

中国科学院高能物理研究所 Chinese Academy of Sciences

# Primary Simulation study on injection efficiency of HER

Meng Li

# What limits injection efficiency?

- $\Box$  Dynamic aperture of HER
- □ Beam-beam effects and collimators
- $\Box$  Injection angle and offset
- $\Box$  Beta mismatch between BT and Rings

# What limits injection efficiency?

- $\Box$  Dynamic aperture of HER
- $\Box$  Beam-beam effects and collimators
- $\Box$  Injection angle and offset
- $\Box$  Beta mismatch between BT and Rings

## Dynamic aperture of HER: **track 6000 turns(a damping time)**



# $\Box$  Dynamic aperture required for injection



• The distance between the injected beam and stored beam at the injection point:

 $\Delta x = w_s + n_I \sigma_I + n_R \sigma_R$ 

 $= w_s + n_i \sqrt{\beta_{xi} \varepsilon_{xi} + (D_{xi} \sigma_{\delta i})^2} + n_r \sqrt{\beta_{xR} \varepsilon_{xR} + (D_{xR} \sigma_{\delta R})^2}$ 

 $= 6.0 + 3 \times 0.427 + 3.5 \times 0.668 = 9.62$ mm

The dynamic aperture required:

 $DA_{required} = \Delta x + n_i \sigma_i = 9.62 + 1.281 = 10.901$  mm = 16.5 $\sigma_R$ 

# What limits injection efficiency?

- $\Box$  Dynamic aperture of HER
- $\Box$  Beam-beam effects and collimators
- $\Box$  Injection angle and offset
- □ Beta mismatch between BT and Rings

#### $\Box$  Beam-beam effect

**Define the BEAMBEAM element** (full beam-beam ) **and add it at the IP in the lattice sequence.** 



#### **Check whether the beam-beam element works:**



#### Injection efficiency related to beam-beam and collimator

- $\triangleright$  The efficiency here is defined as the proportion of the beam surviving after serval turns.
- $\triangleright$  Track 10000 particles 600 turns(6ms) with beam-beam or (and) collimators.

```
APERT
      AQCILC = (AX = .015AY = .015 DX = .0007 )
       AQC1LO = (AX = .015AY = .015DX = .0007AQC2LC = (AX = .035AY = .035DX = .0007AQC2LO = (AX = .035AY = .035 DX = .0007AY = 0.045PMD01H5 = (AX = 0.00941PMD01H4 = (AX = 0.01488AY = 0.045PMDO1H3 = (AX = 0.00875AY = 0.045PMDO1VI = (AX = 0.045AY = 0.00178 )
       PMDO1H2 = (AX = 0.045AY = 0.045PMD01H1 = (AX = 0.045AY = 0.045AY = 0.00173 )
       PMD12V4 = (AX = 0.045PMD12H4 = (AX = 0.01542AY = 0.045PMD12V3 = (AX = 0.045AY = 0.00215)
       PMD12H3 = (AX = 0.01000AY = 0.045)
       PMD12H2 = (AX = 0.00877)AY = 0.045)
       PMD12V2 = (AX = 0.045)AY = 0.00218PMD12H1 = (AX = 0.01206AY = 0.045PMD12V1 = (AX = 0.045AY = 0.00380 )
       PMD09V4 = (AX = 0.045AY = 0.00329 )
       PMDO9H4 = (AX = 0.00886)AY = 0.045)
       PMD09V3 = (AX = 0.045AY = 0.00140 )
       PMDO9H3 = (AX = 0.00982)AY = 0.045PMDO9H2 = (AX = 0.01585AY = 0.045)
       PMD09H1 = (AX = 0.01108AY = 0.045)
       PMD09V2 = (AX = 0.045AY = 0.00304)
       PMDO9VI = (AX = 0.045AY = 0.00169)
       AQC2RO = (AX = .035AY = .035DX = -.0007AQC2RC
               = (AX = .035)AY = .035DX = -.0007AQC1RO = (AX = .015AY = .015DX = -.0007AQCIRC = (AX = .015AY = .015 DX = -.0007
```


 $\triangleright$  When add the full beam-beam into the simulation, the injection efficiency is reduced to 91%, and then add the collimators also, the injection efficiency is further reduced to 74%.

