# Amplitude analysis and its application in the hadrons observed by LHCb

# Lingyun Dai Hunan University

with Q. Zhou, Di Guo, S.Q.Kuang, Q.-H Yang, et.al.

第三届LHCb前沿物理研讨会 北京,国科大,2023.04



# Outlines



#### Introduction

- Z<sub>c</sub>(3900) by BESIII and Belle, in 2013
- P<sub>c</sub> states by LHCb, in2015
- Their nature?

- Quantum number?
- The inner structure?

Amplitude analysis



- Only one physical amplitude!
- It should satisfy the fundamental QFT principles
- It should be compatible with the data

# X(6900)

## Fully heavy tetra-quark state(s)?





LHCb, Sci.Bull. 65 (2020) 23, 1983



ATLAS, ATLAS-CONF-2022-040

CMS, Kai Yi's talk at ICHEP 2022.

# why FSI ?

- FSI needs to be taken into account to perform an amplitude analysis
- Methods: KM, N/D, AMP, Roy equation, PKU, Pade, LSE, BSE, ChEFT, *et.al.*
- Fixed scattering, decaying amplitudes: extracting resonance information



#### **Inner structure?**

Interpretation these amplitudes, poles?



#### Pole counting rule

- Pole counting rule: distinguish molecule and BW resonance.
- At the very beginning, applied to light mesons

 Morgan NPA543 (1992) 632.
 ➤ Dai,Wang and Zheng CTP57 (2012) 841, CTP58 (2012) 410

Applied to heavier mesons

Any tools else?

Dai, Sun, Kang, Szczepaniak, Yu, PRD 105 (2022) 5, L051507 Kuang,Dai,Kang,Yao, EPJC 80 (2020) 5, 433 Dai, Shi, Tang, Zheng, PRD 92 (2015) 1, 014020

# phase shifts?

- Phase shifts help to study hadronic scatterings and resonances therein
- Successful in study of light scalars: PKU,Roy equation, Omnes representations, etc.



 $x_{o} = 150$   $y_{o} = 150$   $y_{o} = 150$   $y_{o} = 100$   $y_{o} = 100$   $y_{o} = 0.6$   $y_{o} = 0.6$   $y_{o} = 0.8$   $y_{o} = 1.0$   $y_{o} = 1.2$   $y_{o} = 1.2$  $y_{o$ 

Zhou, Qin, Zhang, Xiao, Zheng,JHEP 02 (2005)

Dai,Pennington, PLB736(2014)11; PRD90 (2014) 036004;

 The phase shifts of a narrow BW resonance rise from 0 to 180 degrees, crossing 90 degrees at Ecm=M

## 2、Formalism

• coupled channels:  $J/\psi J/\psi = \psi(2s)J/\psi = \psi(3770)J/\psi$ 

Dong, Baru, Guo, Hanhart, Nefediev, PRL126, 132001(2021)

Gong, Du, Zhao, PRD, 106(5):054011, 2022

	$J/\psi$	$\psi(2s)$	$\psi(3770)$	$\eta_c$	$h_c$	$\chi_{c0}$	$\chi_{c1}$	$\chi_{c2}$
$J^{PC}$	$1^{}$	$1^{}$	1	0-+	$1^{+-}$	0++	$1^{++}$	$2^{++}$
质量								
(MeV)	3096.9	3686.1	3773.7	2983.9	3525.4	3414.7	3510.7	3556.2

•  $\eta_c\eta_c$ ,  $h_ch_c$  channels are supressed by HQSS

•  $\chi_{c0}\chi_{c0}$ ,  $\chi_{c1}\chi_{c1}$ , l.h.c. are faraway



[6.2,7.2] GeV

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{HQSS}}^{LO} &= g_1 \langle J \bar{J} J \bar{J} \rangle \\ &= \langle \frac{1+\not\!\!/}{2} \not\!\!/ \!\!\!/ \frac{1-\not\!\!/}{2} \not\!\!/ \!\!/ \frac{1+\not\!\!/}{2} \not\!\!/ \!\!/ \frac{1+\not\!\!/}{2} \not\!\!/ \!\!/ \frac{1-\not\!\!/}{2} \not\!\!/ \!\!/ \frac{1+\not\!\!/}{2} \rangle \\ &= 2N_C g_1 V_\mu V_\alpha V^\mu V^\alpha \,, \end{split}$$

