

Searches for additional scalar bosons (light, heavy, charged)

Yanwen Liu, University of Science and Technology of China on behalf of CMS and ATLAS Collaborations November 30, 2023 HIGGS2023 @IHEP Beijing

Outline

<u>CMS results</u> <u>ATLAS results</u>

Introduction

Searches for additional scalar bosons
with di-bosons (γγ, Zγ, VV)
with di-leptons (eμ, μμ, ττ)
with complex final state (top quark, in association with/decaying into the Standard Model H)

Why extra scalar bosons?

Many extensions of the SM adds scalar doublets, triplets to the Higgs sector, motivated by SUSY, extra source of spontaneous CP Violation for electroweak baryogenesis, flavor, hierarchy problem...

- 2HDM [Phys. Rept. 516(2012)1]
- 3HDM [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A32 (2017)1750145]
- 2HDM + scalar [JHEP03(2018)178]
- Higgs triplets (Neutrino mass Seesaw) [Front. In Phys. 6(2018)40]
 axion model [Phys. Rept. 150(1987)1]

•

These models predict extra scalar bosons \rightarrow benchmarks for searches • $h, H, A, H^{\pm}, H^{\pm \pm}$

Search for new scalars with di-boson



New particles in $\gamma\gamma$ final state

"clean final state": Excellent energy resolution, tremendous investments on background studies in the past

- \rightarrow good basis to search for new peaks!
- CMS search strategy:
- $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ range: 70~110 GeV (benchmark: SM-like Higgs)
- BDT to select $\gamma\gamma$ vertex
- BDT for photon identification
- BDT to suppress continuum background
- Categories: 1 VBF + 3 classes defined by di-photon BDT
- Background estimation: fitting mass spectrum in 65-120 GeV with analytical functions
- 2016 data re-analyzed with improved detector calibration



More details in talk by Muhammad Aamir Shahzad (BSM 1 parallel session)



Significance and upper limits

Test statistic based on profile likelihood ratio constructed from the mass spectrum 2.9σ local (1.3 σ global) at 95.4 GeV







di-photon at ATLAS

JHEP 07 (2023) 155 ATLAS-CONF-2023-35

background decomposition: $\gamma\gamma$ template from MC, γ j, jj from control regions in data



More details in talk by Tom KRESSE (BSM 1 parallel session)



Exclusive ALP production

• s-channel production of ALP in two-photon process, exclusive signature:

- Di-photon system measured by central detector ($M_{\gamma\gamma} > 350$ GeV, back-to-back)
- intact protons tagged by TOTEM (energy loss of beam protons consistent with di-photon system)



arXiv: 2311.02725



Combination: 2.3 σ at 420 GeV

Highly boosted Z boson for high mass X XGBoost to reconstruct collinear electrons

More details in talk by Olof LUNDBERG (Di-Higgs 2 parallel session)



Extra scalar bosons in VV Phys.Lett. B 844(2023)137813 Phys.Lett. B 844(2023)137813

Benchmark: Radion in Randall-Sundrum model ($\kappa r_c \pi = 35$, $\Lambda_R = 3$ TeV)

Bosons reconstructed in 2 Anti-kT (R=0.8) jets or leptons+MET

Maximum likelihood fit of (M_{WV}, M_J) or (M_{JJ}, M_{J1}, M_{J2})



q (l)





$H^+ \rightarrow W^+ H(\tau \tau)$ Benchmark: 2HDM, $m_H = 200$ GeV, $m_h = 125$ GeV

 $l\tau_h$, $l\tau_h\tau_h$ final states split by lepton flavor/charge configurations

BDT $(l\tau_h\tau_h)$ or transverse mass $(l\tau_h)$ or as discriminant

JHEP 09(2023)032





Search for new scalars with di-lepton



Phys.Rev.D 108(2023)072004 Lepton Flavor Violating $X \rightarrow e\mu$

Type III 2HDM predicts additional scalar bosons *X*, with LFV decays

 $X \rightarrow WW$ dominates when $m_X > 2m_W$, so search in 100-160 GeV. Fitting $m_{e\mu}$ distribution in signal regions (classified by BDT). Largest excess: $3.8\sigma(2.8\sigma)$ local (global) at 146 GeV



di-muon resonances

а









Constraints on 2HDM+S model





Long-lived scalar in dimuon

- An "older" result by the dimuon "scouting" triggers
- Scalar ϕ (can be long-lived) emitted in b hadron decay
- Selection: dimuon, $l_{xy} < 11$ cm (limited by detector acceptance)
- Check dimuon mass spectrum in slices of l_{xy} intervals



JHEP04(2022)062





low mass di-muon in $t\bar{t}$ events

Pseudo-scalar: large coupling with the top quark (two signal processes)