#### **Output the Loss position**







 $\triangleright$  Although the collimators will reduce the injection efficiency, it can protect the collision area.

 $\triangleright$  It shows that the beam-beam effect has a great influence on the vertical direction.

#### **Beam distribution in the phase space**

- I also output the phase space distribution of particles at the injection point after 600 turns.
- The distribution of particles in  $y p_y$  phase space with full beam-beam is widened by an order of magnitude.



# What limits injection efficiency?

- $\Box$  Dynamic aperture of HER
- $\Box$  Beam-beam effects and collimators
- $\Box$  Injection angle and offset
- $\Box$  Beta mismatch between BT and Rings

## Injection efficiency and injection angle

 $\triangleright$  Track 10000 particles 600 turns with beam-beam, with collimators, optic matched, with same ∆x and different ∆x'.



- $\triangleright$  When the offset is given, the injection efficiency is very sensitive to the  $\Delta x'$ .
- For each  $\Delta x$ , we can find an optimum  $\Delta x'$  to make the injection efficiency the highest.
- Reason why injection efficiency is very sensitive to  $\Delta x'$ 
	- $\triangleright$  When the offset is given and set different  $\Delta x'$ , the amplitude of the residual oscillation of the injected beam is different.
	- $\triangleright$  To achieve high injection efficiency, should minimize the residual oscillation of the injection beam.



#### $\bullet$  Theoretical calculation of the optimal  $\Delta x'$

- $\triangleright$  At a certain observation point, the phase ellipses of different particles have the same shape and different sizes.
- > When with same  $\Delta x$  and different  $\Delta x'$ , the phase ellipses have same shape and different size, and the smallest one tangent to **this**  $\text{line } x = \Delta x$ .



For example:  
\n
$$
\delta \times = 10.5 \text{mm}, \ \partial_x = 7.9254. \ \beta_x = 100 \text{mm}
$$
\n
$$
\theta = -\frac{\partial_x}{\partial_x} = \frac{\partial x'}{\partial x}
$$
\n
$$
\therefore \ \Delta \times \text{optimum} = -6 \times \frac{\partial_x}{\partial_x} = -10.5 \times \frac{7.9254}{100} \text{mrad}
$$
\n
$$
= -0.84 \text{mrad}
$$
\n
$$
\implies \text{The theoretical value is consistent with the simulated value.}
$$

## Injection efficiency and injection offset

 $\triangleright$  Track 10000 particles 600 turns with beam-beam, with collimators, optic matched, with different Δx (match an optimum Δx')



- $\triangleright$  The injection efficiency decreases with offset.
- $\triangleright$  Only when the emittance of the injected beam is reduced to almost the same as that of the ring can the injection efficiency be greatly improved.

# What limits injection efficiency?

- $\Box$  Dynamic aperture of HER
- Beam-beam effects and collimators
- $\Box$  Injection offset and angle
- $\Box$  Beta mismatch between BT and Rings

#### Beta mismatch and BMAG

- If the **injected beam** is not matched to the **design lattice**, the **effective emittance** of the injected beam becomes larger and may induce beam loss.
- $\triangleright$  Beta mismatch usually results in emittance growth, characterized by BMAG.







### **Injection efficiency and beta mismatch**

Track 10000 particles 600 turns with beam-beam, with collimators, with optimum  $\Delta x'$ , with beta mismatch.



- The influence of beta mismatch on the injection efficiency can be characterized by BMAG.
- When Bmag changes in a small range, the injection efficiency changes little. And when Bmag is larger than 5, the injection efficiency will decrease with BMAG.
- **Since BMAG has little influence on the injection efficiency, how to choose the beta function of the injected beam, which is related to the injection aperture.**

#### **Injection aperture**

- When the rotation angle of injected ellipse is 90 ° , the injection aperture required is the smallest, which just corresponds to the case where BMAG takes the minimum value when  $\beta_i$  is a fixed value.
- $\triangleright$  There are many optimal combinations of  $\beta_i$  and  $\alpha_i$  make the rotation angle be 90°, but the shape is different, and the injection aperture required is also different.
- $\triangleright$  When the injected beam is matched, the aperture required is the largest, not the smallest, so maybe this is the reason why the BAMG of SuperKEKB is large.



