\circ \ H^* \to ZZ \to 2\ell 2\nu$

\Box HZZ combined results:

- $\circ~$ The $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$ off-shell results
- Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 957 on-shell results
- \Box Previous round was published using 36.1 fb⁻¹ [1].
- □ This round uses the 139 fb⁻¹ full Run-II datasets.





- □ A simultaneous fit is performed on the Signal and Control regions:
 - Signal regions: ggF: 0-jet; 1-jet & $\eta_j < 2.2$; 2-jets& $\Delta \eta_{jj} < 4.0$ VBF: 0-jet; 1-jet & $\eta_j < 2.2$; 2-jets& $\Delta \eta_{ji} < 4.0$
 - $\circ~$ A control region to constrain the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$ in 80 $< m_{4\ell} <$ 220 with 0-, 1-, 2-jets
- \Box The $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$ normalisation is extracted from the data.
- \Box The background-only hypothesis is rejected with an observed (expected) significance of 3.2 (2.4) σ
- \Box The central observed value with 1 σ confidence:

$$\circ \ \mu = 1.09^{+0.60}_{-0.59}$$



Evidence of off-shell Higgs boson production



□ The central observed value with 1 σ confidence: • $\Gamma_H/\Gamma_H^{SM} = 1.10^{+0.55}_{-0.60} \Rightarrow \Gamma_H = 4.6^{+2.6}_{-2.5}$ MeV

Evidence of off-shell Higgs boson production



Summary

- □ Measure the Higgs boson total width by exploiting the ratio between on-shell and off-shell production.
- □ We measured the off-shell Higgs boson production in the ZZ to $4l\ell$ and ZZ to $2\ell 2\nu$ final states.
- □ The total Higgs width is measured to be:
 - \circ $\Gamma_{H} = 4.6^{+2.6}_{-2.5}$ MeV



ATLAS Paper Draft HIGG-2018-32 Version 1.0 Target journal: Phys. Lett. B.

Comments are due by: 31 October 2022

Supporting internal notes

Off-shell main analysis note: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2789650/ 44 channel common note: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2651267 1/vv channel common note: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2646262 Theory uncertainty note: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2232840

Evidence of off-shell Higgs boson production and constraints on the total width of the Higgs boson in the $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$ decay channels with the ATLAS detector

The note reports a search for of-shell production of the ringga boson using 13.09 $^{-1}$ of μ or obtained at al. $\lambda^{-1}=130$ control basic by the ALTAG boson of the Large boson collises. The of the hell signal task is a $\lambda^{-1}=130$ collision of the large boson collises. The of the hell signal task is a straight of the large boson collises. The of the large boson collision is the observation of the large boson collision. The of the large boson collision is the large boson collision. The of the large boson collision is the large boson collision is the large boson collision. The large large boson collision is the large boson collision is the large boson collision. The large large boson collision is the large boson collision is the large boson collision. The large large boson collision collision is the large boson collision of the large boson collision is the large boson collision. The large large boson collision is the large boson collision of the large boson collision is the large boson collision. The large boson collision is the large boson collision of the large boson collision is the large boson collision. The large boson collision is the large boson collision of the large boson collisis the large boson collision of the large boson coll

□ Results will be shown in the HIGGS 2022 Pisa, November 9th, 2022

Document created on 25th October 2022 using ATLAS MED Version 13.0.1.

$4\ell + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ analysis

4*l*+MET analysis:

- Glance link
- o TWiki
- $\circ~$ Supporting note in the CDS $~\Rightarrow~$
- Recent communication through the CDS
- □ We had a pre-approval talk October 27, 2022.
- □ Expect to give a closure-talk in the HBSM asap.





ATLAS Note ANA-HDBS-2019-08-INT1 27th October 2022



. Search for heavy resonances in final states with 4ℓ

- and missing transverse energy or jets in p p
- . collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

Abdualazem Fadol^{a,b}, Yaquan Fang^{a,b}, Theodota Lagouri^c, Bruce Mellado^d, Onesimo Mtintsilana^d, Xifeng Ruan^d, Humphry Tlou^d

> ^aInstitute of High Energy Physics ^bUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences ^cUniversity of Tarapacd ^dUniversity of the Witwatersrand

A search for a new heavy boosn produced via gluon fasions in the four-lepton channel with ministig markers energy or jots is presented. The search uses produce-proton collision data cognitodies to an integraded markers of 13 $^{-1}$ star $^{-1}$ star

18 © 2022 CERN for the benefit of the ATLAS Collaboration.

ir Reproduction of this article or parts of it is allowed as specified in the CC-BY-4.0 license.



$4\ell + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ analysis Data/MC comparison for $m_{4\ell} > 200$ GeV

□ Data/MC comparison for non-discriminative distributions at $m_{4\ell}$ > 200 GeV—suggested by the EB. □ $\mu(q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ, gg \rightarrow ZZ) = 1.13 \pm 0.03$.



Abdualazem | A Status Report

 $4\ell + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ analysis Data/MC comparison for $m_{4\ell} > 200$ GeV

□ Data/MC comparison for non-discriminative distributions at $m_{4\ell} > 200$ GeV—suggested by the EB. □ $\mu(q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ, gg \rightarrow ZZ) = 1.13 \pm 0.03$.



Abdualazem | A Status Report

$4\ell + E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ analysis Systematic on the signal shape



- $\Box\,$ Resolution: the σ is modified by 1.4% (up/down)
- \Box Scale: the μ is modified by 0.23% (up/down)
- EG_RESOLUTION_ALL(RMS) & EG_SCALE_ALL(MEAN)

$4\ell + E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ analysis The impact of NPs parameter on the POI



A320H220



A500H400

13

Abdualazem | A Status Report



14

□ The JET JER EffectiveNP 1 is estimated: +0.015364/-0.003235;

- □ This results on asymmetry behaviour seen in previous slides;
- $\hfill\square$ When th NP is symmetrised this asymmetry effect disappeared.



15

□ Upper limits at 95% CL between [0.028 - 0.293] fb on (320, 1300) - (220, 1000) GeV. □ The $A \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow X)H(\rightarrow 4\ell)$ signal only (left) and $A \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow 4\ell + X$ (right).



16

 $\hfill\square$ Working on the questions asked during the approval meeting.

\Box However, the organisers preferred to be in-person.

