

Theoretical Uncertainties---PDF+AlphaS

- Nominal PDF set is [90400\(PDF4LHC\)](#)
- PDF uncertainties(PDF4LHC, 30items, [Hessian symmetric](#)) set: [90401-90430](#)
- AlphaS up/down uncertainties(PDF4LHC)set: [90431/90432](#)
- σ is the given cross section(=expected yield).

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1510.03865.pdf>

α_s Uncertainties:

$$\delta^{\alpha_s} \sigma = \frac{\sigma(\alpha_s^{down}) - \sigma(\alpha_s^{up})}{2}$$

PDF Uncertainties:

$$\delta^{pdf} \sigma = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{mean}} (\sigma^{(k)} - \sigma^{(0)})^2}$$

PDF + α_s Uncertainties:

$$\delta^{\alpha_s+PDF} \sigma = \sqrt{(\delta^{PDF} \sigma)^2 + (\delta^{\alpha_s} \sigma)^2}$$

PDF set	definition
90400	alternative weights(PDF4LHC15_nlo_30_pdfas): $\alpha_s(M_Z)=0.118$ central value
90401-90430	PDF4LHC15_nlo_30_pdfas => PDF symmetric eigenvectors
90431	$\alpha_s(M_Z)=0.1165$
90432	$\alpha_s(M_Z)=0.1195$

ME provider	Pythia8	MG5aMC, Powheg, Herwig7	Sherpa
ME order	LO	LO/NLO	LO/NLO
Baseline	NNPDF23_lo_as_0130_qed (247000)	NNPDF30_nlo_as_0118 (260000) NNPDF30_nlo_as_0118_mc (260800)	NNPDF30_nnlo_as_0118 (261000) NNPDF30_nnlo_as_0118_hessian (303200)
Alternative baseline	x	PDF4LHC15_nlo_30_pdfas (90400)	PDF4LHC15_nnlo_30_pdfas (91400)
α_s variations	NNPDF23_lo_as_0119_qed (246800)	NNPDF30_nlo_as_0119 (266000) NNPDF30_nlo_as_0117 (265000)	NNPDF30_nnlo_as_0119 (270000) NNPDF30_nnlo_as_0117 (269000)
Alternative PDFs	CT14llo (13205) MMHT2014llo68cl (25000)	CT14nlo (13100) MMHT2014nlo68clas118 (25200)	CT14nnlo (13000) MMHT2014nnlo68cl (25300)

Theoretical Uncertainties---QCD

- Take the envelope of the scale variations as prescribed in the PMG recommendations. [PMG Twiki](#)
- Considered diagonal variations of the renormalization(μ_r) and the factorization scale (μ_f):
$$\{\mu_r, \mu_f\} \times \{0.5, 0.5\}, \{1, 0.5\}, \{0.5, 1\}, \{1, 1\}, \{2, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 2\}$$
- How to apply: (choose the max variation with sign)

$$\max[\mathcal{O}(\mu_{R,i}, \mu_{F,i}) - \mathcal{O}(\mu_{R,0}, \mu_{F,0})]$$

Theoretical Uncertainties——AOD/DAOD weight metadata

AOD:

location	type
MetaData/StreamAOD/m_eventTypes/m_mc_event_weights	EventStreamInfo_p3
CollectionTree/McEventInfo/m_event_type/m_mc_event_weights	PileUpEventInfo_p5
CollectionTree/TruthEventsAux/weights/values	xAOD::TruthEventAuxContainer_v1
CollectionTree/EventInfoAuxDyn.mcEventWeights	vector<float>
MetaData/_Generation_Parameters	IOVMetaDataContainer_p1
MetaData/TruthMetaDataAux.weightNames	vector<vector<string> >

DAOD:

location	type
MetaData/StreamDAOD_HIGG1D1/m_eventTypes/m_mc_event_weights	EventStreamInfo_p3
CollectionTree/McEventInfo/m_event_type/m_mc_event_weights	PileUpEventInfo_p5
CollectionTree/EventInfoAuxDyn.mcEventWeights	vector<float>
MetaData/_Generation_Parameters	IOVMetaDataContainer_p1
MetaData/TruthMetaDataAux.weightNames	vector<vector<string> >

Theoretical Uncertainties——DAOD weight metadata

location	type
MetaData/StreamDAOD_HIGG1D1/m_eventTypes/m_mc_event_weights	EventStreamInfo_p3
CollectionTree/McEventInfo/m_event_type/m_mc_event_weights	PileUpEventInfo_p5
CollectionTree/EventInfoAuxDyn.mcEventWeights	vector<float>
MetaData/_Generation_Parameters	IOVMetaDataContainer_p1
MetaData/TruthMetaDataAux.weightNames	vector<vector<string> >

- EventStreamInfo only contains weights of one example event.
- All weights stored twice in CollectionTree.
- Weight names only stored in Metadata tree.

For example →

Of course, different DAOD samples will store different weights (the order and types of weight_names will change, maybe depend on derivation?)