#### **Injection aperture**

 $\triangleright$  The smallest injection aperture required is decided by the  $w_s$  and  $\varepsilon_i$ , that is related to  $\Delta x$ .





$$
A = \begin{cases} \frac{A_{i}}{F} + \frac{1}{1-F^{2}} (\text{Uw} + \sqrt{FA_{i}})^{2}, & k \leq k \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} A_{min} = \frac{m_{i}}{F} + \frac{1}{1-F^{2}} (\text{Uw} + \sqrt{FA_{i}})^{2} \\ k_{n} = \frac{1}{18} (\sqrt{2}k + 2\lambda - \sqrt{8(4+\lambda^{2}) + 4\lambda^{2}(2) + 2^{2}}) / k - \frac{1}{12} (\sqrt{2}k - \lambda^{2})} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{n_{r}, G_{r}}{W_{r}} & \text{Uw} \\ \frac{n_{r}G_{r}}{P_{r}} & \text{Uw} \\ \frac{n_{r}G_{r}}{W_{r}} - A_{i} \end{cases} \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu_{r}}{R} \left( \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} - \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} \right) \Rightarrow \frac{n_{r}G_{r}}{P_{r}} \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu_{r}}{R} \left( \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} - \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} \right) \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu_{r}}{R} \left( \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} - \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} \right) \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu_{r}}{R} \left( \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} - \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} \right) \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu_{r}}{R} \left( \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} - \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} \right) \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu_{r}}{R} \left( \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} - \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} \right) \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu_{r}}{R} \left( \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} - \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} \right) \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu_{r}}{R} \left( \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} - \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} \right) \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu_{r}}{R} \left( \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} - \frac{\beta_{r}}{P_{r}} \right) \Rightarrow k_{n} \Rightarrow k = \frac{\mu
$$

# $\Box$  Summary of beam loss contribution

 $\triangleright$  Based on the above study results, summarized the contributions of these factors to beam loss. Among them, the injection angle, offset and the beam-beam contribute more.



# Summary

- $\bullet$  The efficiency is very sensitive to the  $\Delta x'.$
- **The injection efficiency is also sensitive to the beam-beam and** ∆**.**
- **Only when the emittance of the injected beam is reduced to almost the same as that of the ring can the injection efficiency be greatly improved.**
- **The injection efficiency is insensitive to the beta mismatch when BMAG changes below 5.**
- **Including the above all factors, the final injection efficiency can reach 72% when without any error.**

## Discussion of improvements

• The beam-beam added in the current simulation is the full beam-beam computed for the nominal bunch charge and nominal beam size, which is stronger than that in the current condition.

 $N_+ = 9.04 \times 10^{10}$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}_{x+}^* = \sigma_z sin\theta = 6 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.0415 = 2.49 \times 10^{-4} m$  ,  $\sigma_{y+}^* = 4.8 \times 10^{-8} m$ ,  $\beta_{x-}^* = 60 mm$ ,  $\beta_{y-}^* = 1 mm$ 

$$
\xi_{x-} = \frac{r_e N_+}{2\pi \gamma_-} \frac{\beta_{x-}^*}{\tilde{\sigma}_{x+}^*(\tilde{\sigma}_{x+}^* + \sigma_{y+}^*)} = 0.0029 \qquad \xi_{y-} = \frac{r_e N_+}{2\pi \gamma_-} \frac{\beta_{y-}^*}{\sigma_{y+}^*(\tilde{\sigma}_{x+}^* + \sigma_{y+}^*)} = 0.2474
$$

- The width of the septum is 6.81mm, which may be possible to achieve 2-3mm. Why not reduce the width of the septum to reduce  $\Delta x$  and improve the injection efficiency?
- The current simulation uses the Gaussian distribution, how about the effect for modified distributions including non-Gaussian tails from the linac?
- The current simulation is without random errors, it may compensate for the too large beam-beam strength parameter.
- The current simulation uses the emittance of injected beam same as that of the ring, how about larger injection emittance( $\sim$ 7nm)?
- CSR?
- $X-Y$  coupling? ...