Two signal regions: *eµµ,µµµ*



See also talk by Yanhui Ma (BSM 2 parallel session)

arXiv:2304.14247

Upper limits on cross section times BF



local significance of 2.4 σ at 27 GeV



Extra Higgs boson $\phi \rightarrow \tau \tau$



Extra scalar bosons in MSSM(2HDM)

Strategy:

- Fitting m_T in categories for $m_{\phi} > 250 \text{ GeV}$
- NN based analysis for $m_{\phi} < 250 \text{ GeV}$





MSSM



Search for new scalars with complex final states

a (invisible) in association with $h \rightarrow \tau \tau$

2HDM+a(portal to DM). SR for low m_A and high m_A

Ζ(ττ)

m_{*} = 800 GeV, m = 300 GeV, sin Θ = 0.35

Тор

Y



Data

Diboson

Fake Taus

$$m_{\rm T}^{\tau_i} = \sqrt{2p_{\rm T}^{\tau_i} E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} (1 - \cos \Delta \phi(\tau_i, p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}))}$$

The first exploration of the mono-Higgs signature in hadronic tau pairs in ATLAS, offering sensitivity in low $\tan\beta$.

A

a 000000000

m_a [GeV]





Events

50

ATLAS

Post-fit

Low_{mA} SR

s=13 TeV. 139 fb



JHEP 07(2023)203

 $m_{\rm H}$ in 400 – 1000 GeV, baseline SR: multi-lepton and jets (≥ 6 jets, ≥ 2 b-jets, $H_{\rm T}$ >500 GeV, same-sign di-lepton+3-lepton) SM BDT (4-top)+BSM (mass-)parameterized BDT

More details in talk by Tom KRESSE (BSM 1 parallel session)

 $A \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow lltt, \nu\nu bb$

2HDM $m_H \in [130,800] \text{ GeV}, m_A \in [400, 1200] \text{ GeV}$ *lltt* : 3-lepton, 2b-jets, Z mass window, $m(t\bar{t})$ window vvbb: 2-5 jets, (splits: 2 b-jets, > 2 b-jets), $m(b\bar{b})$ window

arXiv:2311.04033

Same-sign top pair production via H/A

- Benchmark model: Generic 2HDM
- Event selection: same-sign di-lepton, 3 jets
- Signal extraction: BDT based on kinematics and jet flavor-tagging scores

arXiv:2311.03261

 $t \rightarrow qX, X \rightarrow bb$

JHEP 07(2023)199 \bar{b}

W

X

q = u/c

FCNC in top decay

New scalar in 20-160 GeV. SR: 4/5/6j 3b, NN discriminant

More details in talk by Tom KRESSE (BSM 1 parallel session)

g

g

Jele .

charged Higgs in top decay

3HDM benchmark predicts 2 charged Higgs, that can be lighter than top quark

Control regions to derive corrections to ttbar modeling

NN as discriminants(10 bins in 3b, 1 bin for >=4b)

JHEP 09(2023)004

g

Julie g

Summary and outlook

- •Searches for extra scalars (neutral, charged, light, heavy) by CMS and ATLAS
 - with a lot of different final states
 - with a lot of benchmark models
- A few observed excesses (global significances below 3σ). Limits on model parameters derived

•Looking forward to more statistics (Run 3 and HL-LHC)

Some related talks at this conference:

- □ Searches for additional neutral Higgs bosons in ATLAS, Tom Kresse
- \square Search for a standard model-like Higgs boson in the mass range between 70 and 110 GeV in $\gamma\gamma$, Muhammad Aamir Shahzad
- □ Searches for additional heavy Higgs bosons at CMS, Khawla Jaffel
- □ Searches for singly- and doubly-charged Higgs bosons in ATLAS Yanhui Ma
- □ Searches for resonances decaying to pairs of bosons in ATLAS, Olof Lundberg

BACK-UP

A few words on Look Elsewhere Effect:1005.1891

•LEE:

- We search for new physics (e.g. a bump) on a (mass) distribution without knowing where it should appear.
- An excess **anywhere** will be reported as a discovery.
 - In LogLikelihood Ratio test, the effective test statistic is $q(\hat{m}) = \max_m(q(m))$, $q(m) = -2 \ln \frac{\mathcal{L}(\hat{b})}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mu}S(m)+\hat{b})}$, i.e there is a nuisance parameter *m* for the alternative (signal + background) hypothesis, which is not present in the null (background-only) hypothesis.
 - This (maximization) should be taken into account when deriving the distribution of the test statistic of the null hypothesis (global significance).
 - A toy example: background-only pseudo-experiment. Local fluctuations resemble a mass peak.

