# Nucleon Energy-Energy Correlator in Lepton-Nucleon Collisions

Haotian Cao

Beijing Normal University

#### 量子场论及其应用研讨会 (北京计算科学中心) 2023 年 8 月 14 日至 16 日

Liu, Zhu, PRL 130 (2023) 9, 9 HC, Liu, Zhu, PRD 107 (2023) 114008 Liu, Liu, Pan, Yuan, Zhu, PRL 130 (2023) 18, 18

2 Concept and feature of Nucleon Energy-Energy Correlator(NEEC)

#### 3 Numerical result





Still many question yet to answer about Proton: Proton Mass decomposition Proton Spin components

Need more information of the Nucleon structure, which is the future focus of EIC.



. . .

Parton distribution function(PDF): probability of finding partons in a hadron with longitudinal momentum fraction z



Parton distribution function(PDF): probability of finding partons in a hadron with longitudinal momentum fraction z.



5/27

Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) PDF: probability of finding partons in a hadron with longitudinal momentum fraction z and the parton transverse momentom  $p_T$ 





TMDPDF Include transverse momentum information.

Usually needs 2 non-pert object:

 $\sigma = \hat{\sigma}(z, x) D(z, \vec{q}_T) \otimes f(z, \vec{p}_T)$ 

Sudakov suppression, exponentially suppressed in non-pert region when Q is large Loses information: correlation between partons in momentum space





# Nucleon Energy-Energy Correlator(NEEC)

Follow the idea of Energy-Energy Correlator<sub>[Dixon, Moult, Zhu...]</sub>, NEEC was proposed<sub>[Liu,Zhu(2023)]</sub>

$$f_{q,\text{EEC}}(z,\theta) = \int \frac{dy^{-}}{4\pi} e^{-izP^{+}\frac{y^{-}}{2}} \langle P|\bar{\chi}_{n}(y^{-})\frac{\gamma^{+}}{2}\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)\chi_{n}(0)|P\rangle$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{X}}\frac{E_{i}}{E_{P}}\Theta(\theta-\theta_{i})|X\rangle$$



We do not constrain on the parton transverse momentum. The transverse dynamics are encoded in  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ 

# Nucleon Energy-Energy Correlator(NEEC)

Follow the idea of Energy-Energy Correlator[Dixon, Moult, Zhu...], NEEC was proposed[Liu,Zhu(2023)] as an example of extending EEC to the proton form factor.

$$f_{q,\text{EEC}}(z,\theta) = \int \frac{dy^{-}}{4\pi} e^{-izP^{+}\frac{y^{-}}{2}} \langle P|\bar{\chi}_{n}(y^{-})\frac{\gamma^{+}}{2}\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)\chi_{n}(0)|P\rangle$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{X}}\frac{E_{i}}{E_{P}}\Theta(\theta-\theta_{i})|X\rangle$$

Compare it with pdf

$$f_q(z) = \int \frac{dy^-}{4\pi} e^{-izP^+ \frac{y^-}{2}} \langle P|\bar{\chi}_n(y^-) \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \chi_n(0)|P\rangle$$

the only difference is the energy density operator.

# Higher-Point correlator

Multi-point correlation is straightforwardly generalized with

$$f_{q,\text{ENC}}(z,\theta) = \int \frac{dy^{-}}{4\pi} e^{-izP^{+}\frac{y^{-}}{2}} \langle P|\bar{\chi}_{n}(y^{-})\frac{\gamma^{+}}{2}\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta_{1})\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta_{2})...\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta_{N})\chi_{n}(0)|P\rangle$$

Nucleon internal dynamics will be imprinted in the detailed structure of these correlation functions.



## How to probe NEEC

We claim NEEC can be probed in this way

$$\begin{split} \Sigma(Q^2, x_B, \theta) &= \sum_i \int d\sigma(x_B, Q^2, p_i) \frac{E_i}{E_P} \Theta(\theta - \theta_i) \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2}{Q^4} L_{\mu\nu}(Q^2, x_B) \int d^4 x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle P | j^{\mu\dagger}(x) \, \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) \, j^{\nu}(0) | P \rangle \end{split}$$



Inclusive measurement weighted by  $E_i$ . No jet or hadrons.

