



# W and Z cross section measurements at LHCb

Xiao Wang

On behalf of the LHCb Collaboration

Weak Interactions and Neutrinos

Zhuhai 2023







#### ➤ LHCb detector

- ➢ WZ Cross-section measurement at LHCb
  - $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  Cross-Section at 13 TeV
  - $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  Cross-Section at 5.02 TeV
  - Z angular coefficient
  - Progress in W Cross-Section
- Summary and outlook



### LHCb detector



- LHCb detector: Single-arm forward spectrometer
  - Cover the pseudorapidity range  $2 < \eta < 5$
- Designed for the heavy quark physics, but extended into the EW physics
  - Unique coverage compare with ATLAS and CMS
  - Perfect performance of tracking and muon detector





# LHCb WZ Cross-section measurement at LHCb 算件師範大誉

- The LHCb data is sensitive to the PDFs (Parton Distribution Functions) at very low Bjorken-x region
  - Provide unique information for the PDFs fit, complementary to ATLAS and CMS results
  - W and Z data have the potential to reduce the PDFs error at high and low x region







#### **Motivation**

≻Test the QCD and EW sectors of the standard model

Compare with the different theoretical predictions at higher order

>Improve the constraints on the PDFs, especially u-, d-quark



Chinese Phys. C 45 (2021) 023110





#### **Analysis Strategy**

- Dataset: LHCb 2016, 2017 and 2018 pp collision data:  $5.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
- Fiducial region:  $P_T^{\mu} > 20 GeV$ ,  $2 < \eta_{\mu} < 4.5$ ,  $60 < M_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 120 GeV$







### Differential Cross-Section $-y^Z$

- Different theoretical predictions are compared with the measurements
- Calculated the ratio of theoretical predictions to measured values
  - Good agreement between data and predictions
  - In the lower  $y^{Z}$  region, the FEWZ predictions are systematically smaller than the measured results









### Differential Cross-Section – Z- $p_T$ and $\phi_{\eta}^*$

•  $\phi_{\eta}^*$ : An angular observable which has better resolution than  $p_T^Z$ 

The complementary angle between the two muon

$$\Phi_{\eta}^{*} = \frac{\tan(\phi_{acop}/2)}{\cosh(\Delta \eta/2)} \approx \frac{p_{T}}{M}$$

The difference in pseudorapidity between the two muons.

- In the large  $p_T^Z(\phi_{\eta}^*)$  region, RESBOS predictions are in disagreement with data
  - Mainly because the RESBOS only calculate the NLO fixed-order at larger  $p_T^Z(\phi_{\eta}^*)$  region







### Double Differential Cross-Section – $Z-p_T$ and $y^Z$

- Double Differential Cross-Section compared with the **RESBOS** predictions
  - Tensions are seen at larger  $p_T^Z(\phi_{\eta}^*)$  region







#### **Integrated Cross-Section**

 $\succ$  In the forward region, the most precise measurement at 13 TeV:

 $\sigma(Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = 196.4 \pm 0.2(stat.) \pm 1.6(sys.) \pm 3.9(lumi.) pb$ 



JHEP 07 (2022) 26

Source	$\Delta\sigma/\sigma$ [%]
Statistical	0.11
Background	0.06
Alignment & calibration	-
Efficiency	0.77
Closure	0.23
FSR	0.15
Total Systematic (excl. lumi.)	0.82
Luminosity	2.00
Total	2.16

JHEP 07 (2022) 26





### **Motivation and Strategy**

- A following up analysis at  $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$  TeV
- Dataset: LHCb 2017 pp collider data: 99.86 pb<sup>-1</sup>
- Fiducial region: Same as 13 TeV









### Differential Cross-Section – $y^{Z}$ , Z- $p_{T}$ and $\phi_{n}^{*}$

> Reasonable agreement with the different theoretical predictions



LHCB-PAPER-2023-010 in preparation







#### **Integrated Cross-Section**

 $\succ$  In fiducial region, using 2017 pp collision data at 5.02 TeV:

 $\sigma(Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = 39.6 \pm 0.7(stat.) \pm 0.6(sys.) \pm 0.8(lumi.) pb$ 

Source	$\Delta\sigma/\sigma$ [%]
Statistical	1.77
Background	0.48
Calibration	0.01
Tracking	1.01
Identification <b>Preliminary</b>	0.25
Trigger	0.54
Efficiency Closure	0.61
FSR	0.18
Total Systematic (excl. lumi.)	1.42
Luminosity	2.00
Lummosity	2.00



LHCB-PAPER-2023-010 in preparation





#### **Motivation**

- Provide important information for the tests EW parameters, proton structure and QCD production
- Provide a direct probe of the polarization of the intermediate gauge boson, which is in turn sensitive to the underlying QCD production mechanisms.





