



Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences



Z Portal to the Dark Sector Through Z' Mediation

JIANG Xuhui 蒋旭辉

Based on 2311.xxxx

with:

For CEPC 2023 Workshop

2023.10.26

Hsin-Chia Cheng (Davis), Lingfeng Li (Brown), and Ennio Salvioni (Padua)

Email: jxh9501 at gmail dot com

>The SM and Beyond



Standard Model of Elementary Particles

 $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

An elegant theory, but:





 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Hierarchy!} & M_{pl} \gg M_H \\ \mbox{To destabilise the vacuum.} \end{array}$

Absence of dark sector



Universe: mostly dark 2

Dark QCD

- The SM $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ QCD may give hints on the dark sector.
- Dark QCD Multiple motivations
 - neutral naturalness
 - Top partners gauged under hidden SU(3): to avoid strong bounds [Chacko. et a
 - [Chacko. et al.] [Burdman. et al.]
 - asymmetric dark matter
 - The (mirror) baryon number stabilises DM.

[D. Kaplan et al.]

Dark QCD



Dark Hadrons: Long-Lived Particles Suppressed coupling: long-lived



Interesting: emerging/semivisible jets containing dark hadrons

[P. Schwaller, et al.] [T. Cohen et al.] [Cheng, Li, and E. Salvioni]

>Dark QCD and U(1)': Mixing with the SM

$$\mathscr{L} \sim \frac{c_i}{2M^2} (iH^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} H) (\bar{\psi}'_i \gamma^{\mu} \psi'_i) \qquad \psi'_i: \text{SM chargeless}$$

To replace Higgs w VEV:



Dark QCD and U(1)': Mixing with the SM

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} = -\frac{1}{4}\hat{B}_{\mu\nu}\hat{B}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\hat{W}^3_{\mu\nu}\hat{W}^{3\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{M}^2_Z\hat{Z}_\mu\hat{Z}^\mu - \hat{e}\sum_f \bar{f}\gamma^\mu \left(\frac{Y_f}{\hat{c}_W}\hat{B}_\mu + \frac{T^3_{Lf}}{\hat{s}_W}\hat{W}^3_\mu\right)f, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{dark}} = -\frac{1}{4}\hat{Z}'_{\mu\nu}\hat{Z}'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{M}^{2}_{Z'}\hat{Z}'_{\mu}\hat{Z}'^{\mu} - g_{D}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\overline{\psi}_{j}\gamma^{\mu}x_{L}^{j}P_{L}\psi_{j} + \overline{\psi}_{j}\gamma^{\mu}x_{R}^{j}P_{R}\psi_{j}\right)\hat{Z}'_{\mu}$$
(2.3)

$$-\frac{1}{4}G^{D}_{a\,\mu\nu}G^{D\,\mu\nu}_{a} + \sum_{j=1}^{N}i\overline{\psi}_{j}\not{D}_{G}\psi_{j} - \sum_{i,\,j=1}^{N}\left(\overline{\psi}_{Li}m_{ij}\psi_{Rj} + \overline{\psi}_{Li}\zeta^{1}_{ij}\psi_{Rj}\Phi + \overline{\psi}_{Ri}\zeta^{2}_{ij}\psi_{Lj}\Phi + \text{h.c.}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mix}} = -\frac{\sin\chi}{2}\hat{Z}'_{\mu\nu}\hat{B}^{\mu\nu} + \delta\hat{M}^{2}\hat{Z}'^{\mu}\hat{Z}_{\mu} - \kappa\Phi^{*}\Phi H^{\dagger}H, \qquad (2.4)$$

Kinetic, mass and scalar mixing

 Φ : U(1)' scalar, dominantly for $\hat{M}_{Z'}$

- Heavy Z': to integrate it out: EFTs
- Relative light Z' (above Y meson mass): Mainly constrained by ~10 GeV EW precision observables: not strong

Signal: Dark Showers

Dark showers (DS) initiated by Z(Z') decays

Exotic decay BR of Z(Z')



Signal: Flavor FCNC

Dark hadrons: light enough

$$b \rightarrow s: B \rightarrow K \hat{\pi}$$

$$c \rightarrow u: D \rightarrow \pi \hat{\pi}$$

$$s \rightarrow d: K \rightarrow \pi \hat{\pi}$$

Z or Z' as mediator, but EFT reads:

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{FCNC}} = -g_D \hat{g}_Z \frac{\delta \hat{M}^2}{M_{Z_1}^2 M_{Z_2}^2 \cos^2 \chi} \frac{\hat{g}^2}{128\pi^2} J_D^{\mu} \bar{d}_j \gamma_{\mu} P_L d_i \sum_{q \in u,c,t} V_{qj}^* V_{qi} \mathcal{K}_q + \text{h.c.} ,$ where $\mathcal{K}_q \equiv x_q \log \frac{\Lambda_{UV}^2}{M_W^2} + \frac{-7x_q + x_q^2}{2(1 - x_q)} - \frac{4x_q - 2x_q^2 + x_q^3}{(1 - x_q)^2} \log x_q ,$ **Dark current SM down-type FCNC**

9

Decay Channels



Di-muon dominates at light masses; Still relevant for heavy cases

Excellent Channel!