trial # =
$$\frac{P(q(\widehat{m}) > c)}{P(q(m) > c)}$$

hMSSM exclusions

Scenario/Signiture	Experiment	Excess location	Local(global) significance (σ)
$X \to \gamma \gamma$	CMS	$m_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 95.4 \text{ GeV}$	2.9(1.3)
$X \to \gamma \gamma$	ATLAS	$m_{\gamma\gamma}{\sim}19.4~{ m GeV}$	3.1(1.5)
$X \to Z\gamma$	ATLAS	$m_{Z\gamma}{\sim}420~{ m GeV}$	2.3(NA)
$X \to WW$	CMS	$m_X \sim 650 \text{ GeV}(\text{floating f}_{\text{VBF}})$	3.8(2.4)
$H^+ \rightarrow WZ(VBF)$	ATLAS	<i>m(WZ)</i> ~375 GeV	2.8(1.6)
$X \to e\mu$	CMS	$m(e\mu)$ ~146 GeV	3.8(2.8)
tta(μμ)	ATLAS	$m_a \sim 27 \text{ GeV}$	2.4(NA)
$gg\phi(au au)$	CMS	$m_{\phi}{\sim}27~{ m GeV}$	3.1(2.7)
$X \to HY \to \gamma \gamma bb$	CMS	$M_X = 650 \text{ GeV}, M_Y = 90 \text{ GeV}$	3.8(2.8)
$X \to HY \to bbbb$	CMS	$M_X=1~{ m TeV},M_Y=90~{ m GeV}$	3.1(0.7)
FCNH($H \rightarrow tu$), multi-lep+(b) jets	ATLAS	$M_X = 900 \; { m GeV}, \ ho_{tu} = 1.1, ho_{tc} = 0, ho_{tt} = 0.6$	2.8(NA)
$H^+ \rightarrow WZ$ (in top decay)	ATLAS	$M_{H^+} = 130 \text{ GeV}$	3(2.5)

 $X \to WW$

- Consider $e\mu$, $\mu\mu$, ee channels
- •Control regions to constrain Drell-Yan and top background
- •DNN to classify: ggF, VBF and background
- •DNN to reconstruct resonance mass(discriminant for statistical analysis)

2018 *eµ* data

CMS $X \rightarrow WW$ Excesses

Scenario	Mass [GeV]	ggF cross sec. [pb]	VBF cross sec. [pb]	Local signi. $[\sigma]$	Global signi. $[\sigma]$
$SM f_{VBF}$	800	0.16	0.057	3.2	1.7 ± 0.2
$f_{VBF} = 1$	650	0.0	0.16	3.8	2.6 ± 0.2
$f_{VBF} = 0$	950	0.19	0.0	2.6	0.4 ± 0.6
floating f_{VBF}	650	2.9×10^{-6}	0.16	3.8	2.4 ± 0.2

g2HDM (no Z2 symmetry) \rightarrow FCNH couplings

2/3/4 leptons + (b-)jets selection, DNN_{cat}, DNN_{sb}(also for fitting)

L/M/T : Loose/Medium/Tight leptons M_{ex}: medium exclusive

Largest excess: 2.8 σ for m_H =900 GeV, $ho_{tt}=0.6$, $ho_{tc}=0.0$, $ho_{tu}=1.1$

ATLAS-CONF-2022-066

$e\mu$ + MET final state

Transverse mass as discriminant

same-sign di-lepton, 2 jets / 1 large-R jet

Discriminant by kinematics: m_{eff}

HWW parameterized with EFT

boosted category
$$m_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i} p_{\text{T}}^{i}(\text{lepton}) + p_{\text{T}}(\text{leading J}) + E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}},$$

resolved category $m_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i} p_{\text{T}}^{i}(\text{lepton}) + p_{\text{T}}(\text{leading j}) + p_{\text{T}}(\text{sub-leading j}) + E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}.$

Production mode of 2HDM+a, $A \rightarrow$ ah

ATLAS H⁺ \rightarrow WZ ANN discriminant

CMS $X \rightarrow HY \rightarrow \gamma\gamma bb$ BDT distribution

ATLAS $t \rightarrow H^+$ c analysis CR SR

N _j N _j	2b + 1bl: exactly two <i>b</i> -tagged jets (60% OP) plus one loose <i>b</i> - tagged jet (70% OP)	3b: exactly three <i>b</i> -tagged jets (60% OP)	≥ 4b: at least four <i>b</i> -tagged jets (60% OP)	
4j: exactly four jets	$4j, 2b + 1bl$ (data-based $t\bar{t}$ corrections, 10 bins)	4j, 3b (signal region, 10 bins)	4j, 4b $(t\bar{t} + \ge 1b \text{ background} \text{ control region and large} S/B region, 1 bin)$	
5j: exactly five jets	5j, 2b + 1bl (data-based $t\bar{t}$ corrections, 10 bins)	5j, 3b (signal region, 10 bins)	5 <i>j</i> ,≥ 4 b ($t\bar{t} + \ge 1b$ background control region and large <i>S/B</i> region, 1 bin)	
6j: exactly six jets	6j, 2b + 1bl (data-based $t\bar{t}$ corrections, 10 bins)	6j, 3b (signal region, shape correction for the NN discriminant in low <i>S/B</i> bins, 10 bins)	6j,≥ 4b ($t\bar{t} + \ge 1b$ background control region, 1 bin)	