#### Annihilation diagram

#### **Compton diagram**





### Introduction

- Collins-Soper frame: the center of dimuon mass frame
  - Z-axis: The bisector of angle between the two particles p direction
  - $\succ$   $\theta_{CS}$ : The angle between final state lepton  $\ell$  and Z-axis
  - $\rightarrow \phi_{CS}$ : The angle between the lepton plane (grey) and incident hardon (white)







### Introduction

- The differential cross-section of angle  $\theta_{CS}$  and  $\phi_{CS}$  in CS frame
  - $\succ$   $A_1$ : The difference in the probability of emission of gluons by low and high x parton
  - $\succ$   $A_2$ : Sensitive to the Boer-Mulders transverse momentum dependent (TMD) PDFs
  - >  $A_4$ : Related to the parity violation in the weak interaction ~  $A_{fb}$
  - $\rightarrow$   $A_5 A_7$ : Expected to be zero
  - > Lam-Tung relation:  $A_0 = A_2$







### $p_T$ dependent results

- Measured A<sub>i</sub> coefficients are corrected to the Born level
  - $A_0, A_1$ 
    - Disagreement with PYTHIA 8 predictions(Show similar increasing trends)
  - $\Delta A_4$ : The difference with respect to its mean value
    - Good agreement with other predictions
  - $A_0$ - $A_2$ : Investigate the violation of the Lam-Tung relations
    - Not compatible with zero in all  $p_T$  region except the last bin







### y dependent results

- Provide important information for the resummation
  - The QCD effects in the Z boson  $P_T$  have been integrated
- The measured result do not have significant dependences as a function of  $y^{Z}$  except  $A_{0}$ 
  - $A_0, A_0-A_2$ 
    - Tensions are seen especially in high rapidity region
  - $\Delta A_4$ : The difference with respect to its mean value
    - Good agreement with other predictions







### $A_2$ in low $p_T$ region

- In the low  $p_T$  region, the nonperturbative TMD PDFs can be probed though  $A_2$
- Deviation has seen in low mass and low  $p_T$ ( $p_T < 3$ GeV/c) region
- None of the prediction include nonperturbative spin-momentum correlations





### **Progress in W Cross-Section**



#### W and Z Cross-Section at 8 TeV

 $\succ$  In fiducial region, the inclusive W and Z cross-section at 8 TeV:  $\sigma(W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu) = 1093.6 \pm 2.1(stat.) \pm 7.2(sys.) \pm 10.9(beam) \pm 12.7(lumi.) pb$  $\sigma(W^- \to \mu^- \nu) = 818.4 \pm 1.9(stat.) \pm 5.0(sys.) \pm 7.0(beam) \pm 9.5(lumi.) pb$  $\sigma(Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = 95.0 \pm 0.3(stat.) \pm 0.7(sys.) \pm 1.1(beam) \pm 3.9(lumi.) pb$ 







### **Progress in W Cross-Section**



### W and Z Cross-Section at 8 TeV

 $\gg W^+$  and  $W^-$  cross-sections compare with NNLO predictions in bins of  $\eta^{\mu}$ 

• In agreement with NNLO calculations





### **Progress in W Cross-Section**



### W → ev Cross-Section at 8 TeV

> Template fits to the  $p_T$  distribution are performed in the range  $20 < p_T^e < 65$  GeV

> The first measurement of  $W \rightarrow ev$  production in forward region :

 $\sigma(W^+ \to e^+ \nu_e) = 1124.4 \ \pm \ 2.1(stat.) \pm 21.5(sys.) \pm 11.2(beam) \ \pm \ 13.0(lumi.) \ pb$ 

 $\sigma(W^- \to e^- \nu_e) = 809.0 \pm 1.9(stat.) \pm 18.1(sys.) \pm 7.0(beam) \pm 9.4(lumi.) pb$ 

> The ratio of branching fractions is compared to hadron collider, LEP and theory (red line)







- > LHCb has an extensive program for EW precision measurements
- Latest W and Z production cross-section measurements are reported
  - Most precise measurement of Z production in forward region
  - The first measurement of  $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  angular coefficients in forward region
  - The measurement of W production at 8 TeV
- ➢ In the future, with huge luminosity at Run 3 data, LHCb will perform more analyses on W and Z productions







### Thank you for attention !





## Back up





#### Z Cross-section -FSR

• In order to compare with theoretical predictions, the measured cross-section must be corrected to Born level (evaluated with ResBos+Photos)