0 *	0 *		Default *
0 *	1 *		*
0 *	2 *	renscfact=0.5 facscfact=1.0	*
0 *	3 *	renscfact=2.0 facscfact=1.0	*
0 *	4 *	renscfact=0.5 facscfact=0.5	*
0 *	5 *	renscfact=1.0 facscfact=0.5	*
0 *	6 *	renscfact=1.0 facscfact=2.0	*
0 *	7 *	renscfact=2.0 facscfact=2.0	*
0 *	8 *	lhapdf=260000	*
0 *	9 *	lhapdf=266000	*
0 *	10 *	lhapdf=265000	*
0 *	11 *	lhapdf=13100	*
0 *	12 *	lhapdf=25200	*
0 *	13 *	lhapdf=90400	*
0 *	14 *	lhapdf=90401	*
0 *	15 *	lhapdf=90402	*
0 *	16 *	lhapdf=90403	*
0 *	17 *	lhapdf=90404	*
0 *	18 *	lhapdf=90405	*
0 *	19 *	lhapdf=90406	*
0 *	20 *	lhapdf=90407	*
0 *	21 *	lhapdf=90408	*
0 *	22 *	lhapdf=90409	*
0 *	23 *	lhapdf=90410	*
0 *	24 *	lhapdf=90411	*
0 *	25 *	lhapdf=90412	*
0 *	26 *	lhapdf=90413	*
0 *	27 *	lhapdf=90414	*
0 *	28 *	lhapdf=90415	*
0 *	29 *	lhapdf=90416	*
0 *	30 *	lhapdf=90417	*
0 *	31 *	lhapdf=90418	*
0 *	32 *	lhapdf=90419	*
0 *	33 *	lhapdf=90420	*
0 *	34 *	lhapdf=90421	*
0 *	35 *	lhapdf=90422	*
0 *	36 *	lhapdf=90423	*
0 *	37 *	lhapdf=90424	*
0 *	38 *	lhapdf=90425	*
0 *	39 *	lhapdf=90426	*
0 *	40 *	lhapdf=90427	*
0 *	41 *	lhapdf=90428	*
0 *	42 *	lhapdf=90429	*
0 *	43 *	lhapdf=90430	*
0 *	44 *	lhapdf=90431	*
0 *	45 *	lhapdf=90432	*

Theoretical Uncertainties——calculate

- QCD: $\max[\mathcal{O}(\mu_{R,i}, \mu_{F,i}) - \mathcal{O}(\mu_{R,0}, \mu_{F,0})]$

PDF Uncertainties:

$$\delta^{\text{pdf}} \sigma = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{\text{mean}}} (\sigma^{(k)} - \sigma^{(0)})^2}$$

α_s Uncertainties:

$$\delta^{\alpha_s} \sigma = \frac{\sigma(\alpha_s^{\text{down}}) - \sigma(\alpha_s^{\text{up}})}{2}$$

PDF + α_s Uncertainties:

$$\delta^{\alpha_s + \text{PDF}} \sigma = \sqrt{(\delta^{\text{PDF}} \sigma)^2 + (\delta^{\alpha_s} \sigma)^2}$$

- Evaluation of the theoretical systematics uncertainties on the efficiency: (= normalize variated and nominal weight.)
- $\varepsilon_{\text{syst}} = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{variated}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{nominal}}}$
- Where $\varepsilon_{\text{variated}} = \frac{\text{SumWeights}_{\text{Aftercuts}}^{\text{variated}}}{\text{SumWeights}_{\text{Beforecuts}}^{\text{variated}}}$, $\varepsilon_{\text{nominal}} = \frac{\text{SumWeights}_{\text{Aftercuts}}^{\text{nominal}}}{\text{SumWeights}_{\text{Beforecuts}}^{\text{nominal}}}$
- After_cuts means pass select cut (select different channels).
- Before_cuts means only use the basic cut in HgamCore. (MxAOD selection, exactly 2 photons)

Theoretical Uncertainties——results

	Parton shower(%)	PDF(%)	α_s (%)	PDF+ α_s (%)
$1l + jets$	+2.51	3.87	0.93	4.29
$1\tau_{had} + jets$	-1.18	3.93	0.95	4.36
$2(l + \tau_{had})$	-2.85	3.94	0.93	4.36

- Question for QCD: [PMG Twiki](#)

We need to take envelope of variations, but how to do that?

(Now we have the different QCD_weight with $\{\mu_r, \mu_f\} \times \{0.5, 0.5\}, \{1, 0.5\}, \{0.5, 1\}, \{1, 1\}, \{2, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 2\}$.)

If we just calculate the $\max[\mathcal{O}(\mu_{R,i}, \mu_{F,i}) - \mathcal{O}(\mu_{R,0}, \mu_{F,0})]$

The yield variation $\sim 13\%$.

Theoretical Uncertainties——For SH signal/Single Higgs

- Can't find weight name in SH signal samples, both in AOD/DAOD
- In Single Higgs: (180 weight names in ggH)

```
' PDF set = 90400 ' 111
' PDF set = 90401 ' 112
' PDF set = 90402 ' 113
' PDF set = 90403 ' 114
' PDF set = 90404 ' 115
' PDF set = 90405 ' 116
' PDF set = 90406 ' 117
' PDF set = 90407 ' 118
' PDF set = 90408 ' 119
' PDF set = 90409 ' 120
' PDF set = 90410 ' 121
' PDF set = 90411 ' 122
' PDF set = 90412 ' 123
' PDF set = 90413 ' 124
' PDF set = 90414 ' 125
' PDF set = 90415 ' 126
' PDF set = 90416 ' 127
' PDF set = 90417 ' 128
' PDF set = 90418 ' 129
' PDF set = 90419 ' 130
' PDF set = 90420 ' 131
' PDF set = 90421 ' 132
' PDF set = 90422 ' 133
' PDF set = 90423 ' 134
' PDF set = 90424 ' 135
' PDF set = 90425 ' 136
' PDF set = 90426 ' 137
' PDF set = 90427 ' 138
' PDF set = 90428 ' 139
' PDF set = 90429 ' 140
' PDF set = 90430 ' 141
' PDF set = 90431 ' 142
' PDF set = 90432 ' 143
```