# Back up

#### Collimator\_Nsigma\_offset.opi 83





- For Naigma calculation, emittance values are given by hand (1% coupling). XRM measurements are NOT used.<br>- LER XRM measuremet shows much larger coupling than 1%.<br>- If nu\_x (collimator) - nu\_x(QC1) is close to half integ

Collimator movement ongoing

**O** HER O LER

2022/04/21 23:49:02 215

#### **A screenshot of the machine's operating parameters**



• **The distance between the stored beam and injected beam at the injection point:**

$$
\Delta x = x_s - x_k = 38.5 - 28 = 10.5 mm
$$

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\gamma \varepsilon_{xi} = 100 \mu m \\
\epsilon_{xi} = 7.3 nm \\
\beta_{xi} = 25.7 m \\
\epsilon_{xr} = 4.6 nm \\
\beta_{xr} = 100 m\n\end{array}\n\sigma_i = 0.433 mm\n\begin{array}{l}\n\lambda x = 3.5 \sigma_r + 3 \sigma_i + w_s = 10.5 mm \\
\sigma_i = 0.678 mm\n\end{array}
$$

• **The relative angle of the injected beam to the stored beam at the injection point:**

$$
\Delta x' = \theta_{sp} - \theta_h = -2.65 - (-1.81) = -0.84 mrad
$$

by N.lida report(2022.5.20)

"Another question: is the crab-waist included in the optics file which you are using, and what level of crab-waist ? Also, specify that this is without random errors in the optics lattice ? Optics errors should make things worse..."

• **The optics of lattce I currently use(found at the TF meeting in August 2021** )



• **With a pair of sextupole on both sides of the collision point**

SLYTRE1 =(L =.6 K2 =-8.759274139113826 ) SLYTRE2 =(L =.6 K2 =-9.624333569214944 ) SLYTLE1 =(L =.6 K2 =8.36012428756659 ) SLYTLE2 =(L =.6 K2 =7.6609691425430535)

Without crab waist, the strength of a pair of sextupole should be the same. In this lattice, the strength of a pair of sextupole is different, so it contains crab waist. The level of crab-waist may be 40%.

 $\mathscr{P}$  Lattice files [SuperKEKB International Task Force Subgroup · KDS \(Indico\)](https://kds.kek.jp/category/2242/) -- Updated in August 2021



# SAD Results@IP

f NX

f NY

####### # 2.493E-12 \$\$\$ \$\$\$ f AX f BX ####### # .060000 \$\$\$ ####### # 45.531000 \$\$\$ f AY  $\#$ f BY .001000 \$\$\$  $\#$ \*\*\*\*\*\*\* \* 43.581000 555 f LENG ####### # 3016.3147 emit: Closed orbit:  $px/p0$  $py/p0$  $dp/p0$  $\mathbf x$ **V** Entrance: 1.19E-13 -3.1E-12 2.25E-14 8.84E-13 -4.39E-5 1.41E-12 Exit: 1.18E-13 -3.1E-12 3.50E-14 -3.3E-12 -4.39E-5 1.40E-12 Extended Twiss Parameters: AX: 2.081E-6 BX: .060014 ZX: 8.46E-10 EX: 2.873E-7 PSIX: -5.7E-17 ZPX: -3.93E-8 EPX: -7.49E-6 R1: -2.6E-12 R2: 1.40E-12 AY: 1.981E-5 BY: .001000 ZY: -2.5E-15 EY: -1.2E-10 R3: 5.167E-8 R4: 5.67E-10 PSIY: -3.7E-25 ZPY: -2.0E-13 EPY: 9.76E-10 AZ: .017827 BZ: 8.008768 PSIZ: 7.85E-17 Units:  $B(X,Y,Z)$ ,  $E(X,Y)$ , R2: m | PSI(X,Y,Z): radian | ZP(X,Y), R3: 1/m Design momentum  $PO = 7.0072900$  GeV Revolution freq.  $f0 = 99390.311$  Hz Energy loss per turn  $U0 = 2.4329264$  MV Effective voltage Vc = 14.200000 MV Equilibrium position dz = 16.144160 mm Momentum compact. alpha = 4.5428E-4 Orbit dilation  $d = .3944769$  mm Effective harmonic # h = 5120.0000 **Bucket height**  $dV/PO = .0203357$ Imag.tune:-0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 Real tune: - 0.4689888  $-0.4189835$  $-0.0271858$ Damping per one revolution: X: -1.736358E-04 Y: -1.735835E-04 Z: -3.470266E-04 Damping time (sec): X: 5.794510E-02 Y: 5.796255E-02 Z: 2.899300E-02 Tune shift due to radiation: X: -5.589726E-07 Y: -3.009192E-06 Z: -1.792445E-07 Damping partition number:  $X : 1.0004$ Y : 1,0001 Z : 1,9994  $= 4.43553E-9$  m Emittance Y Emittance X  $= 3.3890E - 13$  m Emittance Z  $= 3.18225E-6$  m Energy spread  $= 6.30463E - 4$  $= 5.04828949$  mm Beam tilt Bunch Length  $= 3.51375E-7$  rad  $= .01631556$  mm Beam size eta  $= 1.84113E-5$  mm Beam size xi

