



# $HH \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + ML$ Unblinding approval request closure

---

Qiyu Sha

Institute of High Energy Physics CAS, Beijing

ON BEHALF OF THE MULTILEPTON ANALYSIS TEAM

# Action items

---

- ◆ Reply to all comments on CDS
- ◆ Clarify in the note how the BDT boundaries are chosen
- ◆ Add labels making clear what is shown in the data/MC BDT plots in the sidebands.
- ◆ Include spurious signal uncertainty in the fit
- ◆ Include single higgs uncertainties in the fit and in the INT note.
- ◆ Add plots of the shape uncertainty for the continuum background modeling
- ◆ Split the background modelling NPs per channel.
- ◆ Add plots showing the pulls for all NPs included in the fit in the INT note.
- ◆  $\gamma\gamma+2L$ : Combine the two high BDT regions or all regions in a single one with enough data statistics
- ◆ Make the final myy plots for all regions entering the fit including all background systematics.

# Reply to action items

◆ Reply to all comments on CDS

Done, some of them are about ML channel.

◆ Clarify in the note how the BDT boundaries are chosen

Done, we add more details in the section 10.3 in updated version: Also is shown in [page 24](#)

We use 10 bins in BDTG shape and then calculate the significance with different regions for the Tight BDTG region using the Function 3, the results are shown in Table 50. Although the best value is  $0.8 < \text{BDTG}$ , considering the low statistic in this region. Finally, we choose the  $0.6 < \text{BDTG}$ . After choosing the first region, we do another scan on the remaining BDTG regions, the results are shown in Table 51, and the best second region is the  $0 < \text{BDTG} \leq 0.6$  in both  $\gamma\gamma+0\ell1\tau_{\text{had}}$  and  $\gamma\gamma+1\ell0\tau_{\text{had}}$  channel, so we choose this region as the Medium BDTG region, and the remaining region is the Loose BDTG region.

BDTG Region	Z-value in $\gamma\gamma+0\ell1\tau_{\text{had}}$	Z-value in $\gamma\gamma+1\ell0\tau_{\text{had}}$
[0.8, 1]	0.0268	0.0486
[0.6, 1]	0.0221	0.0464
[0.4, 1]	0.0190	0.0430
[0.2, 1]	0.0167	0.0406
[0, 1]	0.0150	0.0381

Table 50: The significance value used to choose the Tight BDTG region in  $\gamma\gamma+0\ell1\tau_{\text{had}}$  and  $\gamma\gamma+1\ell0\tau_{\text{had}}$  channel.

BDTG Region	Z-value in $\gamma\gamma+0\ell1\tau_{\text{had}}$	Z-value in $\gamma\gamma+1\ell0\tau_{\text{had}}$
[0.4, 0.6]	0.0026	0.0109
[0.2, 0.6]	0.0030	0.0128
[0.0, 0.6]	0.0032	0.0134
[-0.2, 0.6]	0.0031	0.0131
[-0.4, 0.6]	0.0029	0.0126


Table 51: The significance value used to choose the Medium BDTG region in  $\gamma\gamma+0\ell1\tau_{\text{had}}$  and  $\gamma\gamma+1\ell0\tau_{\text{had}}$  channel.

# Reply to action items


◆ Add labels making clear what is shown in the data/MC BDT plots in the sidebands.

Done:


$\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$ :



$\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ :



$\gamma\gamma + 2L$ :



# Reply to action items

- ◆ Include spurious signal uncertainty in the fit

Done, but some of spurious signal don't pass the threshold

- ◆ Include single higgs uncertainties in the fit and in the INT note.

Done, in Section 11.2 and 12.4.6

- Spurious signal test results:


Channel	Region	$\mu_{sp,tight}$ [%]	$Z_{sp,tight}$ [%]	$P(\chi^2)$ [%]	Selected
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$	loose	1.20	1.66	92.70	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$	medium	0.29	0.43	32.27	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$	tight	0.07	0.18	45.88	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	loose	5.58	6.42	83.43	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	medium	0.29	0.34	26.40	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	tight	0.03	0.09	73.97	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	whole	0.15	0.35	99.29	Yes

Table 55: The spurious signal test results for all channels with the 2<sup>nd</sup> order exponential polynomial function.

- Theory uncertainties:

Channel	Process	Parton Shower (%)	PDF + $\alpha_s$ (%)	QCD Scale (%)
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$	HH signal	2.51	4.46	+13.03 -12.26
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	HH signal	1.18	4.53	+13.15 -12.35
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	HH signal	2.85	4.47	+13.03 -12.33
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$	Single Higgs	-	5.86	+3.73 -3.82
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	Single Higgs	-	5.98	+10.49 -7.10
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	Single Higgs	-	5.35	+9.09 -7.67


Table 60: Summary of theoretical uncertainties for the  $\gamma\gamma + ML$  channel.



# Reply to action items

- ◆ Add plots of the shape uncertainty for the continuum background modeling

Don't sure about it. Just these plots we show before are the shape uncertainty for the continuum background modeling. And we just apply a average of shape variation to all bins.



- ◆ Split the background modelling NPs per channel.

Done, see the ranking plot in the pervious page

## Reply to action items

- ◆ Add plots showing the pulls for all NPs included in the fit in the INT note.


Done, in section 12.4.6. Most of them fail to pass the threshold, so we put different plots in the INT note.

One is with 20NPs, one is with all NPs

- ◆ yy+2L: Combine the two high BDT regions or all regions in a single one with enough data statistics

Combine all regions and redo all analysis about  $yy+2L$ . (Two high BDT have 4, not enough.)

Also, modify the INT note and provide a new version of unblinding approval request slide following.






# Reply to action items

◆ Make the final myy plots for all regions entering the fit including all background systematics.

Done, like this:

$\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$  :  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution in different BDTG regions







# Reply to action items

- ◆ Make the final  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  plots for all regions entering the fit including all background systematics.

$\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ :  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution in different BDTG regions



$\gamma\gamma + 2l$ :  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution in whole BDTG regions





# $HH \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + ML$ Unblinding approval request updated version

---

Qiyu Sha

Institute of High Energy Physics CAS, Beijing

ON BEHALF OF THE MULTILEPTON ANALYSIS TEAM

# Updated

---

- ◆ Updated all plots and tables about  $yy+2L$  channel.
- ◆ Clarify how the BDT boundaries are chosen
- ◆ Add labels making clear what is shown in the data/MC BDT plots in the sidebands.
- ◆ Update the theory uncertainties.
- ◆ Include all uncertainties in the fit and update the ranking plots/pull plots.
- ◆ Updated the final myy plots for all regions entering the fit including all background systematics.
- ◆ Updated the final results with all uncertainties and the results of  $yy+2L$  channel are changed.

# General information


---

- ◆ Entry in glance
- ◆ Supporting note circulated Dec. 9
- ◆ 1<sup>st</sup> EB meetings
- ◆ 2<sup>nd</sup> EB meetings
- ◆ Editorial Board:
  - ARNAEZ, Olivier (Annecy LAPP)
  - DUCU, Otilia Anamaria (Bucharest IFIN-HH) (Chair)
  - VEATCH, Jason Robert (Cal State)

# Introduction


The Run2 HH  $\rightarrow$  multilepton analysis searches the Standard Model(SM) di-Higgs production via two decay modes:

- Gluon-gluon Fusion (ggF): Dominant production mode, sensitive to  $K_t, K_\lambda$



$$\sigma \approx 31.05 \text{ fb}$$

- Vector Boson Fusion (VBF): Used as additional yields to the ggF signal , sensitive to  $K_{2V}, K_V, K_\lambda$



$$\sigma \approx 1.726 \text{ fb}$$

# Introduction

$\gamma\gamma + ML$  final states: 0.14% of HH decays ( $HH \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + WW / ZZ / \tau\tau$ )

## Analysis strategy:

Categorize final states by number of  $e, \mu, \tau_h$ :

- $\gamma\gamma + ML$  : 2 photons with light leptons and  $\tau_h$ , include three sub channels:
  - $\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ : Events with only one light lepton ( $e, \mu$ ) and zero hadronic  $\tau$  ( $\tau_h$ ).
  - $\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$ : Events with only zero light lepton and one hadronic  $\tau$ .
  - $\gamma\gamma + 2L$ : Events with only two opposite-sign leptons ( The capital “L” represents light lepton or hadronic  $\tau$ ).

	bb	WW	$\tau\tau$	ZZ	$\gamma\gamma$
bb	34%				
WW	25%	4.6%			
$\tau\tau$	7.3%	2.7%	0.39%		
ZZ	3.1%	1.1%	0.33%	0.069%	
$\gamma\gamma$	0.26%	0.10%	0.028%	0.012%	0.0005%

## Signal production strategy:

- $ggF$ : [600859-600861](#) ( $\gamma\gamma 0l, \gamma\gamma 1l, \gamma\gamma 2l$ )
- $VBF$ : [508676-508678](#) ( $\gamma\gamma 0l, \gamma\gamma 1l, \gamma\gamma 2l$ )
- Joboptions for  $ggF$  and  $VBF$ : (Details are shown in the [backup](#))
  - $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  and  $H \rightarrow WW / ZZ / \tau\tau$
  - $P_T(L) > 7 \text{ GeV}$
  - Use lepton filters:
    - $\gamma\gamma 0l$ : hyyFilter and hXXFilter and not LepOneFilter
    - $\gamma\gamma 1l$ : hyyFilter and hXXFilter and LepOneFilter and not LepTwoFilter
    - $\gamma\gamma 2l$ : hyyFilter and hXXFilter and LepTwoFilter

# Data and MC samples

Data: Full Run 2 data ( $139fb^{-1}$ )

MC samples:

- *signals:*

- *ggF HH signal:*

- Powheg + Pythia8 as nominal samples. (SM case  $K_\lambda = 1$ )

- *Backgrounds:*

- *Single Higgs:*

Powheg/MG5\_aMC@NLO + Pythia8 including all production modes.