Kuang,Zhou,Guo,Yang,Dai<sup>#</sup>, arxiv: 2302.03968 [hep-ph], accepted by EPJC Cao, Yang, EPJC 82 (2022) 2, 161

#### **Effective Lagrangians**

# Four vector interactions, similar to HGLS formalism Geng, Mo

Geng, Molina, Oset. CPC41(12):124101, 2017.

 $\mathcal{L} = c_1 V_{\mu} V_{\alpha} V^{\mu} V^{\alpha} + c_2 V_{\mu} V_{\alpha} V^{\mu} V'^{\alpha} + c_3 V_{\mu} V'_{\alpha} V^{\mu} V'^{\alpha}$  $+ c_4 V_{\mu} V'^{\mu} V_{\alpha} V'^{\alpha} + c_5 V_{\mu} V_{\alpha} V^{\mu} V''^{\alpha} + c_6 V_{\mu} V''_{\alpha} V^{\mu} V''^{\alpha}$  $+ c_7 V_{\mu} V''^{\mu} V_{\alpha} V''^{\alpha} + c_8 V_{\mu} V'_{\alpha} V^{\mu} V''^{\alpha} + c_9 V_{\mu} V'^{\mu} V_{\alpha} V''^{\alpha}$ 

> Zhou, Guo, Kuang, Yang, Dai, PRD106 (2022) L111502.

Feynman diagrams up to NLO



#### **Building amplitudes**

PWA

$$T^{ij}_{\mu_1\mu_2;\mu_3\mu_4}(s,z_s) = 16\pi N_{ij} \sum_{s} (2J+1) T^{J,ij}_{\mu_1\mu_2;\mu_3\mu_4}(s) d^J_{\mu\mu'}(z_s) \, .$$

- Time reversal and parity invariance reduce the amplitudes
- Tranfer amplitudes from helicity to the JMLS representation

$$T^{ij}_{\mu_1\mu_2;\mu_3\mu_4}(s,z_s) = 16\pi N_{ij} \sum_{J=M}^{\infty} (2J+1) d^J_{\mu\mu'}(z_s) \sum_{LS,L'S'} \frac{\sqrt{(2L+1)(2L'+1)}}{2J+1} \langle LS0\mu|J\mu\rangle \langle J\mu'|L'S'0\mu'\rangle \langle s_1s_2\mu_1, -\mu_2|S\mu\rangle \langle S'\mu'|s_3s_4\mu_3, -\mu_4\rangle T^{J,ij}_{LS,L'S'}.$$

$$\begin{split} T^{ij}_{^{3}P_{2}}(s) &= \frac{2}{5}T^{2}_{++++}(s) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}T^{2}_{+++0}(s) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}T^{2}_{+0++}(s) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}T^{2}_{++0+}(s) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}T^{2}_{0+++}(s) - \frac{2}{5}T^{2}_{++--}(s) \\ &\quad -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}T^{2}_{++-0}(s) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}T^{2}_{-0++}(s) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}T^{2}_{++0-}(s) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5}T^{2}_{0-++}(s) + \frac{3}{10}T^{2}_{+0+0}(s) + \frac{3}{10}T^{2}_{+00+}(s) \\ &\quad +\frac{3}{10}T^{2}_{0++0}(s) + \frac{3}{10}T^{2}_{0+0+}(s) - \frac{3}{10}T^{2}_{0+0-}(s) - \frac{3}{10}T^{2}_{+00-}(s) - \frac{3}{10}T^{2}_{0-+0}(s) - \frac{3}{10}T^{2}_{-0+0}(s) - \frac{3}{10}T^{2}_{-0+0$$

