

Causality bounds on gravitational EFTs

周双勇 (中科大 / 彭桓武高能基础理论研究中心)

第三届量子场论及其应用研讨会,北京,2023年8月15日

Causality bounds/Positivity bounds



Snowmass White Paper: UV Constraints on IR Physics, de Rham, Kundu, Reece, Tolley & SYZ, 2203.06805

constraints on Wilson coefficients

Dispersion relation

• Analyticity in complex *s* plane (fixed *t*)

$$A(s,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} ds' \; \frac{A(s',t)}{s'-s}$$

- Froissart bound $|A(s' \rightarrow \infty, t)| < s'^{2-\epsilon}$
- su crossing symmetry A(s, t) = A(u, t)

Twice subtracted dispersion relation

$$A(s,t)\sim \int_{\Lambda^2}^\infty rac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\pi\mu^2}igg[rac{s^2}{\mu-s}+rac{u^2}{\mu-u}igg]\,\mathrm{Im}\,A(\mu,t)$$

UV unitarity Im $a_{\ell}(\mu) > 0$

s'

ام

 Λ^2

EFT

UV

 $-\Lambda^2$

EFT amplitude

IR/UV connection เ

UV full amplitude

Х

Х

С

Applications on Standard Model EFT

Vector boson scattering: $V_1 + V_2 \rightarrow V_3 + V_4$, $V_i \in \{Z, W^+, W^-, \gamma\}$



See also: Rodd & Remmen, 2004.02885; Gu, Wang & Zhang, 2011.03055; Li & Zhou, 2202.12907; ...

Applications on Chiral PT

For example, bounds on $O(p^4)$ coefficients



See also: Manohar & Mateu, 0801.3222; Du, Guo, Meibner & Yao, 1610.02963 Guerrieri, Penedones & Vieira, 2011.02802

Two-sided bounds from full crossing symmetry



Two-sided bounds on specific EFTs

- Identical scalar EFT
 - Fixed *t* dispersion relation
 - Moment problem approach
 - Fully symmetric dispersion relation
- Multi-(scalar) field EFT
- Einstein EFT
- Einstein-Maxwell EFT

Tolley, Wang & **SYZ**, 2011.02400 Caron-Huot & Duong, 2011.02957

Chiang, Huang, Li, Rodina & Weng, 2105.02862

Sinha & Zahed, 2012.04877

Du, Zhang & SYZ, 2111.01169

Caron-Huot, Mazac, Rastelli, Simmons-Duffin, 2102.08951 Caron-Hot, Li, Parra-Martinez & Simmons-Duffin, 2201.06602 Chiang, Huang, Li, Rodina & Weng, 2201.07177

Henriksson, McPeak, Russo & Vichi, 2203.08164

Scalar-tensor EFT

Hong, Wang, SYZ, 2304.01259

Motivation from phenomenology

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Scalar-tensor EFT} & \text{being constrained in astrophysics (GWs, EHT...)} \\ \text{Light DoFs: } g_{\mu\nu} + \text{scalar } \phi \\ S &= \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \bigg(\frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi - \frac{\lambda_3}{3!} \phi^3 - \frac{\lambda_4}{4!} \phi^4 + \frac{\alpha}{2} (\nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{\beta_1}{2!} \phi \mathcal{G} + \frac{\beta_2}{4} \phi^2 \mathcal{G} \\ &+ \frac{\gamma_0}{3!} \mathcal{R}^{(3)} + \frac{\gamma_1}{3!} \phi \mathcal{R}^{(3)} + \frac{\gamma_2}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \mathcal{R}^{(2)} - \frac{4\gamma_3}{3} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\rho \phi \nabla_\nu \nabla_\sigma \phi R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \cdots \bigg) \end{aligned}$



Possible deviations from General Relativity in strong gravity regime?