- *MC continuum background:* (Is expected to be a smoothly falling down shape that can be modeled with an analytical function.)

- $\gamma\gamma + jets$ : Sherpa 2.2.4 (ME@NLO+PS)

- $V\gamma\gamma$ : Including  $V + \gamma\gamma$  and  $t\bar{t} + \gamma\gamma$ .

- $t\bar{t} + \gamma\gamma$ : MG5\_aMC@NLO + Pythia8

- $V + \gamma\gamma$ : Sherpa 2.2.4 (ME@LO+PS)

➤ *MC continuum background will be replaced by the background modeling which is driven by  $\gamma\gamma 0l0\tau_h + 1/2 jet$  sideband data.*

➤ The  $\gamma\gamma 0l0\tau_h + 1/2 jet$  MC continuum background are used for the background modeling uncertainty. (X→SH→ $\gamma\gamma$ +1/2 leptons analysis which will be reported by Kaili next uses different samples)

- *VBF HH signal:*

- MadGraph5 + Pythia8 as nominal samples.

DSID	Prod. Mode	Generator + Parton shower	PDF	Tune
Single Higgs				
343981	ggH	POWHEG + PYTHIA8	PDF4LHC15NNLO	AZNLO
346214	VBF	POWHEG + PYTHIA8	PDF4LHC15NNLO	AZNLO
345318	$W^+H$	POWHEG + PYTHIA8	PDF4LHC15NNLO	AZNLO
345317	$W^-H$	POWHEG + PYTHIA8	PDF4LHC15NNLO	AZNLO
345319	$qq \rightarrow ZH$	POWHEG + PYTHIA8	PDF4LHC15NNLO	AZNLO
345061	$gg \rightarrow ZH$	POWHEG + PYTHIA8	PDF4LHC15NNLO	AZNLO
346525	$t\bar{t}H$	POWHEG + PYTHIA8	NNPDF3.0NNLO	A14
345315	$b\bar{b}H$	POWHEG + PYTHIA8	NNPDF3.0NNLO	A14
346188	tHbj four flavour	MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO + PYTHIA8	NNPDF3.0NNLO	A14
346486	tHW	MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO + PYTHIA8	NNPDF3.0NNLO	A14
$\gamma\gamma + jets$ , with 0,1 additional jets at NLO and 2,3 jets at LO precision.				
364352	$\gamma\gamma + jets$ ( $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ range: 90-175 GeV)	SHERPA 2.2.4 (MEPS@NLO)	NNPDF3.0NNLO	
$V + \gamma\gamma$				
364862	$ee\gamma\gamma$	SHERPA 2.2.4 (MEPS@LO)	NNPDF3.0NNLO	
364865	$\mu\mu\gamma\gamma$	SHERPA 2.2.4 (MEPS@LO)	NNPDF3.0NNLO	
364868	$\tau\tau\gamma\gamma$	SHERPA 2.2.4 (MEPS@LO)	NNPDF3.0NNLO	
364871	$\nu\nu\gamma\gamma$	SHERPA 2.2.4 (MEPS@LO)	NNPDF3.0NNLO	
364874	$e\nu\gamma\gamma$	SHERPA 2.2.4 (MEPS@LO)	NNPDF3.0NNLO	
364877	$\mu\nu\gamma\gamma$	SHERPA 2.2.4 (MEPS@LO)	NNPDF3.0NNLO	
364880	$\tau\nu\gamma\gamma$	SHERPA 2.2.4 (MEPS@LO)	NNPDF3.0NNLO	
$t\bar{t}\gamma\gamma$				
345868	$t\bar{t}\gamma\gamma$ (non-all-hadronic)	MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO + PYTHIA8	NNPDF2.3LO	A14
345869	$t\bar{t}\gamma\gamma$ (all-hadronic)	MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO + PYTHIA8	NNPDF2.3LO	A14

# Object definition

Di-photon triggers: (The trigger matching is applied)

- HLT\_g35\_loose\_g25\_loose (2015/2016).
- HLT\_g35\_loose\_g25\_medium\_L12EM20VH (2017/2018).

➤ share the same object definition with kaili's SH analysis except  $\tau_{had}$ .)

Object definition: (Default setting in HGam framework)

➤ *Electrons:*

- $P_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|\eta| < 2.37$ , crack region excluded ( $1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$ ), Medium LH ID,  $|d_0|/\sigma_{d_0} < 5$ ,  $|\Delta Z_0 \times \sin \theta| < 0.5 \text{ mm}$ .
- Isolation requirements:  $\text{topoEtCone20} < 0.02 \times P_T$  and  $\text{ptcone20} < 0.15 \times P_T$ .

➤ *Muons:*

- $P_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|\eta| < 2.7$ , Medium ID,  $|d_0|/\sigma_{d_0} < 3$ ,  $|\Delta Z_0 \times \sin \theta| < 0.5 \text{ mm}$ .
- Isolation requirements: Loose\_FixedRad.

➤  $\tau_{had}$ :

- $P_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|\eta| < 2.5$ , crack region excluded, Charge =  $\pm 1$ .
- JetID RNN Loose,  $N_{track} = 1$  or 3 (Have a efficiency of 60%(45%) for one-(three-) prong  $\tau_{had}$  decays).
- Electron veto: passEleBDT; Muon overlap removal: passMuonOLR

➤ *Jets:*

- AntiK4EMPFflow
- $P_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|\eta| < 2.5$
- JVT WP tight, Jet cleaning WP: LooseBad
- B-tagging with DL1r@77% fixed-cut WP



# Event selection

Channel definitions:

➤ share the same event selections with SH analysis except the purple part.

Channel	Light lepton selection	$\sum \ell$ charge	$n\tau_{\text{had}}$	$\sum \tau_{\text{had}}$ charge	Photons	$N_{\text{jets}}$	$N_{b\text{-jets}}$
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}}$	1	$\pm 1$	0	0	2	-	0
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{\text{had}}$	0	0	1	$\pm 1$	2	-	0
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	$n_\ell + n_{\tau h} = 2$	$0, \pm 1$	$n_\ell + n_{\tau h} = 2$	$0, \pm 1$	2	-	0

Event selection:

- Mass window:  $105 \text{ GeV} < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 160 \text{ GeV}$ .
- 2 tight isolated photons with  $E_T^Y > 35$  (25)  $\text{GeV}$  and  $P_T^Y/m_{\gamma\gamma} > 0.35$  (0.25) for the leading (subleading) photon.
- B-veto:  $N_{b\text{-jets}} = 0$ . (At 77% WP and to be orthogonal to  $\text{HH} \rightarrow \text{bb}\gamma\gamma$ )
- $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 35 \text{ GeV}$  except  $1\mu 0\tau$  channel.
- $P_{T_{\gamma\gamma}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ .
- $m_{ll} > 12 \text{ GeV}$  in  $2l 0\tau$  channel. (We use this selection just for harmonizing with ML channel.)

MVA used to further separate signal and background.

Signal and control region:

- **Signal region:**  $120 \text{ GeV} < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 130 \text{ GeV}$ .
- **Control region:** Sideband region (Whole region except  $120 \text{ GeV} < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 130 \text{ GeV}$ )

# Cut-flow checks

Cut-flow checks in whole mass region( $105 \text{ GeV} < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 160 \text{ GeV}$ ):

- Total of signal means initial number of events:  $L * \sigma(HH) * Br(HH \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + WW / ZZ / \tau\tau)$

Selection Criteria	Signal	Single Higgs	$V\gamma\gamma$	$\gamma\gamma$ +jets
Total	6.38	8609.49	7920.16	2877250.00
Two tight photons	2.57	6254.33	1485.34	942950.00
b-jet veto	2.25	6045.58	1278.27	912626.00
$p_T^{\gamma\gamma} > 50 \text{ GeV}$	2.13	1855.20	641.85	230422.00
$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 35 \text{ GeV}$ except $\gamma\gamma+1\mu 0\tau_{\text{had}}$ channel	1.38	494.88	457.10	49882.10
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}}$	0.39	18.04	167.54	62.89
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{\text{had}}$	0.18	7.70	32.22	810.01
$\gamma\gamma+2L$ (Include $m_{\ell\ell} > 12 \text{ GeV}$ in $\gamma\gamma+2\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}}$ channel)	0.14	1.07	9.65	3.82

Cut-flow checks in sideband region:

Selection Criteria	$V\gamma\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ +jets from MC	Sideband data
Total	2544027.47	5585600
Two tight photons	754340.44	937470
b-jet veto	729958.81	911352
$p_T^{\gamma\gamma} > 50 \text{ GeV}$	184570.23	198921
$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 35 \text{ GeV}$ except $\gamma\gamma+1\mu 0\tau_{\text{had}}$ channel	40217.28	51505
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}}$	185.34	313
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{\text{had}}$	673.61	696
$\gamma\gamma+2L$ (Include $m_{\ell\ell} > 12 \text{ GeV}$ in $\gamma\gamma+2\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}}$ channel)	10.86	16

# MVA method

---

MVA: Boosted Decision Tree with the GradientBoost algorithm(BDTG)