#### perturbation amplitudes

- LO partial wave amplitudes
- containing threshold factors and momentum dependences

$$\begin{split} T^{11,LO}_{1S_0}(s) &= \frac{c_1(68m_1^4 + 8sm_1^2 + 5s^2)}{144\pi m_1^4}, \\ T^{11,LO}_{5S_2}(s) &= \frac{c_1(s + 6m_1\sqrt{s} + 14m_1^2)^2}{1800\pi m_1^4}, \\ T^{11,LO}_{3P_0}(s) &= 0, \\ T^{11,LO}_{3P_1}(s) &= -\frac{c_1(s - 4m_1^2)}{12\pi m_1^2}, \\ T^{11,LO}_{3P_2}(s) &= 0, \\ T^{12,LO}_{3P_2}(s) &= 0, \\ T^{12,LO}_{1S_0}(s) &= \frac{-10c_2(m_1^3 - m_2^2m_1)^2 - 2s^2(3m_2^2 - 8m_2m_1 + m_1^2) + s(m_2^4 + 4m_2^2m_1^2 + 56m_2m_1^3 + 7m_1^4) + 5s^3}{288\sqrt{2}\pi m_2m_1^3s}, \\ T^{12,LO}_{5S_2}(s) &= \frac{-c_2(14m_1^2 + 6m_1\sqrt{s} + s)((m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 - 3s^{3/2}(m_1 + m_2) - 14m_1m_2s + 3\sqrt{s}(m_1 - m_2)^2(m_1 + m_2) - s^2)}{3600\sqrt{2}\pi m_1^3m_2s} \end{split}$$

#### **PW** amplitudes

$$T_{^{3}P_{2}}^{11} = \frac{1}{64\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{20m_{1}^{2}} \{F_{(b)}^{11}[(-3(E_{1}E_{3} - 2E_{1}E_{4} + E_{2}E_{4}) + 12(E_{1} + E_{2})m_{1} - 4m_{1}^{2})z_{s} + 3(3E_{1}E_{3} - 2E_{1}E_{4} + 3E_{2}E_{4} - 4(E_{1} + E_{2})m_{1} + 4m_{1}^{2})z_{s}^{3} + 6p_{cm}p_{cm}'(1 - 3z_{s}^{2})] + F_{(c)}^{11}[6p_{cm}p_{cm}'(1 - 3z_{s}^{2}) + z_{s}(-3E_{1}E_{3} + 6E_{1}E_{4} - 3E_{2}E_{4} + 4m_{1}(-3(E_{1} + E_{2}) + m_{1}) + 3(E_{1}E_{3} - 6E_{1}E_{4} + E_{2}E_{4} + 4(E_{1} + E_{2} - m_{1})m_{1})z_{s}^{2})]\}dz_{s},$$

#### Five PWs at last

L	S = 0	S = 1	S = 2
0	$0^{++} ({}^1S_0)$	1+-	$2^{++}$ ( ${}^{5}S_{2}$ )
1	1	$0^{-+} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{3}\mathrm{P}_{0} \end{pmatrix}  1^{-+} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{3}\mathrm{P}_{1} \end{pmatrix}  2^{-+} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{3}\mathrm{P}_{2} \end{pmatrix}$	$1^{}$ $2^{}$ $3^{}$
2	2++	$1^{+-}$ $2^{+-}$ $3^{+-}$	$0^{++}$ $1^{++}$ $2^{++}$ $3^{++}$ $4^{++}$
:	:	:	:

- Ignoring PWs with L>=2
- No S-D coupled channel scatterings

#### Unitarization

unitarity: ignore the S-D coupled waves

$$\langle L'S'|T^J - T^{J\dagger}|LS \rangle = i \frac{4|\vec{p}''|}{E_{cm}''} \sum_{L''S''} \langle L'S'|T^{J\dagger}|L''S'' \rangle \langle L''S''|T^J|LS \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{Im}T_{JLS}^{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{a} T_{JLS}^{ik} \rho_k T_{JLS}^{kj *}$$