- $\deg$ ign momentum  $=7.00$  GeV
- $\beta_{x}^{*} = 6$
- $\beta_{y}^{*} = 1$  mm
- $Nux = 45.531, Nuy = 43.581$
- $\tau_x = 5.79 \times 10^{-2} s$
- $T_0 = 1.006 \times 10^{-5}$  s
- $nturn = \tau_{x}/T_{0} = 5755$

#### **Injection aperture:**



The injection aperture should include both stored beam and injected beam. The critical condition is that the ellipse of the injection aperture is tangent to that of injected beam. So we have to establish two equations to find the contact point:

$$
\frac{(u-ue)^{2}}{r} + r(A-u^{2}) = A_{1}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{((1 - F^{2})u^{2} - 2uue + u^{2} + F^{2}A - A_{1}F - 0)}{2}
$$
\nthen let  $\Delta = (-2ue)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}(1-F^{2})\ln^{2} + F^{2}A - A_{1}F = 0$   
\n
$$
\Rightarrow F^{2}A - A_{1}F - F^{2}u \frac{1}{2} - F^{4}A + A_{1}F^{2} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow A = \frac{A_{1}C(-F^{2}) + Fu \frac{1}{2}}{F(-F^{2})} = \frac{A_{1}^{2}}{F} + \frac{(u_{w} + A_{1}F_{1})^{2}}{1-F^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow u = \frac{u_{w} + A_{1}F_{1}}{1-F^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow u = \frac{u_{w} + A_{1}F_{1}}{1-F^{2}} = \frac{u_{w} + A_{1}F_{1}}{1-F^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow u = \frac{u_{w} + A_{1}F_{1}}{1-F^{2}} = \frac{u_{w} + A_{1}F_{1}}{1-F^{2}}
$$

And

#### **Injection aperture:**

But when  $r$  is larger than some critical value of  $r_0$ ,  $v^2$  becomes negative.  $4 = (8 + \lambda^2 + \lambda \sqrt{16 + \lambda^2})^{1/3}$  $V^2(L_0) = 0 \implies |t_0|^4 - \frac{U_0^2}{4!} t_0^3 - 2|t_0^2 + | = 0$  $h = (18 + 2\lambda^2 + 99 + 36/9)^{1/2}$ For  $r$   $>$   $r_o$ , the solution is given by;  $A = (u_w + \sqrt{ma})^2$  $\lambda = \mu^2 / A_i$  $A_i = n_i^* \varepsilon_i$ In summary, the solution is, which is given by, approximately, for  $\rho$  L2 L15  $A = \begin{cases} \frac{Ai}{r} + \frac{1}{1-r^2} (u_{w} + \sqrt{r}Ai)^2, (r\angle r_0) & Q \\ (u_{w} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}Ai})^2, (r \geq r_0) & Q \end{cases}$  $r_{m} = \frac{a_{o}}{1 + b_{1} \vec{b}_{1} + b_{2} \lambda}$ where,  $r = \frac{\beta i}{\beta r}$ ,  $A_i = \eta_i^2 \xi_i$ ,  $U_w = \frac{\eta_i G_r + w_s}{\sqrt{n_s}}$  $a_0 = 0.57734666$  $b_1 = 0.44553030$ Since the Eq.  $\circledA$  is a monotonically increasing function of r and 2A/2+Uro) > 0,  $b_2 = -0.01293990$ the value r that minimizes A lies in the region raro: Anin = min  $\left[\frac{A_i}{r}+\frac{1}{1-r^2}(\mu_{\nu}+\sqrt{H_i})^2\right]$  $\Rightarrow |r_{n} = \frac{1}{18} \left( \sqrt{2} h + 2\lambda - \sqrt{8(9+\lambda^{2}) + 4\mu \lambda (27 + \lambda^{2})/h - 7\lambda^{2} 9 - 189} \right)$ 

- The minimum injection aperture is related to  $A_i(=n_i\varepsilon_i^2)$ ,  $r(=\beta_i/\beta_r)$  and  $u_w(=(n_r\sigma_r+w_s)/\sqrt{\beta_r})$  and determined by  $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_i)$ .
- The optimum r is determined by  $A_i$  and  $u_w$ .