#### Z Angular coefficient -Uncertainty

	$y^Z \in [2, 2.7]$				$y^Z \in [2.7, 3]$							
Coefficient	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$	$\Delta A_4$	$A_0 - A2$	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$	$\Delta A_4$	$A_0 - A2$
Total	0.1124	0.0354	0.0958	0.0357	-0.0321	0.0162	0.0543	0.0659	0.0843	0.0388	0.0026	-0.0302
Stat	0.0180	0.0085	0.0078	0.0039	0.0103	0.0197	0.0119	0.0067	0.0096	0.0046	0.0077	0.0153
Syst	0.0102	0.0046	0.0044	0.0022	0.0062	0.0112	0.0068	0.0038	0.0055	0.0024	0.0041	0.0088
MC Stat	0.0102	0.0044	0.0043	0.0022	0.0062	0.0111	0.0067	0.0035	0.0054	0.0024	0.0041	0.0086
$\mathbf{FSR}$	0.0006	0.0013	0.0004	0.0001	0.0001	0.0007	0.0006	0.0013	0.0005	0.0002	-	0.0008
$\operatorname{Eff}$	0.0005	0.0002	0.0001	-	-	0.0005	0.0002	-	-	-	-	0.0002
$\operatorname{Bkg}$	0.0003	-	0.0001	-	-	0.0003	0.0001	-	-	-	-	0.0001
Smear	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
$\operatorname{PDF}$	0.0001	0.0003	0.0004	0.0001	0.0004	0.0004	0.0007	0.0010	0.0011	0.0003	0.0004	0.0017
Extraction	0.0011	0.0002	0.0004	-	0.0004	0.0012	0.0006	0.0001	0.0003	0.0001	0.0003	0.0007
	$y^Z \in [3, 3.25]$				$y^Z \in [3.25, 3.6]$							
Coefficient	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$	$\Delta A_4$	$A_0 - A2$	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$	$\Delta A_4$	$A_0 - A2$
Total	0.0708	0.0665	0.0778	0.0144	0.0029	-0.0070	0.0443	0.0723	0.0583	0.0341	0.0171	-0.0139
Stat	0.0107	0.0068	0.0110	0.0051	0.0075	0.0154	0.0102	0.0065	0.0102	0.0047	0.0072	0.0145
Syst	0.0058	0.0039	0.0064	0.0028	0.0040	0.0087	0.0053	0.0036	0.0059	0.0026	0.0037	0.0078
MC Stat	0.0057	0.0036	0.0063	0.0027	0.0040	0.0085	0.0052	0.0031	0.0057	0.0026	0.0037	0.0077
$\mathbf{FSR}$	0.0009	0.0015	0.0007	0.0003	-	0.0012	0.0007	0.0015	0.0006	0.0002	-	0.0010
Eff	0.0001	-	0.0001	-	-	0.0002	0.0001	-	-	-	-	0.0002
$\operatorname{Bkg}$	-	-	0.0001	-	-	0.0002	-	-	-	-	-	-
Smear	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PDF	0.0005	0.0004	0.0006	0.0006	0.0004	0.0009	0.0006	0.0008	0.0008	0.0004	0.0003	0.0005
Extraction	0.0002	0.0001	0.0007	-	0.0002	0.0007	0.0004	0.0001	0.0006	0.0001	0.0002	0.0007





### Z Angular coefficient -Strategy

- Dataset: 2016,2017 and 2018 data
- Selection requirement:

Particle	Selections
Z	50 <mass<150gev< td=""></mass<150gev<>
Z	$\chi^2_{vtx}/ndf < 9$
All tracks	$2.0 < \eta < 4.5$
All tracks	$P_T > 20 \text{GeV}$
All tracks	$\frac{\sigma_p}{p} < 0.1$
All tracks	$\frac{p_T^{\mu}}{p_T^{\mu} + p_T^{\mu-cone}} > 0.85$





#### W and Z Cross-Section at 8 TeV-Uncertainty

Source	Uncertainty $[\%]$			
	$\sigma_{W^+\!\!\rightarrow\mu^+\nu}$	$\sigma_{W^- \to \mu^- \overline{ u}}$	$\sigma_{Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-}$	
Statistical	0.19	0.23	0.27	
Purity	0.28	0.21	0.21	
Tracking	0.26	0.24	0.48	
Identification	0.11	0.11	0.21	
Trigger	0.14	0.13	0.05	
$\operatorname{GEC}$	0.40	0.41	0.34	
Selection	0.24	0.24		
Acceptance and FSR	0.16	0.14	0.13	
Systematic	0.65	0.61	0.67	
Beam energy	1.00	0.86	1.15	
Luminosity	1.16	1.16	1.16	
Total	1.67	1.59	1.79	





#### **W** → **ev** Cross-Section -Uncertainty

Source	Uncertainty [%]			
	$\sigma_{W^+ \to e^+ \nu_e}$	$\sigma_{W^- \to e^- \overline{\nu}_e}$	$R_{W^{\pm}}$	
Statistical <sup>†</sup>	0.19	0.24	0.30	
Yield (statistical) <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>	0.28	0.40	0.48	
Yield (systematic)	1.42	1.79	0.51	
Efficiency (statistical) <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>	0.55	0.55	0.21	
Efficiency (systematic)	1.11	1.14	0.54	
$FSR \text{ corrections}^{\dagger}$	0.05	0.07	0.09	
Acceptance corrections (statistical) <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>	0.00	0.01	0.01	
Acceptance corrections (systematic)	0.15	0.15	0.00	
Charge mis-identification <sup>†</sup>			0.02	
Systematic	1.91	2.23	0.91	
Beam energy	1.00	0.86	0.14	
Luminosity	1.16	1.16		
Total	2.46	2.67	0.97	