Probing Ultra-Dense Gluonic Matter via UPCs with the CMS Experiment

Zaochen Ye (Rice University)

for the CMS Collaboration

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984, submitted to PRL



Supported in part by the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Office of Science

Understand Fundamental Structure of Matter



Understand Nucleon Structure via DIS

Smash them!!!



Deep Inelastic Scattering

Understand Nucleon Structure via DIS

Smash them!!!



Deep Inelastic Scattering

Understand Nucleon Structure via DIS

Smash them!!!





Higher energy, probing lower-x partons

Deep Inelastic Scattering

Understand Nucleon Structure via DIS

Smash them!!!





Higher energy, probing lower-x partons

Deep Inelastic Scattering

Understand Nucleon Structure

e-p collider

Rapid increase of gluon density towards small x.



Indefinite growth at small-x violates unitarity, mechanism beyond gluon splitting is expected.



$\sim(N_g)^2$(nonlinear)

Saturation?



$\sim N_g$(linear)

What is the fate of gluons at extreme densities toward the unitary limit?

Ultra-Peripheral Collision (UPC)



- Lorentz contracted EM fields → flux of quasi-real photons ($Q^2<\hbar^2/R^2$).
- The photon flux ∝ Z^2.
- Photon kinematics: $p_T < \hbar/R_A$ ~ 30 MeV (E_{max} ~ 80 GeV) at LHC.

Heavy ion collider is also a Light-Light and Light-Nucleus collider

UPC:







...

Vector Meson Photoproduction in UPC

VM (e.g., J/Ψ) photoproduction directly probes gluonic structure of nucleus and nucleon.

At LO in pQCD, cross section ~ photon flux ⊗ $[xG(x)]^2$



Coherent production:
- Photon fluctuated dipole couples coherently to entire nucleus
- Target nucleus remains intact
- VM $\langle p_T \rangle$ ~ 50 MeV
- Probing the averaged gluon density

Incoherent production:
- Photon fluctuated dipole couples to individual nucleons
- Target nucleus usually breaks
- VM $\langle p_T \rangle$ ~ 500 MeV
- Probing the local gluon density fluctuation

$$\omega = \frac{M_{VM}}{2} e^{\pm y} \qquad x = \frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}}} e^{\mp y} \qquad \mathrm{W}_{\gamma \mathrm{p}} = 2\sqrt{\omega \cdot \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{beam}}}$$

Coherent J/Ψ Photoproduction via γ + Free Nucleon



$$W_{\gamma p} = 2\sqrt{\omega \cdot E_{beam}}$$

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow J/\psi + p$$

- Data from **LHC** and **HERA** follow a **common** power-law trend, consistent with the expectation from the rapidly increasing gluon density in a proton

No clear indication of gluon saturation, even down to x~10^{-5} in a free nucleon.

Advantages of Gluon Saturation Search in Nucleus



Gluons is **enhanced** by a factor of $A^{1/3}$ in **nucleus** compared to what in free nucleon

$$Q_s^2 \sim A^{1/3} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\lambda}$$



- Gluon saturation is expected to be **easier** to be reached **in nuclei**

Coherent J/Ψ Photoproduction in A-A UPCs

$\gamma + Pb \rightarrow J/\psi + Pb$



- **Strong suppression**, but the rapidity distribution is still **a puzzle** for theoretical studies (models considering gluon saturation or shadowing)

ALICE Pb-Pb UPC



Coherent J/Ψ Photoproduction in A-A UPCs

$\gamma + Pb \rightarrow J/\psi + Pb$



- **Strong suppression**, but the rapidity distribution is still **a puzzle** for theoretical studies (models considering gluon saturation or shadowing)

ALICE Pb-Pb UPC



$$x = \frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}}} e^{\mp y}$$

Two-Way Ambiguity in A-A UPC





$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}(\omega_1)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(\omega_1)} + N_{\gamma/A}(w_2)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(w_2)}$$

At least two equations for the solutions

Method to Solve Two-Way Ambiguity in A-A UPC

V. Guzey, M. Strikman, M. Zhalov, EPJC (2014) 72 2942

- Control/select the impact parameter of UPCs via forward emitted neutrons



Klein & Steinberg,
Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 70 (2020) 323



Neutron emission via EMD with <u>additional photon exchange</u>:

- Soft photons (energy ~10s MeV)
- Independent of interested physics process
- Large cross section ~200 b (single EMD)
- The smaller b → the more neutrons