• Obviously, when the rotation angle of the phase ellipse is 90°, the required injection aperture is the smallest. At this time, the twiss parameters of the injected beam and the stored beam satisfy this relationship:

Exanding equation of injected beam in red phase space:

\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\text{[L] } \{ \begin{aligned}\n&\
$$

$$
\alpha_i = \alpha_s \frac{\beta_i}{\beta_s} \mid (\alpha_i, \beta_i: \text{ injected beam}; \ \alpha_s, \beta_s: \text{stored beam})
$$

Which just corresponds to the case where, the  $\beta_i$  constant, the  $\alpha_i$  is matched to minimize the BMAG.

#### **The definition of Bmag:**



$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial_{new} = -\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2})}{\beta_1} \\
\beta_{new} = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1} \\
\frac{\gamma_{new} = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2})^2}{\beta_1} \\
\end{cases}
$$

From the general elispe properties, the parameters a, b and  $\lambda$  can be introduced. intere a and b are the half axes of the ellipse which are related to the radius of the matched circle A=TE:

$$
a = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2}} \left( \sqrt{14t} + \sqrt{14t} \right)
$$
  
\n $b = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2}} \left( \sqrt{14t} - \sqrt{14t} \right)$   
\n $H = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{14t} + \sqrt{14t} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{5}{\beta_1} + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} \left( \partial_1 - \partial_2 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \right) \right]$ 

After that. the mismatched beam's ellipse will rotate, so its equivalent elispse circumscribes its initial ellipse and similar to the stored beam elispse



#### **The definition of Bmag:**

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial_{new}z - \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2})}{\beta_1} \\
\beta_{new}z = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} \\
\sqrt{new}z = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2})^2\n\end{cases}
$$

From the general ellispe properties, the parameters a, b and  $\lambda$  can be intisolated. inhere a and b are the half axes of the ellipse which are related to the radius of the matched circle A=TE:

$$
a = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \sqrt{H+1} + \sqrt{H-1} \right)
$$
  
\n $b = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \sqrt{H+1} - \sqrt{H-1} \right)$   
\n $H = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{m} \sqrt{H+1} - \sqrt{H-1} \right)$   
\n $\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{R_1}{R_1} + \frac{R_1}{R_2} + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \left( \partial_1 - \partial_2 \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right) \right]$ 

After that. the mismatched beam's eldipse will rotate, so its equivalent elispse circumscribes its initial ellipse and similar to the stored beam elispse



The coordinates of the mismatched distribution in normalised phase space at the injection position can be nuitten simply as :

There does 
$$
\phi
$$
  
-  
-*X*neu = Asin $\phi$ 

So the mismatched distribution:

$$
\mathcal{E}_{new} = \overline{\chi}_{new}^{2} + \overline{\chi}_{new}^{2} = a^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\mathcal{E}_{0}}{2} \left( \sqrt{1+1} + \sqrt{1+1} \right)^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathcal{E}_{0} \left( H + \sqrt{1+1} \right)^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\mathcal{E}_{new}}{\mathcal{E}_{0}} = H + \sqrt{1+1} = \mathcal{E}_{mag} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{reg}^{2} - 1}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{E}_{0} \qquad H = \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_{new} + \beta_{new} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}} + \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}} + \frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \left( \partial_{1} - \partial_{2} \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}} \right) \right] = \mathcal{E}_{mag}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\mathcal{E}_{new}}{\mathcal{E}_{0}} = \mathcal{E}_{mag} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{mag}^{2} - 1}
$$

