# Quarkonium sequential suppression and heavy-quark potential in p-Pb and Pb-Pb

### Baoyi Chen (陈保义)

### 天津大学

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2023.4.20

Collaborators: Liuyuan Wen, Shuzhe Shi, Xiaojian Du

*Phys.Lett.B* 839 (2023) 137774 *Chin.Phys.C* 46 (2022) 11, 114102

# Outline

### 1. Introduction

heavy ion collisions & Schrodinger equation + complex V

### 2. Wave functions of charmonium in p-Pb

**Color screening** 

parton inelastic scatterings (imaginary potential)

 $R_{AA}$  of  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$ 

### 3. Bottomonium (1S, 2S, 3S) in Pb-Pb

### 4. Summary

### outline





### outline



Quark gluon plasma created in HIC is a very strong coupling medium. 4

# Abnormal suppression of charmonium

Abnormal suppression of J/psi: one signal of QGP by Matsui & Satz



medium temperature (LHC)  $\sim$  0.3-0.5 GeV,

### Quarkonium in hot medium



 $c\overline{c}$  dipole potential in QGP is COLOR SCREENED. Wave function evolution

# Schrodinger type models

Previous studies:(1) X. Guo, S. Shi, P. Zhuang, et alTime-independent relativistic SchrodingerPhys.Lett.B 2012J/ψ dissociation temperature is changed by ~ 10% after considering relativistic correction

(2) P. Gaussian, R. Katz, et al
 Schrodinger-Langevin equation Annals Phys. 368 (2016) 267-295
 Hamiltonian includes a white noise term and a damping term, which affects the expansion and contraction of the wave function

(3) A. Rothkopf, Y. Akamatsu, et al,
 Stochastic Schrodinger equation Phys.Rev.D 97 (2018) 1, 014003
 Potential includes stochastic terms, wave function decoherence to dissociate quarkonium states

(4) M. Strickland et al,
 Time-dependent Schrodinger, with complex potential
 Phys.Lett.B 2020
 Bottomonium suppression with real and imaginary potential

And many other references......

# **Time-dependent Schrodinger equation**

#### **Radial Schrodinger equation:**

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(r,t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{\mu}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{L(L+1)\hbar^2}{2m_{\mu}r^2} + V(r,t)\right]\psi(r,t)$$

r: relative distance between c and  $\overline{c}$   $m_{\mu} = m_c/2$ : scaling mass  $\frac{\psi(r,t)}{r} = \sum_{m} c_m(t) e^{-iE_m t} R_{mS}(r)$ Wavefunction of eigenstates:  $\Psi_{klm}(\vec{r}) = R_{kl}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)$ 

Numerical form: Crank-Nicolson method

 $c\bar{c}$  dipole

(Pb-Pb)

$$i\frac{\psi_{j}^{n+1} - \psi_{j}^{n}}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{1}{2m_{\mu}} \frac{\psi_{j+1}^{n} - 2\psi_{j}^{n} + \psi_{j-1}^{n}}{(\Delta x)^{2}} + V_{j}^{n}\psi_{j}^{n} - \frac{1}{2m_{\mu}} \frac{\psi_{j+1}^{n+1} - 2\psi_{j}^{n+1} + \psi_{j-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^{2}} + V_{j}^{n+1}\psi_{j}^{n+1} \right]$$

$$\tau_{c\bar{c}} < 0.1 \, fm \quad \tau_{\psi} < \tau_{0} (\sim 0.6 \, fm)$$
Pre-equilibrium QGP evolution (hydro) time time time dependent Schedinger equation