- Use 4-folds cross-validation training which gives a better and smoother ROC curve.
- Training sample and test sample are separated by the event number which is reproducible for each simulation events.
- For each fold, the training method stays the same.
- For BDTG, the training parameters are listed as the following:
  - Number of trees: 1000
  - Maximal depth of trees: 2
  - Boost type: Gradient
  - Bagged Boost is used. (Bagged sample fraction: 0.5)
  - nCuts: 20

# MVA method

## Variables used for BDTG training:

- The "H" represents the SM Higgs which decays to di-photon
  - The capital "L" represents light lepton or hadronic  $\tau$
- $p_T(H)$ : transverse momentum of H.
  - $\phi(H)$ :  $\phi$  of H.
  - $\phi_0(L_1)$ : polar angle difference between the leading lepton and H.
  - $p_T(L_1)$ : transverse momentum of the leading lepton.
  - $p_T(L_2)$ : transverse momentum of the subleading lepton.
  - $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ : missing transverse momentum.
  - $\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}})$ :  $\phi$  of missing transverse momentum.
  - $\phi_0(\gamma_1)$ : polar angle difference between the leading  $\gamma$  and H.
  - $\eta(\gamma_1)$ :  $\eta$  of the leading  $\gamma$ .
  - $N_{j\text{cen}}$ : number of central jets.
  - $\min\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, j, L)$ : minimum polar angle difference between  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ , jets and the lepton.
  - $\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$ : polar angle difference between  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  and di-photon system.
  - $\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, LL)$ : polar angle difference between  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  and di-lepton system.
  - $\Delta R(L\nu)$ : angle difference between  $\ell$  and  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  system.
  - $\Delta R(\gamma\gamma, W)$ : angle difference between  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $W$  system.
  - $\eta(W)$ :  $\eta$  of  $W$ .
  - $\Delta m(L, L)$ : mass of di-lepton system.
  - $\Delta R(L, L)$ : angular difference between two leptons.
  - $\Delta\phi(L, L)$ : polar angle difference between two leptons.
  - $\Delta R(LL, \gamma\gamma)$ : angular difference between di-lepton system and di-photon system.
  - $pt(j_1)$ : transverse momentum of leading jet.

Variable	$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}}$	$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{\text{had}}$	$\gamma\gamma+2L$
$p_T(H)$	✓	✓	✓
$\phi(H)$			✓
$\phi_0(L_1)$	✓	✓	✓
$p_T(L_1)$	✓	✓	✓
$p_T(L_2)$			✓
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	✓	✓	✓
$\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}})$			✓
$\phi_0(\gamma_1)$	✓	✓	
$\eta(\gamma_1)$		✓	
$N_{j\text{cen}}$	✓	✓	✓
$\min\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, j, L)$	✓		✓
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$	✓	✓	✓
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, LL)$			✓
$\Delta R(L\nu)$	✓		✓
$\Delta R(\gamma\gamma, W)$	✓		
$\eta(W)$	✓		
$\Delta m(L, )$			✓
$\Delta R(L, L)$			✓
$\Delta\phi(L, L)$			✓
$\Delta R(LL, \gamma\gamma)$			✓
$pt(j_1)$			✓

# MVA method

The method of choosing BDTG variables in different channels:


- We select ~ten best variables with the best separation power from a pool of ~30 candidate variables.
- We check the correlations of these variables and ensure that they are all small. (And ensure these variables have little correlation with  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ )

$\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$ : (other channels are shown in the [backup](#))





Separation power:

Variable	Rank	Separation power
$p_T(H)$	1	45.52%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	2	29.89%
$p_T(L_1)$	3	18.51%
$\phi_0(L_1)$	4	10.97%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$	5	10.70%
$\phi_0(\gamma_1)$	6	4.76%
$N_{jcen}$	7	2.81%
$\eta(\gamma_1)$	8	2.72%



ROC-value: 0.941



Overtraining plots with ks test values for 4 folds:



Correlation Matrix:




# MVA method

$\gamma\gamma + 0\ell 1\tau_h$ : (other channels are shown in the [backup](#))

➤ Consider a rough background scaling:

➤ Apply a scale factor on MC continuum background ( $\gamma\gamma+jets$  and  $V\gamma\gamma$ ) which corresponds to the ratio between MC continuum background sideband yields and the data sideband yields:

➤ After background scaling, the BDTG and most of input variables distribution show here:



Channel	Scale factor
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$	1.68
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	1.03
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	1.47


Variable	Rank	Separation power
$p_T(H)$	1	45.52%
$E_T^{miss}$	2	29.89%
$p_T(L_1)$	3	18.51%
$\phi_0(L_1)$	4	10.97%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{miss}, \gamma\gamma)$	5	10.70%
$\phi_0(\gamma_1)$	6	4.76%
$N_{jcen}$	7	2.81%
$\eta(\gamma_1)$	8	2.72%

# BDTG regions


## BDTG distribution in different channels:

- The signal distribution is scaled to the total background events and the expected HH yield is quoted in the legend.
- The MC continuum background ( $\gamma\gamma+jets$  and  $V\gamma\gamma$ ) is in the  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  sideband region and after background scaling:


$\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$ :



$\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ :



$\gamma\gamma + 2L$ :



Three regions are defined in  $\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$  and  $\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$  channel by splitting the BDTG output distribution:

- The optimal value of the BDTG cuts is obtained by maximizing the expected significance, using the equation:
- To ensure there are enough sideband data events in each BDTG regions: (The details are shown in the next page.)

➤ Loose BDTG region:	$BDTG \leq 0$
➤ Medium BDTG region:	$0 < BDTG \leq 0.6$
➤ Tight BDTG region:	$0.6 < BDTG$

$$Z = \sqrt{2 \times \left[ (B + S) \times \left( \ln \frac{S + B}{B} - S \right) \right]}$$



# BDTG regions

$$Z = \sqrt{2 \times \left[ (B + S) \times \left( \ln \frac{S + B}{B} - S \right) \right]}$$

Three regions are defined in  $\gamma\gamma + 0\ell 1\tau_h$  and  $\gamma\gamma + 1\ell 0\tau_h$  channel by splitting the BDTG output distribution:

➤ Consider  $\gamma\gamma + 2L$  channel have too low data statistics, just use the whole BDTG region in this channel.

➤ Loose BDTG region:	$BDTG \leq 0$
➤ Medium BDTG region:	$0 < BDTG \leq 0.6$
➤ Tight BDTG region:	$0.6 < BDTG$

The method of choosing regions:

- Use 10 bins in BDTG distribution and then calculate the significance with different regions which are shown in the left table.(Table 50)
- Although the best value is  $0.8 < BDTG$ , considering the low statistic in this region, we choose the second one as the **Tight BDTG region** which is  $0.6 < BDTG$ .
- Then we do another scan on the remaining BDTG region and the results are shown in the right table.(Table 51)
- We can find the best region is the  $0 < BDTG \leq 0.6$  both in two channels. So this is the **Medium BDTG region**.
- The remaining region is the **Loose BDTG region**.

BDTG Region	Z-value in $\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	Z-value in $\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$
[0.8, 1]	0.0268	0.0486
[0.6, 1]	0.0221	0.0464
[0.4, 1]	0.0190	0.0430
[0.2, 1]	0.0167	0.0406
[0, 1]	0.0150	0.0381

Table 50: The significance value used to choose the Tight BDTG region in  $\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$  and  $\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$  channel.

BDTG Region	Z-value in $\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	Z-value in $\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$
[0.4, 0.6]	0.0026	0.0109
[0.2, 0.6]	0.0030	0.0128
[0.0, 0.6]	0.0032	0.0134
[-0.2, 0.6]	0.0031	0.0131
[-0.4, 0.6]	0.0029	0.0126

Table 51: The significance value used to choose the Medium BDTG region in  $\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$  and  $\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$  channel.




# Background estimation

## MC Backgrounds:


- *Single Higgs*
- *MC continuum background*: (Is expected to be a smoothly falling down shape that can be modeled with an analytical function.)
  - $\gamma\gamma + jets$
  - $V\gamma\gamma$ : Including  $V + \gamma\gamma$  and  $t\bar{t} + \gamma\gamma$ .

Consider MC Continuum background sample and data in sideband disagree:


$\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$ :



$\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ :



$\gamma\gamma + 2L$ :



➤ Need to perform the background modeling.

# Background estimation

Backgrounds modeling by using sideband data:



- The  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  shape is evaluated in  $\gamma\gamma + 0l0\tau_h$  sideband data instead of three sub-channels, due to limited statistics.
  - Use  $\gamma\gamma 0l0\tau_h + 1/2 jets$  to mimic the kinematic performance of  $\gamma\gamma + 1L$  (Include  $\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$  and  $\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ ) and  $\gamma\gamma + 2L$ .
  - Several functional forms are evaluated by fitting di-photon mass spectrum in the  $\gamma\gamma 0l0\tau_h + 1/2 jets$  sideband data.
- 
- Consider the different  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  shape in different BDTG region(loose, medium and tight), need to use different functions to fit them.
  - Because the tight BDTG region has too low statistics to fit (less than 80 in  $\gamma\gamma 0l0\tau_h + 1 jets$  data sideband).
    - Medium and tight BDTG regions are merged to **high BDTG region**. ( $BDTG \geq 0$ )
    - Correspondingly, rename loose BDTG region to **low BDTG region**. ( $BDTG < 0$ )
  - Then use different functions to fit the  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  shape in different regions and use the chi-square value to evaluate them.
    - Dof means degree of freedom.
    - Use 45 bins in sideband

$$\frac{\chi^2}{n_{\text{dof}}} = \frac{\sum_1^{45} \frac{(x_{MC} - x_{\text{data},SB})^2}{x_{\text{data},SB}}}{45 - \text{dof}}$$