## $\Box$  Draw three ellipses in phase space



#### **The definition of Bmag:**



- When the Twiss parameters of the injected beam are set to different optimal combinations of  $\beta_{xi}$  and  $\alpha_{xi}$ , the rotation angle is 90 °, but the shape is different, and the injection aperture is different.
- It is not that the larger the BMAG, the larger the injection aperture. On the contrary, the injection aperture is the largest when matched.
- This is also the reason why there is so much difference between the beta function of injected beam and the stored beam in SuperKEKB, the dynamic aperture is too small, so the injection aperture is required to be as small as possible



#### Add the beta-mismatch into simulation



- Add the beam-beam, all the collimators, the injection efficiency is 73.5%.
- Only  $\beta_{xi}$  changes from 100m to 25.7m,  $\alpha_{xi}$  stays the same, the BMAG is 70, and the efficiency is reduced to 45%.
- $\beta_{xi}$  stays the same,  $\alpha_{xi}$  changes, and we can find the optimum  $\alpha_{xi}$  which minimizes BMAG.

injected beam: 
$$
\beta_i = 25.7m
$$
,  $\alpha_i = 2.037$   $\Rightarrow$  BAMG  $= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\beta_s}{\beta_i} + \frac{\beta_i}{\beta_s} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha_i \sqrt{\frac{\beta_s}{\beta_i}} - \alpha_s \sqrt{\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_s}} \right)^2 = 2.074$ 

Eur. Phys. J. C (2021) 81:972 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09769-3



Special Article - Tools for Experiment and Theory

#### A time resolved study of injection backgrounds during the first commissioning phase of SuperKEKB

Miroslav Gabriel<sup>1,a</sup>, Frank Simon<sup>1,b</sup>o, Hendrik Windel<sup>1</sup>, Yoshihiro Funakoshi<sup>2</sup>, Michael Hedges<sup>3,5</sup>, Naoko Iida<sup>2</sup>, Igal Jaegle<sup>3,6</sup>, Christian Kiesling<sup>1</sup>, Naomi van der Kolk<sup>1,7</sup>, Peter Lewis<sup>3,8</sup>, Hiroyuki Nakayama<sup>2</sup>, Yukiyoshi Ohnishi<sup>2</sup>, Riccardo de Sangro<sup>4</sup>, Yusuke Suetsugu<sup>2</sup>, Marco Szalay<sup>1,9</sup>, Sven Vahsen<sup>3</sup>

 $2$  High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan

- <sup>5</sup> Present address: Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Present address: Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA
- $\frac{7}{7}$  Present address: The Hague University of Applied Sciences. The Hague, Netherlands
- <sup>8</sup> Present address: University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany
- <sup>9</sup> Present address: Google LLC., Santa Barbara, CA 93101, USA

Received: 22 December 2020 / Accepted: 25 October 2021 / Published online: 5 November 2021  $\odot$  The Author(s) 2021

grounds. We present measurements of various aspects of regular beam background and injection backgrounds which include time structure and decay behavior of injection backgrounds, hit-energy spectra and overall background rates. These measurements show that the elevated background rates following an injection generally last for several milliseconds, with the majority of the background particles typically observed within the first  $500 \mu s$ . The injection backgrounds exhibit pronounced patterns in time, connected to betatron and synchrotron oscillations in the accelerator rings. The frequencies of these patterns are determined from detector data.

- $\tau_x = 5.79 \times 10^{-2} s$
- $T_0 = 1.006 \times 10^{-5}$  s
- $nturn = \tau_{r}/T_{0} = 5755$



- Several milliseconds  $\approx 600$ turns
- $500\mu s \approx 50turns$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, 80805 Munich, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, 00044 Frascati, Italy



# 4. "Raw" injection efficiency



"Raw" injection efficiency

"Raw" injection efficiency uses the value 1ms after injection.

But the decay continues, mainly in LER.

It should be resolved where the beam losses during 1000 turns in LER occur.

Koga-san pointed out that the decay pattern for each ring is similar on the duration.

#### **H**orizontal beta-mismatch

- The twiss of ring in x direction:  $\alpha_{mx} = 7.9254$ ,  $\beta_{mx} = 100$
- **Scanning within a large range:**  $\alpha_{ex} \in [0,8]$ ,  $\beta_{ex} \in [10,100]$ , track 5000 particles for 600 turns.





