The indispensable role of neutrinos in the global fit of SMEFT

Yong Du (杜勇)

WIN2023, Zhuhai SYSU, July 7, 2023

Based on

<u>2206.08326</u>, with Jorge de Blas, Christophe Grojean, Jiayin Gu, Victor Miralles, Michael Peskin, Junping Tian, Marcel Vos, Eleni Vryonidou

PRL 126 (2021) 13, 131801, with Ayres Freitas, Hiren Patel, Michael Ramsey-Musolf

On-going work, with Justin Fagnoni, Leon Friedrich, Michael Ramsey-Musolf, Jia Zhou with Michael Peskin, Junping Tian



Introduction

The SM, up to now, is very successful. But there are some flaws:



YD, Huang, Li, Yu, 2005.01717 (JHEP) YD, Huang, Li, Li, Yu, 2111.01267 (JCAP)



Chiang, Cottin, YD, Fuyuto, Ramsey-Musolf, 2003.07867(JHEP)

Elahi et al, 1410.6157

Yong Du (杜勇)

Introduction

On the other hand, neutrinos oscillate



Yong Du (杜勇)

Introduction

While there are many models for dark matter, neutrinos and other topics as you prefer, the direct experimental observation of any new particle is still null.

<u>Q</u>: How to approach new physics beyond the Standard Model?

<u>A: …</u>



The experimental data are suggesting that the SM is an effective low-energy theory of some UV model above the weak scale.



Yong Du (杜勇)

WIN2023, Zhuhai

Operators in the Warsaw basis:

X^3		$arphi^6 \;\; { m and} \;\; arphi^4 D^2$		$\psi^2 arphi^3$	
Q_G	$f^{ABC}G^{A\nu}_{\mu}G^{B\rho}_{\nu}G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	Q_{arphi}	$(arphi^\dagger arphi)^3$	$Q_{e\varphi}$	$(arphi^\dagger arphi) (ar l_p e_r arphi)$
$Q_{\widetilde{G}}$	$f^{ABC} \widetilde{G}^{A\nu}_{\mu} G^{B\rho}_{\nu} G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{arphi\square}$	$(arphi^\daggerarphi) \Box (arphi^\daggerarphi)$	$Q_{u\varphi}$	$(arphi^\dagger arphi) (ar q_p u_r \widetilde arphi)$
Q_W	$\varepsilon^{IJK}W^{I\nu}_{\mu}W^{J\rho}_{\nu}W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{arphi D}$	$\left(arphi^{\dagger} D^{\mu} arphi ight)^{\star} \left(arphi^{\dagger} D_{\mu} arphi ight)$	Q_{darphi}	$(arphi^\dagger arphi) (ar q_p d_r arphi)$
$Q_{\widetilde{W}}$	$\varepsilon^{IJK}\widetilde{W}_{\mu}^{I\nu}W_{\nu}^{J\rho}W_{\rho}^{K\mu}$				
$X^2 \varphi^2$			$\psi^2 X \varphi$		$\psi^2 arphi^2 D$
$Q_{arphi G}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi G^A_{\mu u} G^{A\mu u}$	Q_{eW}	$(ar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu u} e_r) au^I arphi W^I_{\mu u}$	$Q^{(1)}_{arphi l}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{G}}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi \widetilde{G}^A_{\mu u} G^{A\mu u}$	Q_{eB}	$(ar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu u} e_r) arphi B_{\mu u}$	$Q^{(3)}_{arphi l}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I}\varphi)(ar{l}_{p} au^{I}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$
$Q_{arphi W}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi W^I_{\mu u} W^{I\mu u}$	Q_{uG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu u} T^A u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} G^A_{\mu u}$	$Q_{arphi e}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(ar{e}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}e_{r})$
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{W}}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi \widetilde{W}^I_{\mu u} W^{I\mu u}$	Q_{uW}	$(ar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu u} u_r) au^I \widetilde{arphi} W^I_{\mu u}$	$Q^{(1)}_{arphi q}$	$(arphi^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu arphi) (ar{q}_p \gamma^\mu q_r)$
$Q_{arphi B}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi B_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	Q_{uB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu u} u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} B_{\mu u}$	$Q^{(3)}_{arphi q}$	$(arphi^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}^I_\mu arphi) (ar{q}_p au^I \gamma^\mu q_r)$
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{B}}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi \widetilde{B}_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	Q_{dG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu u} T^A d_r) \varphi G^A_{\mu u}$	$Q_{\varphi u}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}u_{r})$
$Q_{arphi WB}$	$\varphi^\dagger \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu u} d_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu u}$	$Q_{arphi d}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{d}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{W}B}$	$arphi^\dagger au^I arphi \widetilde{W}^I_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	Q_{dB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu u} d_r) \varphi B_{\mu u}$	$Q_{arphi u d}$	$i(\widetilde{arphi}^{\dagger}D_{\mu}arphi)(ar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$

