







# Search for Long-lived Particles at Future Lepton Colliders Using Deep Learning Techniques

Yulei Zhang<sup>[1]</sup>, Xiang Chen<sup>[1]</sup>, Cen Mo<sup>[1]</sup>, Bingzhi Li<sup>[3]</sup>, Jifeng Hu<sup>[2]</sup>, Hongyang Chen<sup>[3]</sup>, Liang Li<sup>[1]</sup>

[1] Shanghai Jiao Tong University[2] South China Normal University[3] Zhejiang Lab

# New Physics Beyond the SM - LLPs

- Particle lifetimes span a very wide range and long lifetimes can generically appear in the BSM theories.
- Dedicated searches for long-lived BSM particles are necessary.
- For a comprehensive overview of LLP, please refer to Liang's Talk.

#### **General LLP Topology**

• Object (neutral or charged) decaying a *macroscopic* and *reconstructible* distance from IP

#### Signal signature of a long-lived particle:

 Neutral LLP decays are a spectacular signature, and the burst of energy appearing out of nowhere sets it apart from the collision point.



JOURNAL OF PHYSICS G-NUCLEAR AND PARTICLE PHYSICS. 47(9) (2020)

#### Higgs Portal to BSM



# Analysis Strategy

- Traditional selection-based analysis relies on the specific reconstruction and selection algorithm for the limited possible LLP phase space (mass and lifetime).
- A novel machine learning-based analysis is introduced, directly utilizing raw detector response to classify events with LLPs and SM events.
- LLPs are classified into **3 categories** based on the number of detectable LLPs (0/1/2 DLLPs)
- Possible backgrounds: **2-fermion** and **4-fermion** (SM processes with jets and large cross-section)



## LLPs Search @ CEPC

- **Full simulation** with CEPC official software (V4)
- The decay vertex of LLPs:  $0 \le r_{decay} \le 6 [m]$
- Signal sample generated by MadGraph5 and showered by Pythia8

Process	# of Events simulated
Signal: $Z \rightarrow \overline{q}q$ , $H \rightarrow X_1 + X_2$ (2-jet)	$\sim 1.0 \times 10^{6}$
Signal: $Z \to \overline{\nu}\nu$ , $H \to X_1 + X_2$ (2-jet)	$\sim 1.0 \times 10^{6}$
Signal: $Z \rightarrow \overline{q}q$ , $H \rightarrow X_1 + X_2$ (4-jet)	$\sim 1.0 \times 10^{6}$
Signal: $Z \to \overline{\nu}\nu$ , $H \to X_1 + X_2$ (4-jet)	$\sim 1.0 \times 10^{6}$
$e^+e^-  ightarrow q \overline{q}$	$\sim 0.99 \times 10^{7}$
$e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ (Standard Model)	$\sim 1.37 \times 10^{6}$
$e^+e^- \rightarrow W/Z$	$\sim 1.3 \times 10^{7}$



### Convert Events to Images



Images received by neural network.

- Time difference:  $\Delta t = t_{hit} \frac{R}{c}$  is represented by the color of circle: light color represents large  $\Delta t$ .
- Energy deposition is illustrated as the size of circle: bigger circle represents more energy.