 Pade approximation: successful in confirming existence of light scalars, restore unitarity and perturbative amplitudes up to NLO

$$T = T^{LO} \cdot [T^{LO} - T^{NLO}]^{-1} \cdot T^{LO}$$

Dai,Wang and Zheng CTP57 (2012) 841, CTP58 (2012) 410

#### Invariant mass spectra

Each channel contributes a ratio α<sub>i</sub>

$$\frac{d \text{ Events}^{1,2}}{d\sqrt{s}} = \tilde{N}_{1,2} \ p_{cm}(s) \sum_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4} \int_{-1}^1 dz_s |\sum_{i=1}^a \alpha_i T^{i1,i2}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}(s,z_s)|^2$$

Into the forms of PWs

 $\sum_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} \int_{-1}^{1} |\sum_{i=1}^{a} \alpha_i T^{i1,i2}_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}(s,z_s)|^2 dz_s = 512\pi^2 \left[ |F^{1,2}_{{}^{1}S_0}(s)|^2 + 5|F^{1,2}_{{}^{5}S_2}(s)|^2 + |F^{1,2}_{{}^{3}P_0}(s)|^2 + 3|F^{1,2}_{{}^{3}P_1}(s)|^2 + 5|F^{1,2}_{{}^{3}P_2}(s)|^2 \right]$ 

- Compatible with AMP method
  - absorbing l.h.c. and distant r.h.c.
  - Fulfill FSI theorem

 $F_{JLS}^{1,2}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \alpha_i N_i T_{JLS}^{i1,i2}(s)$ 

**Dai**, Pennington, PLB736(2014)11; PRD90 (2014) 036004; **Dai**, Shi, Tang, Zheng, PRD 92 (2015) 1, 014020

## **3. Nature of the X(6900)**

- High quality Fits for all the data-sets
- Individual contributions of each PW
- <sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub> dominates around 6900 MeV
- <sup>5</sup>S<sub>2</sub> Contributes a large background



# Fits

 Coupled channe fits on the data-sets of ATLAS, better constrains on coupled channel scattering



 $\label{eq:splitting} \begin{array}{c} & \overset{\mbox{\tiny M_{J/\psi}(cs)}\,[\mbox{\tiny M_{V}}]}{\mbox{\scriptsize Kuang,Zhou,Guo,Yang,Dai^{\#}, arxiv:}\\ & & 2302.03968\,[\mbox{\scriptsize hep-ph}], accepted by EPJC\\ & & 1S_0 \ dominates \ around \ 6900 \ MeV \ for \ both \ J/\psi J/\psi \\ & & \psi(2S)J/\psi \ channels \end{array}$ 

# Couplings

- Couplings are reasonable
- So far, so good....

parameter	Fit.I(LHCb)	Fit.II(CMS)	Fit.III(ATLAS)
$c_1$	$-0.1236^{+0.0001}_{-0.0001}$	$-0.1504^{+0.0001}_{-0.0002}$	$-0.0618^{+0.0001}_{-0.0001}$
$c_2$	$-0.5336^{+0.0021}_{-0.0001}$	$-0.6203^{+0.0001}_{-0.0001}$	$-0.3369^{+0.0004}_{-0.0001}$
$c_3$	$-0.3180^{+0.0171}_{-0.0001}$	$-0.3492^{+0.0004}_{-0.0001}$	$-0.3171^{+0.0091}_{-0.0003}$
$c_4$	$-0.6178^{+0.0234}_{-0.0002}$	$-0.6835^{+0.0004}_{-0.0001}$	$-0.5386^{+0.0078}_{-0.0001}$
$\tilde{N_1}$	$1.5600^{+0.6284}_{-0.0850}$	$0.5336^{+0.1404}_{-0.0193}$	$0.1888^{+0.1109}_{-0.1934}$
$ ilde{N_2}$		••••	$0.2200^{+0.0721}_{-0.0198}$
$\alpha_1$	$0.3831^{+0.0104}_{-0.0052}$	$0.3510^{+0.0012}_{-0.0001}$	$0.1812^{+0.0473}_{-0.0032}$
$\alpha_2$	$-0.9237^{+0.0089}_{-0.0022}$	$-0.9364^{+0.0001}_{-0.0009}$	$0.9834_{-0.0088}^{+0.1861}$
$\chi^2_{d.o.f.}$	1.31	1.77	2.53

