

Research on Neutron/X-ray Noise and Artifact Removal Methods Based on Semantic Aggregation

Jianfang Li, Shenxiang Wang, Fazhi Qi, Jie Chen, Yakang Li

2023/11/01

Contents 01. Background

02. Target

03. Method

04. Experiment and Result

05. Conclusion and Outlook



01 Background

Background





SNS

Background



Sparse-view sampling offer significant benifits for CT scan

- Reduce scanning time and improve experimental efficiency.
- \succ Reduce radiation exposure.
- Less data storage space and data transfer pressure.



X-ray CT sparse-view reconstruction image



Groudtruth

Sparse-view reconstruction imaging quality

- > Striping artifact and radiating artifact.
- \succ Loss fine details and.
- ➢ Low level quality image.



Neutron CT sparse view reconstruction image



Groudtruth





Target



Key post-processing requirements for sparseview CT

- ➢ Keep fine details and features
- Remove striping artifact
- Strong robustness



Deep learning denoising models are dominant

- Blind denoising, fast speed, good effects, strong adaptability
- Tradeoff between denoising and detail preservation,
 - Control denoising strength, collect labelled training data
- More research on low-dose X-ray CT image denoising

(medical imaging), less on neutron CT images



Artifact or not ?





Method

03

Method



- The EMCA blocks explore
 context within the multi-order
 feature maps with channel
 dimension expansion.
- The CMCA blocks explore context within the multi-order feature maps with channel dimension compression.



Characterize of designed MMCA-Net

- Employ multiple stages of multi-order context aggregation to capture contextual semantic relationships at various levels.
- > Integrate four strategies to preserve detail information in the images.

Method







04

Experiment and Results Analysis



X-ray Low-Dose CT Dataset (LDCT Denoise):

- AAPM-Mayo Clinic Low-Dose CT Grand Challenge dataset, 2167 training pairs (CT slices from 9 patients), 211 test pairs (1 patient).
- Quantitative metrics: PSNR (Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio), SSIM (Structural Similarity Index), and RSME (Root Mean Square Error).

Sparse-view neutron/X-ray CT image datasets

- > X-ray sparse-view CT dataset: 2048 pairs total, scanned object is metal.
- > Neutron sparse-view CT dataset: 2048 pairs total, scanned object is cultural relic.



X-ray low dose CT image denoise (LDCT denoise)

| Methods | $\mathrm{PSNR}\uparrow$ | $\mathrm{SSIM}\uparrow$ | RMSE↓ | Parameters | Running times |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| LDCT | 29.2489 ± 2.1100 | 0.8759 ± 0.0386 | 14.2416 ± 3.9523 | \ | Ν |
| BM3D(2014) [25] | 32.6911 ± 2.0693 | 0.9046 ± 0.0302 | 9.5730 ± 2.6503 | λ | 2.3220s |
| REDCNN(2017) [11] | 32.9012 ± 1.6609 | 0.9086 ± 0.0283 | 9.2354 ± 1.9518 | $1.8456 \mathrm{M}$ | 0.0022s |
| EDCNN(2020) [13] | 33.0571 ± 1.8456 | 0.9146 ± 0.0289 | 9.1158 ± 2.1848 | 0.0810M | 0.0031s |
| DUGAN(2021) [18] | 32.8442 ± 1.8621 | 0.9056 ± 0.0278 | 9.6898 ± 2.3891 | \ | 0.0224s |
| CTformer(2023) [31] | 33.0811 ± 1.7688 | 0.9119 ± 0.0304 | 9.6898 ± 2.0549 | 1.4500M | 0.4414s |
| DEformer(2022) [34] | 33.0655 ± 1.8790 | 0.9151 ± 0.0290 | 9.1142 ± 2.2290 | $0.3547 \mathrm{M}$ | 0.0713s |
| ESAU-Net(2023) [52] | 33.2593 ± 1.8079 | 0.9174 ± 0.0284 | 8.8961 ± 2.0722 | 4.9140M | 0.0207s |
| MMCA-S(Ours) | 33.2649 ± 1.7875 | 0.9157 ± 0.0294 | 8.8854 ± 2.0409 | 0.5710M | 0.0216s |
| MMCA(Ours) | 33.4418 ± 1.7997 | 0.9165 ± 0.0294 | 8.8095 ± 2.0346 | 0.9300M | 0.0200s |

Quantitative comparison results with other advanced methods of recent years

PSNR: 1st place, SSIM: 2st place, RMSE: 1st place.

Parameters:3st place, Average running time:3st place.



X-ray low dose CT image denoising (LDCT denoising)



Full dose CT



Low dose CT



BM3D



REDCNN



EDCNN



DUGAN



CTformer



DEformer Visualization[-160, 240]HU



ESAU-Net



MMCA-Net(Ours)



X-ray sparse-view CT image denoising



> Only trained on x-ray sparse-view CT dataset.

Successfully improve the image quality by removing noise and strip artifacts



X-ray sparse-view CT image denoising





Denoised



Groundtruth



Sparse-view CT





Groundtruth





Sparse-view CT

Denoised



Groundtruth



Sparse-view CT



Denoised



Groundtruth

 \succ the contrast change is not natural.

Some details are not perfectly preserved



Neutron sparse-view CT image denoising





Denoised



Groudtruth



Sparse-view CT



Denoised

Denoised



Groudtruth



Sparse-view CT







Sparse-view CT



Groudtruth



Denoised

Successfully improve the image quality by removing noise and strip artifacts.



05.

Conclusion and outlook

Conclusion and outlook

Conclusion

- We designed a deep learning denoising model that is capable of awaring both local and global contexts. The test results on public dataset show the excellent performance compared to the state-ofthe-art methods.
- We applied the designed network model to remove noise and artifacts from neutron/X-ray sparseview CT images and achieved notable improvements in image quality.

Limitations and outlook

- The denoising process is low interpretable. The impact of denoising on the original fine details is uncertain.
- In the future, we will combine sparse-view reconstruction methods to further enhance the quality of CT images.

THANK YO

2023/11/01