#### Vertical beta-mismatch

- The twiss of ring in y direction:  $\alpha_{my} = -1.5111$ ,  $\beta_{my} = 28.9281$
- **Scanning within a large range:**  $\alpha_{ey} \in [-4,1]$ ,  $\beta_{ey} \in [10,40]$





## Beam-beam

**Define the BEAMBEAM element and add it after the IP in the lattice sequence.** 



#### LINE ASCE=



BX:  $\beta_x^*$ \* BY:  $\beta^*_{y}$ EMITX:  $\varepsilon_x$  EMITY:  $\varepsilon_y$ SIGZ: bunch length XANGLE: half crossing angle DP: energy spread NP: particle number for each bunch STURN: how many turns do you output information



#### $\Box$  Set physical aperture to judge whether particles are lost



• **The collimator aperture is defined as the distance from the beam core to the collimator tip.**

### Beam-beam

#### **Check beam-beam element—— Theoretical calculation**

The more general beam-beam effects are quantified by the so-called disruption parameter  $D_{x,y}$ , defined as

$$
D_{x,y} = \frac{2r_e N_b \sigma_z}{\gamma \sigma_{x,y} (\sigma_x + \sigma_y)} \approx \frac{\sigma_z}{f_{beam}}
$$

This force changes the momentum of each bunch. When the oscillation amplitude of the particle is small, the force can be considered as linear, and its effect is equivalent to a Quadrupole, that is, the force is proportional to its transverse offset.



In the thin lens approximation, the transfer matrix is:  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1/f_{beam} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and the focus length is:

$$
f_{beam} = f_{x,y} = \frac{\sigma_z}{D_{x,y}} = \frac{2r_e N_b}{\gamma \sigma_{x,y} (\sigma_x + \sigma_y)}
$$

## Beam-beam

#### **Check beam-beam element—— Theoretical calculation**

when a particle with a horizontal offset x passes through it, the coordinates change to

$$
\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1/f_{beam} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ x_0' \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = \Delta x \\ x_1' = x_0' - x_0/f_{beam} \end{cases}
$$

Therefore, the scattering angle produced by beam-beam effect is:

$$
\Delta x' = -\frac{\Delta x}{f_x} = -\frac{2r_e N_b}{\gamma \sigma_x (\sigma_x + \sigma_y)} \Delta x \approx -\frac{2r_e N_b}{\gamma \sigma_x} \times \frac{\Delta x}{\sigma_x}
$$

$$
\Delta y' = -\frac{\Delta y}{f_y} = -\frac{2r_e N_b}{\gamma \sigma_y (\sigma_x + \sigma_y)} \Delta y \approx \frac{2r_e N_b}{\gamma \sigma_x} \times \frac{\Delta y}{\sigma_y}
$$



**For HER(electron ring) and in the thin lens approximation, the beam-beam deflections is:**

$$
\Delta x' = \frac{dx}{ds} = -\frac{4\pi}{\beta_{x-}^*} \xi_{x-} \Delta x = -\frac{2r_e N_+}{\gamma_- \widetilde{\sigma}_{x+}^* (\widetilde{\sigma}_{x+}^* + \sigma_{y+}^*)} \Delta x
$$

$$
\Delta y' = \frac{dy}{ds} = -\frac{4\pi}{\beta_{y-}^*} \xi_{y-} \Delta y = -\frac{2r_e N_+}{\gamma_- \sigma_{y+}^* (\widetilde{\sigma}_{x+}^* + \sigma_{y+}^*)} \Delta y
$$

**Resonance lines:**

$$
color = ['k', 'k', 'r', 'b', 'g', 'y', 'c', 'm']
$$



#### 给定注入束的发射度,注入束的beta function决定注入束的尺寸,进而会影响注入所需的孔径。

■ 入射アパーチャの最適化

「入射点」の定義として都合上、セプタム直後でかつ  $\alpha_x$ =0となるような場所を選ぶことにする、そのような場 所は通常、入射付近のQの中にある<br>
<br />
すると入射点 s\* に おける位相空間は下図のようになる





入射点における位相空間

入射ビーム中心の位置および傾きを (x, x') とすると、入 射ビームのマッチング条件から.

 $x'_i = x'_k$ 

でなければならない. xi はセプタム厚さ、蓄積ビームお よび入射ビームの大きさで決まる\*).