Haotian Cao (BNU)

March 29, 2023 1

#### Factorization theorem

When  $\theta \ll 1$  possible leading contribution  $p_h \sim Q(1, 1, 1)$ ,  $p_c \sim Q(1, \theta^2, \theta)$ and  $p_s \sim Q(\theta^a, \theta^a, \theta^a)$ , a > 1. Before showing the factorization theorem we study more about  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ , recall  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \sum_{i \in X} \frac{E_i}{E_P} \Theta(\theta - \theta_i)|X\rangle$ Decompose the final state

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \frac{1}{E_P} \sum_{i \in X} \left( E_{H,i} \Theta(\theta - \theta_{H,i}) + E_{C,i} \Theta(\theta - \theta_{C,i}) + E_{S,i} \Theta(\theta - \theta_{S,i}) \right) |X_H, X_C, X_S\rangle$$

 $E_{S,i} \sim Q \theta^{a}, \, \Theta(\theta - \theta_{H,i}) \sim 0$ 

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \frac{1}{E_P} \sum_{i \in X} E_{C,i} \Theta(\theta - \theta_{C,i}) |X_H, X_C, X_S\rangle$$

Match  $j^{\mu\dagger}\mathcal{E}(\theta)j^{\nu}$  to the SCET operators

$$\langle P|j^{\mu\dagger}(x)\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)j^{\nu}(0)|P\rangle = C_{q}^{\mu\nu}\langle P|\bar{\chi}_{n}(x)Y^{\dagger}(x)\frac{\gamma^{+}}{2}\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)Y(0)\chi_{n}(0)|P\rangle$$
$$+ C_{g}^{\mu\nu}\langle P|\mathcal{B}_{\perp}(x)\mathcal{Y}^{\dagger}(x)\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)\mathcal{Y}(0)\mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0)|P\rangle$$

We have both quark and gluon contribution



Match  $j^{\mu\dagger}\mathcal{E}(\theta)j^{\nu}$  to the SCET operators

$$\langle P|j^{\mu\dagger}(x)\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)j^{\nu}(0)|P\rangle = C_{q}^{\mu\nu}\langle P|\bar{\chi}_{n}(x)Y^{\dagger}(x)\frac{\gamma^{+}}{2}\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)Y(0)\chi_{n}(0)|P\rangle + C_{g}^{\mu\nu}\langle P|\mathcal{B}_{\perp}(x)\mathcal{Y}^{\dagger}(x)\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)\mathcal{Y}(0)\mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0)|P\rangle$$

$$\Sigma(Q^2, x_B, \theta) = \frac{\alpha^2}{Q^4} L_{\mu\nu}(Q^2, x_B) \int d^4 x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle P | j^{\mu\dagger}(x) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) j^{\nu}(0) | P \rangle$$

1.When replaceing  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$  to 1, NEEC will be exactly the same as PDF, the weighted cross section will be recovered to the cross section of inclusive DIS

2. Hard coefficients are independent of the details of the collinear sector.

#### Factorization theorem

Factorization theorem in collinear limit

$$\Sigma(Q^2, x_B, \theta) = \int_{x_B}^1 \frac{dz}{z} \hat{\sigma}_i\left(\frac{x_B}{z}\right) f_{i,\text{EEC}}(z, P^+\theta) \,.$$

The only thing to do is to change  $f_i(z)$  in inclusive DIS to  $f_{i,\text{EEC}}(z,\theta)$ 



#### Factorization theorem

When  $\theta \ll 1$  but it is large enough that  $\Lambda_{QCD} \ll \theta Q$ . The collinear modes can be further split into the hard collinear modes ( $C_1$ ) where  $p_{C_1} \sim (Q, \theta^2 Q, \theta Q)$ , and the  $C_2$  modes in  $SCET_{II}$  where  $p_{C_2} \sim (Q, \Lambda_{QCD}^2/Q, \Lambda_{QCD})$ . We can further match NEEC to PDF



Decompose the final state

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \frac{1}{E_P} \sum_{i \in C_1, j \in C_2} \left( E_{C_1, i} \Theta(\theta - \theta_{C_1, i}) + E_{C_2, j} \Theta(\theta - \theta_{C_2, j}) \right) |X_{C_1} X_{C_2}\rangle$$