# Background estimation

## Fit results

$\gamma\gamma + 0l0\tau_h 1j$  in low BDTG region ( $BDTG < 0$ ):



$\gamma\gamma + 0l0\tau_h 2j$  in whole BDTG region:



$$\frac{\chi^2}{n_{\text{dof}}} = \frac{\sum_1^{45} \frac{(x_{MC} - x_{\text{data}, SB})^2}{x_{\text{data}, SB}}}{45 - \text{dof}}$$

Function	dof	$\frac{\chi^2}{n_{\text{dof}}}$ in $\gamma\gamma 0\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}} + 1\text{jet}$	$\frac{\chi^2}{n_{\text{dof}}}$ in $\gamma\gamma 0\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}} + 2\text{jet}$
3 <sup>rd</sup> polynomial	3	556.11	281.60
2 <sup>nd</sup> exponential	2	538.74	272.83
1 <sup>st</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	1	563.50	281.79
2 <sup>nd</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	2	543.18	275.11
3 <sup>rd</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	3	553.06	280.46
4 <sup>th</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	4	567.53	287.22
5 <sup>th</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	5	585.92	292.19


➤ In low BDTG region, the best function is second-order exponential:

$$e^{c_1 \cdot m_{\gamma\gamma}^2 + c_2 \cdot m_{\gamma\gamma}}$$

# Background estimation

## Fit results

$\gamma\gamma + 0l0\tau_h 1j$  in high BDTG region ( $BDTG \geq 0$ ):



$$\frac{\chi^2}{n_{\text{dof}}} = \frac{\sum_1^{45} \frac{(x_{MC} - x_{\text{data},SB})^2}{x_{\text{data},SB}}}{45 - \text{dof}}$$


Function	dof	$\frac{\chi^2}{n_{\text{dof}}}$ in $\gamma\gamma 0l0\tau_{\text{had}} + 1j$ et
3 <sup>rd</sup> polynomial	3	5.00
2 <sup>nd</sup> exponential	2	4.88
1 <sup>st</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	1	4.67
2 <sup>nd</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	2	4.88
3 <sup>rd</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	3	5.03
4 <sup>th</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	4	6.03
5 <sup>th</sup> Chebyshev-polynomial	5	5.72

- In low BDTG region, the best function is first-order Chebyshev-polynomial.
- Consider none of these fit functions works well in this region due to the low statistic, for harmonize, we also use second-order exponential in the high BDTG region.
- We use second-order exponential to fit the  $\gamma\gamma + 0l0\tau_h 1/2j$  sideband data in all BDTG regions.


# Background estimation

Before background modeling:


$\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$ :



$\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ :




$\gamma\gamma + 2L$ :




After background modeling: (Continuum background modeling agree with sideband data)


$\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$ :



$\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ :



$\gamma\gamma + 2L$ :



# Spurious signal test

The potential bias due to the mis-modeling of background is estimated via the spurious signal test:

- Calculations of different BDTG categories in each sub-channel are treated independently.
- The conditions of the test:

Fitted signal yield

$S_{spur} < 10\% N_{s,exp}$  where  $N_{s,exp}$  is the expected number of signal yields in that category ( $\mu_{sp} = S_{spur} / N_{s,exp}$ ),

$S_{spur} < 20\% \sigma_{sig}$ , where  $\sigma_{sig}$  is the statistical uncertainty on the fitted number of signal yields when fitting the signal+background model to a background-only Asimov dataset ( $Z_{sp} = S_{spur} / \sigma_{sig}$ ).

$$P(\chi^2) > 5\%, \chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{45} \frac{(x_{MC} - x_{data,SB})^2}{x_{data,SB}}$$

➤ Spurious signal test results:

Channel	Region	$\mu_{sp,tight} [\%]$	$Z_{sp,tight} [\%]$	$P(\chi^2) [\%]$	Selected
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$	loose	1.20	1.66	92.70	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$	medium	0.29	0.43	32.27	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{had}$	tight	0.07	0.18	45.88	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	loose	5.58	6.42	83.43	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	medium	0.29	0.34	26.40	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{had}$	tight	0.03	0.09	73.97	Yes
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	whole	0.15	0.35	99.29	Yes

Table 55: The spurious signal test results for all channels with the 2<sup>nd</sup> order exponential polynomial function.

# Systematic uncertainties



## Background modeling uncertainties:

- The shape differences between the  $\gamma\gamma 0l0\tau_h + 1/2 jets$  and three sub-channels ( $\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$ ,  $\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$  and  $\gamma\gamma + 2L$ ) lead to additional uncertainties in the estimations of background yields for individual categories.
  - This uncertainty can be only evaluated by the continuum background MC samples, due to the low statistics in data sideband.
- The average of the relative deviation for all bins is chosen as the background modeling uncertainties:


$$\pm\sigma = \pm \sum_1^n \frac{|MC_{0l0\tau_2j} - MC_{2L}|}{MC_{2L} * n}$$

- $\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$  and  $\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$  In loose BDTG region:(BDTG<0)  
Other regions are shown in [backup](#)

Channel	Loose BDTG region	Medium BDTG region	Tight BDTG region
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell0\tau_{\text{had}}$	$\pm 3.37\%$	$\pm 15.73\%$	$\pm 11.22\%$
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell1\tau_{\text{had}}$	$\pm 0.75\%$	$\pm 14.67\%$	$\pm 10.67\%$
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	Whole BDTG region : $\pm 0.03\%$		



- $\gamma\gamma + 2L$  In Whole BDTG region:



# Systematic uncertainties

---

## Experimental uncertainties:

- Luminosity: 1.7 %
- Pile-up reweighting
- Photon: efficiency, energy scale, resolution, trigger uncertainties provided by Egamma CP group.
- Muon: efficiency, energy scale, resolution, object reconstruction, identification and isolation
- Electron: efficiency, energy scale, resolution
- Tau: trigger, identification, reconstruction, energy scale
- Jet : JER(Jet energy resolution), JES(Jet energy scale), vertex tagging
- Flavour tagging: b-jets, c-jets, light-jets and extrapolations
- MET: scale, resolution

➤ The detail list of experimental uncertainties is shown in [backup](#).



# Systematic uncertainties

Theory systematic uncertainties:

Signal:

- QCD
- PDF+ $\alpha_s$
- Parton Shower uncertainty

Background (only single Higgs, the background modeling is driven by data sideband)

- QCD
- PDF+ $\alpha_s$
- Parton Shower uncertainty (not finished yet, need to find the Herwig sample of Single Higgs.)




Channel	Process	Parton Shower (%)	PDF + $\alpha_s$ (%)	QCD Scale (%)
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}}$	HH signal	2.51	4.46	+13.03 -12.26
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{\text{had}}$	HH signal	1.18	4.53	+13.15 -12.35
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	HH signal	2.85	4.47	+13.03 -12.33
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}}$	Single Higgs	-	5.86	+3.73 -3.82
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{\text{had}}$	Single Higgs	-	5.98	+10.49 -7.10
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	Single Higgs	-	5.35	+9.09 -7.67

Table 60: Summary of theoretical uncertainties for the  $\gamma\gamma + ML$  channel.



# Fit procedure

- Framework: TRexFitter, limit cross checked by quickStats
- Binned likelihood fit to the  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  distributions, the binning of  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  is chosen to be 2.5GeV.
  - Signal modeling: number counting.
  - Single Higgs background modeling: number counting.
  - Continuum background modeling (sideband data driven) instead of the MC  $\gamma\gamma+jets$  and  $V\gamma\gamma$  samples.
- Consider experimental uncertainties and background modeling uncertainties in the fit.
- Number of bins in SR for three sub-channels: 4. (4 bins in  $120 < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 130$  region)

$\gamma\gamma + 0l1\tau_h$  : (other channels are shown in the [backup](#))  
 $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution in different BDTG regions:



# Pulls and Rankings




- Most of the NPs don't pass the threshold:
  - 1% on shape and 0.5% on normalization
- Including Experimental uncertainties, theory uncertainties, spurious signal and background modeling uncertainties.

# Fit results

- Performed a signal + background fit with part of systematic MC.
- Asimov dataset are assumed in all signal regions for the upper limit setting.

Channels	Stats.Only (Asimov)	+MC stats.(Asimov)	+Systematics (Asimov)	With all uncertainties
$\gamma\gamma+1\ell 0\tau_{\text{had}}$	25.46 <sup>36.99</sup> <sub>18.35</sub>	-	25.50 <sup>37.09</sup> <sub>18.37</sub>	26.44 <sup>39.21</sup> <sub>19.05</sub>
$\gamma\gamma+0\ell 1\tau_{\text{had}}$	52.70 <sup>76.71</sup> <sub>37.98</sub>	-	52.79 <sup>76.91</sup> <sub>38.04</sub>	54.91 <sup>81.56</sup> <sub>39.56</sub>
$\gamma\gamma+2L$	37.01 <sup>54.79</sup> <sub>26.67</sub>	-	37.07 <sup>54.94</sup> <sub>26.71</sub>	38.15 <sup>57.66</sup> <sub>27.49</sub>
$\gamma\gamma + ML$	17.72 <sup>25.52</sup> <sub>12.77</sub>	-	17.75 <sup>25.59</sup> <sub>12.79</sub>	18.41 <sup>27.12</sup> <sub>13.26</sub>



- Have similar limit to preliminary ML channel result. For comparison:

- Multilepton channel (in progress).