$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$		$(\bar{R}R)(\bar{R}R)$		$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{R}R)$	
Q_{ll}	$(ar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (ar{l}_s \gamma^\mu l_t)$	Q_{ee}	$(ar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (ar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$	Q_{le}	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu l_r)(ar{e}_s\gamma^\mu e_t)$
$Q_{qq}^{(1)}$	$(ar q_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (ar q_s \gamma^\mu q_t)$	Q_{uu}	$(ar{u}_p \gamma_\mu u_r) (ar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	Q_{lu}	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu l_r)(ar{u}_s\gamma^\mu u_t)$
$Q_{qq}^{\left(3 ight) }$	$(ar{q}_p\gamma_\mu au^I q_r)(ar{q}_s\gamma^\mu au^I q_t)$	Q_{dd}	$(ar{d}_p\gamma_\mu d_r)(ar{d}_s\gamma^\mu d_t)$	Q_{ld}	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu l_r)(ar{d}_s\gamma^\mu d_t)$
$Q_{lq}^{\left(1 ight) }$	$(ar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (ar{q}_s \gamma^\mu q_t)$	Q_{eu}	$(ar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (ar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	Q_{qe}	$(ar q_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (ar e_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$
$Q_{lq}^{\left(3 ight) }$	$(ar{l}_p \gamma_\mu au^I l_r) (ar{q}_s \gamma^\mu au^I q_t)$	Q_{ed}	$(ar{e}_p\gamma_\mu e_r)(ar{d}_s\gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$(ar q_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (ar u_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$
		$Q_{ud}^{\left(1 ight) }$	$(ar{u}_p\gamma_\mu u_r)(ar{d}_s\gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(8)}$	$(ar{q}_p \gamma_\mu T^A q_r) (ar{u}_s \gamma^\mu T^A u_t)$
		$Q_{ud}^{(8)}$	$(ar{u}_p \gamma_\mu T^A u_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)$	$Q_{qd}^{\left(1 ight)}$	$(ar q_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (ar d_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$
				$Q_{qd}^{(8)}$	$(ar{q}_p \gamma_\mu T^A q_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)$
$(\bar{L}R)(\bar{R}L)$ and $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{L}R)$			<i>B</i> -violating		
$Q_{ledq} = (ar{l}_p^j e_r) (ar{d}_s q_t^j)$		Q_{duq}	$arepsilon^{lphaeta\gamma}arepsilon_{jk}\left[(d_p^lpha)^TCu_r^eta ight]\left[(q_s^{\gamma j})^TCl_t^k ight]$		
$Q_{quqd}^{(1)}$	$(ar{q}_p^j u_r) arepsilon_{jk} (ar{q}_s^k d_t)$	Q_{qqu}	$arepsilon^{lphaeta\gamma}arepsilon_{jk}\left[(q_p^{lpha j})^TCq_r^{eta k} ight]\left[(u_s^\gamma)^TCe_t ight]$		
$Q_{quqd}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_p^j T^A u_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k T^A d_t)$	Q_{qqq}	$arepsilon^{lphaeta\gamma}arepsilon_{jn}arepsilon_{km}\left[(q_p^{lpha j})^TCq_r^{eta k} ight]\left[(q_s^{\gamma m})^TCl_t^n ight]$		$\left[(q_s^{\gamma m})^T C l_t^n ight]$
$Q_{lequ}^{(1)}$	$(ar{l}_p^j e_r) arepsilon_{jk} (ar{q}_s^k u_t)$	Q_{duu}	$arepsilon^{lphaeta\gamma}\left[(d_p^lpha)^TCu_r^eta ight]\left[(u_s^\gamma)^TCe_t ight]$		$\left[(u_s^\gamma)^T C e_t ight]$
$Q_{lequ}^{(3)}$	$(\bar{l}_p^j \sigma_{\mu u} e_r) arepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k \sigma^{\mu u} u_t)$				

59 operators (+ 4 B-violating ones)

2499 operators: 1350 (CP-even) + 1149 (CP-odd)

```
Yong Du (杜勇)
```

SMEFT global fit: <u>flavor</u>

Big picture of the SMEFT global fit:

Fit 1 for Higgs + electroweak physics (See Jiayin Gu's talk yesterday)

Fit 2 & 3 for four-fermion ($f \neq t$) and bosonic CPV operators

Fit 4 for top physics (not covered in this talk)

SMEFT global fit: <u>flavor</u>

Big picture of the SMEFT global fit:

Fit 1 for Higgs + electroweak physics (See Jiayin Gu's talk yesterday)

Fit 2 & 3 for four-fermion ($f \neq t$) and bosonic CPV operators

Fit 4 for top physics (not covered in this talk)

Some words on the flavors:

U35, top specific, MFV, U23

No flavor assumptions are made.

Han et al, PRD 71 075009 (2005) Falkowski et al, JHEP 02 (2015) 039 Berthier et al, JHEP 02 (2016) 069 , JHEP 09 (2016) 157 Ellis et al, JHEP 04 (2021) 279, JHEP 06 (2018) 146

Ellis et al, JHEP 03 (2015) 157 Pomarol et al, JHEP 01 (2014) 151 Grojean et al, JHEP 03 (2019) 020 Hartland et al, JHEP 04 (2019) 100 Aoude et al, JHEP 12 (2020) 113

Brivio et al, JHEP 02 (2020) 131

.

SMEFT global fit: <u>Basis</u>

We choose to work in the Higgs basis

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} \supset eA^{\mu} \sum_{f=u,d,e} Q_{f}(\overline{f}_{I}\overline{\sigma}_{\mu}f_{I} + f_{I}^{c}\sigma_{\mu}\overline{f}_{I}^{c}) \\ &+ \frac{g_{L}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[W^{\mu+}\overline{\nu}_{I}\overline{\sigma}_{\mu}(\delta_{IJ} + [\delta g_{L}^{W\ell}]_{IJ})e_{J} + W^{\mu+}\overline{u}_{I}\overline{\sigma}_{\mu} \left(V_{IJ} + \left[\delta g_{L}^{Wq} \right]_{IJ} \right) d_{J} + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ &+ \frac{g_{L}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[W^{\mu+}u_{I}^{c}\sigma_{\mu} \left[\delta g_{R}^{Wq} \right]_{IJ} \overline{d}_{J}^{c} + \text{h.c.} \right] \\ &+ \sqrt{g_{L}^{2} + g_{Y}^{2}} Z^{\mu} \sum_{f=u,d,e,\nu} \overline{f}_{I}\overline{\sigma}_{\mu} \left((T_{3}^{f} - s_{w}^{2}Q_{f})\delta_{IJ} + \left[\delta g_{L}^{Zf} \right]_{IJ} \right) f_{J} \\ &+ \sqrt{g_{L}^{2} + g_{Y}^{2}} Z^{\mu} \sum_{f=u,d,e} f_{I}^{c}\sigma_{\mu} \left(-s_{w}^{2}Q_{f}\delta_{IJ} + \left[\delta g_{R}^{Zf} \right]_{IJ} \right) \overline{f}_{J}^{c}, \end{split}$$