**Time-dependent Schrodinger equation** 

# **Time-dependent Schrodinger equation**

Simplify the numerical form as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{0,0}^{n+1} & \mathbf{T}_{0,1}^{n+1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \mathbf{T}_{1,0}^{n+1} & \mathbf{T}_{1,1}^{n+1} & \mathbf{T}_{1,2}^{n+1} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \mathbf{T}_{2,1}^{n+1} & \mathbf{T}_{2,2}^{n+1} & \mathbf{T}_{2,3}^{n+1} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0^{n+1} \\ \psi_1^{n+1} \\ \psi_2^{n+1} \\ \psi_3^{n+1} \\ \cdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0^n \\ \Gamma_1^n \\ \Gamma_2^n \\ \Gamma_3^n \\ \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix elements:

$$\mathbf{T}_{j,j}^{n+1} = 2 + 2a + bV_j^{n+1}$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{j,j+1}^{n+1} = \mathbf{T}_{j+1,j}^{n+1} = -a$$

 $a = i \Delta t / (2m_{\mu} (\Delta r)^2)$ b=  $i \Delta t$ 

- $\Delta t = 0.001 \text{ fm/c}$  $\Delta r = 0.03 \text{ fm}$
- mS eigenstate components in one dipole:

$$c_{mS}(t) = \langle R_{mS}(r) | \frac{\psi(r,t)}{r} \rangle = \int R_{mS}(r)\psi(r,t) \cdot rdr$$

### **Evolution in static medium**



# Initial conditions (heavy-ion)

#### **1.** $c\overline{c}$ internal Initial wavefunction:

Taken as quarkonium eigenstates (neglect the pre-equilibrium effect)

 $\psi_{c\bar{c}}(\tau=\tau_0)=\phi_{1S,2S}(\mathbf{r})$ 

$$f_{pp}^{J/\psi}: f_{pp}^{\chi_c}: f_{pp}^{\psi(2S)} = 0.68: 1: 0.19$$

2. The initial momentum and spatial distribution of the center of  $c\overline{c}$  dipole

$$f_{\Psi}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{b}) = (2\pi)^{3} \delta(z) T_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) T_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{x}_{T} - \mathbf{b})$$
$$\times \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{g}}(x_{g}, \mu_{\mathrm{F}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbf{b}) \frac{d\bar{\sigma}_{pp}^{\Psi}}{d^{3}\mathbf{p}},$$
$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{A}}$$
Shadowing effect from EPS09 NLO

### Shadowing effect from EPS09 NLO The initial momentum of $c\overline{c}$ dipoles in pp,



### (neglect the mass difference )

$$\frac{dN_{J/\psi}}{2\pi p_T dp_T} = \frac{(n-1)}{\pi (n-2) \langle p_T^2 \rangle_{pp}} [1 + \frac{p_T^2}{(n-2) \langle p_T^2 \rangle_{pp}}]^{-n}$$
  
n = 3.2  $\langle p_T^2 \rangle (y) = \langle p_T^2 \rangle (y=0) [1 - (\frac{y}{ymax})^2]$ 

#### **Including Cronin effect**

$$\frac{d\bar{\sigma}_{pp}^{\Psi}}{d^{3}\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{\pi a_{gN}l} \int d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{T} e^{\frac{-\mathbf{q}_{T}^{2}}{a_{gN}l}} \frac{d\sigma_{pp}^{\Psi}}{d^{3}\mathbf{p}}$$
$$a_{gN} = 0.15 (GeV/c)^{2}$$
$$\ln(\sqrt{s_{NN}}/m_{\Psi})$$
<sup>11</sup>

> The initial yields of charmonium eigenstates

$$n_{mS}^{t=0}(\mathbf{x}_{T}, p_{T}|\mathbf{b}, y) = n_{c\bar{c}}(\mathbf{x}_{T}, p_{T}|\mathbf{b}) \times |\langle R_{mS}(r)|\phi_{0}(r)\rangle|^{2}$$
$$|c_{mS}(t=0|\mathbf{b})|^{2} = \int d\mathbf{x}_{T} \int_{p_{T1}}^{p_{T2}} dp_{T} \ n_{mS}(\mathbf{x}_{T}, p_{T}|\mathbf{b})$$