Channels	Stats. Only (Asimov)	+ MC stats.(Asimov)	+ Systematics (Asimov)
$2\ell SS$	32.34 <sup>51.69</sup> <sub>26.25</sub>	33.55 <sup>47.38</sup> <sub>24.17</sub>	35.8 <sup>50.77</sup> <sub>28.85</sub>
$3\ell$	26.11 <sup>37.12</sup> <sub>18.82</sub>	28.34 <sup>40.77</sup> <sub>20.43</sub>	29.29 <sup>42.2</sup> <sub>15.7</sub>
$b\bar{b}4\ell$	27.77 <sup>41.59</sup> <sub>20.02</sub>	28.85 <sup>44.01</sup> <sub>20.79</sub>	28.97 <sup>44.28</sup> <sub>20.87</sub>
$1\ell+2\tau_{\text{had}}$	32.97 <sup>47.30</sup> <sub>23.74</sub>	36.34 <sup>51.76</sup> <sub>26.18</sub>	37.56 <sup>53.65</sup> <sub>27.06</sub>
$2\ell+2\tau_{\text{had}}$	33.10 <sup>48.77</sup> <sub>23.88</sub>	33.73 <sup>49.55</sup> <sub>24.30</sub>	34.10 <sup>50.20</sup> <sub>24.55</sub>
$2\ell SS+1\tau_{\text{had}}$	42.60 <sup>61.10</sup> <sub>30.70</sub>		44.70 <sup>64.50</sup> <sub>32.2</sub>
Multilepton	11.18 <sup>15.89</sup> <sub>8.05</sub>	11.76 <sup>16.76</sup> <sub>8.47</sub>	12.18 <sup>17.40</sup> <sub>8.78</sub>

# Summary

---

## Analysis status:

- The analysis strategy is established and well defined
- Expected upper limit on the signal strength in  $\gamma\gamma+ML$  channel: 18.41 times its SM value.
- The limit is comparable with preliminary results from ML channels, the combined limit is around 10xSM.

## Documentation status

- [Supporting note circulated 12.9](#), comments are being implemented.
- New supporting note under preparation:
  - Updated final results: Include background modeling and background modeling uncertainties.
  - Working on CDS comments received during circulation.
- Paper can be prepared quickly.

From our side: ready for unblinding.

- ML channels will follow as soon as the new ML sample production is ready.

---

Thank you!

---

# Backup

# JobOption

$\gamma\gamma + 0l$   $ggF$ : (600859)

```
#-----
# Pythia8 showering setup
#-----
# initialize Pythia8 generator configuration for showering

runArgs.inputGeneratorFile=runArgs.inputGeneratorFile

include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_A14_NNPDF23L0_EvtGen_Common.py")

#-----
# Edit merged LHE file to remove problematic lines
#-----
include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_Powheg.py")

fname = "merged_lhef._0.events"

f = open(fname, "r")
lines = f.readlines()
f.close()

f = open(fname, 'w')
for line in lines:
    if not "#pdf" in line:
        f.write(line)
f.close()

include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_Powheg_Main31.py")

# configure Pythia8
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "25:oneChannel = on 0.353 100 24 -24 ", # WW decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.043 100 23 23 ", # ZZ decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.104 100 15 -15 ", # tautau decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.5 100 22 22 ", # yy decay
                             "24:mMin = 0", # W minimum mass
                             "24:mMax = 99999", # W maximum mass
                             "23:mMin = 0", # Z minimum mass
                             "23:mMax = 99999", # Z maximum mass
                             "TimeShower:mMaxGamma = 0" ] # Z/gamma* combination scale

#-----
# Dipole option Pythia8
#-----
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "SpaceShower:dipoleRecoil = on" ]
```

```
#-----
# Dipole option Pythia8
#-----
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "SpaceShower:dipoleRecoil = on" ]

#-----
# EVGEN Configuration
#-----
evgenConfig.generators += ["Powheg", "Pythia8"]
evgenConfig.description = "SM diHiggs production, decay to multi-lepton, with Powheg-Box-V2, at NLO + full top mass."
evgenConfig.keywords = ["hh", "SM", "SMHiggs", "nonResonant", "ggF", "multilepton"]
evgenConfig.contact = ['Shuiting Xin <Shuiting.Xin@cern.ch>']
evgenConfig.nEventsPerJob = 10000
evgenConfig.maxeventsfactor = 1.0
evgenConfig.inputFilesPerJob = 10

# #-----
# # Generator Filters
# #-----
from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import ParentChildFilter
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hyyFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [22])
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hXXFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [15,23,24])

from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import MultiElecMuTauFilter
filtSeq += MultiElecMuTauFilter("LepOneFilter")
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.IncludeHadTaus = True
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.NLeptons = 1
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinPt = 7000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinVisPtHadTau = 15000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MaxEta = 3

filtSeq.Expression = "hyyFilter and hXXFilter and not LepOneFilter"
```



# JobOption

$\gamma\gamma + 1l$  ggF: (600860)

```
#-----
# Pythia8 showering setup
#-----
# initialize Pythia8 generator configuration for showering

runArgs.inputGeneratorFile=runArgs.inputGeneratorFile

include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_A14_NNPDF23L0_EvtGen_Common.py")

#-----
# Edit merged LHE file to remove problematic lines
#-----
include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_Powheg.py")

fname = "merged_lhef._0.events"

f = open(fname, "r")
lines = f.readlines()
f.close()

f = open(fname, 'w')
for line in lines:
    if not "#pdf" in line:
        f.write(line)
f.close()

include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_Powheg_Main31.py")

# configure Pythia8
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "25:oneChannel = on 0.353 100 24 -24 ", # WW decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.043 100 23 23 ", # ZZ decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.104 100 15 -15 ", # tautau decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.5 100 22 22 ", # yy decay
                             "24:mMin = 0", # W minimum mass
                             "24:mMax = 99999", # W maximum mass
                             "23:mMin = 0", # Z minimum mass
                             "23:mMax = 99999", # Z maximum mass
                             "TimeShower:mMaxGamma = 0" ] # Z/gamma* combination scale

#-----
# Dipole option Pythia8
#-----
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "SpaceShower:dipoleRecoil = on" ]
```

```
#-----
# Dipole option Pythia8
#-----
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "SpaceShower:dipoleRecoil = on" ]

#-----
# EVGEN Configuration
#-----
evgenConfig.generators += ["Powheg", "Pythia8"]
evgenConfig.description = "SM diHiggs production, decay to multi-lepton, with Powheg-Box-V2, at NLO + full top mass."
evgenConfig.keywords = ["hh", "SM", "SMHiggs", "nonResonant", "ggF", "multilepton"]
evgenConfig.contact = ['Shuiting Xin <Shuiting.Xin@cern.ch>']
evgenConfig.nEventsPerJob = 10000
evgenConfig.maxeventsfactor = 1.0
evgenConfig.inputFilesPerJob = 12

# #-----
# # Generator Filters
# #-----
from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import ParentChildFilter
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hyyFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [22])
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hXXFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [15,23,24])

from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import MultiElecMuTauFilter
filtSeq += MultiElecMuTauFilter("LepOneFilter")
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.IncludeHadTaus = True
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.NLeptons = 1
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinPt = 7000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinVisPtHadTau = 15000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MaxEta = 3

filtSeq += MultiElecMuTauFilter("LepTwoFilter")
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.IncludeHadTaus = True
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.NLeptons = 2
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MinPt = 7000
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MinVisPtHadTau = 15000
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MaxEta = 3

filtSeq.Expression = "hyyFilter and hXXFilter and LepOneFilter and not LepTwoFilter"
```

# JobOption

$\gamma\gamma + 2l$  ggF: (600861)

```
#-----
# Pythia8 showering setup
#-----
# initialize Pythia8 generator configuration for showering

runArgs.inputGeneratorFile=runArgs.inputGeneratorFile

include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_A14_NNPDF23L0_EvtGen_Common.py")

#-----
# Edit merged LHE file to remove problematic lines
#-----
include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_Powheg.py")

fname = "merged_lhef._0.events"

f = open(fname, "r")
lines = f.readlines()
f.close()

f = open(fname, 'w')
for line in lines:
    if not "#pdf" in line:
        f.write(line)
f.close()

include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_Powheg_Main31.py")

# configure Pythia8
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "25:oneChannel = on 0.353 100 24 -24 ", # WW decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.043 100 23 23 ", # ZZ decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.104 100 15 -15 ", # tautau decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.5 100 22 22 ", # yy decay
                             "24:mMin = 0", # W minimum mass
                             "24:mMax = 99999", # W maximum mass
                             "23:mMin = 0", # Z minimum mass
                             "23:mMax = 99999", # Z maximum mass
                             "TimeShower:mMaxGamma = 0" ] # Z/gamma* combination scale

#-----
# Dipole option Pythia8
#-----
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "SpaceShower:dipoleRecoil = on" ]
```

```
#-----
# Dipole option Pythia8
#-----
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "SpaceShower:dipoleRecoil = on" ]

#-----
# EVGEN Configuration
#-----
evgenConfig.generators += ["Powheg", "Pythia8"]
evgenConfig.description = "SM diHiggs production, decay to multi-lepton, with Powheg-Box-V2, at NLO + full top mass."
evgenConfig.keywords = ["hh", "SM", "SMHiggs", "nonResonant", "ggF", "multilepton"]
evgenConfig.contact = ['Shuiting Xin <Shuiting.Xin@cern.ch>']
evgenConfig.nEventsPerJob = 10000
evgenConfig.maxeventsfactor = 1.0
evgenConfig.inputFilesPerJob = 12

# #-----
# # Generator Filters
# #-----
from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import ParentChildFilter
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hyyFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [22])
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hXXFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [15,23,24])

from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import MultiElecMuTauFilter
filtSeq += MultiElecMuTauFilter("LepOneFilter")
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.IncludeHadTaus = True
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.NLeptons = 1
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinPt = 7000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinVisPtHadTau = 15000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MaxEta = 3

filtSeq += MultiElecMuTauFilter("LepTwoFilter")
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.IncludeHadTaus = True
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.NLeptons = 2
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MinPt = 7000
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MinVisPtHadTau = 15000
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MaxEta = 3

filtSeq.Expression = "hyyFilter and hXXFilter and LepOneFilter and not LepTwoFilter"
```