For particles in  $C_2$  modes  $\theta_{c,i} \sim \Lambda_{QCD}/Q$  the  $\Theta$  can be replaced by 1, then we use  $\Theta(\theta - \theta_{C_1,j}) = 1 - \Theta(\theta_{C_1,j} - \theta)$ 

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \frac{1}{E_P} \sum_{i \in X} \left( E_X - E_{C_1,i} \Theta(\theta_{C_2,i} - \theta) \right) |X_{C_1} X_{C_2}\rangle$$
orm will countribute as

The first term will countribute as

PDF:f(z)

$$f_{i,\text{EEC}}^{(0)}(z,\theta) = f_i(z) - zf_i(z)$$

æ

17/27

The second term will countribute as

$$f_{\rm EEC}^{(1)}(z,\theta) = -\int_{z}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} I'\left(\frac{z}{\xi},\theta\right) \,\xi f(\xi)$$

The matching coefficient can be get by calculating the difference between the NEEC and the collinear PDF, using the SCET Feynman rules.

#### Factorization theorem

We can understand why this object is called NEEC, which is obvious from the fix order calculation.

$$\frac{df_{\rm EEC}^{(1)}\left(z,\theta\right)}{d\theta} \propto \left[ \left(1 - \frac{z}{\xi}\right) \frac{1}{\theta} P\left(\frac{z}{\xi}\right) \right] \xi f(\xi) \,,$$

initial energy density:  $\xi f(\xi)$ , final energy density:  $\left(1 - \frac{z}{\xi}\right) \frac{1}{\theta}P$ 

This manifests the angular correlation between final energy-initial energy density  $$z^{\rm P}$$ 



19/27

The only scale that enters NEEC is  $P^+\theta$ , then the  $\theta$  dependence should have the form  $P^+\theta$ . Since NEEC is dimensionless  $\theta P^+$  will only show up in the form  $ln\frac{\theta P^+}{\mu}$ . define  $u = \frac{x_B}{z}$ . In breit frame,  $P^+ = \frac{Q}{zu}$ 

$$\Sigma(Q^2, x_B, \theta) = \int \frac{du}{u} \hat{\sigma}_i(u) f_{i, \text{EEC}}(\frac{x_B}{u}, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu})$$

From the consistency relation, NEEC will satisfy the similar equation as pdf

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu^2} f_{i,\text{EEC}}(N, \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}) = \int d\xi \,\xi^{N-1} P(\xi) \,f_{\text{EEC}}(N, \ln\frac{Q\theta}{\xi u\mu})$$

## Consistency check

Compare the complete fixed order result with NLL resummed result expanded to  ${\it O}(\alpha_s)$  and  ${\it O}(\alpha_s^2)$ 



21/27

#### numerical result for NLL

Comparison of the NLL +  $\alpha_s$ , NLL +  $\alpha_s^2$  and the Pythia simulation at partonic level. Reasonable agreement is found in the small  $\theta$  (y) region(near-side)



# numerical result for NLL

When y is large

$$\Sigma_{N}(Q^{2},\theta) = \int x_{B}^{N} Q \frac{Q\theta}{2} \pi H(Q^{2},\mu_{H}) \int \frac{db}{2\pi} R(b,\mu,\nu) b \qquad (1)$$
$$J_{0}(Q\theta b/2) B_{f/N}(b,x_{B},\mu,\nu) S(b,\mu_{S},\nu_{S}) J_{EEC}(b,\mu,\nu)$$



## Application to the gluon saturation

Gluon saturation at small x See Bowen's talk



# Application to the gluon saturation

NEEC as evident portal to the onset of gluon saturation. We can define a turning point around which the slope of the distribution starts to switch its monotonicity.



25 / 27

NEEC is a brand new description of the nucleon structures and QCD dynamics.

The factorization theorem and NLL result makes the first step towards a precise study of NEEC  $% \left( \mathcal{A}_{n}^{\prime}\right) =\left( \mathcal{A}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \left( \mathcal{A}_{n}^{\prime}\right) \left($ 

A lot to study in the future.

# Thank you!

▶ < ∃ >

< □ > < 同 >

æ