> Charmonium direct  $R_{AA}$  with hot medium effects,

$$\begin{split} R_{pA}^{\text{direct}}(nl) &= \frac{\langle |c_{nl}(t)|^2 \rangle_{\text{en}}}{\langle |c_{nl}(t_0)|^2 \rangle_{\text{en}}} \\ &= \frac{\int d\mathbf{x}_{\Psi} d\mathbf{p}_{\Psi} |c_{nl}(t, \mathbf{x}_{\Psi}, \mathbf{p}_{\Psi})|^2 \frac{dN_{pA}^{\Psi}}{d\mathbf{x}_{\Psi} d\mathbf{p}_{\Psi}}}{\int d\mathbf{x}_{\Psi} d\mathbf{p}_{\Psi} |c_{nl}(t_0, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{p}_{\Psi})|^2 \frac{dN_{pA}^{\Psi}}{d\mathbf{x}_{\Psi} d\mathbf{p}_{\Psi}}} \end{split}$$

$$R_{pA}(J/\psi) = \frac{\sum_{nl} \langle |c_{nl}(t)|^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{en}} f_{pp}^{nl} \mathcal{B}_{nl \to J/\psi}}{\sum_{nl} \langle |c_{nl}(t_0)| \rangle^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{en}} f_{pp}^{nl} \mathcal{B}_{nl \to J/\psi}}$$

 $c\bar{c}$  dipoles move inside QGP

 $\mathbf{R}_{c\bar{c}}(\tau + \Delta \tau) = \mathbf{R}_{c\bar{c}} + \mathbf{v}_{c\bar{c}} \cdot \Delta \tau$ 

Is charmonium 1S and 2S states color screened (weak potential) in the small collision system ?

Color screening V.S. Inelastic scatterings





Only color screening effect + cold nuclear effect (Cronin+shadowing)



*R*<sub>pA</sub> as a function of *N*<sub>coll</sub>
 *R*<sub>pA</sub> as a function of *p*<sub>T</sub>

Very **weak** in-medium potential **V=F Strong** in-medium potential **V=Vc** 



#### **Backward rapidity**

*R*<sub>pA</sub> as a function of *N*<sub>coll</sub>
 *R*<sub>pA</sub> as a function of *p*<sub>T</sub>

Strong potential (in the limit V=U) can explain data relatively well



 $< p_T^2 >= (80, 55, 28)(GeV/c)^2$ <u>At 5020, 2760, 200 GeV</u>

$$n = 2.5$$

• Direct yields of bottomonium states at 5.02 TeV

State	$\Upsilon(1s)$	$\chi_b(1p)$	$\Upsilon(2s)$	$\chi_b(2p)$	$ \Upsilon(3s)$
$oldsymbol{\sigma}_{ ext{exp}}(nb)$	57.6	33.51	19	29.42	6.8
$\sigma_{ ext{direct}}(nb)$	37.97	44.2	18.27	37.68	8.21

Medium temperature (b=0) T(5.02TeV)=510 MeV T(2.76TeV)=484 MeV T(200GeV)=390 MeV



This ratio between different states are also used in 2.76 TeV and 200 GeV

Two kinds of imaginary potential are fitted

- Smaller band: fit the central value and shifted upward slightly to consider partial uncertainty.
- Larger band: one sigma uncertainty is included.



5.02 TeV Pb-Pb

- Clear sequential suppression pattern is observed with V=U
- With V=F, weak potential makes the wave function expand outside.





- RAA with larger uncertainty in the imaginary potential.
- The sequential suppression pattern is still observed.
- Using quarkonium data to extract the imaginary potential ?

# Summary

- We study the charmonium and bottomonium evolutions in the small (p-Pb) and large (Pb-Pb) collision systems respectively with the time-dependent Schrodinger equation. Both color screened effect and inelastic scatterings are included in the real and imaginary potential.
- In small collision system, color screening effect is expected to be small. While imaginary potential dominates the suppression.
- In large collision system, a strong heavy quark potential is still expected to get the sequential suppression pattern, which contains the quarkonium wave function in the medium.

# Heavy ion collisions and heavy flavors



significant color screening + parton inelastic scatterings