# JobOption

$\gamma\gamma + 0l$  VBF: (508676)

```
import MadGraphControl.MadGraph_NNPDF3NNLO_Base_Fragment
from MadGraphControl.MadGraphUtils import *

beamEnergy=999
if hasattr(runArgs,'ecmEnergy'):
    beamEnergy = runArgs.ecmEnergy / 2.
else:
    raise RuntimeError("No center of mass energy found.")

#-----
# Due to the low filter efficiency, the number of generated events are set to safefactor times maxEvents,
# to avoid crashing due to not having enough events
# Also putting protection to avoid from crashing when maxEvents=-1
#-----
safefactor=30
nevents=5000*safefactor
if runArgs.maxEvents > 0:
    nevents=runArgs.maxEvents*safefactor

mode=0

#-----
# Setting parameters for param_card.dat
#-----
parameters = {}
parameters['NEW'] = {'CV': '1.0000000', # CV
                    'C2V': '1.0000000', # C2V
                    'C3': '1.0000000'} # C3

#-----
# Setting higgs mass to 125 GeV for param_card.dat
#-----
parameters['MASS']=['25':'1.250000e+02'] #MH

#-----
# Setting some parameters for run_card.dat
#-----
extras = { 'lhe_version':'2.0',
          'cut_decays':'F',
          'scale':'125',
          'dsqrt_q2fact1':'125',
          'dsqrt_q2fact2':'125',
          'nevents':int(nevents)}
```

```
#-----
# Setting higgs mass to 125 GeV for param_card.dat
#-----
parameters['MASS']=['25':'1.250000e+02'] #MH

#-----
# Setting some parameters for run_card.dat
#-----
extras = { 'lhe_version':'2.0',
          'cut_decays':'F',
          'scale':'125',
          'dsqrt_q2fact1':'125',
          'dsqrt_q2fact2':'125',
          'nevents':int(nevents)}
```

```
#-----
# Generating non-resonant VBF-Only HH process with MadGraph
# Parameters are set above
#-----
process="""
import model sm
define p = g u c d s u~ c~ d~ s~
define j = g u c d s u~ c~ d~ s~
import model /cvmfs/atlas.cern.ch/repo/sw/Generators/madgraph/models/latest/HHVBF_UFO
generate p p > h h j j $$ z w+ w- / a j QED=4
output -f"""

process_dir = new_process(process)

#-----
# Using the helper function from MadGraphControl for setting up the run_card
# https://gitlab.cern.ch/atlas/athena/-/tree/master/Generators/MadGraphControl
# Build a new run_card.dat from an existing one
# Using the values given in "extras" above for the selected parameters when setting up the run_card
# If not set in "extras", default values are used
#-----
modify_run_card(process_dir=process_dir,runArgs=runArgs,settings=extras)
```

```
#-----
# Using the helper function from MadGraphControl for setting up the param_card
# https://gitlab.cern.ch/atlas/athena/-/tree/master/Generators/MadGraphControl
# Build a new param_card.dat from an existing one
# Used values given in "parameters" for CV, C2V, C3
# Higgs mass is set to 125 GeV by "higgsMass"
#-----
modify_param_card(process_dir=process_dir,params=parameters)

#-----
# Printing cards
#-----
print_cards()

#-----
# Generate events
#-----
generate(process_dir=process_dir,runArgs=runArgs)

#-----
# Move output files into the appropriate place, with the appropriate name
#-----
arrange_output(process_dir=process_dir,runArgs=runArgs,lhe_version=2,saveProcDir=True)

#-----
# EVGEN Configuration
#-----
evgenConfig.generators = ["MadGraph", "Pythia8"]
evgenConfig.description = "SM diHiggs production, decay to multi-lepton, with Powheg-Box-V2, at NLO + full top mass."
evgenConfig.keywords = ["hh","SM", "SMHiggs", "nonResonant", "VBF", "multilepton"]
evgenConfig.contact = ['Varsha Senthilkumar <varsha.senthilkumar@cern.ch>']

evgenConfig.nEventsPerJob = 10000
#evgenConfig.tune = "MMHT2014"
```

```
#-----
# Pythia8 showering
#-----
include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_A14_NNPDF23L0_EvtGen_Common.py")
include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_MadGraph.py")

# configure Pythia8
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "25:oneChannel = on 0.353 100 24 -24 ", # WW decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.043 100 23 23 ", # ZZ decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.104 100 15 -15 ", # tautau decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.5 100 22 22 ", # yy decay
                             "24:mMin = 0", # W minimum mass
                             "24:mMax = 99999", # W maximum mass
                             "23:mMin = 0", # Z minimum mass
                             "23:mMax = 99999", # Z maximum mass
                             "TimeShower:mMaxGamma = 0" ] # Z/gamma* combination scale
                             "TimeShower:mMaxGamma = 0" ] # Z/gamma* combination scale
```

```
#-----
# Dipole option Pythia8
#-----
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "SpaceShower:dipoleRecoil = on" ]
```

```
# #-----
# # Generator Filters
# #-----
from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import ParentChildFilter
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hyyFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [22])
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hXXFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [15,23,24])

from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import MultiElecMuTauFilter
filtSeq += MultiElecMuTauFilter("LepOneFilter")
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.IncludeHadTaus = True
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.NLeptons = 1
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinPt = 7000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinVisPtHadTau = 15000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MaxEta = 3
```

```
filtSeq.Expression = "hyyFilter and hXXFilter and not LepOneFilter"
```

# JobOption

$\gamma\gamma + 0l$  VBF: (508677)

```
import MadGraphControl.MadGraph_NNPDF30NLO_Base_Fragment
from MadGraphControl.MadGraphUtils import *

beamEnergy=-999
if hasattr(runArgs,'ecmEnergy'):
    beamEnergy = runArgs.ecmEnergy / 2.
else:
    raise RuntimeError("No center of mass energy found.")

#-----
# Due to the low filter efficiency, the number of generated events are set to safefactor times maxEvents,
# to avoid crashing due to not having enough events
# Also putting protection to avoid from crashing when maxEvents=-1
#-----
safefactor=30
nevents=5000*safefactor
if runArgs.maxEvents > 0:
    nevents=runArgs.maxEvents*safefactor

mode=0

#-----
# Setting parameters for param_card.dat
#-----
parameters = {}
parameters['NEW'] = {'CV': '1.0000000', # CV
                    'C2V': '1.0000000', # C2V
                    'C3': '1.0000000'} # C3

#-----
# Setting higgs mass to 125 GeV for param_card.dat
#-----
parameters['MASS']={'25':'1.250000e+02'} #MH

#-----
# Setting some parameters for run_card.dat
#-----
extras = { 'lhe_version':'2.0',
          'cut_decays':'F',
          'scale':'125',
          'dsqrt_q2fact1':'125',
          'dsqrt_q2fact2':'125',
          'nevents':int(nevents)}

#-----
# Generating non-resonant VBF-Only HH process with MadGraph
# Parameters are set above
#-----
process=""
import model sm
define p = g u c d s u- c- d- s-
define j = g u c d s u- c- d- s-
import model /cvmfs/atlas.cern.ch/repo/sw/Generators/madgraph/models/latest/HHVBF_UFO
generate p p > h h j $ $ z w+ w- / a j QED=4
output -f""

process_dir = new_process(process)

#-----
# Using the helper function from MadGraphControl for setting up the run_card
# https://gitlab.cern.ch/atlas/athena/-/tree/master/Generators/MadGraphControl
# Build a new run_card.dat from an existing one
# Using the values given in "extras" above for the selected parameters when setting up the run_card
# If not set in "extras", default values are used
#-----
modify_run_card(process_dir=process_dir,runArgs=runArgs,settings=extras)

#-----
# Using the helper function from MadGraphControl for setting up the param_card
# https://gitlab.cern.ch/atlas/athena/-/tree/master/Generators/MadGraphControl
# Build a new param_card.dat from an existing one
# Used values given in "parameters" for CV, C2V, C3
# Higgs mass is set to 125 GeV by "higgsMass"
#-----
modify_param_card(process_dir=process_dir,params=parameters)
```

```
# Using the helper function from MadGraphControl for setting up the param_card
# https://gitlab.cern.ch/atlas/athena/-/tree/master/Generators/MadGraphControl
# Build a new param_card.dat from an existing one
# Used values given in "parameters" for CV, C2V, C3
# Higgs mass is set to 125 GeV by "higgsMass"
#-----
modify_param_card(process_dir=process_dir,params=parameters)

#-----
# Printing cards
print_cards()

#-----
# Generate events
#-----
generate(process_dir=process_dir,runArgs=runArgs)

#-----
# Move output files into the appropriate place, with the appropriate name
#-----
arrange_output(process_dir=process_dir,runArgs=runArgs,lhe_version=2,saveProcDir=True)

#-----
# EVGEN Configuration
#-----
evgenConfig.generators = ["MadGraph", "Pythia8"]
evgenConfig.description = "SM diHiggs production, decay to multi-lepton, with Powheg-Box-V2, at NLO + full top mass."
evgenConfig.keywords = ["hh","SM", "SMHiggs", "nonResonant", "VBF", "multilepton"]
evgenConfig.contact = ['Varsha Senthilkumar <varsha.senthilkumar@cern.ch>']

evgenConfig.nEventsPerJob = 10000
#evgenConfig.tune = "MMHT2014"

#-----
# Pythia8 showering
#-----
include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_A14_NNPDF23L0_EvtGen_Common.py")
include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_MadGraph.py")

# configure Pythia8
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "25:oneChannel = on 0.353 100 24 -24 ", # WW decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.043 100 23 23 ", # ZZ decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.104 100 15 -15 ", # tau tau decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.5 100 22 22 ", # yy decay
                             "24:mMin = 0", # W minimum mass
                             "24:mMax = 99999", # W maximum mass
                             "23:mMin = 0", # Z minimum mass
                             "23:mMax = 99999", # Z maximum mass
                             "TimeShower:mMaxGamma = 0" ] # Z/gamma* combination scale
                             "TimeShower:mMaxGamma = 0" ] # Z/gamma* combination scale

#-----
# Dipole option Pythia8
#-----
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "SpaceShower:dipoleRecoil = on" ]

#-----
# Generator Filters
#-----
from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import ParentChildFilter
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hyFilter", PDGPparent = [25], PDGchild = [22])
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hxxFilter", PDGPparent = [25], PDGchild = [15,23,24])

from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import MultiElecMuTauFilter
filtSeq += MultiElecMuTauFilter("LepOneFilter")
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.IncludeHadTaus = True
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.NLeptons = 1
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinPt = 7000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MinVisPtHadTau = 15000
filtSeq.LepOneFilter.MaxEta = 3

filtSeq += MultiElecMuTauFilter("LepTwoFilter")
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.IncludeHadTaus = True
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.NLeptons = 2
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MinPt = 7000
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MinVisPtHadTau = 15000
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MaxEta = 3

filtSeq.Expression = "hyFilter and hxxFilter and LepOneFilter and not LepTwoFilter"
```