Lack of angular distributions!

#### **Pole analysis**

- One resonace found  $in^1S_0$  wave: X(6900)----0<sup>++</sup>
- Couple channels case: a pair of accompanying poles
- Triple channels case: Four poles in unphysical sheets, implying the BW origin.

pole counting rule: Morgan, NPA543 (1992) 632. **Dai**,Wang and Zheng CTP57 (2012) 841

Data	RS	pole location (MeV)	$g_{J/\psi J/\psi} =  g  e^{i\varphi}$		$g_{J/\psi\psi(2S)} =  g e^{i\varphi}$		$g_{J/\psi\psi(3770)} =  g e^{i\varphi}$	
Data			$ g_1 $ (MeV)	$\varphi_1(^\circ)$	$ g_2 $ (MeV)	$\varphi_2(^\circ)$	$ g_3 $ (MeV)	$\varphi_3(^\circ)$
$\mathbf{LHCb}(\mathbf{Fit.IV})$	II(- + +)	$6874.8^{+5.0}_{-5.8} \text{-} i 50.4^{+1.7}_{-1.1}$	$1398.5^{+21.6}_{-15.8}$	$85.9^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$	$962.1^{+14.9}_{-10.9}$	$84.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$18.2^{+0.7}_{-0.4}$	$79.9^{+1.2}_{-0.2}$
	III( +)	$6862.0^{+4.3}_{-6.2}$ - $i68.9^{+1.9}_{-2.0}$	$1364.7^{+20.1}_{-12.2}$	$80.6^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$	$927.4^{+13.4}_{-8.3}$	$77.5^{+0.5}_{-0.1}$	$19.3^{+0.7}_{-0.4}$	$-79.0^{+0.6}_{-0.3}$
	IV()	$6862.0^{+4.3}_{-6.2}$ - $i68.9^{+1.9}_{-2.0}$	$1361.6^{+19.0}_{-12.4}$	$80.7^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$925.3^{+12.5}_{-8.7}$	$77.5^{+0.4}_{-0.1}$	$19.4_{-0.4}^{+0.7}$	$-78.6^{+0.5}_{-0.2}$
	VII(- + -)	$6874.8^{+5.0}_{-5.8}$ - $i50.4^{+1.7}_{-1.1}$	$1394.3^{+17.7}_{-17.5}$	$85.9^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$959.2^{+11.7}_{-12.1}$	$84.5^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$18.4_{-0.4}^{+0.7}$	$-79.2^{+1.0}_{-0.3}$
CMC(E:+ V)	II(- + +)	$6888.4_{-7.2}^{+11.3}\text{-}i59.4_{-0.5}^{+1.7}$	$1452.8^{+23.1}_{-6.8}$	$85.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$795.8^{+12.2}_{-4.3}$	$83.3_{-0.1}^{+0.1}$	$38.8^{+2.1}_{-0.1}$	$82.2^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$
	III( +)	$6878.9^{+11.3}_{-7.4}$ - $i73.1^{+2.6}_{-1.1}$	$1430.3^{+29.4}_{-5.7}$	$82.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$773.9^{+15.5}_{-4.2}$	$77.8^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$36.4^{+2.2}_{-0.1}$	$65.0^{+1.6}_{-0.4}$
	IV()	$6878.9^{+11.3}_{-7.4}$ - $i73.1^{+2.6}_{-1.1}$	$1430.5^{+18.8}_{-5.0}$	$82.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$773.8^{+8.7}_{-3.1}$	$77.8^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$36.7^{+2.1}_{-0.1}$	$65.6^{+1.6}_{-0.4}$
	VII(- + -)	$6888.4^{+11.5}_{-7.2} \cdot i59.4^{+1.7}_{-0.5}$	$1452.3^{+24.4}_{-5.6}$	$85.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$795.4^{+13.6}_{-3.4}$	$83.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$39.4_{-0.1}^{+2.2}$	$83.4_{-0.2}^{+0.4}$
ATLAS(Fit.VI)	II(- + +)	$6897.7^{+19.1}_{-4.3} \hbox{-} i50.9^{+0.9}_{-0.2}$	$1409.8^{+12.0}_{-1.9}$	$86.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$997.0^{+8.8}_{-1.8}$	$85.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$5.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$56.7^{+0.8}_{-0.3}$
	III( +)	$6883.8^{+18.3}_{-4.0}$ - $i73.4^{+2.8}_{-0.7}$	$1373.6^{+7.3}_{-2.7}$	$80.8^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$960.0^{+5.6}_{-1.3}$	$77.5_{-0.2}^{+0.1}$	$7.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$21.6^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$
	IV()	$6883.8^{+18.3}_{-4.0} - i73.4^{+2.8}_{-0.7}$	$1379.0^{+10.0}_{-2.0}$	$80.8^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$963.8^{+7.1}_{-1.2}$	$77.5_{-0.1}^{+0.1}$	$7.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$22.1^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$
	VII(- + -)	$6897.7^{+19.1}_{-4.3}$ - $i50.9^{+0.9}_{-0.2}$	$1406.7^{+10.4}_{-2.0}$	$86.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$994.9^{+7.4}_{-2.3}$	$85.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$5.8^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$57.6^{+0.9}_{-0.2}$