6

SMEFT global fit: <u>**Basis</u></u></u>**

We choose to work in the Higgs basis

$$\begin{split} & \delta gLWe \rightarrow cHl 3 \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw v^2 - \frac{cHD \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL^2 v^2}{4 \left(gL^2 - gv^2 \right)} - \frac{cHWB \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL gV v^2}{gL^2 - gV^2} - \frac{gL^2 v^2 \ensuremath{\Delta} GF}{2 \left(gL^2 - gv^2 \right)} \\ & \delta gLZe \rightarrow - \frac{cHl \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw v^2}{2} - \frac{cHl \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw v^2}{2} + \frac{cHWB \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL gV v^2}{gL^2 - gV^2} + \frac{cHD \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw \left(gL^2 + gV^2 \right) v^2}{8 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} + \frac{\left(gL^2 + gV^2 \right) v^2 \ensuremath{\Delta} GF}{4 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} \\ & \delta gRZe \rightarrow - \frac{cHel \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw v^2}{2} + \frac{cHWB \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL gV v^2}{4 gL^2 - 4 gV^2} + \frac{cHWB \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL gV v^2}{gL^2 - gV^2} + \frac{gV^2 v^2 \ensuremath{\Delta} GF}{2 gL^2 - 2 gV^2} \\ & \delta gLZu \rightarrow - \frac{cHq \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw v^2}{2} + \frac{cHq \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL gV v^2}{3 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} - \frac{cHD \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw \left(3 gL^2 + gV^2 \right) v^2}{24 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} - \frac{\left(3 gL^2 + gV^2 \right) v^2 \ensuremath{\Delta} GF}{12 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} \\ & \delta gLZd \rightarrow - \frac{cHq \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw v^2}{2} - \frac{cHq \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL gV v^2}{3 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} + \frac{cHD \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw \left(3 gL^2 - gV^2 \right) v^2}{24 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} - \frac{\left(3 gL^2 - gV^2 \right) v^2 \ensuremath{\Delta} GF}{12 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} \\ & \delta gRZd \rightarrow - \frac{cHq \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw v^2}{2} - \frac{2 cHWB \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL gV v^2}{3 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} + \frac{cHD \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw \left(3 gL^2 - gV^2 \right) v^2}{24 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} + \frac{\left(3 gL^2 - gV^2 \right) v^2 \ensuremath{\mathbb{L}} GF}{12 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} \\ & \delta gRZd \rightarrow - \frac{cHu \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw v^2}{2} - \frac{2 cHWB \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL gV v^2}{3 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} + \frac{cHD \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gV^2 v^2}{64 \left(-gL^2 + gV^2 \right)} + \frac{\left(3 gL^2 - gV^2 \right) v^2 \ensuremath{\mathbb{L}} GF}{12 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} \\ & \delta gRZd \rightarrow - \frac{cHu \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw v^2}{2} + \frac{cHWB \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gL gV v^2}{3 \left(gL^2 - gV^2 \right)} + \frac{cHD \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gV^2 v^2}{6 \left(-gL^2 + gV^2 \right)} + \frac{gV^2 v^2 \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} Warsaw gV^2 v^2$$

WIN2023, Zhuhai

Yong Du (杜勇)

TDLI

We only consider flavor conserving 4-fermion operators

$2\ell 2q$ operators $(p, r = 1, 2, 3)$	4 ℓ operators ($p < r = 1, 2, 3$)
Chirality conserving	Two flavors
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell q}]_{pprr} = (\overline{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (\overline{q}_r \overline{\sigma}^\mu q_r)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell\ell}]_{pprr} = (\overline{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (\overline{\ell}_r \overline{\sigma}^\mu \ell_r)$
$[O_{\ell q}^{(3)}]_{pprr} = (\bar{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \sigma^i \ell_p) (\bar{q}_r \overline{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^i q_r)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell\ell}]_{prrp} = (\overline{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_r) (\overline{\ell}_r \overline{\sigma}^\mu \ell_p)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell u}]_{pprr} = (\overline{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (u_r^c \sigma^\mu \overline{u}_r^c)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e}]_{pprr} = (\bar{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (e_r^c \sigma^\mu \overline{e}_r^c)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell d}]_{pprr} = (\overline{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (d_r^c \sigma^\mu \overline{d}_r^c)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e}]_{rrpp} = (\bar{\ell}_r \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_r) (e_p^c \sigma^\mu \overline{e}_p^c)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{eq}]_{pprr} = (e_p^c \sigma_\mu \overline{e}_p^c) (\overline{q}_r \overline{\sigma}^\mu q_r)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e}]_{prrp} = (\bar{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_r) (e_r^c \sigma^\mu \overline{e}_p^c)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{eu}]_{pprr} = (e_p^c \sigma_\mu \overline{e}_p^c)(u_r^c \sigma^\mu \overline{u}_r^c)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{ee}]_{pprr} = (e_p^c \sigma_\mu \overline{e}_p^c)(e_r^c \sigma^\mu \overline{e}_r^c)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{ed}]_{pprr} = (e_p^c \sigma_\mu \overline{e}_p^c) (d_r^c \sigma^\mu \overline{d}_r^c)$	
Chirality violating	One flavor
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell equ}]_{pprr} = (\overline{\ell}_p^j \overline{e}_p^c) \epsilon_{jk} (\overline{q}_r^k \overline{u}_r^c)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell\ell}]_{pppp} = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (\overline{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}^\mu \ell_p)$
$[O_{\ell equ}^{(3)}]_{pprr} = (\overline{\ell}_p^j \overline{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \overline{e}_p^c) \epsilon_{jk} (\overline{q}_r^k \overline{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \overline{u}_r^c)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e}]_{pppp} = (\overline{\ell}_p \overline{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (e_p^c \sigma^\mu \overline{e}_p^c)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e d q}]_{p p r r} = (\overline{\ell}_{p}^{j} \overline{e}_{p}^{c}) (d_{r}^{c} q_{r}^{j})$	$[\mathcal{O}_{ee}]_{pppp} = \frac{1}{2} (e_p^c \sigma_\mu \overline{e}_p^c) (e_p^c \sigma^\mu \overline{e}_p^c)$