# JobOption

$\gamma\gamma + 0l$  VBF: (508678)

```
import MadGraphControl.MadGraph.NNPDF30NLO_Base_Fragment
from MadGraphControl.MadGraphUtils import *
```

```
beamEnergy=-999
if hasattr(runArgs,'ecmEnergy'):
    beamEnergy = runArgs.ecmEnergy / 2.
else:
    raise RuntimeError("No center of mass energy found.")
```

```
#-----
# Due to the low filter efficiency, the number of generated events are set to safefactor times maxEvents,
# to avoid crashing due to not having enough events
# Also putting protection to avoid from crashing when maxEvents=-1
#-----
safefactor=30
nevents=5000*safefactor
if runArgs.maxEvents > 0:
    nevents=runArgs.maxEvents*safefactor

mode=0

#-----
# Setting parameters for param_card.dat
#-----
parameters = {}
parameters['NEW'] = {'CV': '1.0000000', # CV
                    'C2V': '1.0000000', # C2V
                    'C3': '1.0000000'} # C3

#-----
# Setting higgs mass to 125 GeV for param_card.dat
#-----
parameters['MASS']=['25':'1.250000e+02'] #MH

#-----
# Setting some parameters for run_card.dat
#-----
extras = { 'lhe_version':'2.0',
          'cut_decays':'F',
          'scale':'125',
          'dsqrt_q2fact1':'125',
          'dsqrt_q2fact2':'125',
          'nevents':int(nevents)}
```

```
#-----
# Generating non-resonant VBF-Only HH process with MadGraph
# Parameters are set above
#-----
process="""
import model sm
define p = g u c d s u~ c~ d~ s~
define j = g u c d s u~ c~ d~ s~
import model /cvmfs/atlas.cern.ch/repo/sw/Generators/madgraph/models/latest/HHVBF_UFO
generate p p > h h j $$ z w+ w- / a j QED=4
output -f"""

process_dir = new_process(process)

#-----
# Using the helper function from MadGraphControl for setting up the run_card
# https://gitlab.cern.ch/atlas/athena/-/tree/master/Generators/MadGraphControl
# Build a new run_card.dat from an existing one
# Using the values given in "extras" above for the selected parameters when setting up the run_card
# If not set in "extras", default values are used
#-----
modify_run_card(process_dir=process_dir,runArgs=runArgs,settings=extras)

#-----
# Using the helper function from MadGraphControl for setting up the param_card
# https://gitlab.cern.ch/atlas/athena/-/tree/master/Generators/MadGraphControl
# Build a new param_card.dat from an existing one
# Used values given in "parameters" for CV, C2V, C3
# Higgs mass is set to 125 GeV by "higgsMass"
#-----
modify_param_card(process_dir=process_dir,params=parameters)
```

```
#-----
# Generate events
#-----
generate(process_dir=process_dir,runArgs=runArgs)

#-----
# Move output files into the appropriate place, with the appropriate name
#-----
arrange_output(process_dir=process_dir,runArgs=runArgs,lhe_version=2,saveProcDir=True)

#-----
# EVGEN Configuration
#-----
evgenConfig.generators = ["MadGraph", "Pythia8"]
evgenConfig.description = "SM diHiggs production, decay to multi-lepton, with Powheg-Box-V2, at NLO + full top mass."
evgenConfig.keywords = ["hh","SM", "SMHiggs", "nonResonant", "VBF", "multilepton"]
evgenConfig.contact = ['Varsha Senthilkumar <varsha.senthilkumar@cern.ch>']

evgenConfig.nEventsPerJob = 10000
#evgenConfig.tune = "MMHT2014"

#-----
# Pythia8 showering
#-----
include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_A14_NNPDF23L0_EvtGen_Common.py")
include("Pythia8_i/Pythia8_MadGraph.py")

# configure Pythia8
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "25:oneChannel = on 0.353 100 24 -24 ", # WW decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.043 100 23 23 ", # ZZ decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.104 100 15 -15 ", # tautau decay
                             "25:addChannel = on 0.5 100 22 22 ", # yy decay
                             "24:mMin = 0", # W minimum mass
                             "24:mMax = 99999", # W maximum mass
                             "23:mMin = 0", # Z minimum mass
                             "23:mMax = 99999", # Z maximum mass
                             "TimeShower:mMaxGamma = 0" ] # Z/gamma* combination scale
                             "TimeShower:mMaxGamma = 0" ] # Z/gamma* combination scale
```

```
#-----
# Dipole option Pythia8
#-----
genSeq.Pythia8.Commands += [ "SpaceShower:dipoleRecoil = on" ]

#-----
## Generator Filters
#-----
from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import ParentChildFilter
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hyyFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [22])
filtSeq += ParentChildFilter("hXXFilter", PDGParent = [25], PDGChild = [15,23,24])

from GeneratorFilters.GeneratorFiltersConf import MultiElecMuTauFilter
filtSeq += MultiElecMuTauFilter("LepTwoFilter")
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.IncludeHadTaus = True
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.NLeptons = 2
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MinPt = 7000
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MinVisPtHadTau = 15000
filtSeq.LepTwoFilter.MaxEta = 3







filtSeq.Expression = "hyyFilter and hXXFilter and LepTwoFilter"
```

# Investigation on $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ disagreement (Appendix F.4)

What happens in the  $m_{\text{gammagamma}} > 150 \text{ GeV} < 155 \text{ GeV}$  bin? (that peak)

The disagreement is below 2 sigma, we do some check:

- This peak goes down with smaller bins (in the left plots)
- When we check the BDTG shape in (150,155) GeV. (Right one)
- the most events are in the low BDTG  $\Rightarrow$  not HH signal like.







# MVA method

$\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ :


Separation power:

Variable	Rank	Separation power
$p_T(H)$	1	31.15%
$\Delta R(\gamma\gamma, W)$	2	11.75%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$	3	8.34%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	4	7.04%
$\phi_0(L_1)$	5	6.51%
$\min\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, j, L)$	6	5.03%
$\Delta R(L\nu)$	7	4.68%
$N_{j\text{cen}}$	8	3.31%
$\eta(W)$	9	3.20%
$\phi_0(\gamma_1)$	10	3.12%
$p_T(L_1)$	11	1.81%





Correlation Matrix:



ROC-value: 0.896



Overtraining plots with ks test values for 4 folds:





# MVA method

$\gamma\gamma + 1l1\tau_h$ : ( $\gamma\gamma + 2L$  include  $1l1\tau_h, 2l0\tau_h, 0l2\tau_h$ )


Separation power:

Variable	Rank	Separation power
$p_T(H)$	1	33.92%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, LL)$	2	17.63%
$\Delta\phi(\ell, \ell)$	3	16.60%
$\Delta R(L\nu)$	4	15.80%
$\Delta R(LL, \gamma\gamma)$	5	14.67%
$\phi_0(L_1)$	6	13.40%
$\Delta R(\gamma\gamma, W)$	7	13.16%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$	8	11.54%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	9	8.51%
$\min\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, j, L)$	9	8.26%
$p_T(L_1)$	11	4.80%
$\phi(H)$	12	2.15%





Correlation Matrix:



ROC-value: 0.937



Overtraining plots with ks test values for 4 folds:






# MVA method

$\gamma\gamma + 2l0\tau_h$  : ( $\gamma\gamma + 2L$  include  $1l1\tau_h, 2l0\tau_h, 0l2\tau_h$ )





Separation power:

