## Flavor Identification of Atmospheric Neutrinos in JUNO with Machine Learning

SHERE 1901

Fanrui Zeng[1], Tingyu Meng [1], Teng Li [1], Hongyue Duyang[1]Zhen Liu[2], Xinhai He[2], Wuming Luo[2][1]Shandong University[2]Institute of High Energy PhysicsAugust 14,23



#### ≻1. Introduction of JUNO

#### ≻2.Methodology

### ▶3. Applications of Machine Learning in PID for Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Cosmic Muon vs Atmospheric Neutrinos
- 3-class( $\nu_{\mu}/\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  vs  $\nu_{e}/\bar{\nu}_{e}$  vs NC)
- 5-class( $\nu_{\mu}$  vs  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$  vs  $\nu_{e}$  vs  $\overline{\nu}_{e}$  vs NC)
- 3-class+2-class( $\nu_{\mu} \text{ vs } \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \& \nu_{e} \text{ vs } \overline{\nu}_{e}$ )

#### ≻4.Summary

## Introduction of JUNO



#### > JUNO Physics and Detector



•Main physical goal: The JUNO experiment is designed to measure the neutrino mass order (NMO)

•The measurement of atmospheric neutrino oscillation has great potential to boost JUNO's NMO sensitivity







Earth

There are still two possibilities for neutrino mass order

Cosmic Rays (Isotropic)

- •20 kton liquid scintillator detector
- •700 m rock overburden
- PMTs coverage 75%
- •53 km oscillation baseline

Precise reconstruction algorithms are critical, and challenging. Particle incident direction (to calculate the oscillation baseline) Neutrino flavor (PID)

Neutrino energy



>Measurements of atmospheric neutrinos require identification:

- Signal:  $\nu_{\mu}/\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ CC,  $\nu_{e}/\bar{\nu}_{e}$ CC
- Background: NC,cosmic muon

- Identification of final charged leptons produced by CC



Differences between NO and IO in atmospheric neutrino oscillation spectrums

• Flavor identification is critical, including  $\nu$  vs  $\overline{\nu}$ .

tips: CC:charged current NC: neutral current

## Methodology



- In the LS detector, the light received by a PMT is the superposition of the scintillation light from many points along the track.
- ➢ How the amount of light received by a PMT evolves as a function of time depends upon its angle wrt to the particle direction , position, visible energy and PID.
- These are reflected in the PMT waveforms, from which features are extracted and used as inputs to ML models.





• Distribution of the slope of the ascending section of 1GeV muon /electron waveform on the pmt sphere of JUNO

## Methodology



Direction

Energy

Flavor

Track

Vertex



- **FHT**: distance between track and PMT, and angle info
- Slope: angle between track and PMT
- Peak time: track length
- Total nPE: Energy deposition topology



- Due to the large PMT number distributed on the sphere, directly feeding models with all waveforms is hard
- Features are extracted from each PMT to mathematically describe the waveform, which reflect event topology in the detector

#### Machine Learning Models

FC layer (768/256+42) FC layer 1 (128)

FC layer 2

DeepSphere

PointNet++

PointNet++

PE, FHT, slope, e



#### Neutrinos vs Cosmic muons

- Features: nPE, fht, nperatio, peak and slope from CD PMTs
- Evis > 0.5 GeV





Mu efficiency: 100%; purity: 100% CC efficiency: 100%; purity: 100% No statistic uncertainty take into account



#### **3-Label Classfication**



- Samples: 500k Genie Sample(HONDA flux)
  Version: J21v2r0-Pre0
- Input features from PMT waveforms of ML models: fht, npe, slope,nperatio,mediantime





#### Efficiency/purity as functions of visible energy



## **5-Label Classfication**



- Samples: 500k Genie Sample(HONDA flux)
- ≻ Version: J21v2r0-Pre0

> Input features from PMT waveforms of ML models: fht, npe, slope, nperatio, mediantime



#### Atm $\nu$ and $\overline{\nu}$ are hard to identify

## Neutron vs Neutrino



- > Using only the primary trigger is difficult to identify  $\nu/\overline{\nu}$
- > The difference in neutrons produced by Atm  $\nu/\overline{\nu}$  helps to identify
- > Neutrons create Atm  $\nu/\overline{\nu}$  events' secondary triggers





Number of neutrons in different type

### Method1







**PointNet++** 



#### ≻ PointNet+



(inputs: PMT features +truth neutron variables)







Fanrui Zeng, SDU

13

## Method2







 $\geq$  Evis>0.5, TotalPE>7\*10^5

> Feature: fht, npe, slope, nperatio, mediantime fhtn,npen



efficiency

Efficiency/Purity vs Visible Energy





0.8 a 0.6 0.2 NC efficiency purity 0.0 4 Visible Energy (GeV)

 $\bar{v}_{\mu}$ CC

4

- Overall  $v_{\mu}$  efficiency: 74%; ٠ purity: 79%
- Overall  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  efficiency: 57%; ٠ purity 58%
- Overall  $v_e$  efficiency: 71%; ٠ purity: 64%
- Overall  $\bar{\nu}_e$  efficiency: 54%; ٠ purity 49%



#### ≻Evis>0.5

Feature:fht,npe,slope,nperatio,mediantime

#### fhtn,npen



#### Efficiency/Purity vs Visible Energy

0.8

2 0.4

0.2

0.0 -

Ó



1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0 -

Nue





Purity
 Efficiency

 $\bar{v}_{\mu}$ CC

Purity
 Efficiency

 $\bar{\nu}_e CC$ 

Visible Energy(GeV)

- Overall  $v_{\mu}$  efficiency: 76%; purity: 79%
- Overall  $ar{m{
  u}}_{\mu}$  efficiency: 63%; purity 59%
- Overall  $v_e$  efficiency: 63%; purity: 69%
- Overall  $\bar{\nu}_e$  efficiency: 61%; purity 53%

#### .....







Upward-going events,  $E_{\nu} > 0.5$ GeV only

Fanrui Zeng, SDU



- ✓ In this talk, we presented a multi-purpose machine learning approach for the identification of atmosphere neutrino events in large unsegmented LS detectors
- ✓ Flavors of atmospheric neutrinos are identified with good efficiency and purity
- ✓ Combined with directionality and energy reconstruction, we aim to perform the first atmospheric neutrino oscillation measurement in an LS detector in the world, and increase JUNO's total sensitivity to NMO

≻ Next:

≻Further model optimization needed

Thanks!



# BACKUPS

Fanrui Zeng, SDU



## 3+2+2 classification with optimization efficiency





<mark>purity</mark>