Full list of observables and different collider options are summarized in great detail in our snowmass paper <u>2206.08326</u>

```
Yong Du (杜勇)
```

de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

Process	Observable	Experimental value	Ref.	SM prediction
(-)	$g_{LV}^{ u_\mu e}$	-0.035 ± 0.017	CHADM II [47]	-0.0396 [48]
$\nu_{\mu} - e^{-}$ scattering	$g_{LA}^{ u_{\mu}e}$	-0.503 ± 0.017	CHARM-II [47]	-0.5064 [48]
σ doory	$\frac{G_{\tau e}^2}{G_F^2}$	1.0029 ± 0.0046	PDC2014 [49]	1
7 decay	$rac{G_{ au\mu}^{d}}{G_{F}^{2}}$	0.981 ± 0.018	1 DG2014 [49]	1
	$R_{ u\mu}$	0.3093 ± 0.0031	CHARM $(r = 0.456)$ [50]	0.3156 [50]
	$R_{\overline{ u}_{\mu}}$	0.390 ± 0.014	(/ = 0.400) [00]	0.370 [<mark>50</mark>]
Neutrino scattoring	$R_{ u_{\mu}}$	0.3072 ± 0.0033	CDHS $(r = 0.303)$ [51]	0.3091 [51]
Neutrino scattering	$R_{\overline{ u}_{\mu}}$	0.382 ± 0.016	CDHS (7 = 0.333) [51]	0.380 [51]
	κ	0.5820 ± 0.0041	CCFR [52]	0.5830 [52]
	$R_{ u_e\overline{ u}_e}$	$0.406\substack{+0.145\\-0.135}$	CHARM [53]	0.33 [54]
	$(s_w^2)^{ m M {\it ec w}}$	0.2397 ± 0.0013	SLAC-E158 [55]	0.2381 ± 0.0006 [56]
	$Q_W^{ m Cs}(55,78)$	-72.62 ± 0.43	PDG2016 [54]	-73.25 ± 0.02 [54]
	$Q_W^{ m p}(1,0)$	0.064 ± 0.012	QWEAK [57]	$0.0708 \pm 0.0003 \ [54]$
	A_1	$(-91.1 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-6}$	PVDIS [58]	$(-87.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ [58]
Parity-violating scattering	A_2	$(-160.8 \pm 7.1) \times 10^{-6}$		$(-158.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$ [58]
	$g^{eu}_{VA} - g^{ed}_{VA}$	-0.042 ± 0.057	SAMPLE ($\sqrt{Q^2} = 200 \text{MeV}$) [59]	-0.0360 [54]
		-0.12 ± 0.074	SAMPLE ($\sqrt{Q^2} = 125 \text{MeV}$) [59]	0.0265 [54]
	hana	$-(1.47 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$	SPS $(\lambda = 0.81)$ [60]	$-1.56 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$ [60]
	USPS	$-(1.74 \pm 0.81) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$	SPS $(\lambda = 0.66)$ [60]	$-1.57 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$ [60]
σ polarization	$\mathcal{P}_{ au}$	0.012 ± 0.058	VENUS [61]	0.028 [61]
	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$	0.029 ± 0.057		0.021 [61]
Neutrino trident production	$rac{\sigma}{\sigma^{ m SM}}(u_{\mu}\gamma^{*} ightarrow u_{\mu}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$	0.82 ± 0.28	CCFR [62–64]	1
$d_I ightarrow u_J \ell \overline{ u}_\ell(\gamma)$	$\epsilon^{de_J}_{L,R,S,P,T}$	See text	[65]	0
	δA^e_{LR}	2.0%		0.00015
$e^+e^- \to f\overline{f}$	δA^{μ}_{LR}	1.5%		-0.0006
	$\delta A^{ au}_{LR}$	2.4%	SuperKEKB [66]	-0.0006
	δA^c_{LR}	0.5%		-0.005
	δA^b_{LR}	0.4%		-0.020

8

Yong Du (杜勇)

de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

Process	Observable	Experimental value	Ref.	SM prediction
$(-)$ c^{-} controlling	$g_{LV}^{ u_\mu e}$	-0.035 ± 0.017	CHARM-II [47]	-0.0396 [48]
$\nu_{\mu} - e$ scattering	$g_{LA}^{ u_{\mu}e}$	-0.503 ± 0.017		-0.5064 [48]
au decay	$\frac{G_{\tau e}^2}{G_F^2}$	1.0029 ± 0.0046	PDG2014 [49]	1
	$\frac{G_{\tau\mu}^2}{G_F^2}$	0.981 ± 0.018		
	$R_{ u_{\mu}}$	0.3093 ± 0.0031	CHABM $(r = 0.456)$ [50]	0.3156 [<mark>50</mark>]
	$R_{\overline{ u}_{\mu}}$	0.390 ± 0.014		0.370 [<mark>50</mark>]
Neutrino scattering	$R_{ u_{\mu}}$	0.3072 ± 0.0033	CDHS $(r = 0.393)$ [51]	0.3091 [51]
iteatime seattering	$R_{\overline{ u}_{\mu}}$	0.382 ± 0.016		0.380 [51]
	κ	0.5820 ± 0.0041	CCFR [52]	0.5830 [52]
	$R_{ u_e\overline{ u}_e}$	$0.406^{+0.145}_{-0.135}$	CHARM [53]	0.33 [54]
	$(s_w^2)^{ m M {\it extsf{w}} m ller}$	0.2397 ± 0.0013	SLAC-E158 [55]	0.2381 ± 0.0006 [56]
	$Q_W^{ m Cs}(55,78)$	-72.62 ± 0.43	PDG2016 [54]	$-73.25 \pm 0.02 \ [54]$
	$Q_W^{ m p}(1,0)$	0.064 ± 0.012	QWEAK [57]	$0.0708 \pm 0.0003 \ [54]$
	A_1	$(-91.1 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-6}$	PVDIS [58]	$(-87.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ [58]
Parity-violating scattering	A_2	$(-160.8 \pm 7.1) \times 10^{-6}$		$(-158.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$ [58]
	$g^{eu}_{VA} - g^{ed}_{VA}$	-0.042 ± 0.057	SAMPLE ($\sqrt{Q^2} = 200 \text{MeV}$) [59]	-0.0360 [54]
		-0.12 ± 0.074	SAMPLE ($\sqrt{Q^2} = 125 \text{MeV}$) [59]	0.0265 [54]
	$b_{ m SPS}$	$-(1.47 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$	SPS $(\lambda = 0.81)$ [60]	$-1.56 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$ [60]
		$-(1.74 \pm 0.81) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$	SPS $(\lambda = 0.66)$ [60]	$-1.57 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$ [60]
τ polarization	$\mathcal{P}_{ au}$	0.012 ± 0.058	VENUS [61]	0.028 [61]
	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$	0.029 ± 0.057		0.021 [61]
Neutrino trident production	$rac{\sigma}{\sigma^{ m SM}}(u_{\mu}\gamma^{*} ightarrow u_{\mu}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$	0.82 ± 0.28	CCFR [62–64]	1
$d_I ightarrow u_J \ell \overline{ u}_\ell(\gamma)$	$\epsilon^{de_J}_{L,R,S,P,T}$	See text	[65]	0
	δA^e_{LR}	2.0%		0.00015
$e^+e^- \to f\overline{f}$	δA^{μ}_{LR}	1.5%		-0.0006
	$\delta A^{ au}_{LR}$	2.4%	SuperKEKB [66]	-0.0006
	δA^c_{LR}	0.5%		-0.005
	δA^b_{LR}	0.4%		-0.020