Hairy black holes

No-hair theorems Ruffini & Wheeler, 1971

uniqueness of BHs even in presence of matter fields scalar field case: a few no-go theorems

Hawking, 1972; Bekenstein, 1995; Sotiriou & Faraoni, 1109.6324; Hui & Nicolis, 1202.1296

But there are hairy BHs Sotiriou & SYZ, 1312.3622

$$S = rac{M_p^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} igg(R - rac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + lpha \phi \mathcal{G} igg) \quad \mathcal{G}$$
: Gauss-Bonnet invariant

from EFT viewpoint, easy to have hairy BHs: ϕG is leading n.m. coupling

Used as a fiducial model to

test deviations from GR in strong gravity regime (GWs, ...)

Spontaneous scalarization

- GR solution with $\phi = 0$ in <u>weak gravity</u>
- Deviates from GR in <u>strong gravity</u>

Neutron stars

Damour & Esposito-Farese, 1995

$$S = rac{1}{16\pi G_*} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} [R - 2
abla_\mu arphi
abla^\mu arphi] + S_\mathrm{m} \Big[\Psi_\mathrm{m}; \mathcal{A}^2(arphi) g_{\mu
u} \Big]$$
non-minimal coupling

Black holes

Doneva & Yazadjiev, 1711.01187 Silva, Sakstein, Gualtieri, Sotiriou & Berti, 1711.02080

$$S = rac{1}{16\pi G}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \sqrt{-g} igg[R - rac{1}{2}
abla_\mu arphi
abla^\mu arphi + f(arphi) \mathscr{G} igg]$$

 $f(\varphi) = a\varphi^2 + b\varphi^4 + \dots$ no linear term, so not always hairy

Both of them rely on tachyonic instability in scalar sector

 $\Box \delta \varphi + m^2(\mathcal{G}, T) \ \delta \varphi + \ldots = 0 \qquad \begin{array}{l} m^2 > 0 & \text{stay in GR solution} \\ m^2 < 0 & \text{roll down to hairy solution} \end{array}$

Causality bounds on scalar-tensor EFTs

Single field vs multiple fields

Optical theorem (for identical particle)

$$\operatorname{Im} a_{\ell}^{iiii} = \sum_{X} a_{\ell}^{ii o X} (a_{\ell}^{ii o X})^* = \sum_{X} |a_{\ell}^{ii o X}|^2 > 0$$
 positive number

use linear programing to obtain optimal bounds

Du, Zhang & SYZ, 2111.01169

Generalized optical theorem (for multiple fields)

$$\operatorname{Im} a_\ell^{ijkl} = \sum_X a_\ell^{ij o X} ig(a_\ell^{kl o X} ig)^*$$
 positive matrix

use semi-definite programing to obtain optimal bounds

Fixed *t* dispersion relations with graviton

$$\delta_{k,2}a_{k,-1}^{1234}\frac{1}{t} + \sum_{n=0}^{1234}a_{k,n}^{1234}t^n = \left\langle \frac{\partial_s^k}{k!} \left[\frac{s^2 d_{h_{12},h_{43}}^{\ell,\mu,t} c_{\ell,\mu}^{12} c_{\ell,\mu}^{*\overline{34}}}{\mu^2(\mu-s)} + \frac{(-s-t)^2 d_{h_{14},h_{23}}^{\ell,\mu,t} c_{\ell,\mu}^{14} c_{\ell,\mu}^{*\overline{32}}}{\mu^2(\mu+s+t)} \right] \right|_{s\to 0} \right\rangle,$$

t-channel pole s^2/t
survives twice subtraction
st crossing symmetry
 $a_{k,n}^{1234} = a_{n,k}^{1324}, \quad n \ge 3$
Thrice subtracted
dispersion relations