- Analogous to centrality:
  - $b_{XnXn} < b_{0nXn} < b_{0n0n}$ **in UPC**

Method to Solve Two-Way Ambiguity in A-A UPC

V. Guzey, M. Strikman, M. Zhalov, EPJC (2014) 72 2942

- Control/select the impact parameter of UPCs via forward emitted neutrons



$$\frac{d\sigma^{0nXn}_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N^{0nXn}_{\gamma/A}(\omega_1)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(\omega_1)} + N^{0nXn}_{\gamma/A}(w_2)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(w_2)}$$

from theoretical calculation

$$\frac{d\sigma^{XnXn}_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N^{XnXn}_{\gamma/A}(\omega_1)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(\omega_1)} + N^{XnXn}_{\gamma/A}(w_2)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(w_2)}$$

Neutron emission via EMD with <u>additional photon exchange</u>:

- Soft photons (energy ~10s MeV)
- Independent of interested physics process
- Large cross section ~200 b (single EMD)
- The smaller b → the more neutrons

$$\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(\omega_1)}$$

$$\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(w_2)}$$

CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN
Data recorded: 2018-Nov-12 21:48:04.525285 GMT
Run / Event / LS: 326619 / 2320827 / 8

Interested UPC event:

- Low activities in forward calorimeters.
- Exactly two tracks identified as muons.





Signal Extraction

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984





AnAn: All possible neutron emissions

Signal yields are extracted by fitting the mass and transverse momentum spectra.

Coherent J/Ψ in AnAn

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984

$$\frac{d\sigma_{J/\psi}^{coh}}{dy} = \frac{N(J/\psi)}{(1+f_I+f_D)\cdot\epsilon(J/\psi)\cdot Acc(J/\psi)\cdot BR(J/\psi\to\mu\mu)\cdot L_{int}\cdot\Delta y}$$



AnAn: All possible neutron emissions

CMS data cover a new *y* region and follow ALICE forward data trend

- A **tension** btw **ALICE/CMS** and **LHCb** data?
- **No theory** can describe data over **full y** region **– A puzzle?**

Coherent J/Ψ in AnAn

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984

$$\frac{d\sigma^{coh}_{J/\psi}}{dy} = \frac{N(J/\psi)}{(1+f_I+f_D)\cdot\epsilon(J/\psi)\cdot Acc(J/\psi)\cdot BR(J/\psi\to\mu\mu)\cdot L_{int}\cdot\Delta y}$$



AnAn: All possible neutron emissions

CMS data cover a new *y* region and follow ALICE forward data trend

- A **tension** btw **ALICE/CMS** and **LHCb** data?
- **No theory** can describe data over **full y** region **– A puzzle?**

A **deeper** look at J/Ψ production from **single γ+Pb without** the **"two-way ambiguity"** will tell more.

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}(\omega_1)\cdot\boxed{\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(\omega_1)} + N_{\gamma/A}(\omega_2)\cdot\boxed{\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(\omega_2)}$$

Neutron Tag with Zero Degree Calorimeter





Tag events with **neutrons**:

- 0n0n, 0nXn, XnXn (X: ≥1)

Coherent J/Ψ in PbPb UPCs with Fwd Neutron Tag

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984



Neutron migration effects are corrected

- First coherent J/Ψ measurement from different neutron classes
- No model can describe the data in different neutron classes

Allow to disentangle the low- and high- energy photon-nucleus contributions of a single γ+Pb.

Coherent J/Ψ Cross Section of Single **γ+Pb vs. W**

ALICE, **EPJC 81 (2021) 712**
LHCb, **arXiv:2206.08221**



ALICE, LHCb vs. IA:

- Data is close to IA at low W.
- Data is significant lower than IA at W~125 GeV.

Coherent J/Ψ Cross Section of Single γ+Pb vs. W

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984



ALICE, LHCb vs. IA:

- Data is close to IA at low W.
- Data is significant lower than IA at W~125 GeV.

New data from **CMS**:

- **Rapid increase** at W<40 GeV.

Coherent J/Ψ Cross Section of Single γ+Pb vs. W

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984



ALICE, LHCb vs. IA:

- Data is close to IA at low W.
- Data is significant lower than IA at W~125 GeV.

New data from **CMS**:

- **Rapid increase** at W<40 GeV.
- Turn into a **nearly flat** (slower rising) trend for W>40 GeV.

Coherent J/Ψ Cross Section of Single γ+Pb vs. W

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984



ALICE, LHCb vs. IA:

- Data is close to IA at low W.
- Data is significant lower than IA at W~125 GeV.

New data from **CMS**:

- **Rapid increase** at W<40 GeV.
- Turn into a **nearly flat** (slower rising) trend for W>40 GeV.

No models can describe the entire data distribution.

ALICE new data follows the same trend,
see D. T. Takaki' talk: Wed 16:00

Nuclear Gluon Suppression Factor

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984



$$x = \frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}} e^{\mp y}$$

$$R_g^A = \left(\frac{\sigma^{exp}_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}}{\sigma^{IA}_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}} \right)^{1/2}$$

Impulse approx. (IA) neglects all nuclear effects.

