Key Laboratory of Particle Acceleration Physics &Technology , Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

中国科学院高能物理研究所
Institute of High Energy Physics,Chinese Academy of Sciences

The 24th meeting of
The International Collaboration of
Advanced Neutron Sources (ICANS XXIV)

OCT. 29TH-NOV. 3RD 2023 DONGGUAN CHINA

中国散裂中子源工程
China Spallation Neutron Source

New scheme of the injection system for CSNS-II

Ming-Yang Huang#

2023-11-01

huangmy@ihep.ac.cn

Content

◆ Introduction to CSNS beam injection

◆ New painting injection scheme

◆ Simulations of the new painting scheme

◆ Summary

I. Introduction to CSNS beam injection

◆ The CSNS facility consists of an 80 MeV H⁻ Linac, a 1.6 GeV RCS, two beam transport lines, a target station, and several instruments.

◆ **Main goal of CSNS-II accelerator**: increase the beam power on the target from 100 kW to 500 kW.

◆ **Main contents of accelerator upgrade**: linac upgrade; injection system upgrade; three dual harmonic cavities would be added to the RCS; beam lines for the proton and muon experimental station.


LRBT

80 MeV Negative Hydrogen Linac

Injection

Beam power on the target: 100 kW

RCS 1.6 GeV, 25 Hz

RTBT

Extraction

Target

CSNS

Linac

New injection system

Linac upgrade from 80 MeV to 300 MeV

Beam power upgrade to 500 kW

Dual harmonic cavity

Replacement of target

CSNS-II

9 new neutron instruments

Proton & muon Experimental station

3

Historical Curve of CSNS Beam Power

- ◆ Nov. 2017, First 10 kW beam power on the target for a short while;
- ◆ Mar. 2018, beam power over 20 kW in the test operation;
- ◆ Jan. 2019, beam power was gradually increased to 50 kW with well controlled beam loss;
- ◆ Sep. 2019, beam power in user operation was increased to 80 kW step by step;
- ◆ Feb. 2020, beam power was increased to 100 kW to achieve the design goal;
- ◆ Oct. 2021, beam power was increased to 120 kW;
- ◆ Oct. 2022, beam power was increased to 140 kW.

Injection system

◆ For the CSNS, a combination of the H^- stripping and phase space painting method is used to accumulate a high intensity beam in the RCS.

◆ There are three kinds of orbit-bumps: a horizontal painting bump (BH1-BH4); a vertical painting bump (BV1-BV4); a horizontal chicane bump (BC1-BC4).


| parameters/units	values
circumference/m	227.92
injection energy/GeV	0.08
extraction energy/GeV	1.6
injection beam power/kW	5
extraction beam power/kW	100
nominal betatron tunes	4.86/4.78
RF frequency/MHz	1.0241–2.3723
RF voltage/kV	165
harmonic number	2
repetition rate/Hz	25
number of particles per pulse	1.56×10^{13}
momentum acceptance (%)	1
painting scheme	anti-correlated
chopping rate (%)	50
turn number of injection	200

Huang, et al., CPC, 2013.

Anti-correlated painting

◆ In order to control the strong space charge effects and reduce the average traversal number of the stripping foil, the phase space painting is used for injecting a small emittance beam from the Linac into the large acceptance of the RCS.

◆ For the CSNS, the anti-correlated painting is adopted as the design scheme for the injection system.

Beam commissioning for the anti-correlated painting (50 kW)

Injection beam parameters matching

Lu & Huang & Wang, PRAB, 2018.

Injection timing calibration

**Painting mode optimization**

The beam power has successfully reached 50 kW.

50 kW

Huang et al., NIM-A, 2021.

**Painting curve optimization**

Painting range optimization

Beam commissioning difficulties (>50 kW)

◆ **Beam commissioning:** By using the design injection scheme of the anti-correlated painting, the beam power has successfully reached 50% of the design value. However, after several rounds of long-term beam commissioning, the further improving of the beam power cannot be achieved.