SZ-Factory

CEPC





FCC-ee

 e^+e^- circular colliders as Z factories

Z abundance:
 Abundant b-hadrons



$\times \text{Tera-}Z$
2×10^{12}
2×10^{12}
$l \times 10^{11}$
8×10^9
5×10^{11}

good for flavor

SZ-Factory: 4 Tera-Z



SZ-Factory: 4 Tera-Z



Auxiliary Detectors for LLPs





>Other Opportunities at (HL-)LHC(b)

Displaced dimuon candidate search at LHCb;



Summary and Many Thanks

Hints from QCD; Dark QCD: dark hadrons, well-motivated.



Dark hadrons to be long-lived, as long as with a suppressed coupling.



Colliders [CEPC, Far Detectors, (HL-)LHC(b), ...]: to be promising!



Interactions

Mass eigenstates via rotations:



>Constraints on Z'

Electroweak parameters:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z_1 f \bar{f}} = -\frac{\bar{Z} e}{s_W c_W} \bar{f} \gamma^\mu (T_{Lf}^3 - s_*^2 Q_i) f Z_{1\mu} ,$$

[M. Peskin and T. Takeuchi] [M. Peskin and T. Takeuchi]

$$\bar{Z} = 1 + \frac{\alpha T}{2}, \qquad s_*^2 = s_W^2 + \frac{\alpha}{c_W^2 - s_W^2} \Big(\frac{S}{4} - s_W^2 c_W^2 T\Big),$$

$$\frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2} = c_W^2 + \frac{\alpha c_W^2}{c_W^2 - s_W^2} \left(-\frac{S}{2} + c_W^2 T + \frac{c_W^2 - s_W^2}{4s_W^2} U \right).$$

[B. Holdom]

$$S = \frac{4s_W^2}{\alpha} \left(\frac{c_W^2}{s_W} \xi \tan \chi - c_W^2 \xi^2 \right) \,, \quad T = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(2s_W \xi \tan \chi + \xi^2 \left(\frac{M_{Z_2}^2}{M_{Z_1}^2} - 2 \right) \right) \,, \quad U = \frac{4s_W^2}{\alpha} c_W^2 \xi^2 \,.$$

Constrained by PDG fit

>Constraints on Z'

Low energy parameters: $E \ll M_{Z_{1,2}}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\rho_*(0) \Big[J_3^{\mu} J_{3\,\mu} - 2s_*^2(0) J_3^{\mu} J_{\text{EM}\,\mu} + O(J_{\text{EM}}^2) \Big] \qquad \rho_*(0) = 1 + \alpha T + \frac{M_{Z_1}^2}{M_{Z_2}^2} (\xi - s_W \tan \chi)^2 ,$$
$$\frac{s_*^2(0)}{s_W^2} = \frac{s_*^2}{s_W^2} + \frac{M_{Z_1}^2}{M_{Z_2}^2} \Big(c_W^2 \tan^2 \chi - \frac{c_W^2}{s_W} \xi \tan \chi \Big)$$

Atomic Parity Violation (APV)
 Weak charge of the Caesium atom:

$$Q_W \approx Q_W^{\text{SM}} (1 + \delta \rho_*(0) + 2.91 \, \delta s_*^2(0))$$

Parity Violation [K.S. Kumar] $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-$ at E158 at SLAC.

Effective coupling:

 $g_{AV}^{ee} \approx g_{AV}^{ee, \,\text{SM}} \left(1 + \delta \rho_*(0) - 87.0 \,\delta s_*^2(0) \right)$

Constraints on Z'

 $M_{Z_2} = 20 \text{ GeV} [95\% \text{ CL}]$



Belle-II



>Opportunities at (HL-)LHC

ATLAS/CMS: larger luminosity and decay vessel.

A dedicated dimuon trigger stream (scouting)

[CMS Collaboration]

Data Scouting in CMS

Dustin Anderson*[†] California Institute of Technology E-mail: dustin.james.anderson@cern.ch

Online data taking

Data scouting in collider experiments refers to the use of physics objects reconstructed online during data taking to perform searches and measurements. The technique, pioneered by the CMS experiment, allows events to be recorded for analyis at a rate of several additional kHz with negligible impact on total data volume. Dijet resonance searches have used data scouting to probe resonance masses far lower than those explorable with a standard offline physics analysis, and new developments for LHC Run II enable a wider range of analyses to take advantage of this new trigger paradigm. We describe the scouting technique, give an overview of its past use in CMS, and provide details on the implementation of the scouting streams being used in Run II. We also show results from the first scouting-based physics analysis with 13 TeV data.