Variable	Rank	Separation power
$\Delta m(LL)$	1	31.78%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	2	29.40%
$p_T(H)$	3	29.35%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$	4	25.37%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, LL)$	5	24.88%
$\Delta R(L\nu)$	6	22.14%
$\Delta R(LL)$	7	20.43%
$\Delta\phi(LL)$	8	16.06%
$\phi_0(L1)$	9	9.86%
$N_{jcen}$	10	4.04%
$\min\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, j, L)$	11	2.42%



ROC-value: 0.971



Overtraining plots with ks test values for 4 folds:



Correlation Matrix:




# MVA method

$\gamma\gamma + 0l2\tau_h$ : ( $\gamma\gamma + 2L$  include  $1l1\tau_h, 2l0\tau_h, 0l2\tau_h$ )





Separation power:

Variable	Rank	Separation power
$p_T(H)$	1	44.16%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, LL)$	2	28.34%
$p_T(L_1)$	3	25.49%
$p_T(L_2)$	4	18.84%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	5	17.64%
$\phi_0(L_1)$	6	14.40%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$	7	12.54%
$\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}})$	8	11.15%
$N_{j\text{cen}}$	9	9.90%



ROC-value: 0.965



Overtraining plots with ks test values for 4 folds:



Correlation Matrix:




# MVA method

$\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ :

Consider a tough background scaling:

- Apply a scale factor on MC continuum background ( $\gamma\gamma+jets$  and  $V\gamma\gamma$ ) which corresponds to **the ratio between MC continuum background sideband yields and the data sideband yields**:

- After background scaling, the BDTG and most of input variables distribution show here:




# MVA method

$\gamma\gamma + 2L$ :

Consider a tough background scaling:

- Apply a scale factor on MC continuum background ( $\gamma\gamma+jets$  and  $V\gamma\gamma$ ) which corresponds to the ratio between MC continuum background sideband yields and the data sideband yields:
- After background scaling, the BDTG and most of input variables distribution show here:



$\gamma\gamma + 1l1\tau_h$

Variable	Rank	Separation power
$p_T(H)$	1	33.92%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, LL)$	2	17.63%
$\Delta\phi(\ell, \ell)$	3	16.60%
$\Delta R(L\nu)$	4	15.80%
$\Delta R(LL, \gamma\gamma)$	5	14.67%
$\phi_0(L_1)$	6	13.40%
$\Delta R(\gamma\gamma, W)$	7	13.16%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$	8	11.54%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	9	8.51%
$\min\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, j, L)$	9	8.26%
$p_T(L_1)$	11	4.80%
$\phi(H)$	12	2.15%

$\gamma\gamma + 2l0\tau_h$



Variable	Rank	Separation power
$\Delta m(LL)$	1	31.78%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	2	29.40%
$p_T(H)$	3	29.35%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$	4	25.37%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, LL)$	5	24.88%
$\Delta R(L\nu)$	6	22.14%
$\Delta R(LL)$	7	20.43%
$\Delta\phi(LL)$	8	16.06%
$\phi_0(L_1)$	9	9.86%
$N_{jcen}$	10	4.04%
$\min\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, j, L)$	11	2.42%

$\gamma\gamma + 0l2\tau_h$



Variable	Rank	Separation power
$p_T(H)$	1	44.16%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, LL)$	2	28.34%
$p_T(L_1)$	3	25.49%
$p_T(L_2)$	4	18.84%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	5	17.64%
$\phi_0(L_1)$	6	14.40%
$\Delta\Phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma\gamma)$	7	12.54%
$\phi(E_T^{\text{miss}})$	8	11.15%
$N_{jcen}$	9	9.90%

# Systematic uncertainties

➤ In Medium BDTG region:  
( $0 < \text{BDTG} < 0.6$ )



➤ In Tight BDTG region:  
( $0.6 < \text{BDTG}$ )



# Systematic uncertainties list

- Luminosity: 1.7 %
- Pile-up reweighting
- Muon
- Electron
- Tau

NP Name	Description
Event	
ATLAS_LUMI_Run2 ATLAS_PU_PRW_DATASF	Uncertainty on the total integrated luminosity (1.7%) Pile-up reweighting
electrons	
EL_EFF_TRIG_TOTAL_INPCOR_PLUS_UNCOR EL_EFF_RECO_TOTAL_INPCOR_PLUS_UNCOR EL_EFF_ID_TOTAL_INPCOR_PLUS_UNCOR EL_EFF_ISO_TOTAL_INPCOR_PLUS_UNCOR	electron-trigger electron-reconstruction electron-identification electron-isolation
muons	
MUON_EFF_TrigStatUncertainty MUON_EFF_TrigSystUncertainty MUON_EFF_RECO_STAT MUON_EFF_RECO_SYS MUON_EFF_RECO_STAT_LOWPT MUON_EFF_RECO_SYS_LOWPT MUON_EFF_ISO_STAT MUON_EFF_ISO_SYS MUON_EFF_TTVA_STAT MUON_EFF_TTVA_SYS MUON_ID MUON_MS MUON_SCALE MUON_SAGITTA_RHO MUON_SAGITTA_RESBIAS	mun-trigger  muon-reconstruction    muon-isolation  muon-TTVA  muon-momentum calibration

	$\tau$ -leptons	
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATDATA161718		$\tau$ -trigger
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATDATA1718		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATDATA2016		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATDATA2018		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATDATA2018AFTTS1		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATMC161718		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATMC1718		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATMC2016		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATMC2018		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_STATMC2018AFTTS1		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYST161718		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYST1718		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYST2016		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYST2018		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYST2018AFTTS1		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYSTMU161718		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYSTMU1718		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYSTMU2016		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYSTMU2018		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_TRIGGER_SYSTMU2018AFTTS1		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RECO_HIGHTPT		$\tau$ -reconstruction
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RECO_TOTAL		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_1PRONGSTATSYSTPT2025		$\tau$ -identification
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_1PRONGSTATSYSTPT2530		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_1PRONGSTATSYSTPT3040		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_1PRONGSTATSYSTPTGE40		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_3PRONGSTATSYSTPT2025		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_3PRONGSTATSYSTPT2530		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_3PRONGSTATSYSTPT3040		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_3PRONGSTATSYSTPTGE40		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_HIGHTPT		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_RNNID_SYST		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_SME_TES_INSITUEXP		$\tau$ -energy scale
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_SME_TES_INSITUFIT		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_SME_TES_MODEL_CLOSURE		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_SME_TES_PHYSICSLIST		
TAUS_TRUEELECTRON_EFF_ELEBDT_STAT		$\tau$ -electron veto
TAUS_TRUEELECTRON_EFF_ELEBDT_SYST		
TAUS_TRUEHADTAU_EFF_ELEOLR_TOTAL		



# Systematic uncertainties


- Photon
- MET
- Flavour tagging
- Jet

egamma	
EG_RESOLUTION_ALL EG_SCALE_ALL EG_SCALE_AF2	egamma resolution egamma scale
photon	
PH_EFF_TRIGGER PH_EFF_ID PH_EFF_ISO	Photon trigger Photon identification Photon isolation
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	
MET_SoftTrk_ResoPara MET_SoftTrk_ResoPerp MET_SoftTrk_ScaleDown MET_SoftTrk_ScaleUp	
$b$ -tagging	
FT_EFF_Eigen_B_0 FT_EFF_Eigen_B_1 FT_EFF_Eigen_B_2 FT_EFF_Eigen_C_0 FT_EFF_Eigen_C_1 FT_EFF_Eigen_C_2 FT_EFF_Eigen_C_3 FT_EFF_Eigen_Light_0 FT_EFF_Eigen_Light_1 FT_EFF_Eigen_Light_2 FT_EFF_Eigen_Light_3 FT_EFF_extrapolation FT_EFF_extrapolation_from_charm	

NP Name	Description
Jets	
JET_EtaIntercalibration_Modelling JET_EtaIntercalibration_TotalStat JET_EtaIntercalibration_NonClosure_highE JET_EtaIntercalibration_NonClosure_negEta JET_EtaIntercalibration_NonClosure_posEta JET_Pileup_OffsetMu JET_Pileup_OffsetNPV JET_Pileup_PtTerm JET_Pileup_RhoTopology JET_Flavor_Composition JET_Flavor_Response JET_PunchThrough_MC16 JET_PunchThrough_AFII JET_EffectiveNP_Detector1 JET_EffectiveNP_Detector2 JET_EffectiveNP_Mixed1 JET_EffectiveNP_Mixed2 JET_EffectiveNP_Mixed3 JET_EffectiveNP_Modelling1 JET_EffectiveNP_Modelling2 JET_EffectiveNP_Modelling3 JET_EffectiveNP_Modelling4 JET_EffectiveNP_Statistical1 JET_EffectiveNP_Statistical2 JET_EffectiveNP_Statistical3 JET_EffectiveNP_Statistical4 JET_EffectiveNP_Statistical5 JET_EffectiveNP_Statistical6 JET_SingleParticle_HighPt JET_RelativeNonClosure_AFII JET_BJES_Response JET_EtaIntercalibration_NonClosure_2018data JET_JER_DataVsMC_MC16 JET_JER_DataVsMC_AFI JET_JER_EffectiveNP_1 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_2 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_3 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_4 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_5 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_6 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_7 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_8 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_9 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_10 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_11 JET_JER_EffectiveNP_12restTerm JET_JVT_EFF JET_FJVT_EFF	<p>Jet energy scale (CategoryReduction)</p> <p>Jet energy resolution (FullJer)</p> <p>Jet vertex tagging Forward jet vertex tagging</p>

# Fit procedure

$\gamma\gamma + 1l0\tau_h$ :  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution in different BDTG regions:



$\gamma\gamma + 2L$ :  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution in only one BDTG regions:

