Progress of the Glass Scintillator Calorimeter



Sen QIAN

qians@ihep.ac.cn; On Behalf of the GS R&D Group

The Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS

2023. May. 30th CEPC DAY



I. The status of the GS Group;

- 2. The Simulation for GS Detector
- 3. The Test Facilities for GS;
- 4. The Progress of the GS Production;
- 5. Summary and Next Plan

1.1 The 4th GS Collaboration Meeting

新型大面积闪烁玻璃研制合作组 ● 第四次合作组会议

2023.5.24





闪烁玻璃合作组 Glass Scintillator Collaboration

1.2 Large Area Glass Scintillator Collaboration





Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS 中国科学院高能物理研究所

Jinggangshan University 井冈山大学



CBMA

Beijing Glass Research Institute 北京玻璃研究院

China Building Materials Academy 中国建筑材料研究院

China Jiliang University 中国计量大学

Harbin Engineering University 哈尔滨工程大学



Harbin Institute of Technology 哈尔滨工业大学

Sichuan University 四川大学

Shanghai Institute of Ceramics, CAS 中国科学院上海硅酸盐研究所



Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, 中国科学院上海光学精密机械研究所





闪烁玻璃合作组 Glass Scintillator Collaboration

New Partners!

Outline

I. The status of the GS group;

2. The Simulation for GS Detector

- 2.1. The Optical Simulation for the Cell;--by Zexuan SUI;
- 2.2. The Simulation for the Standalone; --by Dejing DU;
- 2.3. The FPA of the GS-HCAL; --by HU Peng; Yuexin WANG;

2.1 The Optical Simulation for the Cell



2.1 Module (1) The Reflection Film

Aluminum / ESR film

(specular reflection)

ref.ratio = 80% / 99%

Teflon/ (diffuse reflection) ref.ratio =98%





2.1 Result (1) Reflective coating (40*40*10mm³)



Fully wrapped Teflon has the highest light collection efficiency, but considering the actual difficulty and effect of wrapping, the combination film is the best choice

2.1 Module (2) Coupling mode of GS and SiPM



2.1 Result (2) Coupling mode of GS and SiPM



2.2 The Simulation for the Standalone



Geometry: Similar to PS AHCAL protype;

Tile size: 30mm*30mm*10mm

Steel absorber: 13mm;

Density of the GS: 6g/c.c



The energy resolution has significant improvement by GS-HCAL!

2.3 The Simulation for GS-HCAL

Stochastic terr

32

How to achieve the optimized energy resolution (Boson Mass Resolution, BMR)

Impact of Transverse Size



Stochastic term vs. Light yield

Impact of Density



A smaller cell size is beneficial to a better BMR, though the behavior for cell size lower than 20 mm needs a further study

A light yield of 100 p.e./MIP or 1000p.e./MeV seems to be good enough for better BMR;

10

10

10²

10² Light vield(p.e./MIP)

Light yield(p.e./MeV)



The BMR improves as the glass density increases, but the improvement is not significant when the density is above 6 g/cm³



GS-HCAL: 50 layers,; Density of the GS: 6g/c.c; Tile size: 30mm*30mm*14.3mm

- □ Under the CEPC_v4 and Arbor PFA framework, the BMR with GS-HCAL can reach ~3.38% after preliminary optimization; GS-HCAL show ~10% improvement compare to the AHCAL baseline design (3.8%).
- □ The preliminary optimization reveals some problems in the parameter setup of the ArborPFA, which was previously optimized for AHCAL and should be further tuned for GSHCAL



- I. The status of the GS group;
- 2. The Simulation for GS Detector
- 3. The Test Facilities for GS Test;
- 4. The Progress of the GS Production;
- 5. Summary and Next Plan

3.1 The Light Yield--Cosmic Ray VS Gamma Ray







Considering the density and thickness of the glasses, the MIP response by the cosmic ray is consistent with the light yield of the glass scintillator by gamma ray.

	MIP (p.e.)	LY (ph/MeV)	Thicknes (mm)	Density (g/cm ³)	mip/(Thi*Den)	LY/MIP
#1	143	1117	2.6	5.4	10.2	110
#3 (GC)	203	3455	2	3.3	30.6	113

3.2 Effect of integral time on glass scintillator



Count



s s	Integral time (ns)	LY (ph/MeV)	ER (%)	Decay time (ns)
s ns	1000	/	/	619.9
	2000	708	44.2	279.4 (0.3%), 3594.7
) 35000 Channel	4000	1126	26.4	198.7 (0.9%), 1708.0
	6000	1204	28.6	165.8 (0.6%), 1652.2
	8000	1247	28.8	152.2 (0.5%), 1653.5
	10000	1278	28.6	167.5 (0.6%), 1649.0
	12000	1296	30.0	166.0 (1.0%), 1642.4



- According to RT+FT, the integral time should be set to more than 4000 ns.
- Taking 0.5 mol% Ce³⁺-doped glass as an example, the calculated light yield increases with the increase of the integral time due to large slow component decay time, and the longer the integral time, the worse the energy resolution.
 - Therefore, the appropriate integral time should be set up according to different decay of the glasses.

3.3 CERN Muon beam test

With Prof. Liu Yong & Du Dejing













1. The status of the GS group;

- 2. The Simulation for GS Detector
- 3. The Test Facilities for GS Test;
- 4. The Progress of the GS Production;
- 5. Summary and Next Plan

4.0 The GS Samples produced (>315)

5 16 17 18 19 20 21 22



Gd-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺ glass 42mm*51mm*10mm



HEU: 50+12 (20230406) ←

CJLU: 61+7 (20221018) ←

JGSU: 70+4 (20230315) ←

BGRI: 40+13 (20230419) ←

CBMA: 39+3(20230328)↩

SIC: 6+5 (20230521) ←

SIOM: 5 (20230517) ←





4.1 Borosilicate Glass (Gd-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺) --GS1



(2022.05)Opt. Mater. 2022(130): 112585

4.2 Large Size Glass (Gd-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺) --GS1

- Size=30*27.5*9 mm³
- Density= 5.1 g/cm^3
- LY=466 ph/MeV
- ER=None



2022.10

- Size=30*30*10 mm³
- Density= 5.2 g/cm^3
- LY~600 ph/MeV

■ ER=None



- Size=28*28*10 mm³
- Density= 5.2 g/cm^3
- LY=613 ph/MeV
- ER=47.9%



2023.01

Size=28*26*5 mm³
 Density=5.1 g/cm³
 LY=840 ph/MeV
 ER=None



2023.04





2022.12





21

4.2 Large Size Glass (Gd-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺) --GS1

Size=30*30*10 mm³
 Density=5.1 g/cm³
 LY=600 ph/MeV



2023.04

Size=50*50*12 mm³
 Density=5.6 g/cm³
 LY=? ph/MeV



- Size=35*35*33 mm³
- **Density=5.4** g/cm³
- LY=? ph/MeV



2023.05



I. The status of the GS group;

2. The Simulation for GS Detector

3. The Test Facilities for GS Test;

4. The Progress of the GS Production;

5. Summary and Next Plan;

5.1 Summary



Glass scintillator of good energy resolution, fast decay, high density and light yield

- 6.0 g/cm³ & 1070 ph/MeV with 23.8% @662keV &
 465 ns —Gd-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺ glass
 - Ultra-high density **Tellurite Glass**—6.6 g/cm³
 - High light yield Glass Ceramic—3400 ph/MeV

24

- Fast scintillating Decay Time—100 ns
- Large size Glass—42mm*51mm*10mm

5.2. Target of Glass Scintillator

Key parameters	Value	Remarks			
Tile size	$\sim 30 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$	Reference CALICE-AHCAL, granularity, number of channels			
Tile thickness	~10 mm	Energy resolution, Uniformity and MIP response			
> Density	5-7 g/cm ³	More compact HCAL structure with higher density			
Intrinsic light yield	1000-2000 ph/MeV	Uigher intrinsia IV can telerate lower transmittance			
> Transmittance	~75%	Figher munisic LY can tolerate lower transmittance			
MIP light yield	~150 p.e./MIP	Needs further optimizations: e.g. SiPM-glass coupling			
Energy threshold	~0.1 MIP	Higher light yield would help to achieve a lower threshold			
Scintillation decay time	~100 ns	Mitigation pile-up effects at CEPC Z-pole (91 GeV)			
Emission spectrum	Typically 350-600 nm	To match SiPM PDE and transmittance spectra			

5.3 The Scintillator data

Туру	Composition	Density (g/cm³)	Light yield (ph/MeV)	Decay time (ns)	Emission peak(nm)	Price/1 c.c (RMB)
Glass Scintillator	Ce-doped high Gadolinium glass ^[1]	4.37	3460	522	431	~10
in Paper	Ce-doped fluoride hafnium glass ^[2]	6.0	2400	23.4	348	150
Plastic Scintillator	BC408 ^[3]	~1.0	5120	2.1	425	60
	BC418 ^[3]	~1.0	5360	1.4	391	80
Crystal	GAGG:Ce ^[4]	6.6	50000	50	560	2400
	LYSO:Ce ^[5]	7.1	30000	40	420	1200
	BGO ^[6]	7.3	8000	300	480	800
Glass Scintillator for CEPC (preliminaryl target)	?	>7	>1000	< 100	350-500	~1
Stuaus of Glass Scintillator	?	>6	>1000	< 200	350-500	~?

[1] Struebing, C. Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 101(3). [2] Zou, W. Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 184(1), 84-92. [3] Plastic Scintillators | Saint-Gobain Crystals. [4] Zhu, Y. Qian, S. Optical Materials, 105, 109964. [5] Ioannis, G. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research. [6] Akapong Phunpueok, et al. Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2020,901:89-94.

See the unseen change the unchanged

N2+H2-714H3

Clatooloj

THANKS

0101110001



The Innovation

100 clement