◆ **Difficulties:** Too large beam size after painting, non-uniform beam distribution, large transverse coupling effect, and so on, resulting in additional beam loss and making it difficult to satisfy the requirements of a stable high-power operation mode.

◆ **Reasons:** (1) In order to reduce the coherent oscillation effects, new betatron tunes (4.81, 4.87) have been used to replace the nominal tunes (4.86, 4.78). However, with the new tunes (4.81, 4.87), the transverse coupling effect on the beam distribution is very strong. (2) Due to changes in operation mode, the actual vertical painting acceptance of the ceramic vacuum chamber at the painting magnet BH3 is much smaller, which is only about 70% of the design value.

Achieve the correlated painting based on the mechanical structure of the anti-correlated painting scheme

◆ **New idea**：For the first time in the world, the rising curve of the pulse power supply current has been used for the vertical painting to achieve the correlated painting.

**Huang, et al., PRAB, 2022.**

Beam commissioning and design goal

By using the correlated painting:

- ✓ **Beam loss is well controlled.**

- ✓ **Transverse beam size is largely reduced.**

- ✓ **Beam distribution is much better.**

- ✓ **Transverse coupling effect is improved.**

◆ **Results**：The new method unlocks the shackles that restrict the beam power increase of the CSNS accelerator. In the following two rounds of the beam commissioning, the beam power reaches 80 kW and 100 kW (design goal) successively.

◆ **Application**：The new method can be applied to other similar accelerators (SNS, PIP-II) to realize the switching between correlated and anti-correlated paintings.


10

H⁻ stripping scheme

◆ For the CSNS, the foil stripping is adopted to inject the Linac beam to the RCS with high precision and high efficiency. There are two stripping foils: a main stripping foil and a secondary stripping foil.

◆ For the CSNS-II, the foil stripping is also selected as the design scheme. The stripping foil system also consists of two stripping foils.


Phase	CSNS		CSNS-II	
Foil	Str-1	Str-2	Str-1	Str-2
Material	HBC	HBC	HBC	HBC
Structure	Double-layer	Double-layer	Double-layer	Double-layer
Thickness ($\mu g/cm^2$)	100	200	260	450
Stripping efficiency	99.7%	100%	99.7%	100%

Study on the H⁻ stripping

◆ A method to accurately measure the stripping efficiency has been proposed and the measurement results are consistent with the theoretical results.

◆ The foil lifetime decreases with the increase in the injection beam power and the foil lifetime under the design power is about 1.5 months.

◆ It can be confirmed that the foil scattering is the most important source of the residual doses in the injection region.

**Huang et al., RDTM, 2022.**

12

Injection beam loss

◆ Main sources:

(1) Injection parameter mismatch

(2) Unsuitable painting method

(3) Unreasonable injection design scheme

(4) Beam loss associated with foil stripping

◆ Main solutions:

(1) Injection beam parameters matching

(2) Painting optimization

(3) Slight modification of injection system

(4) Optimization of the stripping foil

Injection parameter matching

Painting range optimization

Different stripping foil

II. New painting injection scheme
Problems for the CSNS-II beam injection

◆ **Key Problems:** (1) The peak temperature of the stripping foil is too high (close to or beyond the melting point).

(2) The single fixed painting mode may not be consistent with the future actual beam state.

(3) The beam dynamics is greatly affected by the edge focusing effect of horizontal chicane bump.

Edge focusing effect

| Phase	CSNS	CSNS-II
Injection beam power (kW)	5	94
Linac energy (MeV)	80	300
Extraction beam energy (GeV)	1.6	1.6
Average beam current (μA)	62.5	312.5
Repetition frequency (Hz)	25	25
Proton number per pulse (10^{13})	1.56	7.8
Injection time (μs)	390	500
Injection beam size (mm/1σ)	1.0	1.5

$T \sim 3000 \text{ K}$

New idea for the CSNS-II painting injection

◆ **Goals:**

(1) The peak temperature of the stripping foil need to be further reduced.