#### **Phase shifts**

- Phase shifts of <sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub> is very likely to the one generated by a narrow BW resonace: tetra-quark
- Other waves should contribute backgrounds



- other points of view.
  - excited tetraquark?

Zhang, Li, Xie et.al., EPJC 82 (2022) 1126

#### 4、Some other resonances: Tcc

- Obviously contain four quarks
- Chew-Mandelstam K-matrix

$$T^{-1}(s) = K^{-1}(s) - C(s)$$

$$C_i(s) = \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_{th_i}}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\rho_i(s')}{s'(s'-s)}$$

D<sup>\*+</sup>, unstable particles

$$C_2(s) \to \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{tr,D\pi}}^{\infty} ds' C(s; \sqrt{s'}, m_2) \mathrm{Im} f_{D\pi}(s')$$

FSI: AMP method

$$F_i(s) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \alpha_k(s) T_{ki}(s),$$

$$\begin{split} K_{11} &= -0.01204 \pm 0.00691^{+0.03280}_{-0.07039} \\ K_{12} &= K_{21} = 0.5080 \pm 0.0025^{+0.0348}_{-0.0700} \\ K_{22} &= 1.4447 \pm 0.0015^{+0.0235}_{-0.0477} \\ \alpha_2 &= -0.3024 \pm 0.0016^{+0.0261}_{-0.0583} \\ N_a &= 1434.0 \pm 129.8^{+662.0}_{-964.8} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \\ N_b &= 516.0 \pm 49.3^{+225.6}_{-363.4} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \\ \chi^2_{\rm d.o.f.} &= 0.92 \end{split}$$

 one term in K-matrix: similar to effective range expansion
 Dai, Sun, Kang, Szczepaniak, Yu, PRD105 (2022) L051507

## **Tcc: virtual state origin?**



- Pole analysis by switching the inelastic channel
- pole-counting, one pole, molecule?
- λ=0 RS-IV corresponds to RS-II in D<sup>0</sup>D\*+single channel, virtual state origin!

