CP violation measurement of top Yukawa coupling at the LHC



肖朦 浙江大学 重味物理与量子色动力学研讨会, Apr 22, 2023





CP symmetry

- At first no rules about these symmetries •
- Experiments important
 - Weak interactions violate C and P separately but preserve CP
 - Rare processes violate CP



image: DESY/designdoppel

- In SM: CP violation only exists in quark mixing, small
- CP violation vital to the matter-antimatter imbalance, searched extensively
- Hadron decays
- EDM
- Higgs
- Neutrino oscillation



CP violation in Higgs sector

- Why search for CP violation in Higgs sector?
- Electroweak baryogensis:
 - 1st order phase transition by having 2 Higgs doublets, or 1 more singlet, or triplets.
 - CP violation in Higgs sector





CP violation in Higgs sector

- CP is one of the first measured properties of the Higgs
- Higgs: the only scalar in the SM => CP even and conserving
- Is this true?

From 2012.07.20 Higgs Hunting Workshop

M. Peskin So, the fact that we see WW and ZZ at nearly Standard Model strength is prima facie evidence that the particle is a CP even spin 0 state from a field with a vacuum expectation value that breaks SU(2)xU(1).

> From here on, I will call the new particle at 125 GeV "the Higgs boson" without further apology.

M. Strassler

What Is This Object?

- **Possible but Implausible** ۲
 - Spin 2
 - Pure CP odd Spin 0

I'm glad someone thought of that!

Possible and Plausible

Standard Model itself

I wish I'd thought of that!!

H mixed with the above CP even or odd scalars



How to tell the Higgs CP



- Carry CP information?



• The nice mass shapes help to identify the Higgs itself



- H first observation in HVV channels
- General HVV decay, angles sensitive to CP





How to tell the Higgs CP

- These angles and invariant masses could be integrated into simple observables
- Matrix Element based methods
- Machine learning techniques



Run1 Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 012004

Run1 measurements





What's the target ?

arXiv:1310.8361 Snowmass 2013 report

	Collider	pp	pp		
	E (GeV)	14,000	$14,\!000$		
	\mathcal{L} (fb ⁻¹)	300	3,000		
	spin- 2_m^+	$\sim 10\sigma$	$\gg 10\sigma$		
	VVH^{\dagger}	0.07	0.02		
HVV coupling	VVH^{\ddagger}	$4 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$		
	VVH^{\diamond}	$7 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$		
	ggH	0.50	0.16		
	$\gamma\gamma H$	_			
Hff coupling	$Z\gamma H$	_	\checkmark		
	$\tau \tau H$	\checkmark	\checkmark		
	ttH	\checkmark	\checkmark		
	$\mu \mu H$	_			



Which Yukawa couplings?

$$\begin{split} A(H \to f\bar{f}) &= \frac{m_f}{v} \bar{u}_2 \left(b_1^{Hf\bar{f}} + i b_2^{Hf\bar{f}} \gamma_5 \right) u_1 \qquad f_{CP}^{Hf\bar{f}} \equiv \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_1^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2 + |b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2} = \sin^2 \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_1^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2 + |b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} \right) de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_1^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2 + |b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} = \sin^2 \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2 + |b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} \right) de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_1^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2 + |b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_1^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2 + |b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2 + |b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2 + |b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}}{|b_2^{Hf\bar{f}}|^2}} de^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|b_$$

- LHC established Yukawa couplings: tt, bb, ττ, μμ
- Polarization info is needed

$$\sigma_{\rm pol}(\zeta) = \sigma_{\rm unpol} \left(1 + P_L^+ P_L^- + P_T^+ P_T^- \left[\frac{(b_1^{H\mu\mu})^2 - (b_2^{H\mu\mu})^2}{(b_1^{H\mu\mu})^2 + (b_2^{H\mu\mu})^2} \cos \zeta - \frac{2b_1^{H\mu\mu} b_2^{H\mu\mu}}{(b_1^{H\mu\mu})^2 + (b_2^{H\mu\mu})^2} \sin \zeta \right] \right),$$

Propagated to decay particles: tt, ττ

arXiv: 2205.07715 **Snowmass 2022 report**





CP of H-tt Yukawa coupling: ttH production

ttH: 4th major Higgs production at the LHC



tH: small xsec at the LHC







CP of H-tt Yukawa coupling: ttH production



- Multiple decay plane, rich kinematic information to extract CP \bullet
- Complicated final states, difficult to completely reconstruct \bullet