- R_g represents nuclear gluon suppression factor at LO.

Nuclear Gluon Suppression Factor

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984



$$x = \frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}} e^{\mp y}$$

$$R_g^A = \left(\frac{\sigma^{exp}_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}}{\sigma^{IA}_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}} \right)^{1/2}$$

Impulse approx. (IA) neglects all nuclear effects.

- R_g represents nuclear gluon suppression factor at LO.
- At high-x region: **flat** trend.

Nuclear Gluon Suppression Factor

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984



$$x = \frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}} e^{\mp y}$$

$$R_g^A = \left(\frac{\sigma^{exp}_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}}{\sigma^{IA}_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}} \right)^{1/2}$$

Impulse approx. (IA)
neglects all nuclear effects.

- R_g represents nuclear gluon suppression factor at LO.
- At high-x region: **flat** trend.
- Quickly **decrease** towards lower x region.

Beyond model expectation

What Physics Behind?

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984



- σ stops rapid rising trend → splitting and recombination of gluons become equal
  - **Clear evidence for gluon saturation!!?**

What Physics Behind?

CMS: arXiv:2303.16984



Gluon Saturation?



Black Disk Limit?

$$\hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{inel}}_{\mathrm{PQCD}} \leq \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{black}} = \pi R^2_{\mathrm{target}}$$

L. Frankfurt **PRL 87 (2001)192301**
L. Frankfurt **PLB 537 (2002) 51**

- σ stops rapid rising trend → splitting and recombination of gluons become equal
  - **Clear evidence for gluon saturation!!?**

OR

- Nucleus target becomes totally absorptive to incoming photons → **Black Disk Limit!!?**
  - **Nucleus becomes a black disk, internal structure is invisible.**

Future Opportunities

Various VMs in different nucleus-nucleus UPCs with **neutron taggings**

- Control of **dipole sizes** and **hard scales**.
- Variation of **saturation scales**
- **A dependences**
- **Incoherent** productions

		PbPb L_{int} = 13 nb^{-1}				
Meson	σ	All Total	Central 1 Total	Central 2 Total	Forward 1 Total 1	Forward 2 Total
$\rho \to \pi^+\pi^-$	5.2b	68 B	5.5 B	21B	4.9 B	13 B
$\rho' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	730 mb	9.5 B	210 M	2.5 B	190 M	1.2 B
$\phi \to K^+K^-$	0.22b	2.9 B	82 M	490 M	15 M	330 M
$J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$	1.0 mb	14 M	1.1 M	5.7 M	600 K	1.6 M
$\psi(2S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$	30μb	400 K	35 K	180 K	19 K	47 K
$\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-$	2.0 μb	26 K	2.8 K	14 K	880	2.0 K



CERN yellow report, **arXiv:1812.06772**

Summary

- First time, **disentangled the low and high γ energy** contributions to coherent J/Ψ
- CMS measured coh. J/Ψ at a **new unprecedentedly low-x gluon regime** (10^{-4}-10^{-5})
- **σ(J/Ψ) vs. W** not predicted by state of the art models
  - **Gluon saturation?** or **black disk limit?** or **other physic effects?**
- **HL**-LHC including CMS **Phase-2 upgrades** will bring new exciting opportunities





CMS: arXiv:2303.16984, submitted to PRL

Thank you for your attention!

Backup Slides

Compact Muon Solenoid Detector



Muon Reconstruction





- Tracker and muon detectors used to reconstruct/identify muons.

Understand Nucleon Structure at HERA



Future Opportunities

MIP Timing Detector for PID



Tracker with |η|<4 and better resolution, lighter materials



- Muon systems with |η|<**2.8**
- Trigger and DAQ rate: ~**10x**



Exciting opportunities ahead by:

- Higher luminosities.
- A variety of ion species.
- Upgrades enabled by new technologies!

Photon Flux: **Point-like** vs. **Realistic**

CPC 277 (2022) 108388



Figure 4: (Color online) Photon fluxes coming from a nucleus $N_{\gamma A}$ in the point-like source approximation and the realistic description as functions of impact parameter b_γ calculated at different photon energies: 100 MeV (a), 100 GeV (b).

Coh. Jpsi from LHC Run1 PbPb UPC

PLB 772 (2017) 489



- Run 1 data from CMS and ALICE seem to be well consistent with LTA shadowing model calculations
- However,
  - large uncertainties
  - wide-y bins
  - Mixed low- and high- W contributions

QED Dimuon with Neutron Tagging at CMS

PRL 127 (2021) 122001

$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$$



$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{|\phi^+ - \phi^-|}{\pi}, \alpha \propto p_T^{l^+l^-}$$



First direct evidence of b dependence of initial photon p_T, set strong base line for searching for possible QGP EM effects in heavy ion collisions