8

Yong Du (杜勇)

Flat direction lifted by low-energy experiments: One example



Correlations matter especially for UV studies where the Wilson coefficients are dependent.

9

Yong Du (杜勇)

Global fit results: Vff couplings



de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

10

Yong Du (杜勇)



Global fit results: Vff couplings

Luminosity wins (through radiative return)



de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

Yong Du (杜勇)

WIN2023, Zhuhai

TDLI

$\mathcal{O}(10)$ weaker: Limited by the missing

Global fit results: Vff couplings





de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

10

Yong Du (杜勇)



$\mathcal{O}(10)$ weaker: Limited by the missing

Global fit results: Vff couplings





de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

* PhD thesis now under study.

Yong Du (杜勇)





de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

Yong Du (杜勇)





de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

Yong Du (杜勇)





de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

* Linear colliders are in general more powerful in exploring 4f operators — a fact largely not realized by the th. & exp. communities.

```
Yong Du (杜勇)
```

<u>Global fit results:</u> $2\ell 2q$ couplings



de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

Same as the 4ℓ case. Again, A_{FB}^{ss} , σ^{ss} and muon colliders will play a key role.

Yong Du (杜勇)

Purely bosonic CPV operators: 6 in total, in Warsaw basis

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} &= f^{ABC} \tilde{G}_{\mu}^{A\nu} G_{\nu}^{B\rho} G_{\rho}^{C\mu} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\varphi \tilde{G}} &= \varphi^{\dagger} \varphi \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^{A} G^{A\mu\nu} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\varphi \tilde{W}} &= \varphi^{\dagger} \varphi \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{I} W^{I\mu\nu} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\varphi \tilde{W}} &= \varphi^{\dagger} \varphi \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\varphi \tilde{W}B} &= \varphi^{\dagger} \tau^{I} \varphi \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{I} B^{\mu\nu} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}} &= \epsilon^{IJK} \tilde{W}_{\mu}^{I\nu} W_{\nu}^{J\rho} W_{\rho}^{K\mu} \end{split}$$



Purely bosonic CPV operators: 6 in total, in Warsaw basis



Not included (gluon free) — strong constraints from neutron/chromo-EDMs

Cirigliano et al, Phys.Rev.D 94 (2016) 3, 034031

Yong Du (杜勇)



Purely bosonic CPV operators: 6 in total, in Warsaw basis



Not included (gluon free) — strong constraints from neutron/chromo-EDMs

Cirigliano et al, Phys.Rev.D 94 (2016) 3, 034031

- 1. Determination of two anomalous triple gauge couplings (aTGC) from $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$
- 2. Another two anomalous Higgs couplings (aHC) from $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$ (dominant production channel of ILC at low energies) using angular asymmetries.

Complementarity of hadron and lepton colliders in probing CP violation



de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

Yong Du (杜勇)



de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326





de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326



Yong Du (杜勇)

WIN2023, Zhuhai

TDLI

Benchmark: Type-II seesaw model

Yong Du (杜勇)

WIN2023, Zhuhai



Benchmark: Type-II seesaw model

 $V(\Phi, \Delta) \supset \lambda_4(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_5 \Phi^{\dagger} \Delta \Delta^{\dagger} \Phi$

$$\mathscr{L}_{Y} = (y_{\nu})_{\alpha\beta} \overline{L_{\alpha}^{c}} i \tau_{2} \Delta L_{\beta} h.c.$$



Yong Du (杜勇)

WIN2023, Zhuhai

TDLI

Benchmark: Leptoquark model

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{LQ}} \supset \left(\lambda_{i\alpha}^{1L} \bar{q}_{i}^{c} \epsilon \ell_{\alpha} + \lambda_{i\alpha}^{1R} \bar{u}_{i}^{c} e_{\alpha}\right) S_{1} + \lambda_{i\alpha}^{3L} \bar{q}_{i}^{c} \epsilon \sigma^{I} \ell_{\alpha} S_{3}^{I} + \mathbf{h.c.}$



de Blas, YD, Grojean, Gu, Miralles, Peskin, Tian, Vos, Vryonidou, 2206.08326

Yong Du (杜勇)

Benchmark: The inverse problem



Find the UV models for any operator and any topology (UVBuilder).

WIN2023, Zhuhai

Benchmark: The inverse problem

т H I H

Find the UV models for any operator and any topology (UVBuilder).