Improved dispersion relations

$$\delta_{k,2}a_{2,-1}^{1234}\frac{1}{t} + a_{k,0}^{1234} + a_{k,1}^{1234}t + a_{k,2}^{1234}t^2 = \left\langle F_{k,\ell}^{1234}(\mu,t) \right\rangle$$

suitable to use even when $t \sim \Lambda$

More than 50 dispersion relations for leading few coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{M_{p}^{2}} + 2\alpha t - \gamma_{4}t^{2} & \langle F_{1,t}^{0000}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.2) & = \langle F_{2,t}^{0000}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.3) & \frac{\beta_{2}}{M_{p}^{2}} - \frac{\gamma_{1,t}^{2}}{M_{p}^{2}} t - g_{2,t}^{2} t^{2} & \langle F_{2,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.2) & = \langle F_{2,t}^{1-0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.3) \\ & -\frac{1}{M_{p}^{2}} t + 2\alpha - \gamma_{t}t + 12g_{0,2}^{2}t^{2} & 8g_{0,2}^{2} t - 4g_{0,1}^{2}t^{2} & \langle F_{2,0}^{0000}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.4) & \frac{\beta_{2}}{M_{p}^{2}} - g_{2,t}^{2} t + g_{2,t}^{2} t^{2} & \langle F_{2,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.3) \\ & = \langle F_{4,t}^{0000}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.5) & -\frac{\gamma_{0}}{M_{p}^{2}}t^{2} & \langle F_{1,t}^{1+-(\mu, +(\mu, t))} & (B.14) & = \langle F_{4,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.2) & \frac{\beta_{1,t}}{M_{p}^{2}} + g_{1,t}^{2} t + (g_{2,t}^{2} - 3g_{2,t}^{3})t^{2} & \langle F_{2,t}^{1+-(\mu, t)} \rangle & (B.3) \\ & = \langle F_{4,t}^{0000}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.5) & -\frac{\gamma_{0}}{M_{p}^{2}}t^{2} & \langle F_{1,t}^{1+-(\mu, t)} \rangle & (B.14) & = \langle F_{4,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.24) & \frac{\beta_{1,t}}{M_{p}^{2}} + \frac{\beta_{1,t}}{M_{p}^{2}} + \frac{\beta_{1,t}}{M_{p}^{2}} - g_{1,t}^{2}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.3) \\ & = \langle F_{4,t}^{1+00}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.5) & -\frac{\gamma_{0}}{M_{p}^{2}}t^{2} & \langle F_{4,t}^{1+-(\mu, t)} \rangle & (B.15) & -\frac{\gamma_{0}}{M_{p}^{2}}t^{2} & \langle F_{4,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.25) & \frac{\beta_{1,t}}{M_{p}^{2}}t^{2} & \langle F_{2,t}^{1++(\mu, t)} \rangle & (B.37) \\ & -g_{1,t}^{2}t - \langle F_{4,t}^{1+00}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.7) & 0 & \langle F_{3,t}^{1+-(\mu, t)} \rangle & (B.17) & 0 & \langle F_{3,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.27) & 0 & \langle F_{4,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.39) \\ & -4g_{1,t}^{1,t}t^{2} & \langle F_{4,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.9) & (-\frac{10\gamma_{0}}{M_{p}^{2}} + \frac{3\beta_{1}^{2}}{M_{p}^{2}}t^{2} & \langle F_{4,t}^{1++(\mu, t)} \rangle & (B.17) & 0 & \langle F_{4,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.29) & 0 & 0 & \langle F_{4,t}^{1++(\mu, t)} \rangle & (B.49) \\ & -\frac{\gamma_{1,t}}^{2}t & g_{2,t}^{1,t}t + g_{2,t}^{2}g_{2,t}^{2}t^{2} & \langle F_{4,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.17) & 0 & \langle F_{4,t}^{1+0}(\mu, t) \rangle & (B.29) & 0 & 0 & \langle F_{4,t}^{1++(\mu, t)} \rangle & (B.40) \\ & -2g_{1,t}^{1,t}t & g_{2,t}^{1,t}g_{2,t}^{1,t}t^{2} & \langle F_{4,t}^{1,t}t^{2} & \langle F_{4,t}^{1,t}t + \langle F_{4,t}^{1,t}t \rangle & \langle F_{4,t}^$$