(2) Both correlated and anti-correlated painting can be performed.

(3) The edge focusing effect of the chicane bump should be reduced.

◆ **New idea:** The chicane bump and horizontal painting bump are combined into one bump which make the chicane bump "move", and the horizontal painting is performed by using the position and angular scanning at the same time.

BC ➕ **BH** ➡ **BCH** → Angular scanning

→ Position scanning

Chicane bump   Horizontal painting bump

SNS: B (1-4) + HK (1-4)
J-PARC: SB (1-4) + PBH (1-4)
CSNS: BC (1-4) + BH (1-4)

**Huang, et al., 2022.**

Layout of the new injection system

◆ **Key magnets:** 4 horizontal painting magnets, 4 vertical painting magnets, 2 septum magnets, 1 pulse septum magnet, 2 DC dipole magnets (compensation), 4 vertical DC bump magnets (one of which with auxiliary winding), 1 DC quadrupole magnet.

Description for the new painting scheme


Correlated painting

Anti-correlated painting

$\alpha_x \sim 0$
$\alpha_y \sim 0$

- **Injection beam:** BCH is used for horizontal angular scanning, while the horizontal position is also scanned in a small range.

- **Circulating beam:** horizontal and vertical position scanning can be performed with BCH and BV magnets.

- **Residual H^0 beam:** H^0 beam at the waste beam outlet has an angular distribution with time. A small pulse magnet is installed for angular scanning compensation.

- **Compatibility mode for correlated and anti-correlated painting:** match the angles of SEP and BCH magnets.

- **Spatial optimization:** BCH bump is irregularly shaped to allow the waste beam line to move a short distance along outside the RCS.

BCH bump and key magnets

Correlated painting

injection start
injection end
θ
Str-1

Scanning angle : 4.5 mrad

Anti-correlated painting

injection start
injection end
θ
Str-1

Scanning angle : 5.0 mrad

Painting	Time	SEP1 (mrad)	BCH2 (mrad)	BCH3 (mrad)	BCH4 (mrad)	LRBD (mrad)	SEP2 (mrad)	INDB1 (mrad)	INDB2 (mrad)	BCH1 (mrad)
Correlated	Begin	226.713	53.549	64.681	60.181	-4.5	349.82	-13.419	-34.356	58.049
	End	226.713	49.049	60.181	55.681	+4.5	349.82	-13.419	-34.356	53.549
Anti-correlated	Begin	222.32	58. 442	58. 442	58. 442	-5	351.558	-13.066	-34.708	58.442
	End	222.32	53. 442	53. 442	53. 442	+5	351.558	-13.066	-34.708	53.442

Advantages for the new painting scheme

◆ The advantages of position scanning and angular scanning have been combined. The peak temperature of the stripping foil has been obviously reduced.

◆ Both correlated and anti-correlated painting can be performed.

◆ Since the BCHs are pulse magnets, their edge focusing effects are obviously reduced.

◆ Since the bump magnet BCH2 which is used for angular scanning is very close to the injection point, the difficulty of large aperture of the injection port and transport line required by angular scanning is solved.

◆ Compared with the traditional painting scheme, it saves a set of bump magnets. The space of the injection area is more loose which is of great significance to the traditional injection area where space is tight.

◆ As a result of the angular scanning, the residual H⁻ beam hits an increased area on the vacuum chamber, and the radiation dose caused by it decreases obviously.

III. Simulations of the new painting scheme

◆ **Codes:** The new painting scheme has been simulated by using Py-ORBIT and ANSYS.

◆ **Typical working points:** (4.86, 4.80) , (4.80, 4.87) , (4.33, 5.30).