Regions used to derive $t\bar{t}$ correction

Regions for NN training and fit

PRD98(2018)115024 (definition of parameters)

$$\mathcal{L}_{H^{\pm}} = -\left\{\frac{\sqrt{2}V_{ud}}{v}\overline{u}\left(m_{d}XP_{R} + m_{u}YP_{L}\right)dH^{+} + \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\ell}}{v}Z\overline{\nu_{L}}\ell_{R}H^{+} + H.c.\right\}$$

$$\Gamma(H^{\pm} \to ud) = \frac{3G_{F}V_{ud}m_{H^{\pm}}(m_{d}^{2}|X|^{2} + m_{u}^{2}|Y|^{2})}{4\pi\sqrt{2}}$$
Largest excess at $m_{H^{\pm}} \sim 130$ GeV, local (global) significance = $3\sigma(2.5\sigma)$

CMS X(146 GeV) $\rightarrow e\mu$ significances

TABLE IV. Observed (expected) 95% CL upper limits, best fit, and local significance in unit of standard deviation (σ) of $\sigma(pp \rightarrow X(146) \rightarrow e\mu)$ for each individual analysis category and for the combination of all analysis categories.

Category	ggH cat 0	ggH cat 1	ggH cat 2	ggH cat 3	VBF cat 0	VBF cat 1	Combined
Observed limit (fb)	<7.74	<4.70	<11.99	<54.87	<12.56	<22.46	<6.01
Expected limit (fb)	<3.68	<3.57	< 5.04	<34.56	< 6.56	<12.58	<2.07
Best fit (fb)	$4.16^{+2.10}_{-1.87}$	$1.30^{+1.87}_{-1.78}$	$6.56_{-3.07}^{+3.25}$	$23.46^{+18.17}_{-17.31}$	$5.35^{+3.92}_{-2.96}$	$9.00^{+7.46}_{-6.30}$	$3.89^{+1.25}_{-1.13}$
Local significance (σ)	2.3	0.7	2.2	1.4	2.1	1.5	3.8

 $t \rightarrow qH$

CMS PAS TOP-22-002

REMOVE??! COVERED BY OTHER PLENARY

 $X \to HY \to \gamma \gamma bb$

arXiv:2310.01643v1

- Resonant production of a scalar (Y) and H(125)
- •XGBoost(BDT) trained to categorize SR and to discriminate non-resonant background (inputs: kinematics, object i.d., resolution estimators)
- •Resonance selection:
- •2D unbinned maximum likelihood fit on $(m_{\gamma\gamma}, m_{jj})$.

$$\widetilde{M}_X = m_{\gamma\gamma jj} - (m_{\gamma\gamma} - m_H) - (m_{jj} - m_Y)$$

Most significant excess at $M_X = 650 \text{ GeV}, M_Y = 90 \text{ GeV}$ $3.8\sigma(2.8\sigma) \text{ local(global)}$ LEE: $M_X \in (300,1000) \text{ GeV},$ $M_Y \in (90,150) \text{ GeV}$

 $X \to HY \to bbbb$

PLB 842(2023)137392

- Both $H(Y) \rightarrow bb$ are reconstructed in merged jets (AK8)
- ParticleNet(Graphic convolutional NN) trained to identify $(R \rightarrow bb)$ resonances
- Maximum likelihood fit on (M_{JJ}, M_Y) to extract signal

Most significant excess at $M_X = 1.6$ TeV, $M_Y = 90$ GeV $3.1\sigma(0.7\sigma)$ local(global)

REMOVE??!

COVERED BY

OTHER PLENARY

 $X \to HY \to \tau \tau bb$

JHEP 11(2021)057

• $H(125) \rightarrow \tau\tau, Y \rightarrow bb$

REMOVE??!

COVERED BY

OTHER PLENARY

•NN multiclassification to split events to 5 classes (1 SR+4 CR)

•Maximum likelihood fit on NN output to extract signal

$X \to HH \to \tau \tau bb$

Search for resonant H(125) pair production in ATLAS

(CP-even state X as benchmark)

 $m_X \in (251, 1600) \text{ GeV}$

Events categorized by different trigger and tau decay types

Parameterized NN as discriminant

Largest deviation: $3.1\sigma(2.0\sigma)$ at $m_X = 1$ TeV