TIIYIU

$$\frac{M_P^2 t}{M_P^4} \left(\frac{2}{2\ell} - \frac{(\mu, \nu)}{M_P^6} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\beta_1^2}{M_P^6} - \frac{\gamma_0^2}{M_P^6} t^2 \right) = \left\langle F_{3,\ell}^{++--}(\mu, t) \right\rangle \quad (B.50)$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{5}{6}t - g_{4,1}^{T_1}t^2 &= \left\langle F_{4,\ell}^{++--}(\mu,t) \right\rangle \quad \text{(B.51)} \\ F_{P}^{1}t - g_{5,1}^{T_1}t^2 &= \left\langle F_{5,\ell}^{++--}(\mu,t) \right\rangle \quad \text{(B.52)} \\ t + \left(g_{4,2}^{T_1} - g_{6,1}^{T_1} \right) t^2 \\ &= \left\langle F_{6,\ell}^{++--}(\mu,t) \right\rangle . \quad \text{(B.53)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} F_{k,\ell}^{1234}(\mu,t) &= \frac{\partial_s^k}{k!} \left(\frac{s^2}{\mu^2(\mu-s)} d_{h_{12},h_{43}}^{\ell,\mu,t} c_{\ell,\mu}^{12} c_{\ell,\mu}^{*\overline{3}\overline{4}} + \frac{(-s-t)^2}{\mu^2(\mu+s+t)} d_{h_{14},h_{23}}^{\ell,\mu,t} c_{\ell,\mu}^{14} c_{\ell,\mu}^{*,\overline{3}\overline{2}} \right) \bigg|_{s\to 0} \\ &- \frac{\partial_t^k}{k!} \left(\frac{s^3}{\mu^3(\mu-s)} d_{h_{13},h_{42}}^{\ell,\mu,t} c_{\ell,\mu}^{13} c_{\ell,\mu}^{*\overline{2}\overline{4}} + \frac{(-s)^3}{(\mu+t)^3(\mu+s+t)} d_{h_{14},h_{32}}^{\ell,\mu,t} c_{\ell,\mu}^{14} c_{\ell,\mu}^{*\overline{2}\overline{3}} \right) \bigg|_{t\to 0,s\to t} \end{split}$$

Graviton *t*-channel pole

Spin-2 pole s^2/t survives twice subtraction

$$rac{1}{M_P^2 t} + (\cdots) \sim \int_{\Lambda^2}^\infty rac{\mathrm{d} \mu}{\mu^3} \mathrm{Im}\, A(\mu,t)(\cdots)$$

Bounds are not strictly positive

$$a_{2,0}>-rac{\Lambda^2}{M_{
m Pl}^2} imes {\cal O}(1)$$

Functional optimization Use impact parameter

$$t \rightarrow b = 2\ell/\mu^{1/2}$$

Caron-Huot, Mazac, Rastelli, Simmons-Duffin, 2102.08951

Alberte, de Rham, Jaitly & Tolley, 2007.12667 Tokuda, Aoki & Hirano, 2007.15009



Functional optimization

Optimize against weight functions $\phi_k^{1234}(p)$ $t := -p^2$

$$\sum_{1234,k} \int_0^{\Lambda} \mathrm{d}p \,\phi_k^{1234}(p) \left[\delta_{k,2} a_{k,-1}^{1234} \frac{-1}{p^2} + a_{k,0}^{1234} + a_{k,1}^{1234} \left(-p^2 \right) + a_{k,2}^{1234} p^4 \right] = \left\langle \sum_{1234,k} \int_0^{\Lambda} \mathrm{d}p \,\phi_k^{1234}(p) F_{k,\ell}^{1234}(\mu, -p^2) \right\rangle$$