[D. Anderson]

>Opportunities at (HL-)LHC

			3–5	5–8	8–10	10–15	>15 GeV	p^{μ} (GeV)
CMS		0–0.2 –		0.51	0.6	0.59		$_{-0-0.2}$ P_{t} ()
		0.2–1 –		0.51	0.6	0.56	0.44	- 0.2-1
		1–2.4 –		0.43	0.55	0.53	0.52	- 1-2.4
	ℓ (cm)	2.4–3.1 –		0.34	0.44	0.52	0.46	- 2.4-3.1
	v_{xy} (CIII)	3.1–7 –	0.05		0.35	0.37	0.36	- 3.1-7
		7–11 –	0.03					- 7-11
		>11 cm -			0		0	- >11 cm

Ш		$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$
Π	LI	L

	3–5	5-8	8–10	10–15	>15 GeV	r -		3–5	5-8	8-10	10–15	>15 GeV	r -		3–5	5–8	8–10	10–15	>15 GeV	
0-0.2 -	0.1	0.46	0.59	0.59	0.53	- 0-0.2	0-0.2 -	0.1	0.46	0.59	0.59	0.53	- 0-0.2	0-0.2 -	0.1	0.46	0.59	0.59	0.53	- 0-0.2
0.2–1 -	0.1	0.46	0.58	0.59	0.53	- 0.2–1	0.2–1 -	0.1	0.46	0.59	0.59	0.53	- 0.2–1	0.2–1 -	0.1	0.46	0.59	0.59	0.53	- 0.2–1
1–2.4 -	0.1	0.45	0.58	0.58	0.52	- 1-2.4	1–2.4 -	0.1	0.46	0.58	0.59	0.53	- 1-2.4	1–2.4 -	0.1	0.45	0.57	0.58	0.51	- 1–2.4
2.4–3.1 -	0.1	0.45	0.57	0.58	0.52	- 2.4–3.1	2.4–3.1 -	0.1	0.46	0.58	0.59	0.52	- 2.4–3.1	2.4–3.1 -	0.096	0.43	0.54	0.55	0.49	- 2.4–3.1
3.1–7 –	0.098	0.44	0.56	0.56	0.5	- 3.1–7	3.1–7 -	0.098	0.44	0.56	0.56	0.5	- 3.1–7	3.1–7 -	0.079	0.35	0.45	0.45	0.4	- 3.1–7
7–11 -	0.094	0.42	0.53	0.54	0.48	- 7–11	7–11 -	0.088	0.39	0.5	0.5	0.45	- 7–11	7–11 -	0.047	0.21	0.27	0.27	0.24	- 7–11
11–20 -	0.086	0.38	0.49	0.49	0.44	- 11–20	11–20 -	0.064	0.29	0.36	0.37	0.33	- 11–20	11–20 -	0.016	0.073	0.093	0.094	0.084	- 11–20
20–40 -	0.069	0.31	0.39	0.4	0.35	- 20-40	20-40 -	0.028	0.12		0.16	0.14	- 20–40	20–40 -	<0.001	0.0042	0.0054	0.0054	0.0048	- 20–40
40-90 -	0.046	0.21	0.26	0.26	0.24	- 40–90	40-90 -	0.0067	0.03	0.038	0.038	0.034	- 40–90	40-90 -	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	- 40–90
>90 cm -	0.017	0.077	0.098	0.099	0.089	- >90 cm	>90 cm -	0.0035	0.016	0.02	0.02	0.018	- >90 cm	>90 cm -	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	- >90 cm
	3–5	5–8	8–10	10–15	>15 GeV	1		3–5	5–8	8–10	10–15	>15 GeV	11 Y		3–5	5–8	8–10	10–15	>15 GeV	

Model-Dependent Constraints

Preliminary

The EFT reads:
$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\pi}f\bar{f}} = -\frac{\partial_{\mu}\hat{\pi}_{b}}{f_{a}^{(b)}}\sum_{f}a_{f}\bar{f}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}f$$
,

 $\frac{1}{f_a^{(b)}} = \frac{\text{Tr}(\sigma_b X_A') g_D \hat{g}_Z f_{\hat{\pi}} \delta \hat{M}^2}{2M_{Z_1}^2 M_{Z_2}^2 \cos^2 \chi} \approx \frac{1}{1 \text{ PeV}} \frac{\text{Tr}(\sigma_b X_A') g_D}{\cos^2 \chi} \left(\frac{f_{\hat{\pi}}}{1 \text{ GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{\delta \hat{M}^2 / M_{Z_1}^2}{10^{-2}}\right) \left(\frac{60 \text{ GeV}}{M_{Z_2}}\right)^2$

A switch from model-independent study (using auxiliary detectors for example):