Internal fields					
I1	I2	I3	I4	I5	
	Нур	perCharg	ges		
<u> </u>	_ 5	<u>1</u>	_ <u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	
3	3	3	3	3	
Gaug	ge intor	mation	$\{503, 500\}$	SU2 }	
$\{3, 1\}$	{3, 2 }	{3, 2 }	$\{3, 1\}$	$\{3, 1\}$	
$\{3, 1\}$	{ 3 , 2 }	{3, 2 }	$\{3, 1\}$	$\{6, 1\}$	
$\{3, 1\}$	{3, 2}	{3, 2}	{ 6 , 1}	$\{3, 1\}$	
$\{3, 1\}$	{3, 2}	{3, 2}	{ 6 , 1}	{ 6 , 1}	
$\{3, 1\}$	{3, 2}	{ 6 , 2 }	{ 3 , 1}	{ 3 , 1}	
$\{3, 1\}$	{3, 2}	{ 6 , 2 }	{ 6 , 1 }	$\{3, 1\}$	
$\{3, 1\}$	{ 6 , 2 }	{ 3 , 2 }	{ 3 , 1}	{ 3 , 1 }	
$\{3, 1\}$	{ 6 , 2 }	{ 3 , 2 }	{ 6 , 1 }	{ 3 , 1 }	
{ 3 , 1}	{ 6 , 2 }	{ 6 , 2 }	{ 3 , 1}	{ 6 , 1 }	
{ 3 , 1}	{ 6 , 2 }	{ 6 , 2 }	{ 6 , 1 }	{ 6 , 1 }	
<i>{</i> 6, 1 <i>}</i>	{3, 2}	{ 3 , 2 }	{ 3 , 1}	{ 3 , 1 }	
<i>{</i> 6 <i>,</i> 1 <i>}</i>	{3, 2}	{ 3 , 2 }	{ 3 , 1}	{ 6 , 1 }	
<i>{</i> 6, 1 <i>}</i>	{3, 2}	{3, 2}	{ 6 , 1}	{ 3 , 1}	
<i>{</i> 6, 1 <i>}</i>	{3, 2}	{3, 2}	{ 6 , 1}	<i>{</i> 6 <i>,</i> 1 <i>}</i>	
<i>{</i> 6 <i>,</i> 1 <i>}</i>	{3, 2}	{ 6 , 2 }	{ 3 , 1}	{ 3 , 1}	
{ 6 , 1}	{3, 2}	<i>{</i> 6 <i>,</i> 2 <i>}</i>	{ 6 , 1}	$\{3, 1\}$	
{ 6 , 1}	{ 6 , 2 }	{3, 2}	$\{3, 1\}$	$\{3, 1\}$	
{ 6 , 1}	{ 6 , 2 }	{3, 2}	{ 6 , 1}	$\{3, 1\}$	
{ 6 , 1}	{ 6 , 2 }	<i>{</i> 6 <i>,</i> 2 <i>}</i>	$\{3, 1\}$	{ 6 , 1}	
{ 6 , 1}	{ 6 , 2 }	<i>{</i> 6 <i>,</i> 2 <i>}</i>	{ 6 , 1}	{ 6 , 1}	

YD, Ma, Liao, 2307.XXXXX

Yong Du (杜勇)



SMEFT global fit: What is next?

SMEFT global fit: *What is next?*

<u>Q: What if no future colliders or if the data taking process starts only after I retire?</u>

A: We have many possibilities in neutrino, nuclear, cosmology etc.

SMEFT global fit: <u>What is next?</u>

Q: What if no future colliders or if the data taking process starts only after I retire?

A: We have many possibilities in neutrino, nuclear, cosmology etc.



Neutrinos will play a similar role as linear colliders with 100% beam polarization (except for the right-handed sector). Neutrinos always help! This includes N_{eff} from precision cosmology.

Yong Du (杜勇)



The state-of-the-art: the density matrix method

$$\left(\partial_t - Hp\partial_p\right)\rho_p(t) = -i\left[\left(\frac{1}{2p}\mathbb{M}_{\mathrm{F}} - \frac{8\sqrt{2}G_{\mathrm{F}}p}{3m_{\mathrm{W}}^2}\mathbb{E}\right), \rho_p(t)\right] + C\left[\rho_p(t)\right]$$

Usual out-of-equilibrium PSD

$$\rho_p(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{ee} & \rho_{e\mu} & \rho_{e\tau} \\ \rho_{\mu e} & \rho_{\mu\mu} & \rho_{\mu\tau} \\ \rho_{\tau e} & \rho_{\tau\mu} & \rho_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$

Mixing from the oscillation (important 0.0007 shift of N_{eff})

Yvonne Wong's talk on Monday

C the usual collision term integral — sources of the most challenging part (too many coupled stiff integro-differential ODEs)

Yong Du (杜勇)

Calculations were made way easier with equilibrium distribution approximation with evolving temperature and chemical potential due to the expansion of the Universe.

Escudero, 2001.04466 (JCAP)

C can be obtained analytically then. Once this is done, accurate $N_{e\!f\!f}$ can be obtained in a few secs/mins.

Calculations were made way easier with equilibrium distribution approximation with evolving temperature and chemical potential due to the expansion of the Universe.

Escudero, 2001.04466 (JCAP)

C can be obtained analytically then. Once this is done, accurate N_{eff} can be obtained in a few secs/mins.

Even for $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes, these are 12-fold integrals, very time consuming, and better that we have a dictionary for quick lookup.

YD, Yu, 2101.10475 (JHEP)



- (1) For any related SM processes.
- (2) For any UV theories (above m_{μ}).
- (3) For any EFTs up to dim-7.

The stiff integro-differential equations then become trivial ODEs (EFT2Neff).

Yong Du (杜勇)

YD, Yu, 2101.10475 (JHEP)



- (1) For *any* related SM processes.
- (2) For any UV theories (above m_{μ}).
- (3) For any EFTs up to dim-7.

The stiff integro-differential equations then become trivial ODEs (EFT2Neff).