**Raw detector information**  $\rightarrow$  a 2D image in a size of (R,  $\phi$ ) = 200×200 and 2 channels.

#### **CNN** Architecture



### Heterogeneous Graphs

Features	Variable	Definition	$h_c^{l+1}$ .	$x_c^{l+1}$ $h_t^{l+1}$	$x_{t}^{l+1}$					
calorimeter type node <i>i</i>	$egin{aligned}  x_i^\mu  \  p_i^\mu  \ N_i \ \eta_i \ \phi_i \ R_i \end{aligned}$	the space-time interval the invariant mass the number of hits $\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\frac{P_{x}}{2}}{1-\frac{P_{x}}{p_{x}}}$ $\arctan \frac{P_{y}}{p_{x}}$ $\sqrt{\eta^{2} + \phi^{2}}$	Heterogeneous Det			dete bloc poo	ector informat k (DIB) p P	ion Scores Decodir CRead-ou	s ng ut	
calorimeter type edge between node $i$ and $j$	$x_i^{\mu}$ $ x_i^{\mu} - x_j^{\mu} ,  \mu $	$x_{j\mu}, p_i^{\mu} p_{j\mu}, x_i^{\mu} p_{j\mu}, p_i^{\mu} x_{j\mu}$ $p_i^{\mu} - p_j^{\mu}  , \eta_i - \eta_j, \phi_i - \phi_j, R_i - R_j$	actor Infr		¢ ¢			← HDIB	→ <b></b>	
tracker type node <i>i</i>	$egin{array}{c}  r  \ N_i \ \eta_i \ \phi_i \ R_i \end{array}$	euclidean distance the number of hits $\frac{\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\frac{x}{r}}{1-\frac{x}{r}}}{\arctan \frac{y}{x}}$ $\frac{\arctan \frac{y}{x}}{\sqrt{\eta^2 + \phi^2}}$	ormation Block (HE			× L - 1	$h_c^{L-1}$ DIB $h_c^0$	$x_c^{L-1}$ $\leftarrow$ HDIB $x_c^0$ Embeddi	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & &$	$\begin{array}{c} x_t^{L-1} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$
tracker type edge between node $i$ and $j$	$ r_i - r_j $	, $r_i r_j$ , $\eta_i - \eta_j$ , $\phi_i - \phi_j$ , $R_i - R_j$		$h_c^l$ $h_t^l$		calor no	imeter ca ode	l alorimeter edge	l tracker node	 tracker edge

- Features of nodes: calorimeter-type and tracker-type.
- Features of edges: interaction between neighbor nodes.

# ML-based Analysis Results

- Both CNN and GNN achieve high signal efficiencies.
- The performance is consistent across different LLP mass and lifetime considerations.
- Best efficiency (50 GeV, 1 ns): 0.99
- With full range of CEPC detector, LLPs with different masses and lifetimes manifest high Acceptance × Efficiency

Approach	Efficiency	Lifetime [ns]							
	Mass [GeV]	0.001	0.1	1	10	100			
CNN	1	0.82	0.90	0.79	0.74	0.77			
	10	0.80	0.89	0.90	0.89	0.84			
	50	0.88	0.96	0.99	0.98	0.93			
	1	0.83	0.89	0.80	0.80	0.79			
GNN	10	0.77	0.86	0.92	0.86	0.84			
	50	0.88	0.92	0.97	0.97	0.93			

Signal efficiency with background-free condition



#### **Exclusion** Limit

- Best expected limit of  $4 \times 10^{-6}$  has been achieved.
- Outperforming the current limit from ATLAS and CMS by two order of magnitude.
- Comparable performance with ILC's when  $\tau_{LLP} < 1 \text{ ns}$
- An order of magnitude better than the ILC's when  $\tau_{LLP} > 1 \text{ ns}$





#### 2D Limit Scan

- The 2D scan on  $(\mathcal{B}(h \to XX)|_{2-jet}, \mathcal{B}(h \to XX)|_{4-jet})$  for the 95% CL upper limits.
- Better exclusion results for high-mass region (50 GeV) and low-mass region with short lifetime (< 1 ns).



# External Detector Design

- Outside muon detector to track LLPs
- Same detector structure in <u>Xiaolong's report</u>
  - scintillator strip + WLS fiber +SiPM
- geometry acceptance  $\epsilon_{\rm geo}\approx 0.65$





_	Gain		Lifetime [ns]				
	Mass [GeV]	0.001	0.1	1	10	100	
Ext. Detector	1	1	1	3.2	11.6	16.2	
	10	1	1	1	3.3	11.8	
	50	1	1	1	1.1	3.6	

an external detector covering distance of 100 meters



# Summary

- Investigated a ML-based solution of searching for Long-Lived Particles (2-jet and 4-jet final states)
   @ CEPC.
  - current results are based on CEPC\_v4 geometry setup
  - Can easily adapt to other geometry settings or other lepton collider settings
- First attempt only using raw detector information
  - Good sensitivity reached ( $\sim 10^{-6}$ ) with (expected)  $1 \times 10^{6}$  Higgs statistics.
  - The performance of different neural networks (CNN, GNN) are consistent and comparable.
- An external detector outside the baseline CEPC detector can benefit the LLP sensitivity.
  - An order of magnitude (~16) improvement for low mass ( $\leq 1 \text{ GeV}$ ) and long lifetime (> 100 ns) LLPs
- Paper to be submitted soon.