Wilson coefficients

UV information

$$\sum \int \phi(p) a_{k,n} \geq 0$$
 $\sum \int \phi(p) F \geq 0$

Factor out UV spectral functions $C_{P_X,\ell,\mu} = \left(c_{P_X,\ell,\mu}^{00} c_{P_X,\ell,\mu}^{+0} c_{P_X,\ell,\mu}^{++} c_{P_X,\ell,\mu}^{+-}\right)^T$

$$\sum_{1234,k} \int_0^\Lambda \mathrm{d}p \phi_k^{1234}(p) F_{k,\ell}^{1234}(\mu, -p^2) := \sum_{P_X = \pm 1} \sum_{\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}} B_{P_X,\ell}^{\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}}(\mu) c_{P_X,\ell,\mu}^{\mathbb{A}} c_{P_X,\ell,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}$$

 $B_{P_X,\ell}(\mu) \succeq 0$, for $P_X = \pm 1$, all possible ℓ and all $\mu \ge \Lambda^2$

Numerical implementation



Bounds on $(\partial \phi)^4$ coefficient

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi - \frac{\lambda_3}{3!} \phi^3 - \frac{\lambda_4}{4!} \phi^4 + \frac{\alpha}{2} (\nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{\beta_1}{2!} \phi \mathcal{G} + \frac{\beta_2}{4} \phi^2 \mathcal{G} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{\gamma_0}{3!} \mathcal{R}^{(3)} + \frac{\gamma_1}{3!} \phi \mathcal{R}^{(3)} + \frac{\gamma_2}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi \mathcal{R}^{(2)} - \frac{4\gamma_3}{3} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\rho \phi \nabla_\nu \nabla_\sigma \phi \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \dots \right)$$

Without graviton

 $\alpha \geq 0$ reason for name of positivity bounds

With graviton

$$\alpha \geq -16.091 \frac{\log(\Lambda/m_{\rm IR})}{\Lambda^2 M_P^2} \qquad \qquad \alpha \geq 0 \quad \text{as} \quad M_P \to \infty$$

Other coefficients' dependence on α

Hong, Wang, **SYZ**, 2304.01259

Insensitive to
$$\alpha$$
: $\gamma_0 \sim \frac{M_P^2}{\Lambda^4}$, $\gamma_1 \sim \frac{M_P}{\Lambda^4}$, $\beta_1 \sim \frac{M_P}{\Lambda^2}$, ...
Sensitive to α : $\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma_2 \sim \frac{M_P}{\Lambda^5}, & \gamma_3 \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^5}, & \gamma_4 \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^6}, & \dots & \text{when} & \alpha \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \\ \gamma_2 \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^4}, & \gamma_3 \sim \frac{1}{M_P\Lambda^4}, & \gamma_4 \sim \frac{1}{M_P^2\Lambda^4}, & \dots & \text{when} & \alpha \sim \frac{1}{M_P^2\Lambda^2} \end{array}$

Two-sided bounds on leading coefficients



UV spin dependence



 $\ell = 1: \text{ either } d_{h_{12},h_{43}}^{\ell} = 0 \text{ or } c_{\ell,\mu}^{12} = 0 \qquad \qquad \ell = 3: \text{ mostly either } d_{h_{12},h_{43}}^{\ell} = 0 \text{ or } c_{\ell,\mu}^{12} = 0$

Fine-tuned EFTs

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{eg} \quad \mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 + \left(\frac{\beta_1}{2!} \phi + \frac{\beta_2}{4} \phi^2 + \dots \right) \mathcal{G} \right) & \text{set HO coeff's to 0} \\ \\ & \left\langle \frac{1}{\mu^5} \left(\left| c_{\ell,\mu}^{++} \right|^2 + \left| c_{\ell,\mu}^{+-} \right|^2 \right) \right\rangle = 0 \\ - \frac{1}{M_P^2} \frac{1}{t} = \left\langle \frac{1}{\mu^3} d_{0,0}^{\ell} \left| c_{\ell,\mu}^{++} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{(\mu+t)^3} d_{4,4}^{\ell} \left| c_{\ell,\mu}^{+-} \right|^2 \right\rangle \end{array} \right\} \quad \longrightarrow \quad M_P \to \infty \Rightarrow \text{inconsistent} \end{array}$$

Avoid:
$$egin{array}{c} c_{\ell,\mu}^{00} = 0 & ext{or} \ \ c_{\ell,\mu}^{+0} = 0 \\ ext{or} \ \ c_{\ell,\mu}^{++} = c_{\ell,\mu}^{+-} = 0 \end{array}$$

Suppressed coeff's?