◆ After the optimization of the painting curve, the horizontal and vertical painting ranges differ greatly under different working points.

| Painting	Working point	Optimum horizontal sweep angle	Optimum vertical painting range
Correlated	(4.86, 4.80)	θ_x=4.5 mrad	y_{max}=25 mm
	(4.80, 4.87)	θ_x=3.0 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm
	(4.33, 5.30)	θ_x=2.5 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm
Anti-correlated	(4.86, 4.80)	θ_x=5.0 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm
	(4.80, 4.87)	θ_x=3.75 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm
	(4.33, 5.30)	θ_x=2.5 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm

Beam distribution after the correlated painting

(4.86,4.80) (4.80,4.87) (4.33,5.30)

θ_x=4.5 mrad
y_{max}=25 mm

θ_x=3.0 mrad
y_{max}=30 mm

θ_x=2.5 mrad
y_{max}=30 mm

99.9% emittance evolution for the correlated painting

(4.86,4.80)

**(4.80,4.87)**

(4.33,5.30)

θ$_x$=4.5 mrad
y$_{max}$=25 mm

θ$_x$=3.0 mrad
y$_{max}$=30 mm

θ$_x$=2.5 mrad
y$_{max}$=30 mm

Beam distribution after the anti-correlated painting

(4.86,4.80)

(4.80,4.87)

(4.33,5.30)

θ_x=5.0 mrad
y_{max}=30 mm

θ_x=3.75 mrad
y_{max}=30 mm

θ_x=2.5 mrad
y_{max}=30 mm

中国科学院高能物理研究所
Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

99.9% emittance evolution for the anti-correlated painting

(4.86,4.80)

(4.80,4.87)

(4.33,5.30)

θ_x=5.0 mrad
y_{max}=30 mm

θ_x=3.75 mrad
y_{max}=30 mm

θ_x=2.5 mrad
y_{max}=30 mm

Beam loss, average traversal number, peak temperature

◆ After the painting optimization, the beam loss, average number of times that each particle passes through the main stripping foil, peak temperature of the main stripping foil can be given below:

Painting	Working point	Optimum horizontal sweep angle	Optimum vertical painting range	Beam loss	Average traversal number of stripping foil	Peak temperature
Correlated	(4.86, 4.80)	θ_x=4.5 mrad	y_{max}=25 mm	0.0	20	1727 K
	(4.80, 4.87)	θ_x=3.0 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm	0.0	13	1416 K
	(4.33, 5.30)	θ_x=2.5 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm	0.02%	11	1320 K
Anti-correlated	(4.86, 4.80)	θ_x=5.0 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm	0.0005%	10	1269 K
	(4.80, 4.87)	θ_x=3.75 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm	0.0	13	1416 K
	(4.33, 5.30)	θ_x=2.5 mrad	y_{max}=30 mm	0.002%	13	1416 K

Three key problems have been solved

- **The difficulty of too high peak temperature for the main stripping foil has been solved.**

- **Both correlated and anti-correlated painting can be performed.**

- **The edge focusing effects of the injection bump magnets have been obviously reduced.**

Pre-selected painting scheme

T ～ 3000 K

New painting scheme

T ～ 1700 K

(a) Horizontal

(b) Vertical

IV. Summary

➢ The CSNS injection has been introduced and the beam commissioning of the injection system has been studied.

➢ In order to solve the difficulties when the beam power exceeds 50 kW, a new method has been proposed to perform the correlated painting based on the mechanical structure of the anti-correlated painting scheme. With the new correlated painting, the beam power on the target has successfully risen from 50 kW to the design value of 100 kW.

➢ A new painting scheme for the CSNS-II has been proposed. It not only realizes the compatibility of correlated and anti-correlated painting, but also greatly reduces the peak temperature of the main stripping foil and the edge focusing effect of the chicane bump.

➢ In the simulation, the new painting scheme has been verified to be feasible and has obvious advantages compared with the traditional bump painting scheme.

Thank you for your attention!

(huangmy@ihep.ac.cn)