Yong Du (杜勇)

WIN2023, Zhuhai

SMEFT global fit: <u>**CEvNS</u></u></u>**

$2\ell 2q$ operators $(p, r = 1, 2, 3)$	4ℓ operators $(p < r = 1, 2, 3)$
Chirality conserving	Two flavors
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell q}]_{pprr} = (ar{\ell}_p ar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (ar{q}_r ar{\sigma}^\mu q_r)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell\ell}]_{pprr} = (\bar{\ell}_p \bar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (\bar{\ell}_r \bar{\sigma}^\mu \ell_r)$
$[O^{(3)}_{\ell q}]_{pprr} = (ar{\ell}_p ar{\sigma}_\mu \sigma^i \ell_p) (ar{q}_r ar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^i q_r)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell\ell}]_{prrp} = (ar{\ell}_p ar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_r) (ar{\ell}_r ar{\sigma}^\mu \ell_p)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell u}]_{pprr} = (ar{\ell}_p ar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (u_r^c \sigma^\mu ar{u}_r^c)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e}]_{pprr} = (ar{\ell}_p ar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (e_r^c \sigma^\mu ar{e}_r^c)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell d}]_{pprr} = (ar{\ell}_p ar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (d^c_r \sigma^\mu ar{d}^c_r)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e}]_{rrpp} = (ar{\ell}_r ar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_r) (e_p^c \sigma^\mu ar{e}_p^c)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{eq}]_{pprr} = (e^c_p \sigma_\mu ar{e}^c_p)(ar{q}_r ar{\sigma}^\mu q_r)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e}]_{prrp} = (ar{\ell}_p ar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_r) (e_r^c \sigma^\mu ar{e}_p^c)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{eu}]_{pprr} = (e^c_p \sigma_\mu ar{e}^c_p)(u^c_r \sigma^\mu ar{u}^c_r)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{ee}]_{pprr} = (e^c_p \sigma_\mu ar{e}^c_p) (e^c_r \sigma^\mu ar{e}^c_r)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{ed}]_{pprr} = (e^c_p \sigma_\mu ar{e}^c_p) (d^c_r \sigma^\mu ar{d}^c_r)$	
Chirality violating	One flavor
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell equ}]_{pprr} = (ar{\ell}_p^j ar{e}_p^c) \epsilon_{jk} (ar{q}_r^k ar{u}_r^c)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell\ell}]_{pppp} = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\ell}_p \bar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (\bar{\ell}_p \bar{\sigma}^\mu \ell_p)$
$[O^{(3)}_{\ell equ}]_{pprr} = (\bar{\ell}^j_p \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \bar{e}^c_p) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}^k_r \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \bar{u}^c_r)$	$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e}]_{pppp} = (ar{\ell}_p ar{\sigma}_\mu \ell_p) (e_p^c \sigma^\mu ar{e}_p^c)$
$[\mathcal{O}_{\ell e d q}]_{p p r r} = (ar{\ell}_p^j ar{e}_p^c) (d_r^c q_r^j)$	$\left \left[\mathcal{O}_{ee} \right]_{pppp} = \frac{1}{2} (e_p^c \sigma_\mu \bar{e}_p^c) (e_p^c \sigma^\mu \bar{e}_p^c) \right $

$$g_p^V = g_p^{V, \text{SM}} + 3[c_{\ell q}] + [c_{\ell q}^{(3)}] + 2[c_{\ell u}] + [c_{\ell d}]$$
$$g_n^V = g_n^{V, \text{SM}} + 3[c_{\ell q}] - [c_{\ell q}^{(3)}] + [c_{\ell u}] + 2[c_{\ell d}]$$





Yong Du (杜勇)



Martin Gonzalez-Alonso's talk next

WIN2023, Zhuhai

TDLI

SMEFT global fit: <u>**CEvNS</u></u></u>**

Weak mixing angle measurement

$$\frac{dN_{\alpha}}{dE_R} = n_N \int dE_{\nu} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{\nu}) \frac{d\sigma_{\alpha}}{dE_r} \propto (A - 2Z + 4s_w^2 Z)^2$$



M. Cadeddu, Y.F. Li et al, PRC 2021

Yong Du (杜勇)



- LO: Derman and Marciano, 1979
- NLO: Czarnecki and Marciano, 1996; Denner and Pozzorini, 1998; Petriello, 2003; Zykunov, 2004; Kolomensky et al, 2005; Zykunov et al, 2005; Zykunov, 2009; Aleksejevs et al, 2010, 2011, 2012
- NNLO: Aleksejevs et al, 2011, 2012, 2015 (partial estimation)

Yong Du (杜勇)



The MOLLER collaboration released their proposal in 2014, but US DOE will NOT support this experiment unless the theoretical error is reduced below the experimental one, which means we at least need to obtain the full 2-loop corrections.

Topologies included with at least one closed fermion loop



All UV divergences are absorbed by the SM counter-terms. IR divergence are regularized by m_e and also by introducing a spurious non-vanishing m_{γ} .

```
Yong Du (杜勇)
```

WIN2023, Zhuhai



Quantity	Contribution ($\times 10^{-3}$)
1–4 $\sin^2\theta_W$	+74.4
$\Delta Q^e_{W(1,1)}$	-29.0
$\Delta Q^{e}_{W(1,0)}$	+3.1
$\Delta Q^{e}_{W(2,2)}$	$-0.18\substack{+0.0024\\-0.0040}$
$\Delta Q^{e}_{W(2,1)}$	$+1.18\substack{+0.015\\-0.010}$
$\Delta Q^e_{W(2,0)}$	± 0.13 (estimate)

From our full analytical results

$$\Delta Q_{W(2,2)}^{e} + \Delta Q_{W(2,1)}^{e} = 1.00^{+0.012}_{-0.008} \times 10^{-3}$$
$$\Delta_{\exp} Q_{W}^{e} = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$$

Yong Du (杜勇)

Quantity	Contribution ($\times 10^{-3}$)
1–4 $\sin^2\theta_W$	+74.4
$\Delta Q^e_{W(1,1)}$	-29.0
$\Delta Q^e_{W(1,0)}$	+3.1
$\Delta Q^{e}_{W(2,2)}$	$-0.18\substack{+0.0024\\-0.0040}$
$\Delta Q^{e}_{W(2,1)}$	$+1.18\substack{+0.015\\-0.010}$
$\Delta Q^e_{W(2,0)}$	± 0.13 (estimate)

From our full analytical results

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 126, 131801 (2021)

Parity-Violating Møller Scattering at Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order: Closed Fermion Loops

Yong Du⁽⁾,^{1,*} Ayres Freitas,^{2,†} Hiren H. Patel,^{3,‡} and Michael J. Ramsey-Musolf^{4,1,5,§}

Yong Du (杜勇)

MOLLER project funded

Publication date: Tue, Nov 22, 2022 - 11:30pm



electron scattering.