LO coeff's bounded by HO coeff's

Hong, Wang, **SYZ**, 2304.01259



Is scalarization natural?

Generically, causality bounds require





 $\phi^2 \mathcal{G}$ term can be much bigger

Scalarization is natural!

Three EFT theorists

Two scales in Einstein EFT: M_P , Λ

How shall we do power counting?

$$\sim \partial^2 h^2 + rac{O(1)}{\Lambda^5} \partial^6 h^3
onumber \ \mathcal{L} \sim M_P^2 R + rac{O(1)M_P^3}{\Lambda^5} R^{(3)}$$

Caron-Huot, Li, Parra-Martinez & Simmons-Duffin, 2201.06602

$${\cal L} \sim M_P^2 R + {O(1) \over \Lambda^2} R^{(3)}$$

too restrictive

string theory violates it

$$\mathcal{L} \sim M_P^2 igg(R + rac{O(1)}{\Lambda^4} R^{(3)} igg)$$

suggested positivity bounds! correction < GR

too relaxed

basically ignores M_P

What about adding matter fields?

Power counting via dispersion relations

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Lambda}{M_P} \Leftrightarrow \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{++}, \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{+-}, \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{-+}, \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{--} & \frac{\Lambda}{M_P} \Leftrightarrow \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{+0}, \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{-0}, c_{\ell,\mu}^{0+}, \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{0-} & \begin{cases} 1 \Leftrightarrow \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{00} \\ \frac{\Lambda}{M_P} \Leftrightarrow \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{00} \end{cases} \\ \frac{\Lambda}{M_P} \Leftrightarrow \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{00} \end{cases} \\ \end{split}$$
Example

$$-\frac{\Lambda^{6}\gamma_{1}}{M_{P}^{3}} = \sum_{\ell,X} \int_{1}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu} \Biggl[\frac{(2\hat{\mu} - 3\hat{t})d_{2,0}^{\ell,\hat{\mu},\hat{t}}}{\hat{t}\hat{\mu}^{4}} \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{+0} \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{*,--}}{\hat{t}\hat{\mu}^{3}(\hat{\mu} - \hat{t})} - \frac{\hat{t}\partial_{\hat{t}}d_{0,-2}^{\ell,\hat{\mu},0} \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{++} \hat{c}_{\ell,\mu}^{*,-0}}{\hat{\mu}^{3}(\hat{\mu} + \hat{t})} \Biggr] \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \gamma_{1} \sim \frac{M_{P}}{\Lambda^{4}}$$

For lowest few orders

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\phi R} \sim M_P^2 \Lambda^2 igg[rac{
abla}{\Lambda}igg]^{N_
abla} igg[rac{R}{\Lambda^2}igg]^{N_R} igg[rac{\phi}{M_P}igg]^{N_\phi} igg[rac{M_P}{\Lambda}igg]^{ ilde{N}_\phi} ~~ ilde{N_\phi} = igl[N_\phi/2igr]$$

Hong, Wang, **SYZ**, 2304.01259

for higher orders, use the above correspondence rules

Summary

- Causality bounds are UV unitarity conditions passed down to IR by causality/analyticity/dispersion relations.
- Computed causality bounds on scalar-tensor EFTs
 - Another nontrivial multi-field example for two-sided bounds
 - not easy due to graviton *t*-channel pole
 - Relevant for relativistic astrophysics (hairy BH, scalarization, ...)
 - parameter space for scalarization is natural
- Found simple way to power-count via dispersion relations