- \bullet



13

3.2σ exclusion on pure CP odd f_{CP}< 0.68

Phys, Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 061802 ATLAS ttH $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



f_{CP}< 0.46

arXiv:2208.02686

CMS ttH→ multilepton





CP of H-tt Yukawa coupling: ggH production

ggH the largest xsec to probe Htt interaction Additional jets needed to probe CP



Similar signature as VBF, need to distinguish the two

0.008 0.007 = +0.5 0.006 = -0.5 0.005 0.004 0.003 0.002 0.001 2 $\Delta \Phi_{\mathsf{J}\mathsf{J}}$



Measurements in ggH production



Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 052004

arXiv: 2205.05120











- Could the Htt coupling be accessed in other processes?
- EW correction in $t\bar{t}$ production
- Large xsec, ~ 800 pb (tt) w.r.t 0.5 pb (ttH)



EW corrections due to Htt



Pheno study



Sensitivity in rather complementary phase space

Phys. Rev. D 104, 055045 (2021)





- Strong assumptions on the electron-Yukawa coupling

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_e}{d_e^{\text{ACME}}} &= c_e \left(870.0 \tilde{c}_t + 3.9 \tilde{c}_b + 2.8 \tilde{c}_c + 0 \right. \\ &\quad + \tilde{c}_e \left(610.1 c_t + 3.1 c_b + 2.3 c_c + -1082.6 c_V \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \cdot 10^{-6} c_e \tilde{c}_e. \end{aligned}$$

If c_{ρ} and \tilde{c}_{ρ} deviate tiny from SM coupling, could yield large cancellation in \tilde{c}_{t} Direct measurement of \tilde{c}_{t} is important



Electron EDM is known to put stringent constraint on Yukawa CP violation arXiv: 2202.11753 $0.01\tilde{c}_s + 8\cdot 10^{-5}\tilde{c}_u + 7\cdot 10^{-5}\tilde{c}_d + 3.4\tilde{c}_\tau + 0.03\tilde{c}_u)$ $+0.01c_s + 7 \cdot 10^{-5}c_u + 6 \cdot 10^{-5}c_d + 2.8c_{\tau} + 0.02c_{\mu}$ (13)



Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe

$$\begin{split} Y_B^{\text{VIA}}/Y_B^{\text{obs}} &= 28\tilde{c}_t - 0.2\tilde{c}_b - 0.03\tilde{c}_c - 2\cdot 10^{-4}\tilde{c}_s - 9\cdot 10^{-8}\tilde{c}_u - 4\cdot 10^{-7}\tilde{c}_d \\ &- 11\tilde{c}_\tau - 0.1\tilde{c}_\mu - 3\cdot 10^{-6}\tilde{c}_e, \end{split}$$
arXiv: 2202.117

If all the other couplings are 0, $\tilde{c}_t > 0.036$ to account for BAU Current constraints: $\tilde{c}_t < \sim 1$





Could the top Yukawa CP violation account for BAU under current constraint?





Projection on f_{CP}

Collider	pp	pp	pp	e^+e^-	e^+e^-	e^+e^-	e^+e^-	e^-p	$\gamma\gamma$	$\mu^+\mu^-$	$\mu^+\mu^-$
E (GeV)	14,000	$14,\!000$	100,000	250	350	500	$1,\!000$	$1,\!300$	125	125	$3,\!000$
${\cal L}~({ m fb}^{-1})$	300	$3,\!000$	30,000	250	350	500	1,000	1,000	250	20	1,000
$Htar{t}$	0.24	0.05	\checkmark			0.29	0.08	\checkmark			\checkmark
H au au	0.07	0.008	\checkmark	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.06	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
$H\mu\mu$	_	—	_		—	_	_	_	_	\checkmark	—

22

arXiv: 2205.07715 **Snowmass 2022 report**







- Rich physics in top Yukawa CP violation at the LHC
- Direct and model independent measurements are important, complementary to EDM constraints
- No significant violation observed yet
- Still large room to explain the BAU











Using various T decay final states

CMS: JHEP 06 (2022) 012 ATLAS: arXiv: 2212.05833



f_{CP} < 0.43 (0.46) CMS (ATLAS)

Decay plane variable sensitive to HTT CP