The MOLLER project which has been in planning and development stages for some years, has now been allocated \$31M in Department of Energy funding to construct and install the experiment by 2025 and start data collection in early 2026.. The leader of the UMass team, Prof. Krishna Kumar, is the principal spokesperson for the project. The experiment, to be located at Jefferson National Lab, will study parity violation in electron-

Source: https://www.physics.umass.edu/news/2022-11-22-moller-project-funded



SMEFT global fit: <u>*β* decay</u>



$$Y_p \approx \frac{2n}{n+p}$$

Neff shift and light element abundance of BBN

SMEFT global fit: <u>*β* decay</u>



Remaining: Non-perturbative QCD corrections using DRs.

YD, Fagnoni, Friedrich, Ramsey-Musolf, Zhou, ongoing

Yong Du (杜勇)



SMEFT global fit: *v* oscillations

$$|\nu_{\alpha}^{s}\rangle = \frac{(1+\epsilon^{s})_{\alpha\gamma}}{N_{\alpha}^{s}} |\nu_{\gamma}\rangle \qquad \qquad \langle \nu_{\beta}^{d}| = \langle \nu_{\gamma}| \frac{(1+\epsilon^{d})_{\gamma\beta}}{N_{\beta}^{d}} \qquad \qquad \text{QM framework}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CC} \supset -\frac{2V_{ud}}{v^2} \left\{ \left[\mathbf{1} + \epsilon_L \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i \gamma^{\mu} P_L d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) + \left[\epsilon_R \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i \gamma^{\mu} P_R d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left[\epsilon_S \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left[\epsilon_P \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i \gamma_5 d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left[\epsilon_T \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i \sigma^{\mu\nu} P_L d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) + \text{h.c.} \right\}, \qquad \text{QFT framework}$$

SMEFT global fit: *v* oscillations

$$|\nu_{\alpha}^{s}\rangle = \frac{(1+\epsilon^{s})_{\alpha\gamma}}{N_{\alpha}^{s}} |\nu_{\gamma}\rangle \qquad \qquad \langle \nu_{\beta}^{d}| = \langle \nu_{\gamma}| \frac{(1+\epsilon^{d})_{\gamma\beta}}{N_{\beta}^{d}} \qquad \qquad \text{QM framework}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CC} \supset -\frac{2V_{ud}}{v^2} \left\{ \left[\mathbf{1} + \epsilon_L \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i \gamma^{\mu} P_L d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) + \left[\epsilon_R \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i \gamma^{\mu} P_R d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left[\epsilon_S \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left[\epsilon_P \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i \gamma_5 d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left[\epsilon_T \right]^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{u}_i \sigma^{\mu\nu} P_L d_j \right) \left(\bar{\ell}_{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} P_L \nu_{\beta} \right) + \text{h.c.} \right\}, \qquad \text{QFT framework}$$

<u>Q: How to connect ν oscillation data with Lagrangian parameters?</u> <u>A: Matching at the observable level for consistency</u>

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{s} = \sum_{X} p_{XL} \left[\epsilon_{X} \right]_{\alpha\beta}^{*}, \quad \epsilon_{\beta\alpha}^{d} = \sum_{X} d_{XL} \left[\epsilon_{X} \right]_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$p_{XY} \equiv \frac{\int d\Pi_{P'} A_X^P \bar{A}_Y^P}{\int d\Pi_{P'} |A_L^P|^2}, \quad d_{XY} \equiv \frac{\int d\Pi_D A_X^D \bar{A}_Y^D}{\int d\Pi_D |A_L^D|^2}$$

Falkowski, Gonzalez-Alonso, Tabrizi, 1910.02971 (JHEP)

Yong Du (杜勇)

...

WIN2023, Zhuhai

TDLI

SMEFT global fit: *v* oscillations

Table 1. Matching between QM and QFT NSI parameters				
QM NSIs	Relations to QFT NSIs			
$\epsilon^{s}_{eeta} \; (eta \; ext{decay})$	$\left[\epsilon_L - \epsilon_R - rac{g_T}{g_A} rac{m_e}{f_T(E_ u)} \epsilon_T ight]_{eeta}^*$			
$\epsilon^d_{\beta e}$ (inverse β decay)	$\left[\epsilon_L + \frac{1 - 3g_A^2}{1 + 3g_A^2}\epsilon_R - \frac{m_e}{E_\nu - \Delta} \left(\frac{g_S}{1 + 3g_A^2}\epsilon_S - \frac{3g_Ag_T}{1 + 3g_A^2}\epsilon_T\right)\right]_{e\beta}$			
ϵ^s_{\mueta} (pion decay)	$\left[\epsilon_L-\epsilon_R-rac{m_\pi^2}{m_\mu(m_u+m_d)}\epsilon_P ight]^*_{\mueta}$			
$\epsilon^s_{\mueta}({ m muon decay})$	$\left[g_{22} + \frac{3m_e m_\mu (m_\mu - 2E_\nu)}{16m_\mu E_\nu^2 + 6m_\mu (m_\mu^2 + m_e^2) - 4E_\nu (5m_\mu^2 + m_e^2)} h_{21}\right]_{\mu\beta}^*$			
$\epsilon^s_{e\beta}$ (muon decay)	$\left[g_{22}+rac{m_e}{4(m_{\mu}-2E_{ar{ u}})}h_{21} ight]_{eeta}^*$			



YD, Li, Tang, Vihonen, Yu, 2011.14292 (JHEP)



YD, Li, Tang, Vihonen, Yu, 2106.15800 (PRD)

33

Yong Du (杜勇)

WIN2023, Zhuhai

TDLI

Summary

- I discussed the global fit of 4f and CPV SMEFT operators:
 - Beam polarization is the key to surpass circular colliders in studying 4f ints.
 - Luminosity largely wins otherwise for circular colliders;
 - aTGCs will be the key to improve the sensitivity of the bosonic CPV operators.
- The indispensable role of neutrinos in the global fit was addressed, and future directions in this respect is discussed:
 - The rich physics from CEvNS experiments
 - Very important PVES experiments
 - Neutrino oscillation experiments