Ling, Geng, Xie *et.al.*, PLB 826 (2022) 136897



## **Pc** states

- Candidates for pentaquarks
- CM K-matrix+AMP
- $J/\psi p \overline{D}^0 \Sigma_c^+ \overline{D}^{*0} \Sigma_c^+$ triple channels
- Fit 1: no pole in KM
- Fit 2: a pole in KM around Pc(4440)
- Fit 3: P-wave instead of KM pole around Pc(4440)

Kuang, Dai<sup>#</sup>, Kang, Yao, EPJC 80 (2020) 433



# **Pc** states

- pole parameters
- pole counting rule
- Pc(4312): molecule? <u>s</u>
- Pc(4440): compact tetraquark
- Pc(4457): threhold effect?

tate	Pole locations (MeV)								
	RS	Fit.1	RS	Fit.2	RS	Fit.3			
$P_c(4312)$	III	$4296.93_{-3.00}^{+2.48}$	III		V*	4313.38 <sup>+2.52</sup> -5.73			
		$-i5.12^{+2.44}_{-1.06}$				$-i2.05^{+1.65}_{-0.75}$			
	V*	$4312.74_{-0.67}^{+1.69}$	V*	$4314.31^{+2.06}_{-1.10}$	VIII	$4313.11_{-4.76}^{+3.86}$			
		$-i3.33^{+2.91}_{-1.25}$		$-i1.43^{+1.50}_{-0.57}$		$-i3.11^{+1.63}_{-2.02}$			
$P_c(4440)$			III*	$4444.09^{+2.53}_{-1.48}$	III*	$4440.53_{-0.31}^{+0.47}$			
				$-i3.10^{+0.53}_{-1.33}$		$-i2.42^{+0.22}_{-0.22}$			
	•••		IV	$4443.69^{+2.89}_{-1.34}$	IV	$4440.38\substack{+0.41 \\ -0.19}$			
				$-i0.32^{+1.23}_{-0.04}$		$-i1.40^{+0.59}_{-0.50}$			
	•••		V	$4444.22_{-1.41}^{+2.72}$	V	$4440.53_{-0.30}^{+0.37}$			
				$-i2.48\substack{+0.57\\-0.67}$		$-i2.32^{+0.27}_{-0.61}$			
	•••		VII	$4443.84^{+1.93}_{-1.91}$	VIII	$4440.38^{+3.31}_{-0.52}$			
				$-i1.02^{+1.05}_{-0.92}$		$-i1.30^{+4.45}_{-0.50}$			
$P_c(4457)$	•••		III	$4466.53_{-4.75}^{+2.13}$					
				$-i3.88^{+6.95}_{-0.93}$					
			VII	$4456.77_{-8.89}^{+3.10}$	VIII	$4453.44_{-3.34}^{+7.11}$			
				$-i7.77^{+11.07}_{-4.41}$		$-i21.58^{+8.01}_{-6.36}$			

#### **Pc states: inner structure?**

- pole-counting, one pole is molecule type
- Fit 2 better than Fit 3, Pc(4440) prefers to be S-wave
- Pc(4457): quite similar to ppbar threshold behaviour



#### **Pole trajectories?**

- Pc(4312): support molecule.
- Pc(4440): compact tetraquark
- Pc(4457): threshold behaviour



#### other datasets

The same conclusion as the previours analysis



## 4、Summary



FSI

One needs PWA to extract pole parameters for the resonance: quantum number, mass, width, residues...

Amplitude analysis connects QFT principles and Exp. FSI needs to be considered when performing amplitude analysis.

# structure

X6900: 0<sup>++</sup>, likely to be a compact tetraquark; Tcc: virtual state origin; Pc(4312): molecule, Pc(4440): pentaquark, Pc(4457): threshold behaviour.

Next?

Angular distribution? Being essential to separate the partial waves. Other scatterings? Better method to classify nature of hadrons?



# Thank You For your patience!